MAR 1 9 1976 DISTRIBUTION NRC PDR Local PDR Docket ORB #2 Reading KRGoller TJCarter RMDiggs **RDSilver** OELD OI&E (2) BScharf (2) OPA (Clare Miles) VStello TBAbernathy JRBuchanan ACRS (16)

Docket Nos. 50-237, 50-249, 50-254, 50-265

> Commonwealth Edison Company ATTN: Mr. R. L. Bolger Assistant Vice President Post Office Box 767 Chicago, Illinois 60690

187 18 2.1. 2.

Gentlemen:

We are reviewing your description of Commonwealth Edison Company techniques for developing control rod withdrawal sequences submitted by letter of October 16, 1975, and have determined that the additional information requested in Enclosure A is necessary to continue our review.

To enable us to maintain our review schedule, please submit the requested information within 45 days of the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Deanis L.

Dennis L. Ziemann, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #2 Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

		•	087				-		
:.	OFFICE D	OR:ORB#2	OR:ORB #2						
	SURNAME 🇲	RDSilver:ro	DLZiemann				•		
		3/14/76	3/10/76			- 			
Form	AEC-318 (Rev. 9	-53) ARCM 0240		1 11: 61 AOVE	ENMENT PEIN	TING OFFICEI 1974-52	6-166	·	



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

March 19, 1976

Docket Nos. 50-237, 50-249, 50-254, 50-265

> Commonwealth Edison Company ATTN: Mr. R. L. Bolger Assistant Vice President Post Office Box 767 Chicago, Illinois 60690

Gentlemen:

We are reviewing your description of Commonwealth Edison Company techniques for developing control rod withdrawal sequences submitted by letter of October 16, 1975, and have determined that the additional information requested in Enclosure A is necessary to continue our review.

To enable us to maintain our review schedule, please submit the requested information within 45 days of the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

Dennis L. Ziemann, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #2 Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/enclosure: Mr. Charles Whitmore President and Chairman Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company 206 East Second Avenue Davenport, Iowa 52801

John W. Rowe, Esquire Isham, Lincoln & Beale Counselors at Law One First National Plaza Chicago, Illinois 60670

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esquire Berlin, Roisman and Kessler 1712 N Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Moline Public Library 504 - 17th Street Moline, Illinois 61265

Morris Public Library 604 Liberty Street Morris, Illinois 60451 ENCLOSURE A

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

REVIEW OF COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY (CECO) TECHNIQUES

FOR DEVELOPING CONTROL ROD WITHDRAWAL SEQUENCES

DRESDEN 2 AND 3 - QUAD CITIES 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-237, 50-249, 50-254, and 50-265

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- Provide detailed comparisons of power distributions calculated by CECo with either experimental results or General Electric computations. Comparisons should be made for a single assembly, 2 x 2 cell, 4 x 4 cell, and 1/4 core. Consider BOL and depletion as well as controlled and uncontrolled configurations.
- 2. Provide a comparison of results you obtain using Henry's method, as described in WAPD-218 (1959), for control rod reactivity worths with results obtained from either experiments, or Monte Carlo, or higher order transport theory calculations.
- Provide a comparison of results obtained by CECo for the dropped control rod reactivity worth with results obtained by General Electric. Several configurations and levels of burnup should be considered. (e.g. cold and hot operating conditions at beginning and end of cycle).
- 4. Provide a comparison of results obtained by CECo for the scram reactivity worth for the rod drop analysis with results obtained by General Electric. Several different configurations and levels of burnup should be considered (e.g. cold and hot operating conditions at beginning and end of cycle).
- 5. The General Electric technical basis limit for the dropped control rod reactivity worth function is also a function of the local peaking factor and the effective delayed neutron fraction. Describe the determination of these quantities for use in the CECo dropped rod analysis.
- 6. The General Electric technical basis also requires that the Doppler coefficient of reactivity as a function of fuel temperature, void fraction, and burnup for a given fuel cycle must also meet design limits. Describe the determination of this quantity for use in the CECo dropped rod analysis.