Attachment 1

~

10 CFR 50.54(q)(5) Procedure Change Summary Analysis

Attachment 1

10 CFR 50.54(q)(5) Procedure Change Summary Analysis

Procedure/Title

Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon) is submitting the following Emergency Plan Addendum revision for the Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station (Quad Cities):

• EP-AA-1006, Addendum 3, Revision 4, "Emergency Action Levels for Quad Cities Station"

Description of Procedure

EP-AA-1006, Addendum 3 describes the Emergency Action Levels (EALs) implemented at Quad Cities for entering Emergency Classification Levels (ECLs).

Description of Changes

Revision 4 to EP-AA-1006, Addendum 3 involved incorporating the changes described below.

NEI 99-01, "Development of Emergency Action Levels for Non-Passive Reactors," Revision 6, provides the following guidance for the development of EAL E-HU1, "Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) Damage," to a loaded cask CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY:

ECL: Notification of Unusual Event

Initiating Condition: Damage to a loaded cask CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY.

Operating Mode Applicability: All

Example Emergency Action Levels:

(1) Damage to a loaded cask CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY as indicated by an oncontact radiation reading greater than (2 times the site-specific cask specific technical specification allowable radiation level) on the surface of the spent fuel cask.

Basis:

This IC addresses an event that results in damage to the CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY of a storage cask containing spent fuel. It applies to irradiated fuel that is licensed for dry storage beginning at the point that the loaded storage cask is sealed. The issues of concern are the creation of a potential or actual release path to the environment, degradation of one or more fuel assemblies due to environmental factors, and configuration changes which could cause challenges in removing the cask or fuel from storage. The existence of "damage" is determined by radiological survey. The technical specification multiple of "2 times", which is also used in Recognition Category A IC AU1, is used here to distinguish between non-emergency and emergency conditions. The emphasis for this classification is the degradation in the level of safety of the spent fuel cask and not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate. It is recognized that in the case of extreme damage to a loaded cask, the fact that the "on-contact" dose rate limit is exceeded may be determined based on measurement of a dose rate at some distance from the cask. Security-related events for ISFSIs are covered under ICs HU1 and HA1.

Developer Notes:

The results of the ISFSI Safety Analysis Report (SAR) [per NUREG 1536], or a SAR referenced in the cask Certificate of Compliance and the related NRC Safety Evaluation Report, identify the natural phenomena events and accident conditions that could potentially affect the CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY. This EAL addresses damage that could result from the range of identified natural or man-made events (e.g., a dropped or tipped over cask, EXPLOSION, FIRE, EARTHQUAKE, etc.).

The allowable radiation level for a spent fuel cask can be found in the cask's technical specification located in the Certificate of Compliance.

ECL Assignment Attributes: 3.1.1.B

Quad Cities has installed the HI-STORM Cask System. The governing Technical Specifications (TS) are included in Certificate of Compliance (CoC) 1014 Amendment Nos. 2, 3, and 8 Revision 1, Appendix A. The current EAL thresholds encompass the casks in use under Amendment Nos. 2 and 3. The casks in use under the Amendment No. 8, Revision1 thresholds are being added.

Section 5.7.4 of Appendix A (TS) has the following allowable peak dose rates for the HI-STORM Cask System loaded overpack governed by CoC 1014 Amendment No. 8 Revision 1, Appendix A:

- 30 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on the top of the overpack
- 300 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on the side of the overpack excluding inlet and outlet ducts

The guidance in NEI 99-01, Revision 6 specifies using 2-times the allowable TS radiation level as the EAL threshold basis. The Quad Cities EAL E-HU1 was revised to include thresholds for the use of the HI-STORM Cask System governed by CoC 1014 Amendment No. 8 Revision 1, Appendix A as follows:

- 60 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on the top of the spent fuel cask
- 600 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on the side of the spent fuel cask excluding inlet and outlet ducts

The EAL E-HU1 basis refers to the use of the word "cask" versus "overpack" as follows:

"The word cask, as used in this EAL, refers to the storage container in use at the site for dry storage of irradiated fuel."

This allows for common terminology to be used in the EAL threshold across the Exelon fleet sites.

Also, the E-HU1 Basis Reference was updated to reference all the applicable Amendments of the TS for Quad Cities.

A minor editorial change was also made. The units were changed from mr/hr to mrem/hr to be consistent with the units used in the Quad Cities CoC.

Description of How the Changes Still Comply with Regulations

The change to EAL E-HU1 reflects the use of an additional type of HI-STORM Cask System overpack at the ISFSI at Quad Cities. CoC 1014, Amendment No. 8 Revision 1, Appendix A documents the allowable peak dose rates for the additional type of HI-STORM Cask System overpack.

NEI 99-01, Revision 6 provides the guidance for the development of the EAL E-HU1 threshold as 2-times the allowable peak dose rates. This adds additional thresholds of > 60 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on top of the spent fuel cask, and > 600 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on the side of the spent fuel cask, excluding inlet and outlet ducts. Also, as noted above, units were revised from mr/hr to mrem/hr to be consistent with the units used in the Quad Cities CoC.

An additional change to the EAL E-HU1 Basis Reference was made to include CoC 1014, Amendment No. 8 Revision 1, Appendix A for Quad Cities. Updating the EAL threshold values based on an approved CoC does not alter the meaning or intent of the basis of the approved EAL. The addition of the new type of ISFSI cask was reviewed and approved in the Holtec International Final Safety Analysis Report for the HI-STORM Cask System U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Docket No. 72-1014 Holtec Report No. HI-2002444. Updating the Basis Reference section and revising the units from mr/hr to mrem/hr does not alter the meaning or intent of the approved EAL. In addition, the applicable regulations and commitments to the NRC continue to be met.

Section 4.4, Item f, of Regulatory Guide 1.219, "Guidance for Making Changes to Emergency Plans for Nuclear Power Reactors," provides the following guidance related to adding the new threshold for the approved ISFSI cask:

- f. The following examples would <u>generally not require</u> prior NRC approval:
 - (1) A change to an EAL numeric threshold to reflect an approved change in a technical specification, provided that the basis of the approved EAL is unchanged (e.g., an EAL basis refers to a particular technical specification but not a limiting condition for operation value), and....

Description of Why the Changes are Not a Reduction in Effectiveness (RIE)

Updating the EAL threshold values based on an approved CoC does not alter the meaning or intent of the basis of the approved EAL. The addition of the new type of ISFSI cask was reviewed and approved in the Holtec International Final Safety Analysis Report for the HI-STORM Cask System installed at Quad Cities. Updating the Basis Reference section and revising the units from mr/hr to mrem/hr as noted above does not alter the meaning or intent of the approved EAL and the applicable regulations and commitments to the NRC continue to be met. Additionally, the change is consistent with the guidance specified in RG 1.219. Therefore, the changes do not result in a reduction in effectiveness to the Quad Cites Emergency Plan.

Attachment 2

EP-AA-1006, Addendum 3, Revision 4, "Emergency Action Levels for Quad Cities Station"

Emergency Plan Addendum Revision

~



EP-AA-1006 Addendum 3 Revision 4

EXELON NUCLEAR

EMERGENCY ACTION LEVELS FOR QUAD CITIES STATION

REVISION HISTORY

Rev. 0, December 2014		
Rev. 1, April 2015 Rev. 2, February 2016 Rev. 3 April 2017 Rev. 4 July 2017		
Boy 2 Eebruary 2016		
Pov 3 April 2017		
Dev. 4 July 2017		
Rev. 4 July 2017		
	_	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· · · · ·
	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

i

Section 1: Classification of Emergencies

1.1 General

Section D of the Exelon Nuclear Standardized Emergency Plan divides the types of emergencies into four EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS (ECLs). The first four are the UNUSUAL EVENT (UE), ALERT, SITE AREA EMERGENCY (SAE), and GENERAL EMERGENCY (GE). These ECLs are entered by satisfying the Initiating Condition (IC) through meeting an Emergency Action Level (EAL) of the IC provided in this section of the Annex. The ECLs are escalated from least severe to most severe according to relative threat to the health and safety of the public and emergency workers. Depending on the severity of an event, prior to returning to a standard day-to-day organization, a state or phase called RECOVERY may be entered to provide dedicated resources and organization in support of restoration and communication activities following the termination of the emergency.

<u>UNUSUAL EVENT (UE)</u>: Events are in progress or have occurred which indicate a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant or indicate a security threat to facility protection has been initiated. No releases of radioactive material requiring offsite response or monitoring are expected unless further degradation of safety systems occurs.

<u>ALERT:</u> Events are in progress or have occurred which involve an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant or a security event that involves probable life threatening risk to site personnel or damage to site equipment because of HOSTILE ACTION. Any releases are expected to be limited to small fractions of the EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels.

<u>SITE AREA EMERGENCY (SAE)</u>: Events are in progress or have occurred which involve an actual or likely major failures of plant functions needed for protection of the public or HOSTILE ACTION that results in intentional damage or malicious acts; 1) toward site personnel or equipment that could lead to the likely failure of or; 2) that prevent effective access to equipment needed for the protection of the public. Any releases are not expected to result in exposure levels which exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels beyond the site boundary.

<u>GENERAL EMERGENCY (GE)</u>: Events are in progress or have occurred which involve actual or IMMINENT substantial core degradation or melting with potential for loss of containment integrity or HOSTILE ACTION that results in an actual loss of physical control of the facility. Releases can be reasonably expected to exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels offsite for more than the immediate site area.

<u>RECOVERY:</u> Recovery can be considered as a phase of the emergency and is entered by meeting emergency termination criteria provided in EP-AA-111 Emergency Classification and Protective Action Recommendations.

<u>EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION LEVEL (ECL)</u>: One of a set of names or titles established by the US Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for grouping offnormal events or conditions according to (1) potential or actual effects or consequences, and (2) resulting onsite and offsite response actions. The emergency classification levels, in ascending order of severity, are:

- UNUSUAL EVENT (UE)
- ALERT
- SITE AREA EMERGENCY (SAE)
- GENERAL EMERGENCY (GE)

<u>INITIATING CONDITION (IC):</u> An event or condition that aligns with the definition of one of the four EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION LEVELS by virtue of the potential or actual effects or consequences.

<u>EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL (EAL)</u>: A pre-determined, site-specific, observable threshold for an INITIATING CONDITION that, when met or exceeded, places the plant in a given EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION LEVEL.

An emergency is classified by assessing plant conditions and comparing abnormal conditions to ICs and EALs.

Individuals responsible for the classification of events will refer to the Initiating Condition and EALs on the matrix of the appropriate station Standardized Emergency Plan Annex (this document). This matrix will contain ICs, EALs, Mode Applicability Designators, appropriate EAL numbering system, and additional guidance necessary to classify events. It may be provided as a user aid.

The matrix is set up in six Recognition Categories. The first is designated as "R" and relates to Abnormal Radiological Conditions / Abnormal Radiological Effluent Releases. The second is designated as "F" and relates to Fission Product Barrier Degradation. The third is designated as "M" and relates to hot condition System Malfunctions. The fourth is designated as "C" and relates to Cold Shutdown / Refueling System Malfunctions. The fifth is designated as "H" and relates to Hazards and Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety. The sixth is designated "E-H" and relates to ISFSI Malfunctions.

The matrix is designed to provide an evaluation of the Initiating Conditions from the worst conditions (General Emergencies) on the left to the relatively less severe conditions on the right (Unusual Events). Evaluating conditions from left to right will reduce the possibility that an event will be under classified. All Recognition Categories should be reviewed for applicability prior to classification.

Quad Cities Annex

The Initiating Conditions are coded with a two letter and one number code. The first letter is the Recognition Category designator, the second letter is the Classification Level, "U" for (NOTIFICATION OF) UNUSUAL EVENT, "A" for ALERT, "S" for SITE AREA EMERGENCY and "G" for GENERAL EMERGENCY. The EAL number is a sequential number for that Recognition Category series. All ICs that are describing the severity of a common condition (series) will have the same number.

The EAL number may then be used to reference a corresponding page(s), which provides the basis information pertaining to the IC:

- EAL
- Mode Applicability
- Basis

Classification is not to be made without referencing, comparing and satisfying the specified Emergency Action Levels.

A list of definitions is provided as part of this document for terms having specific meaning to the EALs. Site specific definitions are provided for terms with the intent to be used for a particular IC/EAL and may not be applicable to other uses of that term at other sites, the Emergency Plan or procedures.

References are also included to documents that were used to develop the EALs.

References to the Emergency Director means the person in Command and Control as defined in the Emergency Plan. Classification of emergencies is a non-delegable responsibility of Command and Control for the onsite facilities with responsibility assigned to the Shift Emergency Director (Control Room Shift Manager) or the Station Emergency Director (Technical Support Center). Classification of emergencies remains the responsibility of the applicable onsite facility even after Command and Control is transferred to the Corporate Emergency Director (Emergency Operations Facility).

Although the majority of the EALs provide very specific thresholds, the Emergency Director must remain alert to events or conditions that lead to the conclusion that exceeding the EAL is IMMINENT. If, in the judgment of the Emergency Director, an IMMINENT situation is at hand, the classification should be made as if the EAL has been exceeded. While this is particularly prudent at the higher ECL (as the early classification may provide for more effective implementation of protective measures), it is nonetheless applicable to all ECLs.

1.2 Classification, Instrumentation and Transient Events

Classifications are based on evaluation of each Unit. All classifications are to be based upon valid indications, reports or conditions. Indications, reports or conditions are considered valid when they are verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indications, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indication's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this is the need for timely assessment.

Indications used for monitoring and evaluation of plant conditions include the normally used instrumentation, backup or redundant instrumentation, and the use of other parameters that provide information that supports determination if an EAL has been reached. When an EAL refers to a specific instrument or indication that is determined to be inaccurate or unavailable, then alternate indications shall be used to monitor the specified condition.

During an event that results in changing parameters trending towards an EAL classification, and instrumentation that was available to monitor this parameter becomes unavailable or the parameter goes off scale, the parameter should be assumed to have been exceeded consistent with the trend and the classification made if there are no other direct or indirect means available to determine if the EAL has not been exceeded.

The assessment of some EALs is based on the results of analyses that are necessary to ascertain whether a specific EAL has been exceeded (e.g., dose assessments, chemistry sampling, RCS leak rate calculation, etc.); the EAL and/or the associated basis discussion will identify the necessary analysis. In these cases, the 15-minute declaration period starts with the availability of the analysis results that show the EAL to be exceeded (i.e., this is the time that the EAL information is first available).

Planned evolutions involve preplanning to address the limitations imposed by the condition, the performance of required surveillance testing, and the implementation of specific controls prior to knowingly entering the condition in accordance with the specific requirements of the site's Technical Specifications. Activities which cause the site to operate beyond that allowed by the site's Technical Specifications, planned or unplanned, may result in an EAL being met or exceeded. Planned evolutions to test, manipulate, repair, perform maintenance or modifications to systems and equipment that result in an EAL being met or exceeded are not subject to classification and activation requirements as long as the evolution proceeds as planned and is within the operational limitations imposed by the specific operating license. However, these conditions may be subject to the reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.72.

When two or more EALs are determined, declaration will be made on the highest classification level for the Unit. When both units are affected, the highest classification for the Station will be used for notification purposes and both Units' ECLs will be noted.

Concerning ECL Downgrading, Exelon Nuclear policy is that ECLs shall <u>not</u> be downgraded to a lower classification. Once declared, the event shall remain in effect until no Classification is warranted or until such time as conditions warrant classification to Recovery.

There may be cases in which a plant condition that exceeded an EAL was not recognized at the time of occurrence but is identified well after the condition has occurred (e.g., as a result of routine log or record review), and the condition no longer exists. In these cases, an emergency should not be declared. Reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.72 are applicable, the guidance of NUREG-1022, Event Reporting Guidelines 10 CFR 50.72 and 50.73 and the Reportability Reference Manual, should be applied.

1.3 Mode Applicability

The plant-operating mode that existed at the time that the event occurred, prior to any protective system or operator action initiated in response to the condition, is compared to the mode applicability of the EALs. If an event occurs, and a lower or higher plant-operating mode is reached before the emergency classification can be made, the declaration shall be based on the mode that existed at the time the event occurred.

For events that occur in Cold Shutdown or Refueling, escalation is via EALs that have Cold Shutdown or Refueling for mode applicability, even if Hot Shutdown (or a higher mode) is entered during any subsequent heat-up. In particular, the Fission Product Barrier Matrix EALs are applicable only to events that initiate in Hot Shutdown or higher.

If there is a change in Mode following an event declaration, any subsequent events involving EALs outside of the current declaration escalation path will be evaluated on the Mode of the plant at the time the subsequent events occur.

1.4 Emergency Director Judgment

Emergency Director (ED) Judgment EALs are provided in the Hazards and Other Condition Affecting Plant Safety section and on the Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Matrix. Both of the ED Judgment EALs have specific criteria for when they should be applied.

