UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 26 TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-18

ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION

R. E. GINNA NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

DOCKET NO. 50-244

11

Introduction

SCLEAR REGUL

Our letter of August 11, 1976 (Reference 1) requested an analysis of the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) response to pressure transients that could occur during startup or shutdown and recommended the inclusion of design modifications determined to be necessary to preclude exceeding the limits specified in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G. By letter dated July 29, 1977, (Reference 9) Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation (RG&E) submitted a plant-specific analysis in support of the proposed reactor vessel overpressure protection system (OPS) for the R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant (Ginna), which supplemented other documentation previously submitted by RG&E (References 2-4, 6-8). The OPS has been designed to protect the primary system coolant pressure boundary from the effects of operating errors during cold shutdown when the primary system is solid, which could otherwise produce primary pressure excursions above allowable limits (References 6, 7, and 9). RG&E submitted the proposed Technical Specifications for the OPS by application dated October 11, 1978, (which was transmitted by letter dated October 18, 1978, Reference 12).

During the last few years, incidents identified as pressure transients have occurred in pressurized water reactors. The term "pressure transients," as used in this report, refers to events during which the temperature pressure limits of the reactor vessel, as shown in the Ginna Technical Specifications, are exceeded. All of these incidents occurred at relatively low temperature (less than 200°F) when the reactor vessel material toughness (resistance to brittle failure) is reduced.

The "Technical Report on Reactor Vessel Pressure Transients" in NUREG 0138 (Reference 10) summarizes the technical considerations relevant to this matter, discusses the safety concerns and existing safety margins of operating reactors, and describes the regulatory actions taken to resolve , this issue by reducing the likelihood of future pressure transient events at operating reactors.

Ľ

•

а**нд 3** Р

• • • • •

.

. ` /

1.0 Discussion

1.1 Vessel Characteristics

Reactor vessels are constructed of high quality steel made to rigid specifications, and fabricated and inspected in accordance with the time-proven rules of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. Steels used are particularly tough at reactor operating conditions. However, since reactor vessel steels are less tough and could possibly fail in a brittle manner if subjected to high pressures at low temperatures, power reactors have always operated with restrictions on the pressure allowed during startup and shutdown operations.

At operating temperatures, the pressure allowed by 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix G limits is in excess of the setpoint of currently installed pressurizer code safety valves. However, most operating PWRs, including Ginna, did not have pressure relief devices to prevent pressure transients during cold conditions from exceeding the Appendix G limit.

1.2 Regulatory Actions

By letter dated August 11, 1976, (Reference 1) the NRC requested that RG&E begin to design and install systems to mitigate the consequences of pressure transients at low temperatures. It was also requested that operating procedures be examined and administrative changes be made to guard against initiating overpressure events. Satisfactory administrative controls were required to assure safe operation for the period of time prior to installation of the proposed overpressure mitigating hardware.

RG&E responded (References 2, 3, and 4) with preliminary information describing interim measures to prevent these transients along with some discussion of proposed hardware. Installation of a low pressure actuation setpoint on the pressurizer air operated relief valves was proposed.

RG&E participated as a member of a Westinghouse user's group formed to support the analysis effort required to verify the adequacy of the proposed system to prevent overpressure transients. Using input data generated by the user's group, Westinghouse performed transient analyses (Reference 11) which were used as the basis for plant-specific analysis.

The NRC requested additional information concerning the proposed procedural changes and the proposed hardware changes (Reference 5). RG&E provided the required responses (References 6 and 7). Reference 9 transmitted the plant-specific analysis for Ginna.

1.3 Design Criteria

Through a series of meetings and correspondence with PWR vendors and licensees, the staff developed a set of criteria for an acceptable overpressure mitigating system. The basic criterion is that the mitigating system will prevent reactor vessel pressures in excess of those allowed by Appendix G. Specific criteria for system performance are:

- 1. <u>Operator Action</u>: No credit can be taken for operator action for ten minutes after the operator is aware of a transient.
- Single Failure: The system must be designed to relieve the pressure transient given a single failure in addition to the failure that initiated the pressure transient.
- 3. <u>Testability</u>: The system must be testable on a periodic basis consistent with the system's employment.
- 4. Seismic and IEEE 279 C iteria: Ideally, the system should meet seismic Category I and IEEE 279 criteria. The basic objective is that the system should not be vulnerable to a common failure that would both initiate a pressure transient and disable the overpressure mitigating system. Such events as loss of instrument air and loss of offsite power must be considered.

