ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

•			1							
	, REGUL	ATORY I	NFORMA	ATION I	DISTRIBUT	tion system	(RIDS)		
	NBR:9312150	072	DOC.DA	ATE: 93	3/12/06	NOTARIZED:	NO	Ď	ocket	#
FACIL: AUTH.NA WALSH,L. RECIP.N	A. Wes AME RE	CIPĪENI	se Ope AFFII	eratin LIATIO	Ň	Owners Gro	-			
THADANI,	A.C. Di	vision	of Sys	stems a	Safety &	Analysis (Post 9	21004)		R
SUBJECT: Advises that WOG does not agree w/assessment of need for NRC review of proprietary repts WCAP-13803 & WCAP-13803, Rev 1 &										I
nonproprietary rept WCAP-13838,as part of plant specific responses to GL 93-04 & will contest any & all review fees.										D
DISTRIBUTION CODE: YG01D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 2										S
NOTES:										/
	RECIPIENT		COPIES	2	DECT	PIENT	COPI	FC		Α
1	ID CODE/NAM THADANI,A.C.	ME	LTTR E	-		DE/NAME	LTTR		,	D
INTERNAL:	REG FILE	01	1	1	RES/DSI	R/EIB	1	1		D
	RGN1 RGN3 RGN5		1 1 1	1 1 1 1	RGN2 RGN4	-	1 1 1	1 1 1		S

NSIC

1

1

۲

...

EXTERNAL: NRC PDR

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK, ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 10 ENCL 10

1

1

<u>ل</u>اً ي 2 ...

R

I

D

Ś

/

Á b 4 D

s



Westinghouse Owners Group

Domestic Utilities

Alabama Power American Electric Power C >olina Power & Ught Commonweatth Edison Consolidated Edison Duquese Ught Duquese Ught Georgia Power Rorida Power & Ught Houston Ughting & Power New York Power Authority Northeast Utilities Northem States Power Pacific Gas & Electric Portland General Electric Public Service Electric & Gas Rochester Gas & Electric South Carolina Electric & Gas Tennessee Valley Authority TU Electric Union Electric Virginia Power Wisconsin Electric Power Wisconsin Public Service Wolf Creek Nuclear Yankee Atomic Electric International Utilities Belgian Utilities Kansal Electric Power Karea Electric Power Nuclear Electric pic Nuclear Electric pic Nuclearna Elektrarna Spanish Utilities Swedish State Power Board Talwan Power

OG-93-104

December 6, 1993

Mr. Ashok C. Thadani Director Division of Systems Safety and Analysis Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Westinghouse Owners Group <u>Review of Reports: WCAP-13803 [Proprietary], WCAP-13803 Rev 1 [Proprietary] and</u> <u>WCAP-13838 [Non-proprietary] all Entitled "Generic Assessment of Asymmetric Rod</u> <u>Control Cluster Control Assembly Withdrawal"</u>

- Reference: 1) L.A. Walsh to A.C. Thadani, Transmittal of Report: WCAP-13803 [Proprietary] Entitled "Generic Assessment of Asymmetric Rod Control Cluster Control Assembly Withdrawal", OG-93-55 dated August 5, 1993.
 - L.A. Walsh to A.C. Thadani, Transmittal of Report: WCAP-13803, Rev 1 [Proprietary] and WCAP-13838 [Non-proprietary] Entitled "Generic Assessment of Asymmetric Rod Control Cluster Control Assembly Withdrawal", OG-93-70 dated August 26, 1993.
 - 3) A.C. Thadani to L.A. Walsh, Review of Reports: WCAP-13803 [Proprietary], WCAP-13803 Rev 1 [Proprietary] and WCAP-13838 [Non-proprietary] all Entitled "Generic Assessment of Asymmetric Rod Control Cluster Control Assembly Withdrawal", dated November 20, 1993.
 - Telephone call between M. Chatterton, USNRC and A.P. Drake, Acting Project Manager, Westinghouse Owners Group on December 1, 1993 at approximately 2:10 PM EDT.

Dear Mr. Thadani:

References 1 and 2 (attached) transmitted "information" copies of WCAP-13803 [Proprietary], WCAP-13803 Rev 1 [Proprietary] and WCAP-13838 [Non-proprietary] all Entitled "Generic Assessment of Asymmetric Rod Control Cluster Control Assembly Withdrawal" to the NRC. In this transmittal we noted the WOG was not requesting a formal NRC review and if the NRC decided a formal review was required the WOG must concur prior to commencement of the NRC review. Failure to obtain the WOG concurrence will result in the WOG challenging any and all review fees associated with this report.

9312150072 931

TOPRP

EMVWEST

PDR

, \$

• n n



On November 22, 1993 you sent me a letter, (reference 3, attached) stating the need for the NRC to review these reports. While the letter did not contain an estimate of the cost associated with this review, we did obtain verbal estimates from Margaret Chatterton on December 1, 1993 (reference 4). Based on this conversation, we understand the review of these reports will cost approximately \$25,000.00.

In your letter you noted nearly all licensees in their responses to GL 93-04 referenced WCAP-13803 Revision 1 at the basis for continued operation until the proposed timing modifications and additional surveillance can be implemented. Our assessment of the utilities responses indicated that this is not the case. The results of these reports were used in the utilities 45 day response to support the additional time required to complete the investigation and testing of the rod control system. The results of these test supported the utilities position that the rod control system is in compliance with the requirements of GDC-25 or equivalent. As a result of the test, enhancements to the system's operability were identified. Therefore, since GDC-25 or equivalent is met, no interim justification for operation is required and a review of these reports is not justifiable.

Therefore, the Westinghouse Owners Group does not agree with your assessment of the need for an NRC review of these reports and will contest any and all review fees associated with these reports.

Very truly yours,

0 Walsh rence-

Lawrence A. Walsh, Chairman Westinghouse Owners Group

attachments

cc: William T. Russell, USNRC

Westinghouse Owners Group Steering Committee Westinghouse Owners Group Primary Representatives Westinghouse Owners Group Systems and Equipment Engineering Subcommittee C.K. McCoy, Georgia Power J.P. O'Hanlon, Virginia Power N.J. Liparulo, <u>W</u> K.J. Voytell, <u>W</u>