Design Analysis

RCS Sub Cooling Margin Monitoring System

Error Analysis

Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation

89 East Avenue

Rochester, New York 14649

EWR 2604

Revision 0

January 23, 1980

P.E. Prepared by: .23 Engineer a) Γī Reviewed by: Manager, Electrical Engineering N Janu Approved by:

Manager, Electrical Engineering

800128

42-92

Paģe <u>i</u>

the set of the set of

and the second second

યું ઉત્પં ત્ર પ્રા

•

· ·

*

• • •

•

r

•

- · · · · ·

Revision Status Sheet

· · ·

۲.,

١

· ,

-

Page	Latest Rev.	Page	Latest Rev.	Page	Latest Rev.
i	` 0		,		
ii	0				
́ l	0				
2	0				
3	0				٩
4	0				
5	0		< C	•	
6	0 `				
7	0				
Desig	gn Analysis		•	Revision	0
EWR	2604	Page	<u></u> .	Date1/23	/80

.

....

•

•

· · · ·

•••

. . · . •

\$ •.

Sub-Cooling Margin Mònitoring System Error Analysis

I. <u>Purpose</u>

II.

The purpose of this analysis is to compute the uncertainty in the value of RCS subcooled margin, as computed and displayed to the plant operator. This value of subcooling margin is computed by a Foxboro Spec 200 analog computer, utilizing as inputs RCS hot leg temperature and pressurizer pressure.

Referenced Documents

- A. Westinghouse bulletin 43-252D WE A
- B. Foxoboro Company Product Specification Sheets:
 - 1. PSS 2C-2A1B 06-77 2. PSS 2C-2A1C 04-77 3. PSS 2C-2A1W 07-77
- C. Letter dated 12/27/79 to Westinghouse Owner's Group Representatives from R. A. Newton of Wisconsin Electric Power Company
- D. Rosemount Engineering Company drawing 176JA, Rev. C dated 12/23/66.
- E. "Signal Characterizer Calibration", Rev. 0, Foxboro Company.
- F. RG&E drawing 21489-297, Rev. 0
- G. RG&E drawings 21489-303, Rev. 1, and 21489-302, Rev. 1
- H. 1967 ASME Steam Tables
- I. Letter dated 2/15/78 from J. D. Woodward <u>W</u>, to J. Arthur
- J. Foxboro Instruction Sheet 18-232 for 66B Current Repeater

III. Computer Programs

A. "Curvfit" BASIC - least squares polynomial curve fitting program.

of_

B. "Rootr" - BASIC - cracsk polynomials.

DESIGN ANALYSIS

2604

PAGE _____

1/23/80

0

DATE____

REV._

42-60 REV. 2/77

EWR NO.



۲

.

IV.

v.

Assumptions

- A. Only the pressure transmitter (PT) and temperature transmitters are located inside containment, and are therefore subject to the accident environment. All other equipment is therefore assumed to be functioning under normal conditions of temperature, humidity, radiation, electrical voltage and frequency.
- B. Reference D above, states that all material used in construction of the RTD's can withstand temperatures to 650°F. In addition leadwire resistance compensation is included in the resistance to voltage converter. Therefore, the accident environment has a negligible effect on the RTD, and conversion circuitry.
- C. Errors due to calibration error are considered negligible, for the Foxboro Spec 200 equipment, since these units were factory calibrated, and have better accuracy than that stated in reference B. The calibration errors for the Foxboro 66BR are assumed to have a negligible effect on system accuracy.
- D. Accuracy and repeatibility values are in terms of calibrated span, unless otherwise noted.
- E. Accuracy and repeatibility errors are summed for conservatism.
- <u>Analysis</u>
 - A. This analysis utilizes some of the procedures outlined in reference C above.
 - B. Instrument accuracies (refer to attached diagram #1).
 - 1. Pressure transmitters (Foxboro El1GM) from ref. C. page B-2

Maximum normal instrument error =

 $\sqrt{5(4)^2 + 3^2 + 2(8)^2} = \pm 14.7$ psi

of.

Maximum instrument error under accident conditions = ± 68 psi, (from ref. I).

DESIGN ANALYSIS

EWR NO ..

2604

PAGE _____

REV.