The Hazards Section ED Judgment EALs are intended to address unanticipated conditions which are not addressed explicitly by other EALs but warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the ED to fall under specific emergency classifications (UE, Alert, SAE or GE).

The FPB Matrix ED Judgment EALs are intended to include unanticipated conditions, which are not addressed explicitly by any of the other FPB threshold

values, but warrant determination because conditions exist that fall under the broader definition for a significant Loss or Potential Loss of the barrier (equal to or greater than the defined FPB threshold values).

1.5 Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold

A fission product barrier threshold is a pre-determined, site-specific, observable threshold indicating the loss or potential loss of a fission product barrier.

FPB thresholds represent threats to the defense in depth design concept that precludes the release of radioactive fission products to the environment. This concept relies on multiple physical barriers, any one of which, if maintained intact, precludes the release of significant amounts of radioactive fission products to the environment. The primary FPBs are:

- Fuel Clad (FC)
- Reactor Coolant System (RCS)
- Containment (CT)

Upon determination that one or more FPB thresholds have been exceeded, the combination of barrier loss and/or potential loss thresholds is compared to the FPB IC/EAL criteria to determine the appropriate ECL.

In some accident sequences, the ICs and EALs presented in the Abnormal Radiation Levels/ Radiological Effluent (R) Recognition Category will be exceeded at the same time, or shortly after, the loss of one or more fission product barriers. This redundancy is intentional as the former ICs address radioactivity releases that result in certain offsite doses from whatever cause, including events that might not be fully encompassed by fission product barriers (e.g., spent fuel pool accidents, design containment leakage following a LOCA, etc.).

1.6 Fission Product Barrier Restoration

Fission Product Barriers are not treated the same as EAL threshold values. Conditions warranting declaration of the loss or potential loss of a FPB may occur resulting in a specific classification. The condition that caused the loss or potential loss declaration could be rectified as the result of Operator action, automatic actions, or designed plant response. Barriers will be considered reestablished when there are direct verifiable indications (containment penetration or open valve has been isolated, coolant sample results, etc) that the barrier has been restored and is capable of mitigating future events.

The reestablishment of a FPB does not alter or lower the existing classification. Termination and entry into RECOVERY phase is still required for exiting the present classification. However the reestablishment of the barrier should be considered in determining future classifications should plant conditions or events change.

1.7 Definitions

<u>CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY</u>: The irradiated fuel dry storage cask barrier(s) between areas containing radioactive substances and the environment.

<u>CONTAINMENT CLOSURE</u>: The procedurally defined actions taken to secure containment (primary or secondary) and its associated structures, systems, and components as a functional barrier to fission product release under existing plant conditions.

<u>EXPLOSION</u>: A rapid, violent and catastrophic failure of a piece of equipment due to combustion, chemical reaction or overpressurization. A release of steam (from high energy lines or components) or an electrical component failure (caused by short circuits, grounding, arcing, etc.) should not automatically be considered an explosion. Such events may require a post-event inspection to determine if the attributes of an explosion are present.

<u>FIRE:</u> Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute fire. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER (FPB) THRESHOLD: A pre-determined, sitespecific, observable threshold indicating the loss or potential loss of a fission product barrier.

HOSTAGE: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

<u>HOSTILE ACTION</u>: An act toward a Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take HOSTAGES, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, PROJECTILEs, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. HOSTILE ACTION should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on the NPP. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the owner controlled area).

<u>HOSTILE FORCE</u>: Any individuals who are engaged in a determined assault, overtly or by stealth and deception, equipped with suitable weapons capable of killing, maiming, or causing destruction.

<u>IMMINENT</u>: The trajectory of events or conditions is such that an EAL will be met within a relatively short period of time regardless of mitigation or corrective actions.

<u>INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE INSTALLATION (ISFSI)</u>: A complex that is designed and constructed for the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage.

<u>NORMAL LEVELS</u>: As applied to radiological IC/EALs, the highest reading in the past twenty-four hours excluding the current peak value.

OPERATING MODES	REACTOR MODE SWITCH POSITION	TEMP	
(1) Power Operation:	Run	N/A	
(2) Startup:	Refuel ^(a) or Startup/Hot Standby	N/A	
(3) Hot Shutdown ^(a) :	Shutdown	> 212° F	
(4) Cold Shutdown ^(a) :	Shutdown	≤ 212° F	
(5) Refueling ^(b) :	Shutdown or Refuel	N/A	
(D) Defueled: All reactor fuel removed from reactor pressure vessel (full core off load during refueling or extended outage).			

^(a) All reactor vessel head closure bolts fully tensioned.

^(b) One or more reactor vessel head closure bolts less than fully tensioned.

Hot Matrix – applies in modes (1), (2), and (3)

Cold Matrix – applies in modes (4), (5), and (D)

<u>OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)</u>: The property associated with the station and owned by the company. Access is normally limited to persons entering for official business.

<u>PROJECTILE</u>: An object directed toward a Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

<u>PROTECTED AREA</u>: An area that normally encompasses all controlled areas within the security protected area fence.

<u>REFUELING PATHWAY</u>: all the cavities, tubes, canals and pools through which irradiated fuel may be moved or stored, but not including the reactor vessel below the flange.

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

<u>SECURITY CONDITION</u>: Any Security Event as listed in the approved security contingency plan that constitutes a threat/compromise to site security, threat/risk to site personnel, or a potential degradation to the level of safety of the plant. A SECURITY CONDITION does not involve a HOSTILE ACTION.

<u>UNISOLABLE</u>: An open or breached system line that cannot be isolated, remotely or locally.

<u>UNPLANNED</u>: A parameter change or an event that is not 1) the result of an intended evolution or 2) an expected plant response to a transient. The cause of the parameter change or event may be known or unknown.

<u>VISIBLE DAMAGE</u>: Damage to a component or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. The visual impact of the damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the operability or reliability of the affected component or structure.

Emergency Action Level Technical Basis Page Index

General		S	ite A	Area	Al	ert		Unu	sual	Event	
EAL		Pg.	EAL		Pg.	EAL	F	уg.	EAL		Pg.
RG1	2-2	25	RS	61	2-27	RA1	2-2	9	RL		2-32
RG2	2-3	34	RS	32	2-35	RA2	2-3	6	RL	J2	2-38
						RA3	2-40		RL	J3	2-43
FG1	2-4	14	FS	S1	2-45	FA1	2-4	6			
F	uel	Clad			RC	S			Contai	nme	ent
FC	21	2-47									
FC	2	2-48			RC2	2-52			CT2	2-6	60
		ľ			RC3	2-53			СТЗ	2-6	61
					RC4	2-55					
FC	25	2-50			RC5	2-58			CT5	2-6	63
									CT6	2-6	64
FC	27	2-51			RC7	2-59			CT7	2-6	67
MG1	2-6	68	MS	51	2-70	MA1	2-7	2	MU	J1	2-74
MG2	2-7	75	MS	52	2-77						
			MS	53	2-78	MA3	2-8	0	MU	JЗ	2-82
						MA4	2-8		MU	J4	2-87
						MA5	2-8	9			_
									MU		2-91
									ML	J7	2-93
						CA1	2-9	95	CL	J1	2-97
						CA2	2-9	9			
									CL		2-101
									CL		2-103
ļ						CA5	2-1		CL		2-108
CG6		110		<u>56</u>	2-114	CA6		17	CL		2-119
HG1	2-	122	H		2-124	HA1		26	HL	J1	2-129
			HS	52	2-131	HA2	2-1	33			
									HL		2-134
	ļ		··		-		<u> </u>		HU	J4	2-138
ļ			 		<u> </u>	HA5	2-1	40			l
							<u> </u>		н		2-143
HG7	2-	146	H	S7	2-147	HA7	2-1	48	HU	J7	2-149
			r						E-HU	J1	2-150

QC 1-10 EP-AA-1006 Addendum 3(Revision 4)

Quad Cities Annex HOT MATRIX

GENERAL EMERGENCY

SITE AREA EMERGENCY

ALERT

Abnormal Rad Levels / Radiological Effluents

	or 5,000 mRem thyroid CDE. mcy Action Level (EAL):				n thyroid CDE.	- Er	10 mrem TEDE or 50 mrem thyroid CDE. nergency Action Level (EAL):
Notes:	incy Action Level (LAL).	Notes:		1011		Not	
 The upo 	Emergency Director should declare the event promptly n determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, vill likely be exceeded.	• Th	e Emerge	ninir	Director should declare the event promptly ng that the applicable time has been exceeded, exceeded.	•	The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceede or will likely be exceeded.
 If an unk 	n ongoing release is detected and the release start time is nown, assume that the release duration has exceeded 15 utes.	● If a un	an ongoing	g re	lease is detected and the release start time is ne that the release duration has exceeded 15	•	If an ongoing release is detected and the release start time i unknown, assume that the release duration has exceeded 1 minutes.
a re flow acti	ssification based on effluent monitor readings assumes that elease path to the environment is established. If the effluent past an effluent monitor is known to have stopped due to ons to isolate the release path, then the effluent monitor ding is no longer valid for classification purposes.	a r flo ^r act	elease pai w past an tions to isc	ath t effl olat	ased on effluent monitor readings assumes that o the environment is established. If the effluent uent monitor is known to have stopped due to e the release path, then the effluent monitor nger valid for classification purposes.	•	Classification based on effluent monitor readings assumes t a release path to the environment is established. If the efflue flow past an effluent monitor is known to have stopped due actions to isolate the release path, then the effluent monitor reading is no longer valid for classification purposes.
sho the	pre-calculated effluent monitor values presented in EAL #1 uld be used for emergency classification assessments until results from a dose assessment using actual meteorology available.	she the	ould be us	sed rom	ted effluent monitor values presented in EAL #1 for emergency classification assessments until a dose assessment using actual meteorology		The pre-calculated effluent monitor values presented in EAL #1 should be used for emergency classification assessment until the results from a dose assessment using actual meteorology are available.
> 3	e sum of readings on the Rx Bldg and Chimney SPINGs 3.84 E+09 uCi/sec for \geq 15 minutes (as determined by htrol Room Panels or PPDS – Total Noble Gas Release e).	> 3	.84 E+08 Introl Room	uCi	lings on the Rx Bldg and Chimney SPINGs i/sec for \geq 15 minutes (as determined by anels or PPDS – Total Noble Gas Release	1.	The sum of readings on the Rx Bldg and Chimney SPINGs > 3.84 E+07 uCi/sec for ≥ 15 minutes (as determined by Control Room Panels or PPDS – Total Noble Gas Release Rate). OR
	se assessment using actual meteorology indicates doses at beyond the site boundary of EITHER :		se assess		ent using actual meteorology indicates doses at ite boundary of EITHER :	2.	Dose assessment using actual meteorology indicates doses or beyond the site boundary of EITHER: a. > 10 mRem TEDE OR
	a. > 1000 mRem TEDE		а.	>	100 mRem TEDE		b. > 50 mRem CDE Thyroid
OR	OR b. > 5000 mRem CDE Thyroid	OF		>	OR 500 mRem CDE Thyroid	3.	OR Analysis of a liquid effluent sample indicates a concentration or release rate that would result in doses greater than EITHER of the following at or beyond the site boundary
	survey results at or beyond the site boundary indicate HER:		eld survey FHER:	res	sults at or beyond the site boundary indicate		a. 10 mRem TEDE for 60 minutes of exposure OR
	 a. Gamma (closed window) dose rates >1000 mR/hr are expected to continue for > 60 minutes. 		a.		amma (closed window) dose rates >100 mR/hr expected to continue for \geq 60 minutes.		 b. 50 mRem CDE Thyroid for 60 minutes of exposure OR
	OR			O	R	4.	Field survey results at or beyond the site boundary indicate
	 b. Analyses of field survey samples indicate > 5000 mRem CDE Thyroid for 60 minutes of inhalation. 		b.	>	nalyses of field survey samples indicate 500 mRem CDE Thyroid for 60 minutes of halation.		 EITHER: a. Gamma (closed window) dose rates > 10 mR/hr are expected to continue for ≥ 60 minutes. OR b. Analyses of field survey samples indicate > 50 mRem CDE Thyroid for 60 minutes of inhalation.

.

Exelon Nuclear HOT MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

A121

	RU1 Any release of gaseous or liquid 12345D radioactivity to the environment greater than 2 times the ODCM for 60 minutes or longer.						
	Emergency Action Level (EAL): Notes:						
əd,	• The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.						
is 5	 If an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown, assume that the release duration has exceeded 60 minutes. 						
hat ent to	 Classification based on effluent monitor readings assumes that a release path to the environment is established. If the effluent flow past an effluent monitor is known to have stopped due to actions to isolate the release path, then the effluent monitor reading is no longer valid for classification purposes. 						
- S	 Reading on ANY of the following effluent monitors > 2 times alarm setpoint established by a current radioactive release discharge permit for ≥ 60 minutes. 						
	 Radwaste Effluent Monitor 1/2-1799-01 						
	OR						
	Discharge Permit specified monitor						
at	OR						
	 The sum of readings on the Rx Bldg and Chimney SPINGs > 4.38 E+05 uCi/sec for ≥ 60 minutes (as determined by Control Room Panels or PPDS – Total Noble Gas Release Rate). 						
า	OR						
	3. Confirmed sample analyses for gaseous or liquid releases indicate concentrations or release rates > 2 times ODCM Limit with a release duration of \geq 60 minutes.						
I							

HOT MATRIX

Quad Cities Annex HOT MATRIX

GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EN		ALERT
ormal Rad Levels / Radiological Effluents		·	
restored to at least 0.60 ft. as indicated on LI-1(2)- 1901-121 for 60 minutes or longer.	RS2 Spent fuel pool level at 0.60 ft. as indicated on LI-1 Emergency Action Level (EAL):		RA2 Significant lowering of water 12345 level above, or damage to, irradiated fuel. Emergency Action Level (EAL):
Note: The Emergency Director should declare the General	Lowering of spent fuel pool level t 1(2)-1901-121.	-	 I. Uncovery of irradiated fuel in the REFUELING PATHWAY. OR
Spent fuel pool level cannot be restored to at least 0.60 ft . as indicated on LI-1(2)-1901-121 for 60 minutes or longer.	Table Areas Requiring Cont • Main Control Room (• Central Alarm Station	inuous Occupancy Unit 1 ARM Station #22)	 Damage to irradiated fuel resulting in a release or radioactivity from the fuel as indicated by ANY Table R1 Radiation Monitor reading >1000 mRem/hr. OR Lowering of spent fuel pool level to 10.20 ft. as indicated on LI-1(2)-1901-121.
Table R1 Fuel Handling Incident Radiation Monitors	Table R3 Areas with Entry Related Mode Applicability Entry Related		
 1(2) 1705-16A Fuel Pool Rad Monitor 1(2) 1705-16B Fuel Pool Rad Monitor 	Area	Unit Mode Applicability	
	First Floor North Wall	1	 RA3 Radiation levels that impede 12345 access to equipment necessary for normal plan operations, cooldown or shutdown. Emergency Action Level (EAL):
	Second Floor North Wall	1 Mode 3 and 4	Note: If the equipment in the listed room or area was already inoperable, or out of service, before the
	First Floor South Wall	2	event occurred, then no emergency classificatio warranted
	Second Floor South Wall	2	 Dose rate > 15 mR/hr in ANY of the areas containe Table R2.
	High Pressure Heater Bay	1 & 2	
	MSIV Room	1 Mode 3	An UNPLANNED event results in radiation levels th prohibit or significantly impede access to any of the
	Second Floor Turbine Bldg. N.E. Corner	2	areas contained in Table R3.
des: 1 – Power Operation 2 – Startup 3 – Hot Shutc	down 4 - Cold Shutdown	5 – Refueling D – D	Defueled

Exelon Nuclear HOT MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

50	•	nned loss of water level irradiated fuel.	123450
	Emergency A	ction Level (EAL):	
		NED water level drop in t AY as indicated by ANY o	
of		Refueling Cavity water lev Nide range simulated sigr	
	OR		
		Spent Fuel Pool water leven uel (< - 4 ft. indicated leven uel (< - 9 ft. indicated uel (< - 9 ft. indica	
	OR		
		ndication or report of a dr	
	AND		
		NNED Area Radiation Mo iation monitors in Table R	
D		ctor coolant activity greate Technical Specification a	
	Emergency A	Action Level (EAL):	
	1. Offgas sy	ystem radiation monitor H	II-HI alarm.
n is	OR		
d in	2. Specific o equivaler	coolant activity > 4.0 uCi/ nt I-131.	gm Dose
d in			
at			

HOT MATRIX

	Fission Product Barrier Matrix GENERAL EMERGENCY		SITE AREA EMEI	RGENCY	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	wo barriers AND Loss or Potential Loss o		loss or Potential Loss of ANY two barriers.		FA1 ANY Loss or AN
Sub Catagory	FC – F	Fuel Clad	RC – Reactor	r Coolant System	
Sub-Category	Loss	Potential Loss	Loss	Potential Loss	Los
1. RCS Activity	Coolant activity > 300 uCi/gm Dose Equivalent I-131.	None	None	None	None
2. RPV Water Level	1. Plant conditions indicate Primary Containment flooding is required.	 2. RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be restored and maintained > -142 inches (TAF) OR 3. RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be determined. 	 RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be restored and maintained > -142 inches (TAF) OR RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be determined. 	None	None
3. Primary Containment Pressure/Conditions	None	None	 Drywell pressure >2.5 psig. AND Drywell pressure rise is due to RCS leakage 	None	 UNPLANNED rapid dr pressure following Dry OR Drywell pressure resp with LOCA conditions.
4.RCS Leak Rate	None	None	 UNISOLABLE Main Steam Line (MSL), HPCI, Feedwater, RWCU or RCIC line break. OR Emergency RPV Depressurization is required. 	 3. UNISOLABLE primary system leakage that results in EITHER of the following: a. Secondary Containment area temperature > QGA 300 Maximum Normal operating levels. OR b. Secondary Containment area radiation level > QGA 300 Maximum Normal operating level. 	None
5.Primary Containment Radiation	Drywell radiation monitor reading > 6.65 E+02 R/hr.	None	Drywell radiation monitor reading > 100R/hr (>1.00 E+02 R/hr).	None	None
6.Primary Containment Isolation Failure	None	None	None	None	 UNISOLABLE direct of the environment exists containment isolation s OR Intentional Primary Coventing/purging per EC accident conditions. OR UNISOLABLE primary results in EITHER of th a. Secondary Covent temperature > Safe operating OR Secondary Covent radiation level Safe operating
7. Emergency Director Judgment	1. Any condition in the opinion of the Emergency Director that indicates Loss of the Fuel Clad Barrier.	2. Any condition in the opinion of the Emergency Director that indicates Potential Loss of the Fuel Clad Barrier.	 Any condition in the opinion of the Emergency Director that indicates Loss of the RCS Barrier. 	2. Any condition in the opinion of the Emergency Director that indicates Potential Loss of the RCS Barrier.	1. Any condition in the op Emergency Director th the Containment Barrie

Exelon Nuclear

ALE	RT							
NY Potential Loss of eith	er Fuel Clad or RCS 123							
CT - Coi	CT - Containment							
.055	Potential Loss							
	None							
	Plant conditions indicate Primary Containment flooding is required.							
	3. Drywell pressure > 56 psig and rising.							
d drop in Drywell Drywell pressure rise. esponse <u>not</u> consistent ns.	OR 4. a. Drywell or torus hydrogen concentration ≥ 6%. AND b. Drywell or torus oxygen concentration ≥ 5%. OR							
	5. Heat Capacity Limit (QGA 200, Fig.M) exceeded.							
	None							
	Drywell radiation monitor reading > 1.55 E+03 R/hr							
ct downstream pathway to ists after primary on signal. Containment EOPs or SAMGs due to								
ary system leakage that of the following: Containment area e > QGA 300 Maximum ing levels.	None							
Containment area vel > QGA 300 Maximum ing levels.								
e opinion of the r that indicates Loss of arrier.	2. Any condition in the opinion of the Emergency Director that indicates Potential Loss of the Containment Barrier.							

	GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	
ys	em Malfunction		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	MG1 Prolonged loss of all offsite 123 and all onsite AC power to emergency buses.	MS1 Loss of all Off-site and On-Site 123 AC power to emergency busses for 15 minutes or longer.	MA1 Loss of all but one AC power 123 source to emergency buses for 15 minutes or longer.
	Emergency Action Level (EAL):	Emergency Action Level (EAL):	Emergency Action Level (EAL):
ř	Note: The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.	Note: The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.	Note: The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable tim has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
Power	1. Loss of ALL offsite AC power to unit ECCS buses.	has been exceeded, of win intery be exceeded.	1. AC power capability to unit ECCS buses reduced to or
	AND	1. Loss of ALL offsite AC Power to unit ECCS buses.	one of the following power sources for \geq 15 minutes.
ss of AC	 Failure of Unit EDG 1(2), and shared EDG 1/2 emergency diesel generators to supply power to unit ECCS busses. AND 	AND 2. Failure of Unit EDG 1(2), and shared EDG 1/2 emergency diesel generators to supply power to unit	 Reserve auxiliary Transformer TR-12 (TR-22 Unit Auxiliary Transformer TR-11 (TR-21)
Loss	3. EITHER of the following:	ECCS busses.	Unit Emergency Diesel Generator
	 a. Restoration of at least one unit ECCS bus in < 1 hour is <u>not</u> likely. OR b. RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be restored and maintained: 	 AND 3. Failure to restore power to at least one ECCS bus in < 15 minutes from the time of loss of both offsite and onsite AC power. 	 Shared Emergency Diesel Generator Unit crosstie breakers AND ANY additional single power source failure will result in loss of ALL AC power to SAFETY SYSTEMS.
	(Unit 1) > -162 inches (Unit 2) > -190 inches		
ower	 MG2 Loss of all AC and Vital DC power sources 123 for 15 minutes or longer. Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note: The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded. 	MS2 Loss of all Vital DC power for 15 minutes or longer. 123 Emergency Action Level (EAL): Image: Comparison of the event of the	
ם	1. Loss of ALL offsite AC power to unit ECCS buses.	has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.	
С С	AND	Voltage is < 105 VDC on 125 VDC battery buses #1 and #2	
Loss of	2. Failure of Unit EDG 1(2), and shared EDG 1/2 emergency diesel generators to supply power to unit ECCS busses.	for ≥ 15 minutes .	
ľ	AND		
	 Voltage is < 105 VDC on 125 VDC battery busses #1 and #2. 		
	AND		
	4. ALL AC and Vital DC power sources have been lost for		

HOT MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

3	MU1	Loss of all offsite AC power 123 capability to emergency buses for 15 minutes or longer.
	Emerg	ency Action Level (EAL):
ent ime	Note:	The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
only 22)		ALL offsite AC power capability to unit ECCS buses 5 minutes .
t in a		
	· ·	·····

HOT MATRIX

GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	ALERT
System Malfunction		
RPS Failure	 MS3 Inability to shutdown the reactor causing a challenge to core cooling or RCS heat removal. Emergency Action Level (EAL): Automatic scram did <u>not</u> shutdown the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power > 5%. AND All manual / ARI actions to shutdown the reactor have been unsuccessful as indicated by Reactor Power > 5%. AND EITHER of the following conditions exist: RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be restored and maintained: (Unit 1) > -162 inches (Unit 2) > -190 inches Heat Capacity Limit (QGA 200, Fig. M) exceeded. 	 MA3 Automatic or manual trip fails [1][2] to shutdown the reactor, and subsequent manual actions taken at the reactor control consoles are not successful in shutting down the reactor. Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note: A manual action is any operator action, or se actions, which causes the control rods to be rap inserted into the core, and does not include manu driving in control rods or implementation of be injection strategies. 1. Automatic or manual scram did <u>not</u> shutdown the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power > 5%. AND 2. Manual / ARI actions taken at the reactor control consoles are <u>not</u> successful in shutting down the rea as indicated by Reactor Power > 5%.
Table M1 Control Room Parameters • Reactor Power • RPV Water Level • RPV Pressure • Drywell Pressure • Torus Level • Torus Temperature	Table M2 Significant Transients • Turbine Trip • Reactor Scram • ECCS Activation • Recirc. Runback > 25% Reactor Power Change • Thermal Power oscillations > 10% Reactor Power Change	 MA4 UNPLANNED loss of Control Room [12] and indications for 15 minutes or longer with a signific transient in progress. Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note: The Emergency Director should declare the ever promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded. 1. UNPLANNED event results in the inability to monitor ANY Table M1 parameter from within the Control Roof for ≥ 15 minutes. AND 2. ANY Table M2 transient in progress.

HOT MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

	MUЗ		omatic or manual trip fails 12
	Emer	gency	Action Level (EAL):
et of pidly		A ma action inserte driving	nual action is any operator action, or set of s, which causes the control rods to be rapidly ed into the core, and does not include manually g in control rods or implementation of boron on strategies.
ually oron	1.		tomatic scram did <u>not</u> shutdown the reactor as licated by Reactor Power > 5% .
		AN	ID
		rea do	osequent manual / ARI action taken at the actor control consoles is successful in shutting wn the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power 5%.
actor		OR	
	2.		nual scram did <u>not</u> shutdown the reactor as licated by Reactor Power > 5% .
		AN	ID
		b. El	FHER of the following:
		1.	Subsequent manual / ARI action taken at the reactor control consoles is successful in shutting down the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power $\leq 5\%$.
			OR
		2.	Subsequent automatic scram / ARI is successful in shutting down the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power \leq 5%.
g icant	MU4		LANNED loss of Control Room 123 cations for 15 minutes or longer.
	<u>Emer</u>	gency	Action Level (EAL):
ent me	Note:	prom	Emergency Director should declare the event apply upon determining that the applicable time been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
r bom	Table		D event results in the inability to monitor ANY rameter from within the Control Room for s.

	GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	ALERT
ystem Ma	alfunction		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MA5 Hazardous event affecting a 123 SAFETY SYSTEM required for the current operating mode.
			Emergency Action Level (EAL):
			Note: If it is determined that the conditions of MA5 are not met then assess the event via HU3, HU4, or HU6.
			 The occurrence of ANY of the following hazardous events: Seismic event (earthquake) Internal or external flooding event High winds or tornado strike FIRE EXPLOSION Other events with similar hazard characteristics as determined by the Shift Manager AND EITHER of the following: Event damage has caused indications of degraded performance in at least one train of a SAFETY SYSTEM required by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode. OR The event has caused VISIBLE DAMAGE to a SAFETY SYSTEM component or structure required by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode.
-			

Exelon Nuclear

HOT MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

3			
e not J6.			
stics			
fa			
9.			
a			
	MU6	RCS leakage for 15 minutes or longer.	123
	Emerg	gency Action Level (EAL):	
	Note:	The Emergency Director should d promptly upon determining that th has been exceeded, or will likely l	e applicable time
	1.	RCS unidentified or pressure boun Drywell > 10 gpm for \geq 15 minute OR	
	2.	RCS identified leakage in the Dryw > 15 minutes	rell > 25 gpm for
	3.	OR Leakage from the RCS to a locatio Drywell >25 gpm for ≥ 15 minutes	


HOT MATRIX

	GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	ic -		ALERT		
ystem I	Alfunction						
				Table M3 Com	municatio	ns Capabili	ity
				System	Onsite	Offsite	NRC
			P	lant Radio	X		T .
			Р	lant Page	X		
			((Il telephone Lines Commercial and nicrowave)	x	x	x
			E	NS		X	X
			H	IPN		X	X
			s	atellite Phones		X	X

HOT MATRIX

HOT MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT



HOT MATRIX

	GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	ALERT
Hazar	ds and Other conditions Affecting Plant Safety		
Н	G1 HOSTILE ACTION resulting in loss 12345D of physical control of the facility	HS1 HOSTILE ACTION within the 12345D PROTECTED AREA	HA1 HOSTILE ACTION within the 12345 OWNER CONTROLLED AREA or airborne attack threat within 30 minutes.
Hostile Action	 Mergency Action Level (EAL): A notification from the Security Force that a HOSTILE ACTION is occurring or has occurred within the PROTECTED AREA. AND ANY Table H1 safety function <u>cannot</u> be controlled or maintained. OR Damage to spent fuel has occurred or is IMMINENT 	Emergency Action Level (EAL): A notification from the Security Force that a HOSTILE ACTION is occurring or has occurred within the PROTECTED AREA.	 Emergency Action Level (EAL): A validated notification from NRC of an aircraft attack threat < 30 minutes of the site. OR Notification by the Security Force that a HOSTILE ACTION is occurring or has occurred within the OWNER CONTROLED AREA.
Transfer of Plant Control	Table H1 Safety Functions • Reactivity Control (ability to shutdown the reactor and keep it shut down) • RPV Water Level (ability to cool the core) • RCS Heat Removal (ability to maintain a heat sink)	 HS2 Inability to control a key safety 123450 function from outside the Control Room Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note: The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded. A Control Room evacuation has resulted in plant control being transferred from the Control Room to alternate locations per QCARP 0050-01, SB-1-1 Injection with SSMP and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown OR QCARP 0050-02, SB-1-2 Injection with RCIC and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown OR QOA 0010-05, Plant Operation with the Control Room Inaccessible AND Control of ANY Table H1 key safety function is not reestablished in < 30 minutes. 	 HA2 Control Room evacuation resulting 12345 in transfer of plant control to alternate locations Emergency Action Level (EAL): A Control Room evacuation has resulted in plant control being transferred from the Control Room to alternate locations per QCARP 0050-01, SB-1-1 Injection with SSMP ar Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown OR QCARP 0050-02, SB-1-2 Injection with RCIC an Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown OR QOA 0010-05, Plant Operation with the Control Room Inaccessible

-

HOT MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

][] `	HU1 Confirmed SECURITY CONDITION 123450 or threat.	3
E	 Emergency Action Level (EAL): Notification of a credible security threat directed at the site as determined per SY-AA-101-132, Security Assessment and Response to Unusual Activities. OR A validated notification from the NRC providing information of an aircraft threat. OR Notification by the Security Force of a SECURITY CONDITION that does <u>not</u> involve a HOSTILE ACTION. 	
and		
nd		
l		

HOT MATRIX

GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	ALERT
ards and Other conditions Affecting Plant Safety	· · ·	
	······································	
		Table H2 Vital Areas
		Reactor Building (when inerted the Drywell is exempt
		Main Control Room Envelope
		Unit and Shared Emergency Diesel Generator Rooms
		4KV Switchgear Area
		Battery Rooms
		RHR Service Water Vaults
		Turbine Building Cable Tunnel
		Cribhouse
es: 1 – Power Operation 2 – Startup 3 – Hot Shutdown	4 – Cold Shutdown 5 – Refueling D - Defueled	

HOT MATRIX

.

UNUSUAL EVENT

<u> </u>	
HU3	FIRE potentially degrading the level 12345D of safety of the plant.
Emer	gency Action Level (EAL):
Note:	The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
	Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CA2 or MA5
1.	 A FIRE in ANY Table H2 area is <u>not</u> extinguished in 15-minutes of ANY of the following FIRE detection indications: Report from the field (i.e., visual observation) Receipt of multiple (more than 1) fire alarms or
	 Field verification of a single fire alarm
2.	OR a. Receipt of a single fire alarm in ANY Table H2 area (i.e., no other indications of a FIRE). AND
	 b. The existence of a FIRE is <u>not</u> verified in < 30 minutes of alarm receipt.
3.	OR A FIRE within the plant or ISFSI PROTECTED AREA not extinguished in < 60-minutes of the initial report, alarm cr indication. OR
4.	A FIRE within the plant or ISFSI PROTECTED AREA that requires firefighting support by an offsite fire response agency to extinguish.

HOT MATRIX

	GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	ALERT [*]
zards an	d Other conditions Affecting Plant Safety		
}			

HOT MATRIX

HOT MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

HU4 Seismic event greater than OBE levels 12345D **Emergency Action Level (EAL):** Note: Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CA2 or MA5 For emergency classification if EAL 2 is not able to be confirmed, then the occurrence of a seismic event is confirmed in manner deemed appropriate by the Shift Manager or Emergency Director in \leq 15 mins of the event. Seismic event as indicated by: 1. Control Room personnel feel an actual or potential seismic event. AND 2. **ANY** one of the following confirmed in \leq **15 mins** of the event: • The earthquake resulted in Modified Mercalli Intensity $(\dot{M}MI) \ge VI$ and occurred ≤ 3.5 miles of the plant. • The earthquake was magnitude \geq 6.0 • The earthquake was magnitude **> 5.0** and occurred < 125 miles of the plant.

HOT MATRIX

		Table H3 Areas with Entry Related Mode Applicability			Gaseous release impeding access to 34 equipment necessary for normal plant operations
	Area	Unit	Entry Related Mode Applicability		cooldown or shutdown.
	Reactor Building		mode Applicability		rgency Action Level (EAL):
C Gas	First Floor North Wall	1		Note	: If the equipment in the listed room or area was already inoperable, or out of service, before the event occurred, then no emergency classification
Toxic	Second Floor North Wall	1	Mode 3 and 4		is warranted.
	First Floor South Wall	2		1.	Release of a toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gas in ANY Table H3 area.
	Second Floor South Wall	2			AND
	High Pressure Heater Bay	1&2			Entry into the room or area is prohibited or
	MSIV Room	1	Mode 3		impeded
	Second Floor Turbine Bldg. N.E. Corner	2			'
Hazardous Event			·		

HOT MATRIX

	8 6 12 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		UNUSUAL E	VENT
4 ns,				
as the ion				
	1	6 Hazardo ergency A	us Event ction Level (EAL):	12345D
	Not		fog, snow, ice, or ve	utine traffic impediments hicle breakdowns or
			on of the emergency C CA2 or MA5	classification level would
	1.	Tornado st OR	rike within the PROT	ECTED AREA.
	2.	require ma SAFETY S	inual or automatic ele	required by Technical
	3.	Movement impeded d	t of personnel within t ue to an offsite event e.g., an offsite chemi	
	4.	sufficient to	us event that results prohibit the plant sta al vehicles.	in on-site conditions aff from accessing the site
	5.	Abnormal a.	River level, as indica High river level > 59 OR	-
		ь.	by site personnel a	al reduction in river level nd confirmation by the that Dam #14 has failed.