The staff also requested the licensee to provide an alarm which monitors the position of the pressurizer relief valve isolation valves, along with the low setpoint enabling switch, to assure that the overpressure mitigating system is properly aligned for shutdown conditions.

1.4 Design Basis Events

The incidents that have occurred to date have been the result of operator errors or equipment failures. Two varieties of pressure transients can be identified: a mass input type from charging pumps, safety injection pumps, safety injection accumulators, and a heat addition type which causes thermal expansion from sources such as steam generators or decay heat.

On Westinghouse designed plants, the most common cause of the overpressure transients to date has been isolation of the letdown path. Letdown during low pressure operations is via a flowpath through the RHR system. Thus, isolation of PHR can initiate a pressure transient if a charging pump is left running. Although other transients occur with lower frequency, those which result in the most rapid pressure increases were identified by the staff for analysis. The most limiting mass input transient iden ified by the staff is inadvertant in ection

ģ.

by the largest safety injection pump. The most limiting thermal expansion transient is the start of a reactor coolant pump with a 50°F temperature difference between the water in the reactor vessel and the water in the steam generator.

を調算がない

5

Based on the historical record of overpressure transients and the imposition of more effective administrative controls, we consider the limiting events identified above an acceptable basis for analyses of the proposed OPS.

2.0 System Description and Evaluation

RG&E adopted the "Reference Mitigating System" concept developed by Westinghouse and the user's group. RG&E proposed to modify the actuation circuitry of the existing air operated pressurizer relief valves to provide a low pressure setpoint at 435 psig during startup and shutdown conditions. The new low pressure Power Operated Relief Valve (PORV) actuation circuitry uses multiple pressure sensors, power supplies and logic trains to improve system reliability. Each of the two PORV's is manually enabled using two keylock switches, one to line up the air supply and the other to enable the low pressure setpoint. When the reactor vessel is at low temperatures with the Overpressure Protective System (OPS) enabled, a pressure transient is terminated below the Appendix G limit by automatic opening of the PORV's. An enabling alarm monitors the RCS temperature, the position of the keylock switches (2 per channel), and the upstream isolation valve position. The OPS is enabled at a temperature of 330°F during plant cooldown and is disabled at the same temperature during plant heatup. The enabling alarm alerts the operator in the event the RCS temperature is below 330°F and OPS valve or switch alignment has not been completed. On this basis, we consider the pressurizer relief valves with a manually enabled low pressure setpoint to be an acceptable concept for an overpressure mitigating system.

2.1 Air Supply

L &

The Ginna PORV's are gate valves that are spring closed and nitrogen opened. Each of the two PORV's receives actuating nitrogen, (N_2) , from either the plant instrument air (nitrogen) system or a backup nitrogen accumulator. The accumulators are sized to provide sufficient actuating N₂ for ten minutes of PORV operation (about 150 cycles) without operator action during the most limiting transient and a loss of the plant instrument air system. Low pressure alarms are installed in the control room to alert the operator to a low nitrogen accumulator pressure condition. The staff therefore finds the Ginna OPS normal and alternate nitrogen supplies acceptable.

•

, .

.

· •

j.

÷

ŝ

2.2 <u>Electrical Instrumentation and Control</u>

2.2.1 Instrumentation and Alarms Available to Operator

In addition to narrow range pressurizer pressure indication, reactor coolant system wide range pressure indication and recording (0-3000 psig) and low pressure indication (0-700 psig) are provided on the main control board. This pressure indication is provided by PT-420, PT-429, PT-430, PT-431, and PT-449 shown on drawing 33013-424. An overpressure alarm which incorporates two setpoints is also provided. One setpoint is variable and follows the Technical Specification limit. The other setpoint alarms at a given differential pressure, determined by the operator, below the Technical Specification limit. Both setpoints alarm and light on the plant computer. のなるなない。

Indication of pressurizer relief valve operation are valve light indication and "pressurizer relief line high temperature 20°F above ambient."

The installed pressure and temperature instrumentation at Ginna will provide a permanent record over the full range of both pressure and temperature.

2.2.2 Disabling Components

When power is removed from valve motor operators under administrative control provisions, the status of the lights and indicators available to verify their proper alignment and the administrative controls for removing power from a valve motor operator or a pump are as follows:

- a. Valves are provided with red and green control board status lights. All safeguards valves also have safeguards white light indication. Deenergized valves retain normal status light indication since indication is provided by the D. C. control circuitry. Indication is lost only if the D. C. control power fuses are removed at the motor control center breaker panel.
- Removing power from a valve motor operator or pump motor is accomplished at a motor control center or 480 volt bus. A
 pump may be put in "pull stop" at the main control board.

.

•

•

• ,

a to

• 1

ч. Г · .

1 1 a ∯rtn £

2.2.3 <u>Testability</u>

Testability has been provided. RG&E has stated that operability will be verified prior to solid system, low temperature operation by use of the remotely operated isolation valve, enable/disable switches and normal electronics surveillance methodology. Additionally, the actuation circuitry logic will be tested during each refueling outage. Testing requirements will be incorporated in the Technical Specifications as discussed in Section 4.2 of this evaluation.

2.2.4 Conclusion

The design of the Ginna low temperature overpressure protection system in the areas of electrical, instrumentation and control (EI&C) is in accordance with those design criteria orginally prescribed by the NRC and later expanded during subsequent discussions with RG&E.

We find the EI&C aspects of the proposed design acceptable on the basis that: (1) the proposed overpressure protection system complies with IEEE Std 279-1971, and seismic criteria as identified in Section 2.0; (2) the system is redundant and satisfies the single failure criterion; (3) the design requires no operator action prior to ten minutes after the operator receives an overpressure action alarm; (4) the system is testable on a periodic basis; and (5) the proposed changes to the Technical Specifications would reduce the probability of overpressurization events to acceptable levels.

2.3 Appendix G

The Appendix G curve submitted by RG&E for purposes of overpressure transient analysis is based on 10.6 effective full power years irradiation. The zero degree heatup curve is allowed since most pressure transients occur during isothermal metal conditions. Margins of 60 psig and 10°F are included for possible instrument errors. The Appendix G limit at 100°F according to this curve is 535 psig. We therefore conclude that use of this curve is acceptable as a basis for overpressure mitigating system performance.

2.4 Setpoint Analysis

The one loop version of the LOFTRAN (Reference WCAP 7907) code was used to perform the mass input analyses. The four loop version was used for the heat input analysis. Both versions require some input modeling and initialization changes. LOFTRAN is currently under review by the staff and is judged to be an acceptable code for

treating problems of this type.

The results of this analysis are provided in terms of PORV setpoint overshoot. The predicted maximum transient pressure is simply the sum of the overshoot magnitude and the setpoint magnitude. The PORV setpoint is adjusted so that given the setpoint overshoot, the resultant pressure is still below that allowed by Appendix G limits.

7 -

RG&E presented the following Ginna plant characteristics to determine the pressure reached for the design basis pressure transients:

| SI Pump Flowrate @ 435 psig | 60 lb/sec |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| RCS Volume , | 6065 ft ³ |
| PORV Opening Time | 3 sec |
| S G Heat Transfer area | 44,000 ft ² |
| Relief Valve setpoint | 435 psig |

Westinghouse identified certain assumptions used in LOFTRAN that are conservative, and tend to overpredict the peak RCS pressure in the design base transients. These are listed below, along with some plant parameters Westinghouse has assumed in the generic analysis that RG&E has identified to be conservative relative to the actual Ginna values.

1) One PORV was assumed to fail.

¥. • •

Į

- 2) The RCS was assumed to be rigid with respect to metal expansion.
- 3) No credit was taken for the reduction in reactor coolant bulk modulus at RCS temperatures above 100°F (constant bulk modulus at all RCS temperatures).
- 4) No credit was taken for the shrinkage effect caused by low temperature SI water added to higher temperature reactor coolant.
- 5) The entire volume of water of the steam generator secondary was assumed available for heat transfer to the primary. In reality, the liquid immediately adjacent and above the tube bundle would be the primary source of energy in the transient.

6) The overall steam generator heat transfer coefficient, U, was assumed to be the free convective heat transfer coefficient of the secondary h_{SeC} . The forced convective heat transfer coefficient of the primary, h_{Prj} and the tube metal resistance have been ignored thus resulting in a conservative (high) coefficient.

