

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

(CAR 1230)

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ ①

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 N Y R E G I ② 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 ③ 4 . 1 1 1 1 1 ④ _____ ⑤
8 9 LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58

0 1 REPORT SOURCE L ⑥ 0 5 0 0 0 2 4 4 ⑦ 0 1 7 2 4 7 1 9 ⑧ 0 8 0 1 7 9 ⑨
7 8 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES ⑩

0 2 During piping system inspections required by IE Bulletin No. 79-14
0 3 several pipe supports were found not to be installed in accordance
0 4 with design requirements (T.S. 6.9.2.a(9)).
0 5
0 6
0 7
0 8

0 9 SYSTEM CODE ⑪ CAUSE CODE ⑫ CAUSE SUBCODE ⑬ COMPONENT CODE ⑭ COMP. SUBCODE ⑮ VALVE SUBCODE ⑯
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 18 19 20

⑰ LER/R0 REPORT NUMBER ⑱ EVENT YEAR ⑲ SHUTDOWN METHOD ⑳ HOURS ㉑ ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED ㉒ NPRD-4 FORM SUB. ㉓ PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER ㉔ COMPONENT MANUFACTURER ㉕
21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

ACTION TAKEN ㉖ FUTURE ACTION ㉗ EFFECT ON PLANT ㉘ SHUTDOWN METHOD ㉙ HOURS ㉚ ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED ㉛ NPRD-4 FORM SUB. ㉜ PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER ㉝ COMPONENT MANUFACTURER ㉞
33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS ⑳

1 0 Lack of documented approvals for field changes and nonconformances
1 1 during original plant construction. Supports have been reworked
1 2 or an engineering evaluation performed to establish acceptability.
1 3
4 4

7 8 9 FACILITY STATUS ㉟ % POWER ㊱ OTHER STATUS ㊲ METHOD OF DISCOVERY ㊳ DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION ㊴
7 8 9 10 12 13 44 45 46 80

1 6 ACTIVITY CONTENT ㊵ RELEASED OF RELEASE ㊶ AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY ㊷ LOCATION OF RELEASE ㊸
7 8 9 10 11 44 45 80

1 7 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES ㊹ NUMBER ㊺ TYPE ㊻ DESCRIPTION ㊼
7 8 9 11 12 13 80

1 8 PERSONNEL INJURIES ㊽ NUMBER ㊾ DESCRIPTION ㊿
7 8 9 11 12 80

1 9 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY ㊿ TYPE ㊽ DESCRIPTION
7 8 9 10 80

2 0 PUBLICITY ISSUED ㊾ DESCRIPTION ㊿ NRC USE ONLY
7 8 9 10 68 69 80 917-926

Attachment
LER 79-015
August 1, 1979

During the piping system inspections required by IE Bulletin No. 79-14, 10 pipe supports were determined to be in nonconformance with design requirements. These supports were located on the containment spray (CS), residual heat removal (RHR), and service water (SW) systems.

The following is a listing of the supports and nonconformances (NCR's G-79-88 through G-79-93 and G-79-95 through G-79-97):

- CS-53 one less support installed on lower containment spray ring than shown on drawing
- CS-235 pipe clamp not installed on lower containment spray ring at 235° location as shown on drawing
- CS-295 pipe clamp not installed on lower containment spray ring at 295° location as shown on drawing
- CS-12 U-bolt not installed as required by drawing
- RH-16 pipe clamp not installed as required by drawing
- SW-3 pipe support not installed at location required by drawing
- SW-20 six U-bolts not installed as required by drawing
- SW-22 four U-bolts not installed as required by drawing
- SW-23 pipe clamp not installed as required by drawing
- SW-29 pipe support not installed at location required by drawing

Supports CS-235, CS-295, CS-12, RH-16, SW-3, SW-22, SW-23, and SW-29 have been reworked in accordance with the drawing requirements. An engineering evaluation of the nonconformance identified on CS-53 determined that the existing installation was adequate to assure performance of its intended function. Reinspection of support SW-20 showed that the nonconformance had been incorrectly reported; and it was in fact installed correctly.

The corrective actions described above have been completed. It is our conclusion that the nonconformances are a result of a lack of documented approvals for field changes and nonconformances during original plant construction. Additional inspections as required by IE Bulletin No. 79-14 are being performed as described in our response dated August 1, 1979.

