

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 11, 2017

Mr. Peter P. Sena, III President and Chief Nuclear Officer PSEG Nuclear LLC - N09 P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

SUBJECT:

SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 1 – UPCOMING

STEAM GENERATOR TUBE INSERVICE INSPECTION (CAC NO. MG0215)

Dear Mr. Sena:

Inservice inspections of steam generator (SG) tubes play a vital role in assuring SG tube integrity. Based on my discussion with Mr. Paul Duke on September 7, 2017, a telephone conference call has been arranged with members of your staff to discuss the results of the SG tube inspections to be conducted during the upcoming refueling outage for Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit No. 1. This call will occur after the majority of the tubes have been inspected, but before the SG inspection activities have been completed.¹ Enclosed is a list of discussion points to facilitate this call.

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff will document a summary of the conference call, including any material that you provide to the NRC staff in support of the call.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1420 or Rick.Ennis@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

Richard B. Ennis, Senior Project Manager

Plant Licensing Branch I

Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-272

Enclosure:

Steam Generator Tube Inspection

Discussion Points

cc w/enclosure: Distribution via Listserv

¹ Call should take place when roughly 70% of the inspection results have been analyzed.

SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 1

STEAM GENERATOR TUBE INSPECTION DISCUSSION POINTS

The following discussion points have been prepared to facilitate the conference call arranged with the licensee to discuss the results of the steam generator (SG) tube inspections to be conducted during the upcoming fall 2017 refueling outage at Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit No. 1. This conference call is scheduled to occur towards the end of the planned SG tube inspections, but before the inspections and repairs are completed.

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff plans to document a summary of the conference call, as well as any material that is provided in support of the call.

- 1. Discuss any trends in the amount of primary-to-secondary leakage observed during the recently completed cycle.
- 2. Discuss whether any secondary side pressure tests were performed during the outage and the associated results.
- 3. Discuss any exceptions taken to the industry guidelines.
- 4. For each SG, provide a description of the inspections performed, including the areas examined and the probes used (e.g., dents/dings, sleeves, expansion-transition, U-bends with a rotating probe), the scope of the inspection (e.g., 100 percent of dents/dings greater than 5 volts and a 20 percent sample between 2 and 5 volts), and the expansion criteria.
- 5. For each area examined (e.g., tube supports, dent/dings, sleeves, etc.), provide a summary of the number of indications identified to date for each degradation mode (e.g., number of circumferential primary water stress corrosion cracking indications at the expansion transition). For the most significant indications in each area, provide an estimate of the severity of the indication (e.g., provide the voltage, depth, and length of the indication). In particular, address whether tube integrity (structural and accident-induced leakage integrity) was maintained during the previous operating cycle. In addition, discuss whether any location exhibited a degradation mode that had not previously been observed at this location at this unit (e.g., observed circumferential primary water stress corrosion cracking at the expansion transition for the first time at this unit).
- 6. Describe repair/plugging plans.
- 7. Describe in-situ pressure test and tube pull plans and results (as applicable and if available).
- 8. Discuss the following regarding loose parts:
 - what inspections are performed to detect loose parts;
 - a description of any loose parts detected and their location within the SG (including the source or nature of the loose part, if known);

- if the loose parts were removed from the SG; and
- indications of tube damage associated with the loose parts.
- 9. Discuss the scope and results of any secondary side inspection and maintenance activities (e.g., in-bundle visual inspections, feed-ring inspections, sludge lancing, assessing deposit loading, etc.).
- 10. Discuss any unexpected or unusual results.
- 11. Provide the schedule for SG-related activities during the remainder of the current outage.

SUBJECT:

SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 1 - UPCOMING

STEAM GENERATOR TUBE INSERVICE INSPECTION (CAC NO. MG0215)

DATED SEPTEMBER 11, 2017

DISTRIBUTION:

Public

RidsRgn1MailCenter Resource

RidsNrrDorlLpl1 Resource

AHuynh, NRR

RidsNrrDlrRasb Resource

MGray, R-I

AJohnson, NRR

PKlein, NRR

RidsACRS_MailCTR Resource

RidsNrrLALRonewicz Resource

RidsNrrPMSalem Resource

ADAMS Accession No.: ML17250A356

OFFICE	DORL/LPL1/PM	DORL/LPL1/LA	DLR/RCCB/BC	DORL/LPL1/BC	DORL/LPL1/PM
NAME	REnnis	LRonewicz	SBloom	JDanna	REnnis
DATE	09/07/2017	09/08/2017	09/11/2017	09/11/2017	09/11/2017

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY