## Appendix A

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Commonwealth Edison Company

Docket No. 50-010 Docket No. 50-237 Docket No. 50-249

As a result of the inspection conducted on April 4, through May 8, 1981, and in accordance with the Interim Enforcement Policy, 45 FR 66754 (October 7, 1980), the following violations were identified:

1. 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criteria XIV requires that measures be established for indicating the operating status of structures, systems, and components of the nuclear power plant..., such as tagging valves and switches to prevent inadvertant operation. It also requires measures to be eatablished to indicate by the use of markings such as stamps, tags, etc., the status of tests performed. Dresden Administrative Procedure (DAP-3-5) states it's purpose in part, "This procedure will provide a record of the equipment status before, during, and after an outage so that abnormal system configurations can be evaluated." CECo Quality Assurance Manual Procedure 3-52 states the Shift Engineer will take appropriate action and remove equipment from service and when satisfactory and clear the outage.

Contrary to the above, on April 15, 1981, the status of equipment in the Control Rod Drive Hydraulic System (CRDH) was not controlled in accordance with the equipment outage checklist controlling the work in that the drain valves for two CRDH accumulators were left in the closed position and were tagged and verified open as required by DAP-3-5. This item is a repeat occurance of the conditions resulting in the Notice of Violation reported in Inspection Report 50-249/81-02, dated March 23, 1981.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplment I).

2. Technical Specification 6.2.A.7 requires detailed written procedures including applicable check off lists covering .....Surveillance and Testing requirements. Dresden Chemistry Procedure DCP-10, for sampling torus water on Unit 3, requires notification of the control room operator of the intent to sample and the completion of sampling. This procedure further prescribes that the sample path for sampling the Unit 3 torus is via a piping tap off of the ECCS fill system pump.

Contrary to the above, on April 17, 1981, while walking down the Unit 3 control room panels, the SRI noted an actuated alarm indicating a high water level in the torus which was found to be due to a sample being drawn via an instrument line rather than through the ECCS fill system



Appendix A

- 2 -

pump discharge. It was also found that the control room had not been informed of the sample being taken.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, you are required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each item of noncompliance: (1) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective action to be taken to avoid further noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Under the authority of Section 182 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, this response shall be submitted under oath or affirmation. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

Dated JUL 1 1981

R. F. Heishman, Acting Director Division of Resident and Project Inspection