

SAFETY EVALUATION REPORT
GENERIC LETTER 83-28, ITEM 2.1 (PART 1)
EQUIPMENT CLASSIFICATION (RTS COMPONENTS)
R. E. GINNA NUCLEAR PLANT
DOCKET NO. 50-244

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

On February 25, 1983, both of the scram circuit breakers at Unit 1 of the Salem Nuclear Power Plant failed to open upon an automatic reactor trip signal from the reactor protection system. This incident was terminated manually by the operator about 30 seconds after the initiation of the automatic trip signal. The failure of the circuit breakers was determined to be related to the sticking of the undervoltage trip attachment. Prior to this incident, on February 22, 1983, at Unit 1 of the Salem Nuclear Power Plant, an automatic trip signal was generated based on steam generator low-low level during plant start-up. In this case, the reactor was tripped manually by the operator almost coincidentally with the automatic trip.

Following these incidents, on February 28, 1983, the NRC Executive Director for Operations (EDO), directed the staff to investigate and report on the generic implications of these occurrences at Unit 1 of the Salem Nuclear Power Plant. The results of the staff's inquiry into the generic implications of the Salem unit incidents are reported in NUREG-1000, "Generic Implications of the ATWS Events at the Salem Nuclear Power Plant." As a result of this investigation, the Commission (NRC) requested (by Generic Letter 83-28 dated July 8, 1983¹) all licensees of operating reactors, applicants for an operating license, and holders of construction permits to respond to generic issues raised by the analyses of these two ATWS events.

8608200373 860808
PDR ADOCK 05000244
P PDR

This report is an evaluation of the response submitted by Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation, the licensee for the R. E. Ginna Nuclear Plant, for Item 2.1 (Part 1) of Generic Letter 83-28. The actual documents reviewed as part of this evaluation are listed in the references at the end of the report.

Item 2.1 (Part 1) requires the licensee to confirm that all Reactor Trip System components are identified, classified and treated as safety-related as indicated in the following statement:

Licensees and applicants shall confirm that all components whose functioning is required to trip the reactor are identified as safety-related on documents, procedures, and information handling systems used in the plant to control safety-related activities, including maintenance, work orders, and parts replacement.

EVALUATION

The licensee for the R. E. Ginna Nuclear Plant responded to the requirements of Item 2.1 (Part 1) in submittals dated November 4, 1983² and August 23, 1985³. The licensee stated in these submittals that all components that are required to perform the reactor trip function are classified as safety-related equipment. The licensee confirmed that the list of safety-related items will provide the basis for designating procedures and information handling systems used at Ginna to control safety-related activities, including maintenance, design changes and parts replacement.

The licensee also has plans for an expanded computerized system for listing safety-related items and controlling the activities associated with the safety-related items. This system is relevant to the wider scope of Item 2.2.1 which deals with the all safety-related components of the entire plant. The new system and its status will be considered in the forthcoming review of Item 2.2.1.

CONCLUSION

Based on our review of these responses, we find the licensee's statements confirm that a program exists for identifying, classifying and treating components that are required for performance of the reactor trip function as safety related. This program meets the requirements of Item 2.1 (Part 1) of the Generic Letter 83-28, and is therefore acceptable. The licensee also has a computerized maintenance system under development. This system, which deals with all safety-related components, will be reviewed by the staff during the forthcoming review of Item 2.2.1.

REFERENCES

1. NRC Letter, D. G. Eisenhut to all Licensees of Operating Reactors, Applicants for Operating License, and Holders of Construction Permits, "Required Actions Based on Generic Implications of Salem ATWS Events (Generic Letter 83-28)," July 8, 1983.

REFERENCES (CONT.)

2. Letter, J. E. Maier, Rochester Gas and Electric Corp., to
D. M. Crutchfield, NRC, November 4, 1983.

3. Letter, R. W. Kober, Rochester Gas and Electric Corp., to
D. M. Crutchfield, NRC, August 23, 1985.