HOT MATRIX

-

	GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	ALERT
Hazards and	Other conditions Affecting Plant Safety	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
judo dec	er conditions exist which in the 12345D gment of the Emergency Director warrant laration of a GENERAL EMERGENCY.	 HS7 Other conditions exist which in the 12345D judgment of the Emergency Director warrant declaration of a SITE AREA EMERGENCY. Emergency Action Level (EAL): 	 HA7 Other conditions exist which in the 12345D judgment of the Emergency Director warrant declaration of an ALERT. Emergency Action Level (EAL):
Other cor Emergen have occu core degr containm actual los reasonab Guideline site area.	nditions exist which in the judgment of the cy Director indicate that events are in progress or urred which involve actual or IMMINENT substantial adation or melting with potential for loss of ent integrity or HOSTILE ACTION that results in an s of physical control of the facility. Releases can be ly expected to exceed EPA Protective Action exposure levels offsite for more than the immediate	Cherce Conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Director indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which involve actual or likely major failures of plant functions needed for protection of the public or HOSTILE ACTION that results in intentional damage or malicious acts, (1) toward site personnel or equipment that could lead to the likely failure of or, (2) that prevent effective access to equipment needed for the protection of the public. Any releases are not expected to result in exposure levels which exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels beyond the site boundary.	Cher conditions exist which, in the judgment of the Emergency Director, indicate that events are in progress of have occurred which involve an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant or security event that involves probable life threatening risk to site personnel or damage to site equipment because of HOSTILE ACTION. Any releases are expected to be limited to small fractions of the EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels.
Emergency Director Judgment			
Emerg			
Modes: HOT MATR	1 – Power Operation 2 – Startup 3 – Hot Shu	tdown 4 – Cold Shutdown 5 – Refueling D – Defu	eled

HOT MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

sor ora

HU7 Other conditions exist which in the 12345D judgment of the Emergency Director warrant declaration of an UNUSUAL EVENT.

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

.

Emergency Action Level (EAL): Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Director indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which indicate a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant or indicate a security threat to facility protection has been initiated. No releases of radioactive material requiring c to offsite response or monitoring are expected unless further nited degradation of safety systems occurs.

HOT MATRIX

	GENERAL EMERGENCY	-	SITE AREA EMERGENCY		ALERT	
SFSI Malfunction						
		_				
	·					
odes: DT MA	1 – Power Operation 2 – Startup 3	3 – Hot Shutdown	4 – Cold Shutdown 5 – Refueling	D - Defueled		

.

HOT MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

E-HU1 Damage to a loaded cask 12345D CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY. Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Damage to a loaded cask CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY as indicated by an on-contact radiation reading:

1. HI-STORM (labeled as xxx-A2, or xxx-A3)

- > 40 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on top of the spent fuel cask
 - OR
- > 220 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on the side of the spent fuel cask, excluding inlet and outlet ducts

OR

- 2. HI-STORM (labeled as xxx-A8.1)
 - > 60 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on top of the spent fuel cask
 OR
 - > 600 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on the side of the spent fuel cask, excluding inlet and outlet ducts

HOT MATRIX

Notes:

•

•

.

Effluents

Radiological

minutes.

are available.

Rate).

OR

OR

EITHER:

2.

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

GENERAL EMERGENCY

The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly

upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded,

If an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is

unknown, assume that the release duration has exceeded 15

Classification based on effluent monitor readings assumes that

a release path to the environment is established. If the effluent

flow past an effluent monitor is known to have stopped due to

The pre-calculated effluent monitor values presented in EAL #1

should be used for emergency classification assessments until

the results from a dose assessment using actual meteorology

The sum of readings on the Rx Bldg and Chimney SPINGs

> 3.84 E+09 uCi/sec for > 15 minutes (as determined by

Control Room Panels or PPDS - Total Noble Gas Release

Dose assessment using actual meteorology indicates doses at

or beyond the site boundary of EITHER:

OR

OR

inhalation.

a. > 1000 mRem TEDE

b. > 5000 mRem CDE Thyroid

3. Field survey results at or beyond the site boundary indicate

a. Gamma (closed window) dose rates >1000 mR/hr

> 5000 mRem CDE Thyroid for 60 minutes of

are expected to continue for > 60 minutes.

b. Analyses of field survey samples indicate

actions to isolate the release path, then the effluent monitor

reading is no longer valid for classification purposes.

resulting in offsite dose greater than 1,000 mRem TEDE

Abnormal Rad Levels / Radiological Effluents

RG1 Release of gaseous radioactivity

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

or will likely be exceeded.

or 5,000 mRem thyroid CDE.

SITE AREA EMERGENCY

_		
RS1	Release of gaseous radioactivity resulting in offsite dose greater than or 500 mRem thyroid CDE.	12345D 100 mRem TEDE

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Notes:

12345D

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- If an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown, assume that the release duration has exceeded 15 minutes.
- Classification based on effluent monitor readings assumes that a release path to the environment is established. If the effluent flow past an effluent monitor is known to have stopped due to actions to isolate the release path, then the effluent monitor reading is no longer valid for classification purposes.
- The pre-calculated effluent monitor values presented in EAL #1 should be used for emergency classification assessments until the results from a dose assessment using actual meteorology are available.
- The sum of readings on the Rx Bldg and Chimney SPINGs
 > 3.84 E+08 uCi/sec for ≥ 15 minutes (as determined by Control Room Panels or PPDS – Total Noble Gas Release Rate).

OR

- Dose assessment using actual meteorology indicates doses at or beyond the site boundary of EITHER:
 - a. > 100 mRem TEDE

OR

- b. > 500 mRem CDE Thyroid
- OR

3 – Hot Shutdown

- 3. Field survey results at or beyond the site boundary indicate **EITHER**:
 - Gamma (closed window) dose rates >100 mR/hr are expected to continue for > 60 minutes.

OR

 Analyses of field survey samples indicate
 > 500 mRem CDE Thyroid for 60 minutes of inhalation. **RA1** Release of gaseous or liquid 12345D radioactivity resulting in offsite dose greater than 10 mrem TEDE or 50 mrem thyroid CDE.

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Notes:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded or will likely be exceeded.
- If an ongoing release is detected and the release start time unknown, assume that the release duration has exceeded 1 minutes.
- Classification based on effluent monitor readings assumes ta a release path to the environment is established. If the effluflow past an effluent monitor is known to have stopped due actions to isolate the release path, then the effluent monitor reading is no longer valid for classification purposes.
- The pre-calculated effluent monitor values presented in EAI #1 should be used for emergency classification assessmen until the results from a dose assessment using actual meteorology are available.
- The sum of readings on the Rx Bldg and Chimney SPINGs
 > 3.84 E+07 uCi/sec for ≥ 15 minutes (as determined by Control Room Panels or PPDS – Total Noble Gas Release Rate).

 OR
- 2. Dose assessment using actual meteorology indicates doses or beyond the site boundary of **EITHER**:
 - a. > 10 mRem TEDE OB
 - b. > 50 mRem CDE Thyroid
 - OR

D - Defueled

- 3. Analysis of a liquid effluent sample indicates a concentration or release rate that would result in doses greater than EITHER of the following at or beyond the site boundary

 a. 10 mRem TEDE for 60 minutes of exposure
 OR
 b. 50 mRem CDE Thyroid for 60 minutes of exposure
 OR

 4. Field survey results at or beyond the site boundary indicate EITHER:

 a. Gamma (closed window) dose rates > 10 mR/hr are expected to continue for ≥ 60 minutes.
 - b. Analyses of field survey samples indicate
 > 50 mRem CDE Thyroid for 60 minutes of inhalation.

Modes:	1 – Power Operation	2 – Startup
COLD	SHUTDOWN / REFUELING M	ATRIX

4 – Cold Shutdown

5 - Refueling

Exelon Nuclear

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

	RU1 Any release of gaseous or liquid 12345D radioactivity to the environment greater than 2 times the ODCM for 60 minutes or longer.
	Emergency Action Level (EAL): Notes:
ed,	 The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
is 15	 If an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown, assume that the release duration has exceeded 60 minutes.
that ent to	• Classification based on effluent monitor readings assumes that a release path to the environment is established. If the effluent flow past an effluent monitor is known to have stopped due to actions to isolate the release path, then the effluent monitor reading is no longer valid for classification purposes.
L ts	 Reading on ANY of the following effluent monitors 2 times alarm setpoint established by a current radioactive release discharge permit for ≥ 60 minutes.
	 Radwaste Effluent Monitor 1/2-1799-01
	OR
	 Discharge Permit specified monitor
at	OR
	 The sum of readings on the Rx Bldg and Chimney SPINGs > 4.38 E+05 uCi/sec for ≥ 60 minutes (as determined by Control Room Panels or PPDS – Total Noble Gas Release Rate).
n	OR
	 Confirmed sample analyses for gaseous or liquid releases indicate concentrations or release rates > 2 times ODCM Limit with a release duration of ≥ 60 minutes.

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

123450 (2)-1901-121. 0.60 ft. as indicated on l 0.60 ft. as indicated on l 123450 0.60 ft. as indicated on l 1011 123450 123450 1011 1121.	RA2 Significant lowering of water 12345 level above, or damage to, irradiated fuel. Emergency Action Level (EAL): 1. Uncovery of irradiated fuel in the REFUELING PATHWAY. OR 2. Damage to irradiated fuel resulting in a release of radioactivity from the fuel as indicated by ANY Table R1 Radiation Monitor reading >1000 mRem/hr. OR 3. Lowering of spent fuel pool level to 10.20 ft. as indicated on LI-1(2)-1901-121. RA3 Radiation levels that impede 12345		
(2)-1901-121. 0 0.60 ft . as indicated on l R2 nuous Occupancy Jnit 1 ARM Station #22) – (by survey) R3 Mode Applicability Entry Related Mode	level above, or damage to, irradiated fuel. Emergency Action Level (EAL): 1. Uncovery of irradiated fuel in the REFUELING PATHWAY. OR 2. Damage to irradiated fuel resulting in a release of radioactivity from the fuel as indicated by ANY Table R1 Radiation Monitor reading >1000 mRem/hr. OR 3. Lowering of spent fuel pool level to 10.20 ft. as indicated on LI-1(2)-1901-121. RA3 Radiation levels that impede		
0.60 ft. as indicated on R2 nuous Occupancy Jnit 1 ARM Station #22) - (by survey) R3 Mode Applicability Entry Related Unit Mode	LI- 1. Uncovery of irradiated fuel in the REFUELING PATHWAY. OR 2. Damage to irradiated fuel resulting in a release of radioactivity from the fuel as indicated by ANY Table R1 Radiation Monitor reading >1000 mRem/hr. OR 3. Lowering of spent fuel pool level to 10.20 ft. as indicated on LI-1(2)-1901-121. RA3 Radiation levels that impede 12345		
R2 nuous Occupancy Jnit 1 ARM Station #22) – (by survey) R3 Mode Applicability Entry Related Unit Mode	 Concovery of irradiated fuel in the REPOELING PATHWAY. OR 2. Damage to irradiated fuel resulting in a release of radioactivity from the fuel as indicated by ANY Table R1 Radiation Monitor reading >1000 mRem/hr. OR 3. Lowering of spent fuel pool level to 10.20 ft. as indicated on LI-1(2)-1901-121. 		
Juit 1 ARM Station #22) - (by survey) - (by surve	radioactivity from the fuel as indicated by ANY Table R1 Radiation Monitor reading >1000 mRem/hr. OR 3. Lowering of spent fuel pool level to 10.20 ft. as indicated on LI-1(2)-1901-121. RA3 Radiation levels that impede 12345		
- (by survey) R3 Mode Applicability Entry Related Unit Mode	3. Lowering of spent fuel pool level to 10.20 ft. as indicated on LI-1(2)-1901-121. RA3 Radiation levels that impede 123450		
Mode Applicability Entry Related Unit Mode			
Unit Mode			
	access to equipment necessary for normal plant		
	operations, cooldown or shutdown.		
1	Emergency Action Level (EAL):		
1 Mode 3 and 4	Note: If the equipment in the listed room or area was already inoperable, or out of service, before the event occurred, then no emergency classification is		
2	warranted		
2	 1. Dose rate > 15 mR/hr in ANY of the areas contained in Table R2. OR 		
1&2	2. An UNPLANNED event results in radiation levels that		
1 Mode 3	prohibit or significantly impede access to any of the areas contained in Table R3.		
2			
	2 1 & 2 1 Mode 3		

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

Exelon Nuclear

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

	RU2 Unplanned loss of water level 12345D above irradiated fuel.
	Emergency Action Level (EAL):
	1. a. UNPLANNED water level drop in the REFUELING PATHWAY as indicated by ANY of the following:
f	 Refueling Cavity water level < 282 in. (Upper Wide range simulated signal).
	OR
	 Spent Fuel Pool water level < 19 ft. above the fuel (< -4 ft. indicated level).
	OR
	 Indication or report of a drop in water level in the REFUELING PATHWAY.
	AND
	 b. UNPLANNED Area Radiation Monitor reading rise on ANY radiation monitors in Table R1.
L I	
is	
l in	
t	

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

	GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	ALERT
old Sh	utdown / Refueling System Malfunctions		
			 CA1 Loss of all offsite and onsite AC power 450 to emergency busses for 15 minutes or longer. Emergency Action Level (EAL):
		· · ·	Note: The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
			 Loss of ALL offsite AC power to unit ECCS buses. AND
			 Failure of Unit EDG 1(2), and shared EDG 1/2 emergency diesel generators to supply power to un ECCS busses.
			 AND 3. Failure to restore power to at least one unit ECCS bus in < 15 minutes from the time of loss of both offsite and onsite AC power.
	· · ·		CA2 Hazardous event affecting SAFETY 4 SYSTEM required for the current operating mode. Emergency Action Level (EAL):
			Note: If it is determined that the conditions of CA2 are no met then assess the event via HU3, HU4, or HU6.
			1. The occurrence of ANY of the following hazardous events:
			 Seismic event (earthquake) Internal or external flooding event High winds or tornado strike FIRE EXPLOSION Other events with similar hazard characteristics as determined by the St Manager
			AND 2. EITHER of the following:
			a. Event damage has caused indications of degraded performance in at least one train a SAFETY SYSTEM required by Technica Specifications for the current operating mo OR
			b. The event has caused VISIBLE DAMAGE a SAFETY SYSTEM component or structu required by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode.

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

CU1 Loss of all but one AC power source 450 to emergency buses for 15 minutes or longer.

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

- **Note:** The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- 1. AC power capability to unit ECCS buses reduced to only one of the following power sources for \geq 15 minutes.
 - Reserve auxiliary Transformer TR-12 (TR-22)
 - Unit auxiliary transformer TR-11 (TR-21)
 - Unit Emergency Diesel Generator
 - Shared Emergency Diesel Generator
 - Unit crosstie breakers


AND

2. **ANY** additional single power source failure will result in a loss of **ALL** AC power to SAFETY SYSTEMS.