DATE_

1/23/80

0

42-60 REV. 2/77



.

٠

• • • • • • • به د به در ه

	•
2.	Isolation amplifiers (Foxboro 66BR-OH) from ref. J accuracy \pm 0.5%, repeatability = 0.1% Total error = \pm 0.6% \pm 0.6% (2500-1700) = \pm <u>4.8 psi</u>
3.	Current to voltage converter (Foxboro Spec. 200 2AI-I2V) from ref. b accuracy = ± 0.25% repeatability < 0.1% Total error = ± .35% = ± .35% (2500-1700) = ± <u>2.8 psi</u>
4.	Therefore the total normal error present at the input of the function generator is:
•	$= \sqrt{(14.7)^2 + (4.8)^2 + (2.8)^2} = \pm 15.72 \text{ psi}$
	Under accident conditions total error = 15.72 + 68 = ± <u>83.72</u> psi
Thi tra the thi 250 pro ing	s error in the pressure signal must now be nsformed into equivalent error present at output of the function generator. To do s, the steam tables between 1700 psig and 0 psig must be modeled. Using the "Curvfit" gram and steam table data (ref. H) the follow- polynomial was developed:
^T sa x P	$T = 1.4172591E-4 + .96584976 \times P-6.1328799E-4$ $^{2} + 1.8916803E-7 \times P^{3}-2.2256878E-11 \times P^{4}$
m	aximum error = + .0291% in modeling the steam tables
The to tur sig	first derivative of this equation may be used compute the error in the saturation tempera- e as a function of the error in the pressure nal, hence:
Δ ^T s	AT (max) = $\Delta P \times f'$ (Pm) ΔP = Pressure error Pm = 2000 psig (S.I. termination)
••	$\frac{dT_{SAT}}{dP} = .96584976 - 1.22657598E - 3xP + 5.670409E - 7xP^2 - 8.9027512E - 11xP^3$
hen	$\Delta T_{SAT} = 83.72 \text{xf}'(2000 + 14.7)$ = 5.87°F
Thi fun wer no	s would be the error in the output of the ction generator if: 1) the steam tables e modeled with no error, and 2) there were inaccuracies in the function generator.
	0

DESIGN ANALYSIS

EWR NO.

2604

. . . .

*

٠.

*

4 k

> 3 PAGE _____

of.

1/23/80

DATE____

REV._

42.60 REV. 2/77



. N. 1

Reference E, defines the function that was programmed into the function generator by Foxboro. To compare this curve with the steam tables, it is necessary to develop the polynomial for the steam tables adjusted for scaling, spanning and zeroing. This new function can then be used to compare the Foxboro calibration points with equivalent values from the steam tables. The results of these computations are shown on page 7.

The result of this computation shows that the maximum error is -2.24%, (note: all errors are negative and therefore conservative). This error also occurs at approximately 1710 psi and therefore will be used for conservatism.

error = -2.24% (669-614.3°F) = -1.23°F

The error due to inaccuracies of the function generator are:

accuracy \pm 0.5% repeatability = 0.25% total error = \pm 0.75% (669-614.3°F) = \pm .41°F

The errors present are therefore

- a) ± 5.87°F due to errors propagated by the pressure signal
- b) -1.23°F due to error in steam table modeling
- c) ±.41°F due to "electronic" drifting

These errors are each generated by a separate random process, therefore, the total error at the output of the function generator is:

 $\sqrt{(5.87)^2 + (1.23)^2 + (.41)^2} = \pm 6.01^{\circ}F$

5. Temperature transmitter (RTD) (Rosemount 176JA) Reference D lists the accuracy as

of.

<u>Temperature</u> °F	Accuracy \pm °F
32	.011
525	.055
625	.065

DESIGN ANALYSIS

0

1/23/80

2604 EWR NO._____ 4 PAGE _____

DATE____

REV ...



4 6 F F F .

