CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #77) Revision 0

• TS Table 1-1a, PWR Fuel Specifications for Fuel to be Stored in the Standardized NUHOMS®-24P DSC

- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Table 1-1a, PWR Fuel Specifications for Fuel to be Stored in the Standardized NUHOMS®-24P DSC
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	res	No
Appendix A - Inspector Evaluations	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
Appendix B. Technical Specifications	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1	The following items in Table 1-1a are required per Criterion A1 and shall be retained: • Fuel (type of spent fuel) • Number of assemblies per DSC (condition of spent fuel) • Maximum planar average initial fuel enrichment • Assembly average burnup • Decay heat (maximum heat designed to be dissipated)
		A2	The following items in Table 1-1a are required per Criterion A2 and shall be retained: • Neutron fuel source (shielding function) • Gamma source (shielding function) • BPRA Cooling time – Minimum (shielding function)
		А3	No
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?		Yes (for A1 and A2 items)

	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
Evaluation Summar		The A1 and A2 items to be maintained are summarized above. The fuel qualification tables will be deleted from the Technical Specifications, although the minimum fuel assembly cooling time will be retained as a global parameter. The fuel qualification tables will be placed in the UFSAR to maintain the history of the analysis method and to indicate the burnup and enrichment combinations considered to determine the bounding source term, but the cooling times will not be treated as a requirement. An exhaustive fuel qualification is performed to determine bounding source terms as documented in the UFSAR. Fuel assembly decay heat is determined by the Licensee, and HSM dose rates are verified by a one-time measurement as a part of the TS ITE. The following characteristics will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the UFSAR: • Fuel cladding • Maximum assembly and BPRA length • Nominal Cross-Sectional Envelope
		 Maximum assembly and BPRA weight If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the maximum assembly weight or length limits or cross-sectional envelope, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #78) Revision 0

• TS Table 1-1b, BWR Fuel Specifications for Fuel to be Stored in the Standardized NUHOMS®-52B DSC

- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Table 1-1b, BWR Fuel Specifications for Fuel to be Stored in the Standardized NUHOMS®-52B DSC
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	res	No
Appendix A - Inspector Evaluations	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
Appendix B. Technical Specifications	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1	The following items in Table 1-1b are required per Criterion A1 and shall be retained: • Fuel (type of spent fuel) • Number of assemblies per DSC (condition of spent fuel) • Maximum lattice average initial fuel enrichment • Assembly average burnup • Decay heat (maximum heat designed to be dissipated)
		A2	The following items in Table 1-1b are required per Criterion A2 and shall be retained: • Neutron source (shielding function) • Gamma source (shielding function)
		А3	No
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?		Yes (for A1 and A2 items)

	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
Evaluation Summar		The A1 and A2 items to be maintained are summarized above. The fuel qualification table (Table 1-2b) will be deleted from the Technical Specifications, although the minimum fuel assembly cooling time will be retained as a global parameter. The fuel qualification table will be placed in the UFSAR to maintain the history of the analysis method and to indicate the burnup and enrichment combinations considered to determine the bounding source term, but the cooling times will not be treated as a requirement. An exhaustive fuel qualification is performed to determine bounding source terms as documented in the UFSAR. Fuel assembly decay heat is determined by the Licensee, and HSM dose rates are verified by a one-time measurement as a part of the TS ITE. The following characteristics will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the UFSAR: • Fuel cladding • Maximum assembly length • Nominal Cross-Sectional Envelope • Maximum assembly weight
		If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the maximum assembly weight or length limits or cross-sectional envelope, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #79) Revision 0

- TS Table 1-1c, BWR Fuel Specification for Fuel to be Stored in the Standardized NUHOMS®-61BT DSC
- TS Table 1-1j, BWR Fuel Specification for Damaged Fuel to be Stored in the Standardized NUHOMS®-61BT DSC
- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Table 1-1c and Table 1-1j, BWR Fuel Specifications for Fuel (Intact and Damaged) to be Stored in the Standardized NUHOMS ® -61BT DSC
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	res	No
Appendix A - Inspector	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
Appendix B. Technical Specifications	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1 A2	The following items in Table 1-1c and Table 1-1j are required per Criterion A1 and shall be retained: • Fuel design (type of spent fuel) • Fuel damage (condition of the spent fuel) • Channels (type of spent fuel) • Maximum burnup by group • Minimum cooling time by group • Maximum lattice average initial enrichment • Minimum initial assembly average enrichment • Maximum decay heat The following item in Table 1-1c is required per Criterion A2 and shall be retained: • Minimum B-10 content in poison plates (criticality function)
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?		Yes (for A1 and A2 items)