í

- 7) The RCP startup time assumed in the heat input analysis was 9-10 sec whereas the actual RCP startup time is about 22 sec.
- 8) The SI pump startup time assumed in the mass input analysis was 1.64 sec whereas the actual SI pump startup time is about 3.0 sec.

Based on the above, we find these assumptions acceptable.

2.4.1 Mass Input Case

The inadvertant start of a safety injection pump with the plant in a cold shutdown condition was selected as the limiting mass input case.

Westinghouse provided RG&E with a series of curves based on the LOFTRAN analysis of a generic plant design which indicates PORV setpoint overshoot for this transient system volume, relief valve opening time and relief valve setpoint. These sensitivity analyses were then applied to the Ginna plant parameters to obtain a conservative estimate of the PORV setpoint overshoot. We find this method of analysis acceptable.

Using the Westinghouse methodology, the Ginna PORV setpoint overshoot was determined to be slightly less than 100 psi. With a relief valve setpoint of 435 psig, a final pressure of 535 psig is reached for the worst case mass input transient. Since the 10.6 EFPY Appendix G limit at temperatures above 100°F is above 535 psig, we have concluded that the system performance is acceptable with a 435 psig low pressure relief valve setpoint.

2.4.2 Heat Input Case

Ľ

Inadvertant startup of a reactor coolant pump with a primary to secondary temperature differential across the steam generator of 50°F, and with the plant in a water solid condition, was selected as the limiting heat input case. For the heat input case, Westinghouse provided RG&E with a series of curves based on the LOFTRAN analysis of a generic plant design to determine the PORV setpoint overshoot as a function of RCS volume, steam generator UA and initial RCS temperature. For this transient, the reference relief valve selected - 9 -

was assumed to have a total opening time of three seconds from the instant the signal to open is received until the valve reached the full open position.

The calculated final pressure for the heat input transient for a fixed ΔT of 50°F depends on the initial RCS temperature and is given here:

| RCS Temperature | Maximum Pressure |
|-----------------|------------------|
| 100°F | 457 psig |
| 140°F | 480 psig |
| 180°F | 508 psig |
| 250°F | 554 psig |

In all these cases, for the given RCS temperature, the Appendix G

We find that the analyses of the limiting mass input and heat input cases show a maximum pressure transient below that allowed by Appendix G limits and are therefore acceptable.

2.5 Plant Modification

RG&E installed most of the equipment comprising the final OPS during the 1978 refueling outage.

N₂ supply valves of the proper seismic qualification are not currently available. RG&E has proposed using non-seismically qualified valves until the proper valves can be installed. Since the PORV N₂ supply system operability is not affected by the installation of non-seismically qualified valves, and the liklihood of a seismic event is low, we conclude that the use of non-seismically qualified valves in the PORV N₂ supply system during this interim period is acceptable.

The OPS enabling alarm installed during the 1978 refueling outage does not monitor the PORV upstream MOV position. However, the alarm (one per PORV) will monitor RCS temperature and the position of the enabling switches (2 per PORV). RG&E has agreed to install the equipment necessary for the monitoring of the MOV's position during the first shutdown of sufficient duration after the equipment becomes available. Also, RG&E has agreed to ensure the proper positioning of the MOV's should the OPS be required in the interim period. This interim arrangement is acceptable pending completion of this modification. Should any delay be encountered which could impact these

1.4

unfinished modifications, RG&E should promptly notify the NRC.

3.0 Administrative Controls

To supplement the hardware modifications and to limit the magnitude of postulated pressure transients to within the bounds of the analysis provided by RG&E, a defense in-depth approach is adopted using procedural and administrative controls. Specific conditions required to assure that the plant is operated within the bounds of the analysis are adequately described in the Technical Specifications.

3.1 Procedures

A number of provisions for prevention of pressure transients are contained in the Ginna operating procedures. These procedures require that an acceptable RCS temperature profile be achieved prior to startup (and jogging) of a reactor coolant pump (RCP) with the RCS in a water-solid condition. In addition, plant shutdown and cooldown procedures call for one RCP to be run until the RCS temperature has been lowered to 150°F, thus reducing the possibility of a significant RCS temperature assymetry.

