

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION

DOCKET NO. 50-244

R. E. GINNA NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 53 License No. DPR-18

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or the NRC) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment filed by the Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation (the licensee) dated April 23, 1992, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifica tions as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-18 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - (2) <u>Technical Specifications</u>

05000244

PDR

9309080102 930830

PDR

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 53, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Walter R. Butler, Director Project Directorate I-3 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 30,1993

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 53

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-18

DOCKET NO. 50-244

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

<u>Remove</u>	<u>Insert</u>
3.13-1	3.13-1
4.14-1	4.14-1
4.14-2	4.14-2
4.14-3	4.14-3
4.14-4	4.14-4
4.14-5	4.14-5
4.14-6	4.14-6
4.14-7	4.14-7
4.14-8	4.14-8
	4.14-9
	4.14-10

3.13 <u>Snubbers</u>

Limiting Condition for Operation

3.13.1 With RCS conditions above cold shutdown, all safety-related snubbers shall be operable. This specification does not apply to those snubbers installed on non safety-related systems if the snubber failure, and a resulting failure of the supported non safety-related system shown to be caused by that snubber failure, would have no adverse effect on any safety-related system.

<u>Action</u>

3.13.2 With one or more snubbers inoperable, within 72 hours replace or restore the inoperable snubber(s) to operable status and perform an engineering evaluation per Specification 4.14.1f on the supported component or declare the supported system inoperable and follow the appropriate action statement for that system.

<u>Basis</u>

Snubbers are required to be operable to ensure that the structural integrity of the reactor coolant system and all other safety-related systems is maintained during and following a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads.

Snubbers may be replaced by rigid structural supports (bumpers) provided an analysis is performed to demonstrate that appropriate acceptance criteria are satisfied for design basis seismic and pipe break events and provided that the bumpers are inspected periodically in a manner appropriate for rigid structural supports.

Amendment No. 53 3.13-1

4.14 <u>Snubber Surveillance Requirements</u>

4.14.1 Each snubber required by Specification 3.13 to be OPERABLE shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the performance of the following inservice inspection program in addition to the requirements of Specification 4.2.

a. <u>Inspection Types</u>

As used in this specification, "type of snubber" shall mean snubbers of the same design and manufacturer, irrespective of capacity.

b. <u>Visual Inspections</u>

Snubbers are categorized as inaccessible or accessible during reactor operation. Each of these categories (inaccessible and accessible) may be inspected independently according to the schedule determine.by Table 4.14-1. The visual inspection interval for each type of snubber shall be determined based upon the criteria provided in Table 4.14-1.

c. <u>Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria</u>

Visual inspections shall verify that (1) the snubber has no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY, (2) attachments to the foundation or

supporting structure are functional, and (3) fasteners for the attachment of the snubber to the component and to the snubber anchorage are functional. Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspections shall be classified as unacceptable and may be reclassified acceptable for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, provided that: (1) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers, irrespective of type that may be generically susceptible; and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the asfound condition and determined OPERABLE per Specification 4.14.1e. All snubbers found connected to an inoperable common hydraulic fluid reservoir shall be counted as unacceptable for determining the next inspection interval. A review and evaluation shall be performed and documented to justify continued operation with an unacceptable snubber. If continued operation cannot be justified, the snubber shall be declared inoperable and the ACTION requirement shall be met.

Amendment No. \$1,53

TABLE 4.14-1

SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL

	NUMBER OF UNACCEPTABLE SNUBBERS (Ref. Note 7)		
Population	Column A	Column B	Column C
or Category	Extend Interval	Repeat Interval	Reduce Interval
(Notes 1 and 2)	(Notes 3 and 6)	(Notes 4 and 6)	(Notes 5 and 6)
1	0	Ο	. 1
80	0	0	2
100	0	1	4
	<u></u>		·
150	0	3	8
200	2	5	13
300	5	12	25
	·····		<u> </u>
400	8	18	36
500	12	24	48
750	20	40	78
1000 or great	er 29	56	109

Note 1: The next visual inspection interval for a snubber population or category size shall be determined based upon the previous inspection interval and the number of unacceptable snubbers found during that interval. Snubbers may be categorized, based upon their accessibility during power operation, as accessible or inaccessible. These categories may be examined separately or jointly. However, this decision must be

Amendment No. \$7,53 4.14-3

TABLE 4.14-1 (continue

documented before any inspection and shall be used as the basis upon which to determine the next inspection interval for that category.

- Note 2: Interpolation between population or category sizes and the number of unacceptable snubbers is permissible. Use next lower integer for the value of the limit for Columns A, B, or C if that integer includes a fractional value of unacceptable snubbers as determined by interpolation.
- Note 3: If the number of unacceptable snubbers is equal to or less than the number in Column A, the next inspection interval may be twice the previous interval but not greater than 48 months.
- Note 4: If the number of unacceptable snubbers is equal to or less than the number in Column B but greater than the number in Column A, the next inspection interval shall be the same as the previous interval.
- Note 5: If the number of unacceptable snubbers is equal to or greater than the number in Column C, the next inspection interval shall be two-thirds of the previous interval. However, if the number of unacceptable snubbers is less than the number in Column C but greater than the number in Column B, the next interval shall be reduced proportionally by interpolation, that is, the previous interval shall be reduced by a factor that is one-third of the ratio of the difference between the number of unacceptable snubbers found during the previous interval and the number in Column B to the difference in the numbers in Column B and C.

