July 1, 1985

Docket No. 50-244

LICENSEE: Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation

FACILITY: R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF MAY 22 and MAY 23, 1985 MEETING ON MASONRY WALLS

The staff, along with its consultants, Franklin Research Center (FRC) and Professor A. Hamid of Drexel University, conducted a two-day site visit/ meeting (May 22-23, 1985) with the licensee to review Ginna's masonry wall design, construction and modifications.

The staff visited the plant site and performed a walk-down of the masonry walls in the control, auxiliary and intermediate buildings to observe construction, boundary conditions, attachments, modifications and the general vicinity of the safety-related equipment. The licensee's consultants made a presentation on a non-linear analysis methodology which the licensee may decide to use for qualifying the control building walls.

The staff selected specific wall calculations for detailed review. The staff reviewed calculations including computer input/output. The staff also discussed questions which existed from earlier licensee responses. In addition, some QA/QC documentation and test results were also discussed and reviewed.

As a result of the above activities, five action items were identified (See enclosure). The licensee's responses to these action items are required to complete this action. The licensee has not made a final decision regarding tornado wind loading; however, the licensee indicated that their approach is likely to be to protect safety-related equipment from the failure of a wall. The licensee will advise the staff regarding its decision.

The staff finds that this two-day meeting/audit has been extremely helpful in understanding the plant-specific features of the masonry wall design at Ginna, and this activity will expedite the resolution of the issue.

8507030454 850701 ADOČK OŠOO244 Original signed by PDR Charles L. Miller, Project Manager PDR Operating Reactors Branch #5 **Division** of Licensing Enclosure: As stated cc: See next page DISTRIBUTION Docket NRC PDR Local PDR **NRC** Participants JZwolinski CMiller CJamerson ORB #5 Reading EJordan (BGrimes ACRS (10) OELD SEO/ 11 Ex-01 DL: ORB ¥5 DRB + #5 DL: ORB #5 CJamerson JZwolinski CNi/Her!jb is /21/85 6/21/85 6 /28/85

, , , a

له به المريد · . ·

م بر الم K X 7) » 1 176 6 3

SPACE RESTAR a - 14 ., -مان میں جو بھی ہے۔ اور جو بڑی بھی ہوتے ہیں ہے ہوئے ہیں ہوتے ایر ایر ہوتے ہیں ہوتے ایر ہو ہوتے ہیں ہوتے ہ чэц « ^{ве} с "**ј**я "

مین میں بین ہیں ہے۔ اور اس کی پر اس کی ایر میں اور کی میں ہیں کی اور میں میں اور کی میں کی اور میں کی اور میں ک اور اس کی میں میں میں میں میں اور میں کی اور اور میں کی میں کی اور اور میں کی میں کی میں کی میں کی میں کی میں ک اور اس کی میں میں میں کی می

م الحالي الحالية المحالية الحركية الحركية حالية الجالي الذي المحالية الحركية الحركية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحا الحالية الحركية الحركية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحال المحالية الم • · · · · • • • • · ·

•

الا العام الأولية "ما الي المحمد". المالية المحمد المحمد العام المحمد المحم المالية المحمد المحم

. .

1 A.S.

م ب • _{ار}د ، ۱۰ − ۹ ۲ − ۲۰ − ۹ ۲ − ۲۰ $\mu \to -1$ Ψ,

> p . Ň

ENCLOSURE

ACTION ITEMS

- 1. The staff will advise the licensee regarding the acceptability of the Ginna proposal to perform non-linear analysis similar to that performed for San Onofre 1.
- 2. The staff will request the licensee to present the applicability of non-linear methodology to Ginna in light of the following:
 - a. The Ginna walls contain heavily reinforced pilasters;
 - b. One-way action is assumed in non-linear analysis; however, Ginna walls appear to be more consistent with plate/box behavior; and
 - c. Boundary conditions at Ginna walls may be fixed.
- 3. Based on the review of computer input/output, the staff will request clarification on the following:
 - a. Static vs. Dynamic analysis
 - b. Assumed boundary conditions in the computer input for wall 1-1c.
 - c. Assumed wall thickness for wall 2-1c.
- 4. The staff will request a summary of the table in calculation 1.68.5 (p. 16) including the following specific information:
 - a. Boundary conditions

1 m 1

- b. Frequency bounds (cracked/uncracked)
- c. Applied moment (uncracked/cracked)
- 5. The staff will request that the licensee clarify the venting situation for the control building.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

July 1, 1985

Docket No. 50-244

LICENSEE: Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation

FACILITY: R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF MAY 22 and MAY 23, 1985 MEETING ON MASONRY WALLS

The staff, along with its consultants, Franklin Research Center (FRC) and Professor A. Hamid of Drexel University, conducted a two-day site visit/ meeting (May 22-23, 1985) with the licensee to review Ginna's masonry wall design, construction and modifications.

The staff visited the plant site and performed a walk-down of the masonry walls in the control, auxiliary and intermediate buildings to observe construction, boundary conditions, attachments, modifications and the general vicinity of the safety-related equipment. The licensee's consultants made a presentation on a non-linear analysis methodology which the licensee may decide to use for qualifying the control building walls.

The staff selected specific wall calculations for detailed review. The staff reviewed calculations including computer input/output. The staff also discussed questions which existed from earlier licensee responses. In addition, some QA/QC documentation and test results were also discussed and reviewed.

As a result of the above activities, five action items were identified (See enclosure). The licensee's responses to these action items are required to complete this action. The licensee has not made a final decision regarding tornado wind loading; however, the licensee indicated that their approach is likely to be to protect safety-related equipment from the failure of a wall. The licensee will advise the staff regarding its decision.

The staff finds that this two-day meeting/audit has been extremely helpful in understanding the plant-specific features of the masonry wall design at Ginna, and this activity will expedite the resolution of the issue.

harles L. Miller

Charles L. Miller, Project Manager Operating Reactors Branch #5 Division of Licensing

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page

. . 4

ŧ

cc Harry H. Voigt, Esquire LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby and MacRae 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20036 Ezra Bialik Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Bureau New York State Department of Law 2 World Trade Center New York, New York 10047

\$ 1

Resident Inspector R.E. Ginna Plant c/o U.S. NRC 1503 Lake Road Ontario, New York 14519

Stanley B. Klimberg, Esquire General Counsel New York State Energy Office Agency Building 2 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Regional Administrator Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region I Office 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Supervisor of the Town of Ontario 1850 Ridge Road Ontario, New York 14519

Jay Dunkleberger Division of Policy Analysis & Planning New York State Energy Office Agency Building 2 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Roger W. Kober Vice President Electric and Steam Production Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation 89 East Avenue Rochester, New York 14649 1 • · ·

۲. ۲

¢

. •

.

¥ P.,