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX EP-AA-1006 Addendum 3 (Revision 4)

utdown / Refueling System Malfunctions								
					Table C1 Comm	nunications	Capability	,
					System	Onsite	Offsite	NR
				Pla	nt Radio	X		
				Pla	nt Page	X		
				(Co	telephone Lines ommercial and crowave)	x	x	×
				EN	S		X	
				HP	N		X X	> >
		,	•					
	Table C2	RCS Heat-up Duration	n Thresholds	CA5	Inability to mainta	in plant in co	old	
	Table C2 RCS Status	RCS Heat-up Duration Containment Closure Status	n Thresholds Heat-up Duration		shutdown ency Action Level	s (EAL):		
	RCS	Containment Closure Status Not Applicable	Heat-up Duration 60 minutes*		shutdown ency Action Levels The Emergency D promptly upon dete	s (EAL): irector shou ermining tha	ld declare t t the applic	he e able
	RCS Status	Containment Closure Status	Heat-up Duration	Emerg	shutdown ency Action Levels The Emergency D promptly upon dete has been exceede A momentary UNF Technical Specific	s (EAL): irector shou ermining tha d, or will like PLANNED e ation cold sh	ld declare t t the applic ely be exce xcursion at nutdown ten	able eded oove nper
	RCS Status Intact	Containment Closure Status Not Applicable	Heat-up Duration 60 minutes*	Emerg Note:	shutdown ency Action Levels The Emergency D promptly upon dete has been exceede A momentary UNF Technical Specifica limit when heat rer not warrant classif	s (EAL): irector shou ermining that d, or will like PLANNED e ation cold sh noval functio ication.	ld declare t t the applic ely be exce xcursion at nutdown ten on is availa	he e able eded nper ble d
	RCS Status Intact Not Intact * If an RCS h within this tin	Containment Closure Status Not Applicable Established	Heat-up Duration 60 minutes* 20 minutes* 0 minutes n operation perature is being	Emerg	shutdown ency Action Levels The Emergency D promptly upon deta has been exceede A momentary UNF Technical Specific limit when heat rer not warrant classif	s (EAL): irector shou ermining that d, or will like PLANNED e ation cold sh noval functio ication. in RCS tem ion.	ld declare t t the applic ely be exce xcursion al nutdown ter on is availa perature >	he e able eded pove nper ble d 212 °

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX



COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	ALERT
Shutdown / Refueling System Malfunctions		
CG6 Loss of RPV inventory affecting fuel clad 45 integrity with containment challenged.	CS6 Loss of RPV inventory affecting core 45 decay heat removal capabilities.	CA6 Loss of RPV inventory
Emergency Action Level (EAL):	Emergency Action Level (EAL):	Emergency Action Level (EAL):
Note: The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.	Note: The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.	Note: The Emergency Director should declare the e promptly upon determining that the applicable has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded
 a. RPV Level < -142 inches (TAF) for ≥ 30 minutes. AND b. ANY Containment Challenge Indication (Table C4) 	 With CONTAINMENT CLOSURE <u>not</u> established, RPV level < -65 inches 	 Loss of RPV inventory as indicated by level <-59 inches. OR
OR	OR	
 a. RPV level <u>cannot</u> be monitored for <u>> 30 minutes</u>. AND 	2. With CONTAINMENT CLOSURE established, RPV level < -142 inches (TAF)	 a. RPV level <u>cannot</u> be monitored for ≥ 15 minutes.
b. Core uncovery is indicated by ANY of the following:	OR	AND
 Table C3 indications of a sufficient magnitude to indicate core uncovery. OR 	3. a. RPV level <u>cannot</u> be monitored for ≥ 30 minutes	b. Loss of RPV inventory per Table C3 indications
 Fuel Handling ARM 1(2)-1705-16A or B 	AND	
>3000 mR/hr.	b.Core uncovery is indicated by ANY of the following:	
AND	 Table C3 indications of a sufficient magnitude to indicate core uncovery. 	
c. ANY Containment Challenge Indication (Table C4)	OR	
Table C3 Indications of RCS Leakage	Fuel Handling ARM 1(2)-1705-16A or B	
UNPLANNED floor or equipment sump level rise*	>3000 mR/hr.	
UNPLANNED Torus level rise*		
UNPLANNED vessel make up rate rise		
 Observation of leakage or inventory loss 		
*Rise in level is attributed to a loss of RPV inventory		
Table C4 Containment Challenge Indications		
 Primary Containment Hydrogen Concentration <u>></u> 6% and Oxygen <u>></u> 5% 		
UNPLANNED rise in containment pressure		
 CONTAINMENT CLOSURE <u>not</u> established* 		
 ANY Secondary Containment radiation monitor > QGA 300, Maximum Safe operating level. 		
* if CONTAINMENT CLOSURE is re-established prior to		
exceeding the 30-minute core uncovery time limit, then escalation to a General Emergency is not required.		
esociation to a denotal Emergency is not required.		

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT 45 CU6 UNPLANNED loss of RPV inventory for 15 minutes or longer. Emergency Action Level (EAL): **Note:** The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded. 1. UNPLANNED loss of reactor coolant results in the inability to restore and maintain RPV level to above the procedurally established lower limit for > 15 minutes. OR 2. a. RPV level <u>cannot</u> be monitored AND b. Loss of RPV inventory per Table C3 indications.

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	ALERT
rds and Other conditions Affecting Plant Safety	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
of physical control of the facility	HS1 HOSTILE ACTION within the 12345D PROTECTED AREA	HA1 HOSTILE ACTION within the 12345D OWNER CONTROLLED AREA or airborne attack threat within 30 minutes.
 A notification from the Security Force that a HOSTILE ACTION is occurring or has occurred within the PROTECTED AREA. AND 	Emergency Action Level (EAL): A notification from the Security Force that a HOSTILE ACTION is occurring or has occurred within the PROTECTED AREA.	 Emergency Action Level (EAL): 1. A validated notification from NRC of an aircraft attack threat < 30 minutes from the site. OR 2. Notification by the Security Force that a HOSTILE ACTION is occurring or has occurred within the OWNER CONTROLED AREA.
Table H1 Safety Functions • Reactivity Control (ability to shutdown the reactor and keep it shut down) • RPV Water Level (ability to cool the core) • RCS Heat Removal (ability to maintain a heat sink)	 HS2 Inability to control a key safety [12]3[4]5]D function from outside the Control Room Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note: The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded. 1. A Control Room evacuation has resulted in plant control being transferred from the Control Room to alternate locations per QCARP 0050-01, SB-1-1 Injection with SSMP and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown OR QCARP 0050-02, SB-1-2 Injection with RCIC and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown OR QOA 0010-05, Plant Operation with the Control Room Inaccessible AND 2. Control of ANY Table H1 key safety function is not reestablished in < 30 minutes. 	 HA2 Control Room evacuation resulting 12345D in transfer of plant control to alternate locations Emergency Action Level (EAL): A Control Room evacuation has resulted in plant control being transferred from the Control Room to alternate locations per QCARP 0050-01, SB-1-1 Injection with SSMP and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown OR QCARP 0050-02, SB-1-2 Injection with RCIC and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown OR QOA 0010-05, Plant Operation with the Control Room Inaccessible
]]	of physical control of the facility Emergency Action Level (EAL): 1. A notification from the Security Force that a HOSTILE ACTION is occurring or has occurred within the PROTECTED AREA. AND 2. a. ANY Table H1 safety function cannot be controlled or maintained. OR b. Damage to spent fuel has occurred or is IMMINENT Table H1 Safety Functions Reactivity Control (ability to shutdown the reactor and keep it shut down) • RPV Water Level (ability to cool the core)	HG1 HOSTILE ACTION resulting in loss 123450 of physical control of the facility PROTECTED AREA 123450 Emergency Action Level (EAL): Anotification from the Security Force that a HOSTILE ACTION is occurring or has occurred within the PROTECTED AREA. Emergency Action Level (EAL): Anotification from the Security Force that a HOSTILE ACTION is occurred within the PROTECTED AREA. AND a. ANY Table H1 safety function cannot be controlled or maintained. OR PROTECTED AREA. Damage to spent fuel has occurred or is IMMINENT Image to spent fuel has occurred or is IMMINENT Image to spent fuel has occurred or is IMMINENT Reactivity Control (ability to control a keep it shut down) RPV Water Level (ability to cool the core) RCS Heat Removal (ability to maintain a heat sink) RCS Heat Removal (ability to maintain a heat sink) OR QCARP 0050-01, SB-1-1 Injection with SSMP and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown OR OR OCARP 0050-02, SB-1-2 Injection with RCIC and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown OR OCARP 0050-02, SB-1-2 Injection with the Control Room Inaccessible

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

HU1	Confirmed SECURITY CONDITION or threat.	12345D
1.	site as determined per SY-AA-101-13	2, Security
2.	A validated notification from the NRC information of an aircraft threat. OR	providing
3.		
	<u>Emer</u> 1. 2.	or threat. Emergency Action Level (EAL): 1. Notification of a credible security threasite as determined per SY-AA-101-133 Assessment and Response to Unusua OR 2. A validated notification from the NRC information of an aircraft threat. OR 3. Notification by the Security Force of a CONDITION that does <u>not</u> involve a H

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX SITE AREA EMERGENCY ALERT **GENERAL EMERGENCY** Hazards and Other conditions Affecting Plant Safety Table H2 Vital Areas • Reactor Building (when inerted the Drywell is exempt) Main Control Room Envelope • Unit and Shared Emergency Diesel Generator Rooms Fire • 4KV Switchgear Area Battery Rooms ٠ RHR Service Water Vaults • Turbine Building Cable Tunnel Cribhouse 1 - Power Operation 3 - Hot Shutdown Modes: 2 – Startup 4 - Cold Shutdown 5 - Refueling D - Defueled

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

низ	FIRE potentially degrading the level 12345D of safety of the plant.
Eme	rgency Action Level (EAL):
Note	: The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
	Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CA2 or MA5
1.	A FIRE in ANY Table H2 area is <u>not</u> extinguished in < 15-minutes of ANY of the following FIRE detection indications:
	 Report from the field (i.e., visual observation) Receipt of multiple (more than 1) fire alarms or indications
	• Field verification of a single fire alarm
2.	a. Receipt of a single fire alarm in ANY Table H2 area (i.e., no other indications of a FIRE).
	 b. The existence of a FIRE is <u>not</u> verified in < 30 minutes of alarm receipt.
3.	OR A FIRE within the plant or ISFSI PROTECTED AREA not extinguished in < 60-minutes of the initial report, alarm or indication. OR
4.	A FIRE within the plant or ISFSI PROTECTED AREA that requires firefighting support by an offsite fire response agency to extinguish.
1	

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

EP-AA-1006 Addendum 3 (Revision 4)

GENERAL EMERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	ALERT	
zards and Other conditions Affecting Plant Safety	·		
			HU4 Seismic event greater than OBE levels 1234
			Emergency Action Level (EAL):
		-	Note: Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CA2 or MA5
			For emergency classification if EAL 2 is not able to confirmed, then the occurrence of a seismic even confirmed in manner deemed appropriate by the Manager or Emergency Director in \leq 15 mins of event.
			Seismic event as indicated by:
			1. Control Room personnel feel an actual or potential seism event.
			AND
			 ANY one of the following confirmed in ≤ 15 mins of the event:
			 The earthquake resulted in Modified Mercalli Intensity (MMI) <u>VI</u> and occurred <u>S</u> 3.5 miles of plant.
			 The earthquake was magnitude <u>> 6.0</u>
			 The earthquake was magnitude <u>> 5.0</u> and occur <u>< 125 miles</u> of the plant.

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

-

GENERAL EMERGENCY

SITE AREA EMERGENCY

ALERT

Hazards and Other conditions Affecting Plant Safety

Big of the second flow for the Bid of the Second Plant Bid of								
Point Area with Entry Related took Applicability Area with Area Applicability Area with Area Applicability Area with Area Applicability Area with Area Applicability Area Applicability Area Area Area Area Area Area Area Area				Table		1	HA5 Gaseous release impeding access to 3	
and other Area Unit Node depisionity week depisionity i Packet Building Image: Second Floor Near Near Intel Toor South Wat Image: Second Floor Near Near Intel Tool Intel Second Floor Near Near Intel Tool Second Floor Near Near Intel Second Floor Near Near Near Intel Second Floor Near Near Near Near Intel Second Floor Near Near Near Near Near Near Near Nea						-		
Bit Standard Find Floor Morth Wall Find Floor Morth Wall<				Area	Unit	Entry Related Mode Applicability		
G 000 First Ploor Mort Wall Mode 3 and 4 Second Floor South Wall First Ploor Mort Wall Wall Wall Wall			Reactor E	Juilding				
Modes: 1 - Power Operation 2 - Statup 3 - Hot Shutdown 4 - Cekd Shutdown 5 - Refueling 0 - Detweidd	c Gas		•	First Floor North Wall	1		already inoperable, or out of service, before t	
Modes: 1 - Power Operation 2 - Statup 3 - Hot Shutdown 4 - Celd Shutdown 5 - Refueling 0 - Defueled	oxi		Second Floor North Wall Mode 3 and 4					
High Pressure Heater Bay 1 2 1 USV Room 1 Second Floor Turbine Bidg, N.E. 2 AND AND • Mode 3 • Mode 3 • High Pressure Heater Bay Modes: 1 - Power Operation 2 - Statup 3 - Hot Shutdown 4 - Cold Shutdown 5 - Retueling D. Defueled	-		•	First Floor South Wall	2		1. Release of a toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or	
Image: Process in the day 1 a k Image: Massing of Floor Turbine Bidg, N.E. 2 Becond Floor Turbine Bidg, N.E. 2 Correr Adde 3 Image: Mode 3 <th></th> <th></th> <th>•</th> <th>Second Floor South Wall</th> <th>2</th> <th></th> <th></th>			•	Second Floor South Wall	2			
Height room 1 Mode 1 Back room Score 2 Impediad Imp			High Pres	sure Heater Bay	1&2	_		
Image: 1 - Power Operation 2 - Statup 3 - Hot Shutdown 4 - Cold Shutdown 5 - Refueling D - Defueled					1	Mode 3		
Modes: 1 – Power Operation 2 – Startup 3 – Hot Shutdown 4 – Cold Shutdown 5 – Refueling D - Defueled COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX				loor Turbine Bldg. N.E.	2			
Modes: 1 – Power Operation 2 – Startup 3 – Hot Shutdown 4 – Cold Shutdown 5 – Refueling D - Defueled COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX	us Event							
COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX	-							
		des: 1 – Power Operation 2 – Startup 3	- Hot Shutdown	4 – Cold Shutdov	wn	5 - Refueling	D - Defueled	
						QC 2-22		

Exelon Nuclear

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

4 ns,		
vas the tion		
	HU6 Hazardous Event 12345D	
	Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note: EAL #4 does not apply to routine traffic impediments such as fog, snow, ice, or vehicle breakdowns or accidents.	
	Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CA2 or MA5 1. Tornado strike within the PROTECTED AREA.	
	 OR Internal room or area flooding of a magnitude sufficient to require manual or automatic electrical isolation of a SAFETY SYSTEM component required by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode. OR 	
	 Movement of personnel within the PROTECTED AREA is impeded due to an offsite event involving hazardous materials (e.g., an offsite chemical spill or toxic gas release). OR 	
	 A hazardous event that results in on-site conditions sufficient to prohibit the plant staff from accessing the site via personal vehicles. OR 	
	5. Abnormal River level, as indicated by EITHER : a. High river level > 594 ft. OR	
	b. Report of substantial reduction in river level by site personnel and confirmation by the Corp. of Engineers that Dam #14 has failed	

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

EP-AA-1006 Addendum 3 (Revision 4)

GENERAL EMERGENCY

SITE AREA EMERGENCY

ALERT

Hazards and Other	conditions	Affecting	Plant	Safety

	HG7 Other conditions exist which in the 12345D judgment of the Emergency Director warrant declaration of a GENERAL EMERGENCY.	HS7 Other conditions exist which in the 12345D judgment of the Emergency Director warrant declaration of a SITE AREA EMERGENCY.	HA7 Other conditions exist which in the 12345[judgment of the Emergency Director warrant declaration of an ALERT.
	Emergency Action Level (EAL): Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Director indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which involve actual or IMMINENT substantial core degradation or melting with potential for loss of containment integrity or HOSTILE ACTION that results in an actual loss of physical control of the facility. Releases can be reasonably expected to exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels offsite for more than the immediate site area.	Emergency Action Level (EAL): Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Director indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which involve actual or likely major failures of plant functions needed for protection of the public or HOSTILE ACTION that results in intentional damage or malicious acts, (1) toward site personnel or equipment that could lead to the likely failure of or, (2) that prevent effective access to equipment needed for the protection of the public. Any releases are not expected to result in exposure levels which exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels beyond the site boundary.	Emergency Action Level (EAL): Other conditions exist which, in the judgment of the Emergency Director, indicate that events are in progress have occurred which involve an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant security event that involves probable life threatening risk site personnel or damage to site equipment because of HOSTILE ACTION. Any releases are expected to be lim to small fractions of the EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels.
Emergency Director Judgment			
gency Directo			
Emer	· · ·		

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT

HU7 Other conditions exist which in the 12345D judgment of the Emergency Director warrant declaration of an UNUSUAL EVENT. D **Emergency Action Level (EAL):** Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Director indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which indicate a potential degradation of the level of safety of s or the plant or indicate a security threat to facility protection has or a been initiated. No releases of radioactive material requiring to offsite response or monitoring are expected unless further degradation of safety systems occurs. nited

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX


EP-AA-1006 Addendum 3 (Revision 4)

GENERAL EI	MERGENCY	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	ALERT
FSI Malfunction			
des: 1 – Power (Operation 2 – Startup 3 – He	ot Shutdown 4 – Cold Shutdown 5 – Refueling	D - Defueled

COLD SHUIDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

UNUSUAL EVENT



COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING MATRIX

RG1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

Initiating Condition:

Release of gaseous radioactivity resulting in offsite dose greater than 1000 mRem TEDE or 5000 mRem thyroid CDE.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Notes:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- If an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown, assume that the release duration has exceeded 15 minutes.
- Classification based on effluent monitor readings assumes that a release path to the environment is established. If the effluent flow past an effluent monitor is known to have stopped due to actions to isolate the release path, then the effluent monitor reading is no longer valid for classification purposes.
- The pre-calculated effluent monitor values presented in EAL #1 should be used for emergency classification assessments until the results from a dose assessment using actual meteorology are available.
- The sum of readings on the Rx Bldg and Chimney SPINGs > 3.84 E+09 uCi/sec for <u>> 15 minutes</u> (as determined by Control Room Panels or PPDS – Total Noble Gas Release Rate).