	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
		The A1 and A2 items to be maintained are summarized above. The fuel qualification tables will be deleted from the Technical
Evaluation Summar	Y	Specifications, although the minimum fuel assembly cooling time will be retained as a global parameter, which will appear in the respective fuel specification table. The fuel qualification tables will be placed in the UFSAR to maintain the history of the analysis method and indicate the burnup and enrichment combinations considered to determine the bounding source term, but the cooling times will not be treated as a requirement. An exhaustive fuel qualification is performed to determine bounding source terms as documented in the UFSAR. Fuel assembly decay heat is determined by the Licensee, and HSM dose rates are verified by a one-time measurement as a part of the TS ITE.
		Details and instructions to Licensees for performing Fuel Qualification for burnup/enrichment combinations not considered in the UFSAR will be included in the BASES. The notes associated with the FQTs will be included in the instructions to Licensees, as needed.
		The following characteristics will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the UFSAR:
		 Fuel cladding Maximum assembly length Maximum assembly width (excluding channels) Maximum assembly weight Maximum initial uranium content
		If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the maximum uranium content or assembly weight limits, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #80) Revision 0

TS Table 1-1d, BWR Fuel Assembly Design Characteristics for the NUHOMS -61BT DSC

- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Table 1-1d, BWR Fuel Assembly Design Characteristics for the NUHOMS -61BT DSC
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	ires	No
Appendix A - Inspective Evaluations	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
	Section 2	A1	No
	Approved Contents	A2	No
Appendix B.	(Selection Criteria)	А3	No
Technical Specifications	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**:	FNARY		No
Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR? A Significant reduction in		No
	the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?		No

	Table 1-1d will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the SAR:
Evaluation Summary	 GE Designations (e.g., GE1, GE2, GE3) Maximum unirradiated length Nominal width (excluding channels) Fissile material type Number of fuel rods Number of water holes
	If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the conditions listed above, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #81) Revision 0

• TS Table 1-1e, PWR Fuel Specifications for Fuel to be Stored in the NUHOMS®-32PT DSC

- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Table 1-1e, PWR Fuel Specifications for Fuel to be Stored in the NUHOMS®-32PT DSC
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	ires	No
Appendix A - Inspo Evaluations	ections, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
Appendix B. Technical Specifications	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1	The following items in Table 1-1e are required per Criterion A1 and shall be retained: • Fuel assembly class (type of spent fuel) • Reconstituted fuel assemblies (condition of the spent fuel) • Fuel damage (condition of the spent fuel) • Failed fuel (condition of spent fuel) • Control Components (type of spent fuel) • Number of intact assemblies (condition of spent fuel) • Number and location of damaged assemblies (condition of spent fuel) • Number and location of failed assemblies (condition of spent fuel) • Maximum planar average enrichment • Allowable heat load zoning configurations (maximum heat designed to be dissipated)
		A2	No
		А3	No
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions	L1	No
	for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance	L2	No
	Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Control	s	No

		3
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?	Yes (for A1 items)
	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?	Yes (for A1 items)
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?	Yes (for A1 items)
		The A1 items to be maintained are summarized above.
Evaluation Summary		The fuel qualification tables will be deleted from the Technical Specifications, although the minimum fuel assembly cooling time will be retained as a global parameter, which will appear in the respective fuel specification table. The fuel qualification tables will be placed in the UFSAR to maintain the history of the analysis method and indicate the burnup and enrichment combinations considered to determine the bounding source term, but the cooling times will not be treated as a requirement. An exhaustive fuel qualification is performed to determine bounding source terms as documented in the UFSAR. Fuel assembly decay heat is determined by the Licensee, and HSM dose rates are verified by a one-time measurement as a part of the TS ITE.
		Details and instructions to Licensees for performing Fuel Qualification for burnup/enrichment combinations not considered in the UFSAR will be included in the BASES. The notes associated with the FQTs will be included in the instructions to Licensees, as needed.
		The following characteristics will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the UFSAR:
		Maximum assembly plus CC weight
		If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the maximum assembly weight limits, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #82) Revision 0

- TS Table 1-1f, PWR Fuel Assembly Design Characteristics for the NUHOMS®-32PT DSC
- TS Table 1-1m, PWR Fuel Assembly Design Characteristics for the NUHOMS®-24PTH DSC
- TS Table 1-1bb, PWR Fuel Assembly Design Characteristics for the NUHOMS®-32PTH1 DSC
- TS Table 1-1nn, PWR Fuel Assembly Design Characteristics for the 37PTH DSC

^{**} In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Tables for PWR Fuel Assembly Design Characteristics for NUHOMS® DSCs
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	ires	No
Appendix A - Inspectors	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
	Section 2	A1	No
	Approved Contents	A2	No
Appendix B.	(Selection Criteria)	А3	No
Technical Specifications	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?		1	No
Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?		No
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?		No

^{*} All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.

	The information in these tables will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the UFSAR:
	32PT, 24PTH, and 32PTH1:
	Maximum unirradiated assembly + CC length
Evaluation Summary	Fissile material type
	Maximum uranium content (MTU/assembly)
	Maximum number of fuel rods
	37PTH:
	Maximum unirradiated length
	Maximum number of fuel rods
	If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the conditions in the
	applicable table, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #83) Revision 0 (no NRC questions – no changes made)

