

MAY 05 1975

Docket No. 50-249

Commonwealth Edison Company  
ATTN: Mr. J. S. Abel  
Nuclear Licensing Administrator-  
Boiling Water Reactors  
Post Office Box 767  
Chicago, Illinois 60690

Gentlemen:

We are reviewing the Dresden Unit 3 reload submittal dated January 21, 1975, and have concluded that the additional information requested in the enclosure is necessary to continue our review. To enable us to maintain our review schedule, please submit the requested information by May 12, 1975.

Sincerely,

Original signed by  
Dennis L. Ziemann

Dennis L. Ziemann, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #2  
Division of Reactor Licensing

Enclosure:  
Request for Additional Information

cc w/enclosures: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

NRC PDR SVarga  
Local PDR ACRS (14)  
Docket File TNovak  
ORB#2 Reading File  
KRGoller  
TJCarter  
OELD  
OI&E (3)  
DKZiemann  
RSilver  
RMDiggs  
JRBuchanan, ORNL  
TBAveranthy, DTIE

*Novack read this & said he had no objection to these questions RS, 5/5/75*  
*apple (3)*

OFFICE	RL: ORB#2	RL: ORB#2	TR		
SURNAME	RSilver:mer	DLZiemann	TNovak		
DATE	5/5/75	5/5/75	5/ /75		

MAY 05 1975

cc w/enclosures:

John W. Rowe, Esquire  
Isham, Lincoln & Beale  
Counselors at Law  
One First National Plaza  
Chicago, Illinois 60670

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esquire  
Berlin, Roisman and Kessler  
1712 N Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Morris Public Library  
604 Liberty Street  
Morris, Illinois 60451

Dresden Unit 3 Reload 3

Additional Information Request

1. Is the previously performed overpressure analysis for Dresden Unit 3 (your letter dated May 21, 1974) applicable to the Reload 3 operation? Demonstrate that the difference in results of the overpressure analysis performed with the assumption that all safety valves operate versus one safety valve failure does not change for this reload application. Are the inputs to this analysis (e.g., 100% power instead of 93% power and the use of a different dynamic void coefficient) appropriate to this reload? If the sensitivity analysis does not apply, then we require an overpressure analysis with one failed safety valve based on the same input data that were applied to the overpressure analysis for the Reload 3 application.
2. Our letter of April 9, 1975, included a request for information regarding the Appendix K reanalysis. Include in your response to our April 9 letter, information of the type presented in your letters of April 21, 1975, for Quad Cities 2, Docket No. 50-265.