## REGULATORY NFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYMTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8009020026 DOC.DATE: 80/08/25 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # FACIL:50-244 Robert Emmet Ginna Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Rochester G 05000244

AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION

WHITE, L.D. Rochester Gas & Electric Corp.

RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

CRUTCHFIELD, D. Operating Reactors Branch 5

SUBJECT: Notifies that revised definition of "operable" should not be incorporated into Tech Specs w/o adding corresponding action statements. Revision max should be deferred for incorporation w/further Tech Specs changes.

NOTES:1 copy:SEP Sect. Ldr.

05000244

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME RUTCHFIELD 04	COPIES LITTR E 7		IENT E/NAME	COPI LTTR	
D,	/D MATL&QUAL13 /DIR,HUM FAC08 YD/GEO BR 10 BC PDR 02 EG FILE 01		I&E:	S A 07 FAC 509 06 SS BR 11 12	1 1 2 1 3	
	CRS 14 SIC 05	16 1	16 LPDR	03	1	ļ

SEP 3 1980

The state of the s

The transfer of the second of

n Pra

3 N X +





ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION • 89 EAST AVENUE, ROCHESTER, N.Y. 14649

LEON D. WHITE, JR.

TELEPHONE
AREA CODE 716 546-2700

August 25, 1980

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 5
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Definition of Operability
R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant
Docket No. 50-244

Dear Mr. Crutchfield:

By letter dated April 10, 1980, we were requested to revise our Technical Specifications to incorporate additional clarification, of the term "operable". Model Technical Specifications were provided as guidance.

Inasmuch as Ginna does not have Standard Technical Specifications, a careful review was necessary to determine the impact of the requested revisions in light of the existing Specifications. The review disclosed many instances where significantly faster shutdowns to cold shutdown would be required by the Ginna Technical Specifications with the proposed revision than by Standard Technical Specifications. This is due to the fact that the Ginna Technical Specifications do not contain the detailed Action Statements as are found in Standard Technical Specifications with their progressive stages of action. It was the judgement of both the Plant Operations Review Committee and the Nuclear Safety Audit and Review Board that the revised definition of the term "operable" should not be incorporated into the Ginna Technical Specifications without also adding the corresponding action statements. Further, it was concluded that such a significant revision need not be pursued at this time but may be deferred to be incorporated with the Technoial Specification changes that may be forthcoming as

· PREPART

gt.

SHEET NO.

ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORP.
DATE August 25, 1980

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 5

-2-

a result of the Systematic Evaluation Program such as suggested in SEP Topics V-10.A and VIII-3.A In the interim, the guidance of the Staff's April 10 letter will be followed.

Very truly yours,

L.D. White, Jr.

Lowhite, gr.

The second of th

) F (P

• • • • • •