- TS Table 1-1g, Table 1-1g1, Table 1-1g2 and Table 1-1g3, Maximum Planar Average Enrichment, Number of PRAs and Minimum Soluble Boron Loading for the NUHOMS®-32PT DSC
- TS Table 1-1p, Table 1-1q and Table 1-1q1, Maximum Planar Average Initial Enrichment v/s Neutron Poison Requirements for the NUHOMS®-24PTH DSC
- TS Table 1-1v through 1-1x, Maximum Fuel Assembly Lattice Average Enrichment v/s Minimum B-10 Requirements for the 61BTH DSC
- <u>TS Table 1-1cc, Table 1-1dd, and Table 1-1dd1, Maximum Planar Average Initial Enrichment v/s Neutron</u> Poison Requirements for 32PTH1 DSC
- TS Table 1-1jj and 1-1kk, BWR Fuel Assembly Lattice Average Initial Enrichment vs Minimum B-10 Requirements for the NUHOMS -69BTH DSC Poison Plates (Intact and Damaged Fuel)
- TS Table 1-100 and Table 1-1pp, Maximum Planar Average Enrichment for the 37PTH DSC
- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

conservative direction"	<u> </u>		,
Requirement			 TS Tables for Maximum Planar Average Enrichment, Number of PRAs and Minimum Soluble Boron Loading, or Maximum Planar Average Initial Enrichment v/s Neutron Poison Requirements, or Maximum Fuel Assembly Lattice Average Enrichment v/s Minimum B-10 Requirements, or Lattice Average Initial Enrichment vs Minimum B-10 Requirements, or Maximum Planar Average Enrichment
CoC Pody	CoC Body Certified Design Section I. Technology Section II. Design Features		No
•			No
Appendix A - Inspector Evaluations	Appendix A - Inspections, Tests, and Evaluations		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
	Section 2	A1	Yes
Appendix B.	Approved Contents	A2	No
Technical	(Selection Criteria)	А3	No
Specifications	Section 3 Limiting Conditions	L1	No
	for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance	L2	No
	Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L3	No

	Section 4 Administrative Controls	No
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?	Yes
	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?	Yes
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?	Yes
Evaluation Summary		All of these fuel assembly parameters are required per Criterion A1 and shall be retained.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #84) Revision 0 (no NRC questions – no changes made)

- TS Table 1-1h, Specification for the NUHOMS®-32PT Poison Plates and PRAs
- TS Table 1-1k, B10 Specification for the NUHOMS®-61BT Poison Plates
- TS Table 1-1r, B10 Specification for the NUHOMS®-24PTH Poison Plates
- TS Table 1-1ff, B10 Specification for the NUHOMS®-32PTH1 Poison Plates
- TS Table 1-1rr, B10 Specification for the NUHOMS®-37PTH Poison Plates
- TS Table 1-1ss, B-10 Specification for the NUHOMS®-37PTH PRAs
- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Tables for Specification for the NUHOMS® DSC Poison Plates and/or PRAs
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	res	No
Appendix A - Inspector Evaluations	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
		A1	No
Appendix B. Technical	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A2	Yes The B-10 areal density and/or the number of PRAs in each DSC are key parameters required to provide reasonable assurance that the cask safety function of sub-criticality will be maintained.
Specifications		А3	No
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?		No

	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?	No
		Yes
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?	The margin of safety would be reduced or eliminated if the B-10 areal density were reduced lower than the minimum requirements used in the criticality analyses.
Evaluation Summar	у	The B-10 areal density and the number of PRAs in each DSC are required per Criterion A2 and shall be retained.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #85) Revision 0

• TS Table 1-1i, PWR Fuel Specifications for Fuel to be Stored in the Standardized NUHOMS®-24PHB DSC

- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

		TS Table 1-1i, PWR Fuel Specifications for Fuel to be Stored in the Standardized NUHOMS®-24PHB DSC
Section I. Technology		No
Section II. Design Featu	ıres	No
ections, Tests, and		No
Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1 A2	The following items in Table 1-1i are required per Criterion A1 and shall be retained: Fuel class (type of spent fuel) Maximum Number of Irradiated Stainless Steel Rods in Reconstituted Assemblies per DSC (type and condition of the spent fuel) Maximum Number of Irradiated Stainless Steel Rods per Reconstituted Assembly (type and condition of the spent fuel) Maximum Number of Reconstituted Assemblies per DSC with Low Enriched Uranium Oxide Rods (type and condition of the spent fuel) Fuel damage (condition of the spent fuel) Control Components (type of spent fuel) Number of intact assemblies (condition of spent fuel) Number and location of damaged assemblies (condition of spent fuel) Maximum planar average enrichment Allowable heat load zoning configurations (maximum heat designed to be dissipated) Total Decay heat per DSC (maximum heat designed to be dissipated) Decay heat Limits by zone (maximum heat designed to be dissipated) The following items in Table 1-1i are required per Criterion A2 and shall be retained: Minimum boron loading (criticality function) Minimum Cooling Time for CCs (shielding function)
	А3	No
	Section II. Design Featurections, Tests, and Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application Section 2 Approved Contents	Section I. Technology Section II. Design Features ections, Tests, and Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application A1 Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)