OR

- 2. Dose assessment using actual meteorology indicates doses at or beyond the site boundary of **EITHER**:
 - a. > 1000 mRem TEDE

OR

b. > 5000 mRem CDE Thyroid

OR

- 3. Field survey results at or beyond the site boundary indicate **EITHER**:
 - a. Gamma (closed window) dose rates >1000 mR/hr are expected to continue for > 60 minutes.

OR

b. Analyses of field survey samples indicate > **5000 mRem CDE Thyroid** for **60 minutes** of inhalation.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY

ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS RG1 (cont)

This IC addresses a release of gaseous radioactivity that results in projected or actual offsite doses greater than or equal to the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). It includes both monitored and un-monitored releases. Releases of this magnitude will require implementation of protective actions for the public.

Radiological effluent EALs are also included to provide a basis for classifying events and conditions that cannot be readily or appropriately classified on the basis of plant conditions alone. The inclusion of both plant condition and radiological effluent EALs more fully addresses the spectrum of possible accident events and conditions.

The TEDE dose is set at the EPA PAG of 1000 mRem while the 5000 mRem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, AG1
- 2. EP-AA-112-500 Emergency Environmental Monitoring
- 3. EP-EAL-0606 Revision 2, Criteria for Choosing Radiological Gaseous Effluent EAL Threshold Values Quad Cities Station
- 4. QGA 400 Radioactivity Release Control

E. S.

RS1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY

ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

Initiating Condition:

Release of gaseous radioactivity resulting in offsite dose greater than 100 mRem TEDE or 500 mRem thyroid CDE.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Notes:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- If an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown, assume that the release duration has exceeded 15 minutes.
- Classification based on effluent monitor readings assumes that a release path to the environment is established. If the effluent flow past an effluent monitor is known to have stopped due to actions to isolate the release path, then the effluent monitor reading is no longer valid for classification purposes.
- The pre-calculated effluent monitor values presented in EAL #1 should be used for emergency classification assessments until the results from a dose assessment using actual meteorology are available.
- The sum of readings on the Rx Bldg and Chimney SPINGs > 3.84 E+08 uCi/sec for ≥ 15 minutes (as determined by Control Room Panels or PPDS – Total Noble Gas Release Rate).

OR

2. Dose assessment using actual meteorology indicates doses at or beyond the site boundary of **EITHER**:

a. > 100 mRem TEDE

OR

b. > 500 mRem CDE Thyroid

OR

- 3. Field survey results at or beyond the site boundary indicate EITHER:
 - a. Gamma (closed window) dose rates >100 mR/hr are expected to continue for > 60 minutes.

OR

b. Analyses of field survey samples indicate > 500 mRem CDE Thyroid for 60 minutes of inhalation.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS RS1 (cont)

Basis:

This IC addresses a release of gaseous radioactivity that results in projected or actual offsite doses greater than or equal to 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). It includes both monitored and un-monitored releases. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

Radiological effluent EALs are also included to provide a basis for classifying events and conditions that cannot be readily or appropriately classified on the basis of plant conditions alone. The inclusion of both plant condition and radiological effluent EALs more fully addresses the spectrum of possible accident events and conditions.

The TEDE dose is set at 10% of the EPA PAG of 1000 mRem while the 500 mRem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC RG1.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, AS1
- 2. EP-AA-112-500 Emergency Environmental Monitoring
- 3. EP-EAL-0606 Revision 2, Criteria for Choosing Radiological Gaseous Effluent EAL Threshold Values Quad Cities Station

RA1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY

ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

Initiating Condition:

Release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity resulting in offsite dose greater than 10 mRem TEDE or 50 mRem thyroid CDE.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Notes:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- If an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown, assume that the release duration has exceeded 15 minutes.
- Classification based on effluent monitor readings assumes that a release path to the environment is established. If the effluent flow past an effluent monitor is known to have stopped due to actions to isolate the release path, then the effluent monitor reading is no longer valid for classification purposes.
- The pre-calculated effluent monitor values presented in EAL #1 should be used for emergency classification assessments until the results from a dose assessment using actual meteorology are available.
- The sum of readings on the Rx Bldg and Chimney SPINGs > 3.84 E+07 uCi/sec for ≥ 15 minutes (as determined by Control Room Panels or PPDS – Total Noble Gas Release Rate).

OR

- 2. Dose assessment using actual meteorology indicates doses at or beyond the site boundary of **EITHER**:
 - a. > 10 mRem TEDE

OR

b. > 50 mRem CDE Thyroid

OR

RECOGNITION CATEGORY

ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS **R Δ1** (

RA1 (cont)

Emergency Action Level (EAL) (cont):

- 3. Analysis of a liquid effluent sample indicates a concentration or release rate that would result in doses greater than **EITHER** of the following at or beyond the site boundary
 - a. **10 mRem** TEDE for **60 minutes** of exposure

OR

b. **50 mRem** CDE Thyroid for **60 minutes** of exposure

OR

- 4. Field survey results at or beyond the site boundary indicate **EITHER**:
 - a. Gamma (closed window) dose rates > 10 mR/hr are expected to continue for \ge 60 minutes.

OR

b. Analyses of field survey samples indicate > 50 mRem CDE Thyroid for 60 minutes of inhalation.

Basis: Basis

This IC addresses a release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity that results in projected or actual offsite doses greater than or equal to 1% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). It includes both monitored and un-monitored releases. Releases of this magnitude represent an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a radiological release that significantly exceeds regulatory limits (e.g., a significant uncontrolled release).

Radiological effluent EALs are also included to provide a basis for classifying events and conditions that cannot be readily or appropriately classified on the basis of plant conditions alone. The inclusion of both plant condition and radiological effluent EALs more fully addresses the spectrum of possible accident events and conditions.

The TEDE dose is set at 1% of the EPA PAG of 1000 mRem while the 50 mRem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC RS1.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS RA1 (cont)

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, AA1
- 2. CY-QC-120-729 Liquid Effluent Monitor Alarm Setpoints
- 3. CY-QC-120-737 Radioactive Liquid Discharge Batch Analysis
- 4. CY-QC-110-602 Radwaste System Sampling
- 5. QOP 2000-24, Discharging to the River from the River Discharge Tank using the Waste Surge Pump
- 6. QOP 2000-25, Discharging to the River from the River Discharge Tank using the River Discharge Pump
- 7. CY-QC-120-729, Liquid Effluent Alarm Setpoints
- 8. QCOA 1700-02, High Radiation detected on Eberline Radiation Monitoring System
- 9. QCAN 912-5 C-6, Radwaste High Rad.
- 10. QCAN 901(2)-3-G-1, Liquid Process Rad. Monitor High Radiation
- 11. CY-QC-120-735, Main Chimney & Reactor Vent Noble Gas Release Rate Action Levels
- 12. QCOA 1700-01, Abnormal Chimney Radiation
- 13. EP-EAL-0606 Revision 2, Criteria for Choosing Radiological Gaseous Effluent EAL Threshold Values Quad Cities Station
- 14. QGA 400 Radioactivity Release Control

RU1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY

ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

Initiating Condition:

Release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity greater than 2 times the ODCM limits for 60 minutes or longer.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Notes:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- If an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown, assume that the release duration has exceeded 60 minutes.
- Classification based on effluent monitor readings assumes that a release path to the environment is established. If the effluent flow past an effluent monitor is known to have stopped due to actions to isolate the release path, then the effluent monitor reading is no longer valid for classification purposes.
 - 1. Reading on **ANY** of the following effluent monitors > 2 times alarm setpoint established by a current radioactive release discharge permit for ≥ 60 minutes.
 - Radwaste Effluent Monitor 1/2-1799-01

OR

• Discharge Permit specified monitor

OR

 The sum of readings on the Rx Bldg and Chimney SPINGs > 4.38 E+05 uCi/sec for ≥ 60 minutes (as determined by Control Room Panels or PPDS – Total Noble Gas Release Rate).

OR

 Confirmed sample analyses for gaseous or liquid releases indicate concentrations or release rates > 2 times ODCM Limit with a release duration of > 60 minutes.

Basis: A the second of the second second

This IC addresses a potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a low-level radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time (e.g., an uncontrolled release). It includes any gaseous or liquid radiological release, monitored or un-monitored, including those for which a radioactivity discharge permit is normally prepared.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

RU1 (cont)

Basis (cont):

Nuclear power plants incorporate design features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, and to control and monitor intentional releases. The occurrence of an extended, uncontrolled radioactive release to the environment is indicative of degradation in these features and/or controls.

Radiological effluent EALs are also included to provide a basis for classifying events and conditions that cannot be readily or appropriately classified on the basis of plant conditions alone. The inclusion of both plant condition and radiological effluent EALs more fully addresses the spectrum of possible accident events and conditions.

Releases should not be prorated or averaged. For example, a release exceeding 4 times release limits for 30 minutes does not meet the EAL.

EAL #1 Basis

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases that cause effluent radiation monitor readings to exceed 2 times the limit established by a radioactivity discharge permit. This EAL will typically be associated with planned batch releases from non-continuous release pathways (e.g., radwaste, waste gas).

The effluent monitors listed are those normally used for planned discharges. If a discharge is performed using a different flowpath or effluent monitor other than those listed (e.g., a portable or temporary effluent monitor), then the declaration criteria will be based on the monitor specified in the Discharge Permit.

EAL #2 Basis

This EAL addresses normally occurring continuous radioactivity releases from monitored gaseous effluent pathways.

EAL #3 Basis

This EAL addresses uncontrolled gaseous or liquid releases that are detected by sample analyses or environmental surveys, particularly on unmonitored pathways (e.g., spills of radioactive liquids into storm drains, heat exchanger leakage in river water systems, etc.).

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC RA1.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, AU1
- 2. CY-QC-120-729 Liquid Effluent Monitor Alarm Setpoints
- 3. CY-QC-120-737 Radioactive Liquid Discharge Batch Analysis
- 4. CY-QC-110-602 Radwaste System Sampling
- 5. EP-EAL-0606 Revision 2, Criteria for Choosing Radiological Gaseous Effluent EAL Threshold Values Quad Cities Station

RG2

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

Initiating Condition:

Spent fuel pool level cannot be restored to at least 0.60 ft. as indicated on LI-1(2)-1901-121 for 60 minutes or longer.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note: The Emergency Director should declare the General Emergency promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.

Spent fuel pool level cannot be restored to at least **0.60 ft.** as indicated on Ll-1(2)-1901-121 for **60 minutes** or longer.

Basis:

This IC addresses a significant loss of spent fuel pool inventory control and makeup capability leading to a prolonged uncovery of spent fuel. This condition will lead to fuel damage and a radiological release to the environment.

It is recognized that this IC would likely not be met until well after another General Emergency IC was met; however, it is included to provide classification diversity.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, AG2

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

RS2 Initiating Condition:

Spent fuel pool level at 0.60 ft. as indicated on LI-1(2)-1901-121.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Lowering of spent fuel pool level to 0.60 ft. as indicated on LI-1(2)-1901-121.

Basis:

This IC addresses a significant loss of spent fuel pool inventory control and makeup capability leading to IMMINENT fuel damage. This condition entails major failures of plant functions needed for protection of the public and thus warrant a Site Area Emergency declaration.

It is recognized that this IC would likely not be met until well after another Site Area Emergency IC was met; however, it is included to provide classification diversity.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC RG1 or RG2.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, AS2

RA2

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

Initiating Condition:

Significant lowering of water level above, or damage to, irradiated fuel.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

1. Uncovery of irradiated fuel in the REFUELING PATHWAY.

OR

2. Damage to irradiated fuel resulting in a release of radioactivity from the fuel as indicated by **ANY** Table R1 Radiation Monitor reading **>1000 mRem/hr.**

OR

3. Lowering of spent fuel pool level to 10.20 ft. as indicated on LI-1(2)-1901-121.

Table R1
Fuel Handling Incident Radiation Monitors

- 1(2) 1705-16A Fuel Pool Rad Monitor
- 1(2) 1705-16B Fuel Pool Rad Monitor

Basis:

<u>REFUELING PATHWAY</u>: all the cavities, tubes, canals and pools through which irradiated fuel may be moved or stored, but not including the reactor vessel below the flange.

<u>IMMINENT</u>: The trajectory of events or conditions is such that an EAL will be met within a relatively short period of time regardless of mitigation or corrective actions.

<u>CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY</u>: The irradiated fuel dry storage cask barrier(s) between areas containing radioactive substances and the environment.

This IC addresses events that have caused IMMINENT or actual damage to an irradiated fuel assembly. These events present radiological safety challenges to plant personnel and are precursors to a release of radioactivity to the environment. As such, they represent an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

This IC applies to irradiated fuel that is licensed for dry storage up to the point that the loaded storage cask is sealed. Once sealed, damage to a loaded cask causing loss of the CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY is classified in accordance with IC E-HU1.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS RA2 (cont)

Basis (cont):

EAL #1 Basis

This EAL escalates from RU2 in that the loss of level, in the affected portion of the REFUELING PATHWAY, is of sufficient magnitude to have resulted in uncovery of irradiated fuel. Indications of irradiated fuel uncovery may include direct or indirect visual observation (e.g., reports from personnel or camera images), as well as significant changes in water and radiation levels, or other plant parameters. Computational aids may also be used (e.g., a boil-off curve). Classification of an event using this EAL should be based on the totality of available indications, reports and observations.

While an area radiation monitor could detect an rise in a dose rate due to a lowering of water level in some portion of the REFUELING PATHWAY, the reading may not be a reliable indication of whether or not the fuel is actually uncovered. To the degree possible, readings should be considered in combination with other available indications of inventory loss.

A drop in water level above irradiated fuel within the reactor vessel may be classified in accordance Recognition Category C during the Cold Shutdown and Refueling modes.

EAL #2 Basis

This EAL addresses a release of radioactive material caused by mechanical damage to irradiated fuel. Damaging events may include the dropping, bumping or binding of an assembly, or dropping a heavy load onto an assembly. A rise in readings on radiation monitors should be considered in conjunction with in-plant reports or observations of a potential fuel damaging event (e.g., a fuel handling accident).

EAL #3 Basis:

Spent fuel pool water level at this value is within the lower end of the level range necessary to prevent significant dose consequences from direct gamma radiation to personnel performing operations in the vicinity of the spent fuel pool. This condition reflects a significant loss of spent fuel pool water inventory and thus it is also a precursor to a loss of the ability to adequately cool the irradiated fuel assembles stored in the pool.

Escalation of the emergency would be based on either Recognition Category Ror C ICs.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, AA2
- 2. QCOA 1900-01 Loss of Water Level in the Fuel Storage Pool or Reactor Cavity
- 3. QCAN 901(2)-3 B-1 Refuel Floor Hi Radiation
- 4. QCAN 901(2)-3 G-16/H-16 Fuel Pool Channel A/B Hi Radiation
- 5. QCIS 1700-07 Reactor Building Ventilation and Fuel Pool Radiation Monitoring Calibration and Functional Test

RU2

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

Initiating Condition:

UNPLANNED loss of water level above irradiated fuel.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

- 1. a. UNPLANNED water level drop in the REFUELING PATHWAY as indicated by **ANY** of the following:
 - Refueling Cavity water level < 282 in. (Upper Wide range simulated signal).

OR

Spent Fuel Pool water level < 19 ft. above the fuel (< - 4 ft. indicated level).

OR

• Indication or report of a drop in water level in the REFUELING PATHWAY.

AND

b. UNPLANNED Area Radiation Monitor reading rise on **ANY** radiation monitors in Table R1.

	Table R1
Fuel H	landling Incident Radiation Monitors
•	1(2) 1705-16A Fuel Pool Rad Mon

• 1(2) 1705-16B Fuel Pool Rad Mon

RECOGNITION CATEGORY

ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS RU2 (cont)

Basis:

<u>UNPLANNED</u>: A parameter change or an event that is not 1) the result of an intended evolution or 2) an expected plant response to a transient. The cause of the parameter change or event may be known or unknown.

<u>REFUELING PATHWAY</u>: all the cavities, tubes, canals and pools through which irradiated fuel may be moved or stored, but not including the reactor vessel below the flange.