£

- Note 6: The provisions of Specification Section 4.0 are applicable for all inspection intervals up to and including 48 months.
- Note 7: To determine the next surveillance interval, an unacceptable snubber may be reclassified as acceptable if it can be demonstrated that the snubber is operable in its as-found condition by performance if a functional test and if it satisfies the acceptance criteria for functional testing.

Amendment No. 3/1,53 4.14-4

4.14.1.d

Functional Tests

18 months during shutdown, least once per а At representative sample (at least 10% of the snubbers required by Specification 3.13) shall be functionally tested either in place or in a bench test. For each snubber that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria of Specification 4.14.1e, an additional 10% of the snubbers shall be functionally tested until no more failures are found or until all snubbers have been functionally tested. The representative sample selected for functional testing shall, as far as practical, include various configurations, operating the environments, range of sizes and capacities of snubbers.

In addition to the regular sample, snubbers placed in the same locations as snubbers which failed the previous functional test shall be retested at the time of the next functional test. Additionally, if a failed snubber has been repaired and reinstalled in another location, that failed snubber shall also be retested. These snubbers shall not be included in the regular sample.

If during the functional testing, additional sampling is required due to failure of only one type of snubber, the functional testing results shall be reviewed at that time to determine if additional samples should be limited to the type of snubber which has failed the functional testing.

Amendment No. \$7,53 4.14-5

Functional Test Acceptance Crieri

The snubber functional test shall verify that:

- Activation (restraining action) is achieved within the specified range in both tension and compression;
- Snubber bleed, or release rate where required, is present in both tension and compression, within the specified range;
- 3) Where required, the force required to initiate or maintain motion of the snubber is within the specified range in both directions of travel; and
- 4) For snubbers specifically required not to displace under continuous load, the ability of the snubber to withstand load without displacement is verified.

Testing methods may be used to measure parameters indirectly or parameters other than those specified if those results can be correlated to the specified parameters through established methods.

f. Functional Test Failure Analysis

An analysis shall be made of each failure to meet the functional test acceptance criteria to determine the cause of the failure. The results of this analysis

4.14.1.e.

shall be used, if applicable, in selecting snubbers to be tested in an effort to determine the operability of other snubbers, irrespective of type, which may be subject to the same failure mode. For the specific case of a snubber selected for functional testing which either fails to activate or fails to move, i.e., frozen-inplace, the cause will be evaluated and, if caused by manufacturer or design deficiency, all snubbers of the type subject to the same defect same shall be functionally tested or evaluated in a manner to ensure their operability. Any testing performed as part of this requirement shall be independent of the requirements stated in Specification 4.14.1d for snubbers not meeting the functional test acceptance criteria:

For any snubbers found inoperable, an engineering evaluation shall be performed on the components to which the inoperable snubbers are attached. The purpose of this engineering evaluation shall be to determine if the components to which the inoperable snubbers are attached were adversely affected by the inoperability of the snubbers in order to ensure that the component remains capable of meeting the designed service.

Amendment No. \$7,53

4.14.1.g Snubber Cal Service Life Monitoring

The seal service life of hydraulic snubbers shall be monitored and seals replaced as required to ensure that the service life is not exceeded between surveillance inspections during a period when the snubber is required to be operable. The seal replacements shall be documented and the documentation shall be retained in accordance with Technical Specification 6.10.2.

<u>Basis</u>

Snubbers are provided to ensure that the structural integrity of the reactor coolant system and all other safety related systems is maintained during and following a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. The visual inspection frequency is based on the number of unacceptable snubbers found during the previous inspection in proportion to the sizes of the various snubber populations or categories. A snubber is considered unacceptable if it fails the acceptance criteria delineated by Specification 4.14.1.c. The visual inspection interval is based upon the previous inspection interval and may be as long as two fuel cycles, not to exceed 48 months, depending on the number of unacceptable snubbers found during the previous visual inspection.

Basis (continued)

Unacceptable snubbers shall be evaluated to determine if they are inoperable. For inoperable snubbers the applicable action requirements shall be met. When a snubber is found inoperable, an engineering evaluation of the supported component is performed in order to determine if any safety-related component or system has been adversely affected by the inoperability of the snubber. This evaluation is in addition to the determination of the snubber mode of failure. The engineering evaluation shall determine whether or not the snubber failure has imparted a significant effect on or caused degradation of the supported component or system, to ensure they remain capable of meeting the designed service.

When the cause of the rejection of a snubber is clearly established and remedied for that snubber and for any other snubbers that may be generically susceptible, and verified by inservice functional testing, that snubber may be exempted from being counted as inoperable. Generically susceptible snubbers are those which are of a specific make or model and have the same design features directly related to the snubber rejected or are those which are similarly located or exposed to the same environmental conditions such as temperature, radiation, and vibration. To determine the next surveillance interval, an unacceptable snubber may be reclassified as acceptable if it can be demonstrated that the snubber is operable in its as-found condition by performance of a functional test and if it satisfies the acceptance criteria for functional testing.

Amendment No. \$\$\$. 4.14-9

Basis (continued)

To provide assurance of snubber functional reliability, a representative sample of the installed snubbers will be functionally tested during plant shutdowns at less than or equal to 18 month intervals. Observed failures of these sample snubbers shall require functional testing of additional units.

Hydraulic snubbers and mechanical snubbers may each be treated as a different entity for the above surveillance programs. The service life of a snubber is evaluated via manufacturer input and engineering information through consideration of the snubber service conditions and functional design requirements. The only snubber components with service lives not expected to exceed plant life are seals and o-rings fabricated from certain seal materials. Therefore, a seal replacement program is required to monitor snubber seal and o-ring service life to assure snubber operability is not degraded due to exceeding component service life.