	Section 3	L1	No
	Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance	L2	No
Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L3	No	
	Section 4 Administrative Controls	<u> </u>	No
A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?			Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?		Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	A Significant reduction the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation	r	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
ISFSI or cask operation?			The A1and A2 items to be maintained are summarized above. The fuel qualification tables will be deleted from the Technical Specifications, although the minimum fuel assembly cooling time will be retained as a global parameter, which will appear in the respective fuel specification table. The fuel qualification tables will be placed in the UFSAR to maintain the history of the analysis method and indicate the burnup and enrichment combinations considered to determine the bounding source term, but the cooling times will not be treated as a requirement. An exhaustive fuel qualification is performed to determine bounding source terms as documented in the UFSAR. Fuel assembly decay heat is determined by the Licensee, and HSM dose rates are verified by a one-time measurement as a part of the TS ITE. Details and instructions to Licensees for performing Fuel Qualification for burnup/enrichment combinations not considered in the UFSAR will be included in the BASES. The notes associated with the FQTs will be included in the instructions to Licensees, as needed. The following characteristics will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the UFSAR: Maximum Assembly Length (with and without CCs) Fuel cladding Nominal Cross-Sectional Envelope Maximum assembly plus CC weight Maximum Initial Uranium Loading per assembly
			If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the maximum assembly weight limits, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #86) Revision 0

• TS Table 1-1I, PWR Fuel Specifications for the Fuel to be Stored in the NUHOMS®-24PTH DSC

- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Table 1-1I, PWR Fuel Specifications for the Fuel to be Stored in the NUHOMS®-24PTH DSC
CoC Body Certified Design	Section I. Technology Section II. Design Featu	res	No No
Appendix A - Inspe Evaluations	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
Appendix B. Technical Specifications	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1	The following items in Table 1-11 are required per Criterion A1 and shall be retained: Fuel class (type of spent fuel) Fuel damage (condition of the spent fuel) Failed fuel (condition of the spent fuel) Partial Length Shield Assembles – maximum burnup and decay heat and minimum cooling time (type of spent fuel) Maximum Number of Irradiated Stainless Steel Rods in Reconstituted Assemblies per DSC (type and condition of the spent fuel) Maximum Number of Irradiated Stainless Steel Rods per Reconstituted Assembly (type and condition of the spent fuel) Maximum Number of Reconstituted Assemblies per DSC with Unlimited Number of Low Enriched UO2 Rods and/or Unirradiated Stainless Steel Rods and/or Zr Rods or Zr Pellets (type and condition of the spent fuel) Control Components (type of spent fuel) Number of intact assemblies (condition of spent fuel) Number and location of damaged assemblies (condition of spent fuel) Number and location of failed assemblies (condition of spent fuel) Allowable heat load zoning configurations (maximum heat designed to be dissipated) Maximum planar average enrichment Decay heat (maximum heat designed to be dissipated)

	Lvaldation i	U11113	Tor Coc 1004 13 Tables and Figures
		A2	The following items in Table 1-11 are required per Criterion A2 and shall be retained:
			Minimum boron loading (criticality function)
		А3	No
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions	L1	No
	for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance	L2	No
	Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?		Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?		Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?		Yes (for A1 and A2 items)

The A1 and A2 items to be maintained are summarized above.

The fuel qualification tables will be deleted from the Technical Specifications, although the minimum fuel assembly cooling time will be retained as a global parameter, which will appear in the respective fuel specification table. The fuel qualification tables will be placed in the UFSAR to maintain the history of the analysis method and indicate the burnup and enrichment combinations considered to determine the bounding source term, but the cooling times will not be treated as a requirement. An exhaustive fuel qualification is performed to determine bounding source terms as documented in the UFSAR. Fuel assembly decay heat is determined by the Licensee, and HSM dose rates are verified by a one-time measurement as a part of the TS ITE.

Details and instructions to Licensees for performing Fuel Qualification for burnup/enrichment combinations not considered in the UFSAR will be included in the BASES. The notes associated with the FQTs will be included in the instructions to Licensees, as needed.

The following characteristics will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the UFSAR:

- Nominal Assembly Width for Intact and Damaged Fuel Only
- Maximum assembly plus CC weight

If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the nominal assembly width or maximum assembly +CC weight limits, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

Evaluation Summary

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #87) Revision 0

- <u>TS Table 1-1n, Thermal and Radiological Characteristics for Control Components Stored in the NUHOMS®-</u> 24PTH DSC and 24PHB DSCs
- TS Table 1-1ee, Thermal and Radiological Characteristics for Control Components Stored in the NUHOMS®-32PT and NUHOMS®-32PTH1 DSCs
- TS Table 1-1qq, Characteristics of Control Components for the 37PTH DSC
- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Tables for Thermal and Radiological Characteristics for Control Components Stored in the NUHOMS® DSCs
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	res	No
Appendix A - Inspector Evaluations	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
		A1	No
Appendix B.	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A2	Yes The following item is required per Criterion A2 and shall be retained: • Maximum gamma source (shielding function)
Technical Specifications		А3	No
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	CONCOMINANCOS OT AN		Yes (for A2 item)

	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?	Yes (for A2 item)
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?	Yes (for A2 item)
Evaluation Summar	у	The control component maximum gamma source is required per Criterion A2 (shielding function) and shall be retained.
		The control component decay heat is low compared to the fuel and shall be deleted from each table. This approach is also consistent with deleting the fuel qualification tables from the technical specifications.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #88) Revision 0