This IC addresses a loss in water level above irradiated fuel sufficient to cause elevated radiation levels. This condition could be a precursor to a more serious event and is also indicative of a minor loss in the ability to control radiation levels within the plant. It is therefore a potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant.

A water level loss will be primarily determined by indications from available level instrumentation. Other sources of level indications may include reports from plant personnel (e.g., from a refueling crew) or video camera observations (if available) or from any other temporarily installed monitoring instrumentation. A significant drop in the water level may also cause an rise in the radiation levels of adjacent areas that can be detected by monitors in those locations.

The effects of planned evolutions should be considered. For example, a refueling bridge area radiation monitor reading may rise due to planned evolutions such as lifting of the reactor vessel head or movement of a fuel assembly. Note that this EAL is applicable only in cases where the elevated reading is due to an UNPLANNED loss of water level.

A drop in water level above irradiated fuel within the reactor vessel may be classified in accordance Recognition Category C during the Cold Shutdown and Refueling modes.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC RA2.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, AU2
- 2. QCOP 0201-13 Reactor Level Upper Wide Range Reference Leg Extension Use and Control
- 3. Technical Specifications 3.7.8 Spent Fuel Storage Pool Water Level
- 4. Technical Specifications 3.9.6 Reactor Pressure Vessel (RPV) Water Level----Irradiated Fuel
- 5. QCAN 901(2)-4 B-24 FUEL POOL STORAGE HI/LO LEVEL
- 6. QCOA 1900-01 Loss of Water Level in the Fuel Storage Pool or Reactor Cavity

RA3

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

Initiating Condition:

Radiation levels that impede access to equipment necessary for normal plant operations, cooldown or shutdown.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- If the equipment in the room or area listed in Table R3 was already inoperable, or out of service, before the event occurred, then no emergency classification is warranted.
- 1. Dose rate > 15 mR/hr in ANY of the following Table R2 areas:

A	Table R2reas Requiring Continuous Occupancy
•	Main Control Room (Unit 1 ARM Station #22)

• Central Alarm Station – (by survey)

OR

2. UNPLANNED event results in radiation levels that prohibit or significantly impede access to **ANY** of the following Table R3 plant rooms or areas:

Table R3 Areas with Entry Related Mode Applicability		
Area	Unit	Entry Related Mode Applicability
Reactor Building		Mode 3 and 4
First Floor North Wall	1	
Second Floor North Wall	1	
First Floor South Wall	2	
Second Floor South Wall	2	
High Pressure Heater Bay	1&2	Mode 3
MSIV Room	1	
Second Floor Turbine Bldg. N.E. Corner	2	

RECOGNITION CATEGORY

ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS RA3 (cont)

Basis

<u>UNPLANNED</u>: A parameter change or an event that is not 1) the result of an intended evolution or 2) an expected plant response to a transient. The cause of the parameter change or event may be known or unknown.

This IC addresses elevated radiation levels in certain plant rooms/areas sufficient to preclude or impede personnel from performing actions necessary to transition the plant from normal plant operation to cooldown and shutdown as specified in normal plant procedures. As such, it represents an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant. The Emergency Director should consider the cause of the increased radiation levels and determine if another IC may be applicable.

Assuming all plant equipment is operating as designed, normal operation is capable from the Main Control Room (MCR). The plant is also able to transition into a hot shutdown condition from the MCR, therefore Table R3 is a list of plant rooms or areas with entryrelated mode applicability that contain equipment which require a manual/local action necessary to transition the plant from normal plant operation to cooldown and shutdown as specified in normal operating procedures (establish shutdown cooling), where if this action is not completed the plant would not be able to attain and maintain cold shutdown. This Table does not include rooms or areas for which entry is required solely to perform actions of an administrative or record keeping nature (e.g., normal rounds or routine inspections).

Rooms and areas listed in EAL #1 do not need to be included in EAL #2, including the Control Room.

For EAL #2, an Alert declaration is warranted if entry into the affected room/area is, or may be, procedurally required during the plant operating mode in effect and the elevated radiation levels preclude the ability to place shutdown cooling in service. The emergency classification is not contingent upon whether entry is actually necessary at the time of the increased radiation levels. Access should be considered as impeded if extraordinary measures are necessary to facilitate entry of personnel into the affected room/area (e.g., installing temporary shielding beyond that required by procedures, requiring use of nonroutine protective equipment, requesting an extension in dose limits beyond normal administrative limits).

An emergency declaration is not warranted if any of the following conditions apply.

- The plant is in an operating mode different than the mode specified for the affected room/area (i.e., entry is not required during the operating mode in effect at the time of the elevated radiation levels). For example, the plant is in Mode 1 when the radiation rise occurs, and the procedures used for normal operation, cooldown and shutdown do not require entry into the affected room until Mode 4.
- The increased radiation levels are a result of a planned activity that includes compensatory measures which address the temporary inaccessibility of a room or area (e.g., radiography, spent filter or resin transfer, etc.).

RECOGNITION CATEGORY

ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS RA3 (cont)

Basis (cont):

- The action for which room/area entry is required is of an administrative or record keeping nature (e.g., normal rounds or routine inspections).
- The access control measures are of a conservative or precautionary nature, and would not actually prevent or impede a required action.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via Recognition Category R, C or F ICs.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, AA3
- 2. QCOP 1800-1 Operation of ARM Indicator/Trip Units
- 3. UFSAR Section 3.2
- 4. General Arrangement Drawings M-5, 6, 8 and 10

RU3

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ABNORMAL RAD LEVELS / RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

Initiating Condition:

Reactor coolant activity greater than Technical Specification allowable limits.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

- 1. Offgas system radiation monitor **HI-HI** alarm. **OR**
- 2. Specific coolant activity > 4.0 uCi/gm Dose equivalent I-131.

Basis:

This IC addresses a reactor coolant activity value that exceeds an allowable limit specified in Technical Specifications. This condition is a precursor to a more significant event and represents a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

Conditions that cause the specified monitor to alarm that are not related to fuel clad degradation should not result in the declaration of an Unusual Event.

This EAL addresses site-specific radiation monitor readings that provide indication of a degradation of fuel clad integrity.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via ICs FA1 or the Recognition Category R ICs.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SU3
- 2. Technical Specifications 3.4.6
- 3. Technical Specifications 3.7.6
- 4. QCAN 901(2)-3 C-2 OFF GAS HIGH-HIGH RADIATION

FG1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Initiating Condition:

Loss of ANY Two Barriers AND Loss or Potential Loss of the third barrier.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Refer to Fission Product Barrier Loss and Potential Loss threshold values to determine barrier status.

Basis:

Fuel Cladding, RCS and Containment comprise the fission product barriers.

At the General Emergency classification level each barrier is weighted equally.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Initiating Condition:

FS1

Loss or Potential Loss of ANY two barriers.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Refer to Fission Product Barrier Loss and Potential Loss threshold values to determine barrier status. Basis: The Alexandre Alexandre Alexandre Alexandre Alexandre Alexandre Alexandre Alexandre Alexandre Alexandre

Fuel Cladding, RCS and Containment comprise the fission product barriers.

At the Site Area Emergency classification level, each barrier is weighted equally.

Basis Reference(s):

NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2 1.

FA1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Initiating Condition:

ANY Loss or ANY Potential Loss of EITHER Fuel Clad or RCS.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Refer to Fission Product Barrier Loss and Potential Loss threshold values to determine barrier status.

Basis:

Fuel Cladding, RCS and Containment comprise the fission product barriers.

At the Alert classification level, Fuel Cladding and RCS barriers are weighted more heavily than the Containment barrier. Unlike the Containment barrier, loss or potential loss of either the Fuel Cladding or RCS barrier may result in the relocation of radioactive materials or degradation of core cooling capability. Note that the loss or potential loss of Containment barrier in combination with loss or potential loss of either Fuel Cladding or RCS barrier results in declaration of a Site Area Emergency under EAL FS1.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2

FC1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Initiating Condition:

RCS Activity

Operating Mode Applicability:

1.2.3

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

LOSS

Coolant activity > 300 uCi/gm Dose Equivalent I-131. シール 化学学 人 語 シーロージー 松子を一下 一部分 なた 寝門 深門 保守 多く 調

Basis:

This threshold indicates that RCS radioactivity concentration is greater than 300 µCi/gm dose equivalent I-131. Reactor coolant activity above this level is greater than that expected for iodine spikes and corresponds to an approximate range of 2% to 5% fuel clad damage. Since this condition indicates that a significant amount of fuel clad damage has occurred, it represents a loss of the Fuel Clad Barrier.

It is recognized that sample collection and analysis of reactor coolant with highly elevated activity levels could require several hours to complete. Nonetheless, a sample-related threshold is included as a backup to other indications.

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with RCS Activity.

- NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2 1.
- NF-AA-430, Failed Fuel Action Plan 2.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Initiating Condition:

RPV Water Level

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

1. Plant conditions indicate Primary Containment flooding is required.

POTENTIAL LOSS

2. RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be restored and maintained > -142 inches (TAF)

OR

3. RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be determined.

Basis:

RPV values are actual levels, not indicated levels. Therefore, they may need level compensation depending on conditions.

Loss Threshold #1 Basis

The Loss threshold represents the EOP requirement for primary containment flooding. This is identified in the BWROG EOPs/SAMGs when the phrase, "Primary Containment Flooding Is Required," appears. Since a site-specific RPV water level is not specified here, the Loss threshold phrase, "Primary containment flooding required," also accommodates the EOP need to flood the primary containment when RPV water level cannot be determined and core damage due to inadequate core cooling is believed to be occurring.

Potential Loss Threshold #2 and #3 Basis

This water level corresponds to the top of the active fuel and is used in the EOPs to indicate a challenge to core cooling.

The RPV water level threshold is the same as RCS Barrier RC2 Loss threshold. Thus, this threshold indicates a Potential Loss of the Fuel Clad barrier and a Loss of the RCS barrier that appropriately escalates the emergency classification level to a Site Area Emergency.

This threshold is considered to be exceeded when, as specified in the site-specific EOPs, RPV water level cannot be restored and maintained above the specified level following depressurization of the RPV (either manually, automatically or by failure of the RCS barrier) or when procedural guidance or a lack of low pressure RPV injection sources preclude Emergency RPV depressurization. EOPs allow the operator a wide choice of RPV injection sources to consider when restoring RPV water level to within prescribed limits. EOPs also specify depressurization of the RPV in order to facilitate RPV water level control with low-pressure injection sources. In some events, elevated

FC2 (cont)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Basis (cont):

RPV pressure may prevent restoration of RPV water level until pressure drops below the shutoff heads of available injection sources. Therefore, this Fuel Clad barrier Potential Loss is met only after either: 1) the RPV has been depressurized, or required emergency RPV depressurization has been attempted, giving the operator an opportunity to assess the capability of low-pressure injection sources to restore RPV water level or 2) no low pressure RPV injection systems are available, precluding RPV depressurization in an attempt to minimize loss of RPV inventory.

The term "cannot be restored and maintained above" means the value of RPV water level is not able to be brought above the specified limit (top of active fuel). The determination requires an evaluation of system performance and availability in relation to the RPV water level value and trend. A threshold prescribing declaration when a threshold value cannot be restored and maintained above a specified limit does not require immediate action simply because the current value is below the top of active fuel, but does not permit extended operation below the limit; the threshold must be considered reached as soon as it is apparent that the top of active fuel cannot be attained.

Entry into the "Steam Cooling" leg of the EOP's would be an example of an inability to "restore and maintain" level above TAF resulting in this threshold being met.

In high-power ATWS/failure to scram events, EOPs may direct the operator to deliberately lower RPV water level in order to reduce reactor power. Although such action is a challenge to core cooling and the Fuel Clad barrier, the immediate need to reduce reactor power is the higher priority. For such events, ICs MA3 or MS3 will dictate the need for emergency classification.

Since the loss of ability to determine if adequate core cooling is being provided presents a significant challenge to the fuel clad barrier, a potential loss of the fuel clad barrier is specified.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2
- 2. QGA 100 RPV Control
- 3. QGA 101 RPV Control (ATWS)
- QGA 500-4 RPV Flooding 4.

FC5

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Initiating Condition:
Primary Containment Radiation
Operating Mode Applicability:
1, 2, 3
Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:
LOSS
Drywell radiation monitor reading > 6.65 E+02 R/hr.

Basis:

The radiation monitor reading corresponds to an instantaneous release of all reactor coolant mass into the primary containment, assuming that reactor coolant activity equals $300 \ \mu$ Ci/gm dose equivalent I-131. Reactor coolant activity above this level is greater than that expected for iodine spikes and corresponds to an approximate range of 2% to 5% fuel clad damage. Since this condition indicates that a significant amount of fuel clad damage has occurred, it represents a loss of the Fuel Clad Barrier.

The radiation monitor reading in this threshold is higher than that specified for RCS Barrier RC5 Loss Threshold since it indicates a loss of both the Fuel Clad Barrier and the RCS Barrier. Note that a combination of the two monitor readings appropriately escalates the emergency classification level to a Site Area Emergency.

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with Primary Containment Radiation.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2
- 2. Core Damage Assessment Methodology (CDAM)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Initiating Condition:

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

<u>LOSS</u>

1. Any condition in the opinion of the Emergency Director that indicates Loss of the Fuel Clad Barrier.

POTENTIAL LOSS

2. Any condition in the opinion of the Emergency Director that indicates Potential Loss of the Fuel Clad Barrier.

Basis:

Loss Threshold #1 Basis

This threshold addresses any other factors that are to be used by the Emergency Director in determining whether the Fuel Clad Barrier is lost.

Potential Loss Threshold #2 Basis

This threshold addresses any other factors that may be used by the Emergency Director in determining whether the Fuel Clad Barrier is potentially lost. The Emergency Director should also consider whether or not to declare the barrier potentially lost in the event that barrier status cannot be monitored.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2

RC2

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Initiating Condition: RPV Water Level

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

1. RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be restored and maintained > -142 inches (TAF)

OR

2. RPV water level **<u>cannot</u>** be determined.

Basis:

RPV values are actual levels, not indicated levels. Therefore, they may need level compensation depending on conditions.

This water level corresponds to the top of active fuel and is used in the EOPs to indicate challenge to core cooling.

The RPV water level threshold is the same as Fuel Clad Barrier FC2 Potential Loss threshold. Thus, this threshold indicates a Loss of the RCS barrier and Potential Loss of the Fuel Clad barrier and that appropriately escalates the emergency classification level to a Site Area Emergency.

This threshold is considered to be exceeded when, as specified in the site-specific EOPs, RPV water level cannot be restored and maintained above the specified level following depressurization of the RPV (either manually, automatically or by failure of the RCS barrier) or when procedural guidance or a lack of low pressure RPV injection sources preclude Emergency RPV depressurization EOPs allow the operator a wide choice of RPV injection sources to consider when restoring RPV water level to within prescribed limits. EOPs also specify depressurization of the RPV in order to facilitate RPV water level control with low-pressure injection sources. In some events, elevated RPV pressure may prevent restoration of RPV water level until pressure drops below the shutoff heads of available injection sources. Therefore, this RCS barrier Loss is met only after either: 1) the RPV has been depressurized, or required emergency RPV depressurization has been attempted, giving the operator an opportunity to assess the capability of low-pressure injection sources to restore RPV water level or 2) no low pressure RPV injection systems are available, precluding RPV depressurization in an attempt to minimize loss of RPV inventory.

The term, "cannot be restored and maintained above," means the value of RPV water level is not able to be brought above the specified limit (top of active fuel). The determination requires an evaluation of system performance and availability in relation to the RPV water level value and trend. A threshold prescribing declaration when a threshold value *cannot* be restored and maintained above a specified limit does not require immediate action simply because the current value is below the top of active

RC2 (cont)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Basis (cont):

fuel, but does not permit extended operation beyond the limit; the threshold must be considered reached as soon as it is apparent that the top of active fuel cannot be attained.

Entry into the "Steam Cooling" leg of the EOP's would be an example of an inability to "restore and maintain" level above TAF resulting in this threshold being met.

In high-power ATWS/failure to scram events, EOPs may direct the operator to deliberately lower RPV water level in order to reduce reactor power. Although such action is a challenge to core cooling and the Fuel Clad barrier, the immediate need to reduce reactor power is the higher priority. For such events, ICs MA3 or MS3 will dictate the need for emergency classification.

There is no RCS Potential Loss threshold associated with RPV Water Level.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2
- 2. QGA 100 RPV Control
- 3. QGA 500-4 RPV Flooding

RC3

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Initiating Condition: Primary Containment Pressure

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

<u>LOSS</u>

1. Drywell pressure >2.5 psig.

AND

2. Drywell pressure rise is due to RCS leakage.

Basis:

The > 2.5 psig primary containment pressure is the Drywell high pressure setpoint which indicates a LOCA by automatically initiating ECCS.