Also, RG&E has modified plant procedures to restrict water solid operations to only those times when absolutely necessary. For example, the plant must be maintained in a water-solid condition during RCS filling and venting operations, during hydrostatic testing of the RCS, and during plant heatup prior to bringing the RCS within water chemistry specifications.

The cooldown procedures require the safety injection signal associated with the pressurizer and steam line low pressure be blocked at approximately 2000 psig. At less than 1800 psig, the high head safety injection discharge valves to the RCS loops are shut. At approximately 1500 psig the high head SI pumps are de-energized by placing their control switches in the "pull-stop" position. In the "pullstop" position the SI pumps cannot automatically start. The SI pumps are not re-energized while the RCS is in a cold and shutdown condition unless special surveillance testing is in progress or a SI accumulator is to be filled (only one SI pump is energized).

The diesel generator load and safeguards sequence test conducted during cold or refueling shutdown operates each safeguard train (2 pumps). However, the pump discharge valves are closed, the valve power supply breakers are open and the breaker DC control fuses are removed. During other tests the SI pumps are prohibited from starting and except during valve cycling tests, the discharge valves are shut.

' **"**

.

.

We consider the procedural and administrative controls acceptable. However, we believe certain procedural and administrative controls should be included in the Technical Specifications. These are listed in the following section.

3.2 Technical Specifications

RG&E has submitted for our review, Technical Specifications (Reference 1) to be incorporated into the Ginna license. These specifications are consistent with the intent of the statements listed below.

- 1. Both PORVs must be operable whenever the RCS temperature is less than 330°F, except one PORV may be inoperable for seven days. If these conditions are not met, the primary system must be depressurized and vented to the atmosphere or to the pressurizer relief tank within eight hours.
- Operability of the overpressure protection system requires that the low pressure setpoint will be selected (two switches per train), the upstream isolation valves open and the backup air supply charged.
- 3. No more than one high head SI pump may be energized at RCS temperature below 330°F, except during the diesel generator load and safeguards sequence test.
- 4. A reactor coolant pump may be started (or jogged) only if there is a steam bubble in the pressurizer or if the SG/RCS ΔT in both loops is verified to be less than 50°F.
- 5. The overpressure mitigating system must be tested on a periodic basis consistent with the need for its use.
- 6. Failure of the Overpressure Protection System to operate when required is a reportable item.

4.0 <u>Conclusions</u>

1

经办

Ċ,

The administrative controls and hardware changes made by RG&E provide additional protection for the Ginna Plant from pressure transients at low temperatures by reducing further the probability of initiation of a transient and by limiting the pressure, if such a transient should nevertheless occur, to levels less than the limits set by Appendix G.

4

۶.

We have concluded that the overpressure mitigating system and the proposed revisions to the Technical Specifications satisfy our requirements, are similar to those proposed and accepted by us for other PWRs, and on this basis are acceptable to NRC.

- 12 -

Environmental Consideration

I

We have determined that the amendment does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and, pursuant to $10 \ \text{CFR} \ \$51.5(d)(4)$, that an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) because this amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered and does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Date: April 18, 1979

.

.

,

¥ .

REFERENCES

- 1. NRC (Schwencer) to Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation (RG&E) dated August 11, 1976.
- 2. RG&E (White) to NRC (Schwencer) dated September 3, 1976.

3. RG&E (White) to NRC (Schwencer) dated October 15, 1976.

4. RG&E (White) to NRC (Schwencer) dated December 8, 1976.

5. NRC (Schwencer) to RG&E (White) dated January 10, 1977.

6. RG&E (White) to NRC (Schwencer) dated February 24, 1977.

7. RG&E (White) to NRC (Schwencer) dated March 31, 1977.

8. RG&E (White) to NRC (Schwencer) dated April 26, 1977.

9. RG&E (White) to NRC (Schwencer) dated July 29, 1977.

- "Staff Discussion of Fifteen Technical Issues listed in Attachment G November 3, 1976 Memorandum from Director NRR to NRR Staff." NUREG-0138, November 1976.
- 11. "Pressure Mitigating System Transient Analysis Results" prepared by Westinghouse for the Westinghouse user's group on reactor coolant system overpressurization, dated July 1977.
- 12. RG&E (LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae) to NRC (Denton) dated October 18, 1978, and attached application dated October 11, 1978.

13 -

· ·

· · · · ·

,

.

.

. .

st ,

.