• TS Table 1-1t, BWR Fuel Specification for the 61BTH DSC

- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Table 1-1t, BWR Fuel Specification for the 61BTH DSC
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	ires	No
Appendix A - Inspo Evaluations	ections, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
Appendix B. Technical Specifications	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1 A2 A3	The following items in Table 1-1t are required per Criterion A1 and shall be retained: Fuel class (type of spent fuel) Fuel damage (condition of the spent fuel) Failed fuel (condition of the spent fuel) Requirements for reconstituted fuel (type of spent fuel) Number of intact assemblies (condition of spent fuel) Number and location of damaged and failed fuel assemblies (condition of spent fuel) Channels (type of spent fuel) Heat load zoning requirements (maximum heat designed to be dissipated) Maximum lattice average initial enrichment Maximum pellet enrichment Decay heat per canister (maximum heat designed to be dissipated) The following item in Table 1-1t is required per Criterion A2 and shall be retained: Minimum B-10 content in poison plates (criticality function)
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions	L1	No
	for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance	L2	No
	Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Control	s	No

Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
		The A1 and A2 items to be maintained are summarized above.
Evaluation Summary		The fuel qualification tables will be deleted from the Technical Specifications, although the minimum fuel assembly cooling time will be retained as a global parameter, which will appear in the respective fuel specification table. The fuel qualification tables will be placed in the UFSAR to maintain the history of the analysis method and indicate the burnup and enrichment combinations considered to determine the bounding source term, but the cooling times will not be treated as a requirement. An exhaustive fuel qualification is performed to determine bounding source terms as documented in the UFSAR. Fuel assembly decay heat is determined by the Licensee, and HSM dose rates are verified by a one-time measurement as a part of the TS ITE.
		Details and instructions to Licensees for performing Fuel Qualification for burnup/enrichment combinations not considered in the UFSAR will be included in the BASES. The notes associated with the FQTs will be included in the instructions to Licensees, as needed.
		The following characteristics will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the UFSAR:
		 Maximum uranium content Maximum assembly weight
		If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the maximum uranium content or assembly weight limits, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #89) Revision 0

TS Table 1-1u, BWR Fuel Assembly Design Characteristics for the 61BTH DSC

^{**} In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Table 1-1u, BWR Fuel Assembly Design Characteristics for the 61BTH DSC
CoC Body Section I. Technology			No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	ires	No
Appendix A - Inspec	ctions, Tests, and		No
Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application			No
	Section 2	A1	No
	Approved Contents	A2	No
Appendix B.	(Selection Criteria)	А3	No
Technical Specifications	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?		No
	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR? A Significant reduction in		No
	the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?		No

^{*} All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.

	Table 1-1u will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the SAR:
Evaluation Summary	 Detailed Fuel Design (e.g., GE1, GE2, GE3) Maximum unirradiated length Fissile material type Maximum number of fuel rods
	If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the conditions listed above, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #90) Revision 0

• TS Table 1-1aa, PWR Fuel Specification for the Fuel to be Stored in the NUHOMS®-32PTH1 DSC

- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Table 1-1aa, PWR Fuel Specification for the Fuel to be Stored in the NUHOMS®-32PTH1 DSC
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	res	No
Appendix A - Inspe Evaluations	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
Appendix B. Technical Specifications	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1	The following items in Table 1-1aa are required per Criterion A1 and shall be retained: • Fuel class (type of spent fuel) • Reconstituted fuel assemblies (condition of the spent fuel) • Fuel damage (condition of the spent fuel) • Failed fuel (condition of spent fuel) • Control Components (type of spent fuel) • Number of intact assemblies (condition of spent fuel) • Number and location of damaged assemblies (condition of spent fuel) • Number and location of failed assemblies (condition of spent fuel) • Maximum planar average enrichment • Allowable heat load zoning configurations (maximum heat designed to be dissipated) • Decay heat per DSC (maximum heat designed to be dissipated)
		A2	The following items in Table 1-1aa are required per Criterion A2 and shall be retained: • Minimum boron loading (criticality function)
		А3	No
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance	L1	No
		L2	No
	Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Control	s	No

	T	
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
		The A1and A2 items to be maintained are summarized above.
Evaluation Summary		The fuel qualification tables will be deleted from the Technical Specifications, although the minimum fuel assembly cooling time will be retained as a global parameter, which will appear in the respective fuel specification table. The fuel qualification tables will be placed in the UFSAR to maintain the history of the analysis method and indicate the burnup and enrichment combinations considered to determine the bounding source term, but the cooling times will not be treated as a requirement. An exhaustive fuel qualification is performed to determine bounding source terms as documented in the UFSAR. Fuel assembly decay heat is determined by the Licensee, and HSM dose rates are verified by a one-time measurement as a part of the TS ITE.
		Details and instructions to Licensees for performing Fuel Qualification for burnup/enrichment combinations not considered in the UFSAR will be included in the BASES. The notes associated with the FQTs will be included in the instructions to Licensees, as needed.
		The following characteristics will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the UFSAR:
		Maximum assembly plus CC weight
		If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the maximum assembly weight limits, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #91) Revision 0

TS Table 1-1gg, BWR Fuel Specification for the Fuel to be Stored in the NUHOMS -69BTH DSC

- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Table 1-1gg, BWR Fuel Specification for the Fuel to be Stored in the NUHOMS -69BTH DSC
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	ires	No
Appendix A - Inspe Evaluations	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
Appendix B. Technical Specifications	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1	The following items in Table 1-1gg are required per Criterion A1 and shall be retained: Fuel class (type of spent fuel) Fuel damage (condition of the spent fuel) Maximum Number of irradiated stainless steel rods in reconstituted assemblies per DSC (condition of spent fuel) Maximum Number of irradiated stainless steel rods per reconstituted fuel assembly (condition of spent fuel) Maximum Number of reconstituted assemblies per DSC with unlimited number of low enriched UO2 rods or Zr rods or Zr pellets or unirradiated stainless steel rods (condition of spent fuel) Number of intact assemblies (condition of spent fuel) Number and location of damaged assemblies (condition of spent fuel) Channels (type of spent fuel) Allowable heat load zoning configurations /decay heat limits (maximum heat designed to be dissipated) Maximum lattice average initial enrichment Maximum pellet enrichment Decay heat per DSC (maximum heat designed to be dissipated) The following item in Table 1-1gg is required per Criterion A2 and shall
	A	A2	be retained: • Minimum B-10 concentration in poison plates (criticality function)
		А3	No
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions	L1	No
	for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance	L2	No

	Lvaldation i oi	ms for CoC 1004 15 Tables and Figures
	Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	3 No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls	No
Risk Insight**:	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	The possibility of a new of different kind of accident being created compared those previously evaluate in the FSAR?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
Evaluation Summary		The A1 and A2 items to be maintained are summarized above. The fuel qualification tables will be deleted from the Technical Specifications, although the minimum fuel assembly cooling time will be retained as a global parameter, which will appear in the respective fuel specification table. The fuel qualification tables will be placed in the UFSAR to maintain the history of the analysis method and indicate the burnup and enrichment combinations considered to determine the bounding source term, but the cooling times will not be treated as a requirement. An exhaustive fuel qualification is performed to determine bounding source terms as documented in the UFSAR. Fuel assembly decay heat is determined by the Licensee, and HSM dose rates are verified by a one-time measurement as a part of the TS ITE. Details and instructions to Licensees for performing Fuel Qualification for burnup/enrichment combinations not considered in the UFSAR will be included in the BASES. The notes associated with the FQTs will be included in the instructions to Licensees, as needed. The following characteristics will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the UFSAR: • Fissile material type • Maximum uranium content • Maximum assembly weight (including channels) If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the maximum uranium
		If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the maximum uranium content or assembly weight limits, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #92) Revision 0

TS Table 1-1ii, BWR Fuel Assembly Design Characteristics for the NUHOMS -69BTH DSC

- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Table 1-1ii, BWR Fuel Assembly Design Characteristics for the NUHOMS -69BTH DSC
CoC Body Section I. Technology			No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	ires	No
Appendix A - Inspective Evaluations	ctions, Tests, and		No
Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application			No
	Section 2	A1	No
	Approved Contents	A2	No
Appendix B.	(Selection Criteria)	А3	No
Technical Specifications	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?		No
	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR? A Significant reduction in		No
	the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?		No

	Table 1-1ii will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the SAR:
Evaluation Summary	 Initial Design or Reload Fuel Designation (e.g., GE1, GE2, GE3) Maximum unirradiated length
	If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the conditions listed above, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #93) Revision 0

TS Table 1-1II, PWR Fuel Specification for the 37PTH DSC

- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

conservative direction"?			TS Table 1-1II, PWR Fuel Specification for the 37PTH DSC
Requirement			13 142.6 2 2.1, 1 111 141 141 141 141 141 141 141 141
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	ires	No
Appendix A - Inspe Evaluations	ections, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
Appendix B. Technical Specifications	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1 A2	The following items in Table 1-1ll are required per Criterion A1 and shall be retained: • Fuel class (type of spent fuel) • Fuel damage (condition of the spent fuel) • Requirements for reconstituted fuel (type of spent fuel) • Number of intact assemblies (condition of spent fuel) • Number and location of damaged assemblies (condition of spent fuel) • Heat load zoning requirements (maximum heat designed to be dissipated) • Maximum planar average enrichment • Decay heat per canister (maximum heat designed to be dissipated) The following items in Table 1-1ll are required per Criterion A2 and shall be retained: • Control component source (shielding function) • Minimum boron loading (criticality function)
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions	L1	No
	for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance	L2	No
	Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Control	s	No

		101 000 1004 10 100100 0110 1160100
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?	Yes (for A1 and A2 items)
	-	The A1 and A2 items to be maintained are summarized above.
Evaluation Summary		The fuel qualification tables will be deleted from the Technical Specifications, although the minimum fuel assembly cooling time will be retained as a global parameter, which will appear in the respective fuel specification table. The fuel qualification tables will be placed in the UFSAR to maintain the history of the analysis method and indicate the burnup and enrichment combinations considered to determine the bounding source term, but the cooling times will not be treated as a requirement. An exhaustive fuel qualification is performed to determine bounding source terms as documented in the UFSAR. Fuel assembly decay heat is determined by the Licensee, and HSM dose rates are verified by a one-time measurement as a part of the TS ITE. Details and instructions to Licensees for performing Fuel Qualification for burnup/enrichment combinations not considered in the UFSAR will be included in the BASES. The notes associated with the FQTs will be included in the instructions to Licensees, as needed.
		The following characteristics will be moved from the Technical Specifications to the UFSAR:
		 Fissile material type Maximum uranium content
		Maximum assembly weight
		If the Licensee has fuel that does not meet the maximum uranium content or assembly weight limits, acceptability will be determined per 10 CFR 72.48.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #94) Revision 0