+

ĸ

•

Repeatability :. 2°F or 1% of span whichever is greater

:. error = $.065 + 1.0\% \times (700-500) = \pm 2.065^{\circ}F$

Total error at input of summer from temperature measurement.and conversion =

$$\sqrt{(2.065)^2 + (.7)^2} = 2.18$$
 °F

7. The signal present at summer inputs are

1) $T_{HOT} \pm 2.18^{\circ}F$ 2) $T_{SAT} \pm 6.01^{\circ}F$

Since both these errors are generated by separate, random processes, the total error as a result of the summing function is

$$\sqrt{(6.01)^2 + (2.18)^2} = \pm 6.39^{\circ}F$$

8. The error introduced by the summer itself. is: accuracy ± 0.5%, repeatability < .25% (Ref. B)</p>

error = \pm .75% (100°F) = \pm <u>.75°F</u>

10. The error from the indicator (\underline{W} V252) is accuracy \pm 1.5%, readability \pm 1/2 of division

 $error = 1.5\% (100-0^{\circ}F) = \pm 1.5^{\circ}F (accuracy)$

error = $\pm 1/2$ division x $\frac{2^{\circ}F}{\text{division}} = \pm 1^{\circ}F$

11. The total process error is therefore the square root of the sum of the squares of the errors computed in sections 7,8,9, and 10 above

oſ

Total system error =

$$\sqrt{(6.39)^2 + (.75)^2 + (1.5)^2 + (1.0)^2} = \pm \underline{6.71^{\circ}F}$$

REV	_	_
	٠	_

0

1/23/80

DESIGN ANALYSIS

EWR NO. _____

5 PAGE _____

DATE____



• •

.

÷

Ç

Conclusion

ية ر

5 .



PAGE .

of.

2604

EWR NO. _

1/23/80

DATE_



к.,

•

	• • •			-			
		Ŷ	FNA(X)	ENA(X)-Y	Z FRROR		
	0	5.71	5.584865	125135	-2.2406092		
	1,25	6,11	5,9911195	11888052	-1,984279	······································	
	2.5	6.48	6.3713626	10863742	-1.7050892	<u></u>	1
	3.75	6.84	6.7333361	-,1066639	-1,5841167		
	5	7,19	7.0821079	-0.00202415	<u>-1.5234468</u>	-1.744770	
t	0+20 7.5	7,32	7.7469477	-9.3052297E	-02	-11340727	
	-1,201147	9	///////////////////////////////////////			·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	8.75	8.15	8,0597818	-9.0218245E	-02		
	-1.119363	4					
_	10	8,45	8.352946	09705404	-1.1619139	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	0	0	5,584865	5.584865	100		
	END AT 01	20					<u> </u>
	* LIST						
	0010 DIM	A(50)				н м. Р	
	0020 DEF 1	FNA(X)=5,58486	5 +.33800739*X01 :	1585362*X^2+•	001002896*X^3-	000045635274	
	*X^4				1		
	0030 UIM 1	B(20);C(20);D() T=1	20)		· · · · ·		
_	0033 LET .	1-1 T "TYPE IN Y.Y	PATRS 0.0 TO	END.			
	0045 INPU	T A(I),B(I)			Ι,		
	0050 IF B	(I)=0 THEN GOT	0100				
	0060 LET	I=I+1					
	<u>0070 GOTO</u>	0045			•		
	0110 FRIN	1 * X * 7*1 * K=1 TO T	") " FRA(X) ") " FRA(X)	-1-1-7-% ERRUR	- 4	•	
	0115 LE	T Y=FNA(A(K))					<u></u>
	0117 PR	INT A(K), B(K),	(,Y-B(K),((Y-B(K)))/Y)*100			
	0120 NEXT	К	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u>,</u>			
	* SAVE*CO	MPARE					
	* B						
		<u></u>					
	NOTE	6 XEY VA	lues from	Reference	e E		
			N	ς ν	1		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FNA (7)	Is Value	TOF I	computed_	<u>using</u> steam	•
	7	t	table po	lynomia	n poroxim	ration .	
	1			0	FFICK		
1							
			84			Pi	
		I					
				i			
	,		<u> </u>				
						·	
	•	1	,		1		
					<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
			<u></u>				
	Deale	Nalicia	Ð.	7		Poulo	·
	pesign	May Sis	FQ	0			
	FWR 20	204		-		1/23/80	
		₩		ţ			
	······································						
			t .			i I	
			•		3	1	

×

e See south to see so

۰ ۰