- TS Table 1-2a and Table 1-2c, PWR Fuel Qualification Tables for the Standardized NUHOMS -24P DSC
- TS Table 1-2b, BWR Fuel Qualification Table for the Standardized NUHOMS® -52B DSC
- TS Table 1-2n, Table 1-2o and Table 1-2p, PWR Fuel Qualification Tables for the NUHOMS®- 24PHB DSC
- TS Table 1-2q, BWR Fuel Qualification Table for NUHOMS® -61BT DSC
- TS Table 1-3a through 1-3p, PWR Fuel Qualification Tables
- TS Table 1-4a through Table 1-4i, Fuel Qualification Tables for the 61BTH DSC
- TS Table 1-6a and Table 1-6b, Fuel Qualification Tables for BWR FAs of a NUHOMS®-61BT DSC Contained in an OS197L TC
- TS Table 1-6c and Table 1-6d, Fuel Qualification Tables for FAs of a NUHOMS®-32PT DSC Contained in an OS197L TC
- TS Table 1-7a through Table 1-7m, BWR Fuel Qualification Tables for the NUHOMS -69BTH DSC
- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Fuel Qualification Tables for Standardized NUHOMS® DSCs
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	ires	No
Appendix A - Inspective Evaluations	tions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1	No
		A2	No
Appendix B.		А3	No
Technical Specifications	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?	1	No

	The possibility of a new or	
	different kind of accident	
	being created compared to	No
	those previously evaluated	
	in the FSAR?	
	A Significant reduction in	
	the margin of safety for	No
	ISFSI or cask operation?	
		The fuel qualification tables will be deleted from the Technical
		Specifications, although the minimum fuel assembly cooling time will
		be retained as a global parameter, which will appear in the respective
		fuel specification table. The fuel qualification tables will be placed in
		the UFSAR to maintain the history of the analysis method and indicate
		the burnup and enrichment combinations considered to determine the
Evaluation Summar	у	bounding source term, but the cooling times will not be treated as a
,		requirement. An exhaustive fuel qualification is performed to
		determine bounding source terms as documented in the UFSAR. Fuel
		assembly decay heat is determined by the Licensee, and HSM dose
		rates are verified by a one-time measurement as a part of the TS ITE.
		,
		Details and instructions to Licensees for performing Fuel Qualification
		for burnup/enrichment combinations not considered in the UFSAR will
		be included in the BASES. The notes associated with the FQTs will be
		included in the instructions to Licensees, as needed.
		ı

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #95) Revision 0

TS Figure 1-1, PWR Fuel Criticality Acceptance Curve for the 24P DSC

- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Figure 1-1, PWR Fuel Criticality Acceptance Curve for the 24P DSC
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	ires	No
Appendix A - Inspector	tions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application	1	No
		A1	No
Appendix B.	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A2	Yes The PWR Fuel Criticality Acceptance curve for the 24P DSC is an important figure that provides reasonable assurance that the cask safety function of sub-criticality will be maintained if the fuel initial enrichment versus assembly burnup is kept in the acceptable region.
Technical Specifications		А3	No
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**:	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask *: FSAR?		Yes The probability of a criticality event significantly increases if the initial enrichment versus fuel assembly burnup curve falls in the unacceptable region.
Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?		No
	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?		Yes The margin of safety would be reduced or eliminated if a fuel assembly loaded in the 24P DSC does not fall in the acceptable region of the initial enrichment versus burnup curve.

Evaluation Summary	The PWR Fuel Criticality Acceptance curve for the 24P DSC is an important figure that provides reasonable assurance that the cask
	safety function of sub-criticality will be maintained if the fuel initial enrichment versus assembly burnup is kept in the acceptable region. It
	is required per Criterion A2 and shall be retained.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #96) Revision 0

- TS Figure 1-2 through Figure 1-4a, Heat Load Zoning Configurations for the NUHOMS®-32PT DSC
- TS Figure 1-8 and Figure 1-9, Heat Load Zoning Configuration for Fuel Assemblies Stored in the NUHOMS®-24PHB DSC
- TS Figure 1-11 through Figure 1-15a, Heat Load Zoning Configurations for 24PTH DSCs
- TS Figure 1-17 through 1-24, Figure 1-25a, Figure 1-25b, Heat Load Zoning Configurations for the 61BTH DSC
- TS Figure 1-26 through Figure 1-28c, Heat Load Zoning Configurations for the NUHOMS®-32PTH1-S, 32POTH1-M and 32PTH1-L DSCs
- TS Figure 1-29, Heat Load Zone Configuration for the 61BT DSC Contained in an OS197L TC
- TS Figure 1-30, Heat Load Zone Configuration for the 32PT DSC Contained in an OS197L TC
- TS Figures 1-31 through 1-36, and Figure 1-38, Heat Load Zoning Configurations for the 69BTH DSCs
- TS Figure 1-39 and Figure 1-40, Heat Load Zoning Configurations for the 37PTH DSC

^{**} In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Figures with Heat Load Zoning Configurations for NUHOMS® DSCs
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	res	No
Appendix A - Inspec Evaluations	tions, Tests, and		No
Section 1 Definitions, Use a Application			No
	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1	Yes
		A2	No
Appendix B.		А3	No
Technical Specifications	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?		Yes

^{*} All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.

	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident	
	being created compared to	Yes
	those previously evaluated	
	in the FSAR?	
	A Significant reduction in	
	the margin of safety for	Yes
	ISFSI or cask operation?	
Evaluation Summary		The Heat Load Zone Configurations are required per Criterion A1 (maximum heat designed to be dissipated) and shall be retained.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #97) Revision 0

- TS Figure 1-4b, Location of Damaged and Failed Fuel Assemblies Inside the NUHOMS®-32PT DSC
- TS Figure 1-16, Location of Failed or Damaged Fuel Inside 24PTH DSC
- TS Figure 1-25, Location of Damaged and Failed Fuel Assemblies for the 61BTH DSC
- TS Figure 1-37, Location of Damaged Fuel Assemblies Inside the 69BTH DSC
- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

conservative direction :			
Requirement			TS Figures for Location of Damaged and/or Failed Fuel Assemblies Inside NUHOMS® DSCs
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	res	No
Appendix A - Inspectors	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
		A1	No
Appendix B. Technical	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A2	Yes The permitted locations of damaged and failed fuel assemblies inside DSCs is a key feature required to provide reasonable assurance that the cask safety functions of decay heat removal and shielding will be maintained.
Specifications		А3	No
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
Risk Insight**: Will removing this requirement from the CoC/TS result in	A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the cask FSAR?		Yes

different being creathose pre	bility of a new or kind of accident ated compared to viously evaluated	Yes
the margi	ant reduction in n of safety for ask operation?	Yes
Evaluation Summary		The permitted locations of damaged and failed fuel assemblies inside DSCs are required per Criterion A2 and shall be retained.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #98) Revision 0

- TS Figures 1-5, 1-6 and 1-7, Required PRA Locations for the NUHOMS®-32PT DSC Configurations
- TS Figure 1-41 and Figure 1-42, PRA Locations for the 37PTH DSC
- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Figures for Required PRA Locations for certain NUHOMS® DSCs
CoC Body	Section I. Technology		No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	ires	No
Appendix A - Inspector Evaluations	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
		A1	No
			Yes
Appendix B. Technical	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A2	The required PRA locations in DSCs is a key feature required to provide reasonable assurance that the cask safety function of sub-criticality will be maintained.
Specifications		А3	No
	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously Will removing this requirement from FSAR?		1	Yes
the CoC/TS result in	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?		Yes

ti	A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for SFSI or cask operation?	Yes
Evaluation Summary		The PRA locations are required per Criterion A2 (criticality function) and shall be retained.

CoC Condition/TS Identifier:

(Form #99) Revision 0

- <u>TS Figure 1-10, Soluble Boron Concentration vs. Fuel Initial U-235 Enrichment (Intact Fuel) for the NUHOMS® 24PHB System</u>
- TS Figure 1-10a, Soluble Boron Concentration vs. Fuel Initial U-235 Enrichment (Damaged Fuel) for the NUHOMS® 24PHB System
- * All LCOs also require an Applicability, Condition(s), Required Action(s), Completion Time(s), Surveillance Requirement(s), and Frequency(ies). Refer to NUREG-1745 for additional guidance.
- ** In performing the risk insight evaluation above, the evaluator should think about subsequent changes to a relocated CoC requirement. Specifically, ask the question "what is the likelihood and worst possible consequences of a future change to this requirement in the less-conservative direction"?

Requirement			TS Figure 1-10 and Figure 1-10a, Soluble Boron Concentration vs. Fuel Initial U-235 Enrichment for the NUHOMS® 24PHB System
CoC Body Section I. Technology			No
Certified Design	Section II. Design Featu	ires	No
Appendix A - Inspec	ctions, Tests, and		No
	Section 1 Definitions, Use and Application		No
	Section 2 Approved Contents (Selection Criteria)	A1	Yes Maximum Planar Average Initial Enrichment as defined in the embedded graph and table is one of the parameters defined in 10 CFR 72.236(a)
Appendix B.		A2	No
Technical		А3	No
Specifications	Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs)* and Surveillance Requirements (SRs) (Selection Criteria)	L1	No
		L2	No
		L3	No
	Section 4 Administrative Controls		No
A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously Will removing this requirement from FSAR?		1	Yes
the CoC/TS result in	The possibility of a new or different kind of accident being created compared to those previously evaluated in the FSAR?		Yes

A Significant reduction in the margin of safety for ISFSI or cask operation?	Yes
Evaluation Summary	The maximum planar average enrichment is required per Criterion A1 and shall be retained.