The second threshold focuses the fission product barrier loss threshold on a failure of the RCS instead of the non-LOCA malfunctions that may adversely affect primary containment pressure. Pressures of this magnitude can be caused by non-LOCA events such as a loss of Drywell cooling or inability to control primary containment vent/purge.

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with Primary Containment Pressure.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2
- 2. QGA 100 RPV Control
- 3. QGA 200 Primary Containment Control

RC4

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Initiating Condition:

RCS Leak Rate

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

- <u>LOSS</u>
- 1. UNISOLABLE Main Steam Line (MSL), HPCI, Feedwater, RWCU or RCIC line break. **OR**
- 2. Emergency RPV Depressurization is required.

POTENTIAL LOSS

- 3. UNISOLABLE primary system leakage that results in **EITHER** of the following:
 - a. Secondary Containment area temperature > QGA 300 Maximum Normal operating levels.

OR

b. Secondary Containment area radiation level > QGA 300 Maximum Normal operating level.

Basis:

<u>UNISOLABLE</u>: An open or breached system line that cannot be isolated, remotely or locally.

Failure to isolate the leak, within 15 minutes or if known that the leak cannot be isolated within 15 minutes, from the start of the leak requires immediate classification.

Classification of a system break over system leakage is based on information available to the Control Room from the event. Indications that should be considered are:

- Reports describing magnitude of steam or water release.
- Use of system high flow alarms / indications, if available,
- Significant changes in makeup requirements,
- Abnormal reactor water level changes in response to the event.

The use of the above indications provides the Control Room the bases to determine that the on going event is more significant than the indications that would be expected from system leakage and therefore should be considered a system break.

Loss Threshold #1 Basis

Large high-energy lines that rupture outside primary containment can discharge significant amounts of inventory and jeopardize the pressure-retaining capability of the RCS until they are isolated. If it is determined that the ruptured line cannot be promptly isolated, the RCS barrier Loss threshold is met.

Basis (cont):

Loss Threshold #2 Basis

Emergency RPV Depressurization in accordance with the EOPs is indicative of a loss of the RCS barrier. If Emergency RPV Depressurization is performed, the plant operators are directed to open safety relief valves (SRVs) and keep them open. Even though the RCS is being vented into the Torus, a Loss of the RCS barrier exists due to the diminished effectiveness of the RCS to retain fission products within its boundary.

Potential Loss Threshold #3 Basis

Potential loss of RCS based on primary system leakage outside the primary containment is determined from EOP temperature or radiation Max Normal Operating values in areas such as main steam line tunnel, RCIC, HPCI, etc., which indicate a direct path from the RCS to areas outside primary containment.

A Max Normal Operating value is the highest value of the identified parameter expected to occur during normal plant operating conditions with all directly associated support and control systems functioning properly.

The indicators reaching the threshold barriers and confirmed to be caused by RCS leakage from a primary system warrant an Alert classification. A primary system is defined to be the pipes, valves, and other equipment which connect directly to the RPV such that a reduction in RPV pressure will effect a decrease in the steam or water being discharged through an unisolated break in the system.

In general, multiple indications should be used to determine if a primary system is discharging outside Primary Containment. For example, a high area radiation condition does not necessarily indicate that a primary system is discharging into the Reactor Building since this may be caused by radiation shine from nearby steam lines or the movement of radioactive materials. Conversely, a high area radiation condition in conjunction with other indications (e.g. room flooding, high area temperatures, reports of steam in the Reactor Building, an unexpected rise in Feedwater flowrate, or unexpected Main Turbine Control Valve closure) may indicate that a primary system is discharging into the Reactor Building.

An UNISOLABLE leak which is indicated by Max Normal Operating values escalates to a Site Area Emergency when combined with Containment Barrier CT6 Loss Threshold #1 (after a containment isolation) and a General Emergency when the Fuel Clad Barrier criteria is also exceeded.

RC4 (cont)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2
- 2. M-13 Main steam piping
- 3. UFSAR 5.2.5
- 4. QCOA 0201-01 Rev 016, Increasing Drywell Pressure
- 5. QOA 900-4 A-17 900-4 A-17 Annunciator
- 6. QCOS 1600-07 Reactor Coolant Leakage In The Drywell
- 7. QGA 300 Secondary Containment Control

Exelon Nuclear

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

RC5 Initiating Condition:

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

<u>LOSS</u>

Drywell radiation monitor reading > 100R/hr.

Basis:

The radiation monitor reading corresponds to an instantaneous release of all reactor coolant mass into the primary containment, assuming that reactor coolant activity equals Technical Specification allowable limits. This value is lower than that specified for Fuel Clad Barrier FC5 Loss Threshold since it indicates a loss of the RCS Barrier only.

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with Primary Containment Radiation.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2
- 2. Calc. EP-EAL-0611

RC7

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Initiating Condition:

Emergency Director Judgment.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

<u>LOSS</u>

1. Any condition in the opinion of the Emergency Director that indicates Loss of the RCS Barrier.

POTENTIAL LOSS

2. Any condition in the opinion of the Emergency Director that indicates Potential Loss of the RCS Barrier.

Basis:

Loss Threshold #1 Basis

This threshold addresses any other factors that are to be used by the Emergency Director in determining whether the RCS Barrier is lost.

Potential Loss Threshold #2 Basis

This threshold addresses any other factors that may be used by the Emergency Director in determining whether the RCS Barrier is potentially lost. The Emergency Director should also consider whether or not to declare the barrier potentially lost in the event that barrier status cannot be monitored.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2

Initiating Condition:

CT2

RPV Water Level

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

POTENTIAL LOSS

Plant conditions indicate Primary Containment flooding is required.

Basis: Real Man Add Control (1994) (1994) (1994) (1994) (1994) (1994) (1994) (1994) (1994) (1994) (1994) (1994)

The Potential Loss threshold is identical to the Fuel Clad Barrier FC2 Loss threshold RPV Water Level. The Potential Loss requirement for Primary Containment Flooding indicates adequate core cooling cannot be restored and maintained and that core damage is possible. BWR EOPs/SAMGs specify the conditions that require primary containment flooding. When primary containment flooding is required, the EOPs are exited and SAMGs are entered. Entry into SAMGs is a logical escalation in response to the inability to restore and maintain adequate core cooling.

PRA studies indicate that the condition of this Potential Loss threshold could be a core melt sequence which, if not corrected, could lead to RPV failure and increased potential for primary containment failure. In conjunction with the RPV water level Loss thresholds in the Fuel Clad and RCS barrier columns, this threshold results in the declaration of a General Emergency.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2
- 2. QGA 100 RPV Control
- 3. QGA 101 RPV Control (ATWS)
- 4. QGA 500-4 RPV Flooding

Initiating Condition: Primary Containment Conditions Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

<u>LOSS</u>

1. UNPLANNED rapid drop in Drywell pressure following Drywell pressure rise.

OR

2. Drywell pressure response <u>not</u> consistent with LOCA conditions.

POTENTIAL LOSS

3. Drywell pressure > **56 psig** and rising.

OR

4. a. Drywell or torus hydrogen concentration $\geq 6\%$.

AND

b. Drywell or torus oxygen concentration \geq 5%.

OR

5. Heat Capacity Limit (QGA 200, Figure M) exceeded.

Basis:

<u>UNPLANNED</u>: A parameter change or an event that is not 1) the result of an intended evolution or 2) an expected plant response to a transient. The cause of the parameter change or event may be known or unknown.

Loss Threshold #1 and #2 Basis

Rapid UNPLANNED loss of primary containment pressure (i.e., not attributable to Drywell spray or condensation effects) following an initial pressure rise indicates a loss of primary containment integrity. Primary containment pressure should rise as a result of mass and energy release into the primary containment from a LOCA. Thus, primary containment pressure not increasing under these conditions indicates a loss of primary containment integrity.

These thresholds rely on operator recognition of an unexpected response for the condition and therefore a specific value is not assigned. The unexpected (UNPLANNED) response is important because it is the indicator for a containment bypass condition. A pressure suppression bypass path would **not** be an indication of a containment breach.

Basis (cont):

CT3 (cont)

Potential Loss Threshold #3 Basis

The threshold pressure is the primary containment internal design pressure. Structural acceptance testing demonstrates the capability of the primary containment to resist pressures greater than the internal design pressure. A pressure of this magnitude is greater than those expected to result from any design basis accident and, thus, represent a Potential Loss of the Containment barrier.

Potential Loss Threshold #4 Basis

If hydrogen concentration reaches or exceeds the lower flammability limit, as defined in plant EOPs, in an oxygen rich environment, a potentially explosive mixture exists. If the combustible mixture ignites inside the primary containment, loss of the Containment barrier could occur.

Potential Loss Threshold #5 Basis

The HCTL is a function of RPV pressure, Torus temperature and Torus water level. It is utilized to preclude failure of the containment and equipment in the containment necessary for the safe shutdown of the plant and therefore, the inability to maintain plant parameters below the limit constitutes a potential loss of containment.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2
- 2. UFSAR Fig. 6.2-16a
- 3. UFSAR Section 15.6
- 4. UFSAR 6.2.1.1
- 5. QGA 200, Primary Containment Control
- 6. Quad Cities PSTG Section 5, Primary Containment Control

NTE

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

	/ I J
nitiating Condition:	
Primary Containment Radiation	
Operating Mode Applicability:	
1, 2, 3	

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

POTENTIAL LOSS

Drywell radiation monitor reading > 1.55 E+03 R/hr.

Basis:

There is no Loss threshold associated with Primary Containment Radiation.

The radiation monitor reading corresponds to an instantaneous release of all reactor coolant mass into the primary containment, assuming that 20% of the fuel cladding has failed. This level of fuel clad failure is well above that used to determine the analogous Fuel Clad Barrier Loss and RCS Barrier Loss thresholds.

NUREG-1228, Source Estimations During Incident Response to Severe Nuclear Power Plant Accidents, indicates the fuel clad failure must be greater than approximately 20% in order for there to be a major release of radioactivity requiring offsite protective actions. For this condition to exist there must already have been a loss of the RCS Barrier and the Fuel Clad Barrier. It is therefore prudent to treat this condition as a potential loss of containment which would then escalate the emergency classification level to a General Emergency.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2
- 2. Core Damage Assessment Methodology (CDAM)

CT6

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Initiating Condition:

Primary Containment Isolation Failure

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

<u>LOSS</u>

1. UNISOLABLE direct downstream pathway to the environment exists after primary containment isolation signal.

OR

2. Intentional Primary Containment venting/purging per EOPs or SAMGs due to accident conditions.

OR

- 3. UNISOLABLE primary system leakage that results in **EITHER** of the following:
 - a. Secondary Containment area temperature > QGA 300, Maximum Safe operating levels.

OR

b. Secondary Containment area radiation level > QGA 300, Maximum Safe operating levels.

Basis:

<u>UNISOLABLE</u>: An open or breached system line that cannot be isolated, remotely or locally.

Failure to isolate the leak, within 15 minutes or if known that the leak cannot be isolated within 15 minutes, from the start of the leak requires immediate classification.

These thresholds address incomplete containment isolation that allows an UNISOLABLE direct release to the environment.

Loss Threshold #1 Basis

The use of the modifier "direct" in defining the release path discriminates against release paths through interfacing liquid systems or minor release pathways, such as instrument lines, not protected by the Primary Containment Isolation System (PCIS). Leakage into a closed system is to be considered only if the closed system is breached and thereby creates a significant pathway to the environment. Examples include unisolable Main Steamline, HPCI or RCIC steamline breaks, unisolable RWCU system breaks, and unisolable containment atmosphere vent paths.

Examples of "downstream pathway to the environment" could be through the Turbine/Condenser, or direct release to the Turbine or Reactor Building.

CT6 (cont)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER DEGRADATION

Basis (cont):

The existence of a filter is not considered in the threshold assessment. Filters do not remove fission product noble gases. In addition, a filter could become ineffective due to iodine and/or particulate loading beyond design limits (i.e., retention ability has been exceeded) or water saturation from steam/high humidity in the release stream.

Following the leakage of RCS mass into primary containment and a rise in primary containment pressure, there may be minor radiological releases associated with allowable primary containment leakage through various penetrations or system components. Minor releases may also occur if a primary containment isolation valve(s) fails to close but the primary containment atmosphere escapes to an enclosed system. These releases do not constitute a loss or potential loss of primary containment but should be evaluated using the Recognition Category R ICs.

Loss Threshold #2 Basis

EOPs may direct primary containment isolation valve logic(s) to be intentionally bypassed, even if offsite radioactivity release rate limits will be exceeded. Under these conditions with a valid primary containment isolation signal, the containment should also be considered lost if primary containment venting is actually performed.

Intentional venting of primary containment for primary containment pressure or combustible gas control to the secondary containment and/or the environment is a Loss of the Containment. Venting for primary containment pressure control when not in an accident situation (e.g., to control pressure below the Drywell high pressure scram setpoint) does not meet the threshold condition.

Loss Threshold #3 Basis

The Max Safe Operating Temperature and the Max Safe Operating Radiation Level are each the highest value of these parameters at which neither: (1) equipment necessary for the safe shutdown of the plant will fail, nor (2) personnel access necessary for the safe shutdown of the plant will be precluded. EOPs utilize these temperatures and radiation levels to establish conditions under which RPV depressurization is required.

The temperatures and radiation levels should be confirmed to be caused by RCS leakage from a primary system. A primary system is defined to be the pipes, valves, and other equipment which connect directly to the RPV such that a reduction in RPV pressure will effect a decrease in the steam or water being discharged through an unisolated break in the system.

In general, multiple indications should be used to determine if a primary system is discharging outside Primary Containment. For example, a high area radiation condition does not necessarily indicate that a primary system is discharging into the Reactor Building since this may be caused by radiation shine from nearby steam lines or the movement of radioactive materials. Conversely, a high area radiation condition in conjunction with other indications (e.g. room flooding, high area temperatures, reports of steam in the Reactor Building, an unexpected rise in Feedwater flowrate, or unexpected

CT6 (cont)

Basis (cont):

Main Turbine Control Valve closure) may indicate that a primary system is discharging into the Reactor Building.

In combination with RCS Barrier RC4 Potential Loss Threshold #3 this threshold would result in a Site Area Emergency.

In combination with RCS Barrier RC4 Potential Loss Threshold #3 this threshold would result in a Site Area Emergency.

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with Primary Containment Isolation Failure.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2
- 2. QGA 200 Primary Containment Control
- 3. QGA 200-5 Hydrogen Control
- 4. QCOP 1600-13 Post-Accident Venting of the Primary Containment
- 5. QGA 300 Secondary Containment Control

Initiating Condition:

Emergency Director Judgment.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Threshold:

<u>LOSS</u>

1. Any condition in the opinion of the Emergency Director that indicates Loss of the Containment Barrier.

POTENTIAL LOSS

2. Any condition in the opinion of the Emergency Director that indicates Potential Loss of the Containment Barrier.

Basis:

Loss Threshold #1 Basis:

This threshold addresses any other factors that are to be used by the Emergency Director in determining whether the Containment Barrier is lost.

Potential Loss Threshold #2 Basis:

This threshold addresses any other factors that may be used by the Emergency Director in determining whether the Containment Barrier is potentially lost. The Emergency Director should also consider whether or not to declare the barrier potentially lost in the event that barrier status cannot be monitored.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, Table 9-F-2

MG1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Prolonged loss of all Off-site and all On-Site AC power to emergency busses.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- 1. Loss of **ALL** offsite AC power to unit ECCS buses.

AND

2. Failure of Unit EDG 1(2), and shared EDG 1/2 emergency diesel generators to supply power to unit ECCS busses.

AND

- 3. **EITHER** of the following:
 - a. Restoration of at least one unit ECCS bus in < 1 hour is not likely.

OR

b. RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be restored and maintained:

(Unit 1) > -162 inches

(Unit 2) > -190 inches

Basis:

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

RPV values are actual levels, not indicated levels. Therefore, they may need level compensation depending on conditions. Compensated values may be used in accordance with the SAMG program.

This IC addresses a prolonged loss of all power sources to AC emergency buses. A loss of all AC power compromises the performance of all SAFETY SYSTEMS requiring electric power including those necessary for emergency core cooling, containment heat removal/pressure control, spent fuel heat removal and the ultimate heat sink. A prolonged loss of these buses will lead to a loss of any fission product barriers. In addition, fission product barrier monitoring capabilities may be degraded under these conditions.

The EAL should require declaration of a General Emergency prior to meeting the thresholds for IC FG1. This will allow additional time for implementation of offsite protective actions.

MG1 (cont)

Basis (cont):

회사 김 승규는 사람과 같은 사람과 것이 가지 않는 것이 가 못하는 것이 가 들어?

Escalation of the emergency classification from Site Area Emergency will occur if it is projected that power cannot be restored to at least one AC emergency bus by the end of the analyzed station blackout coping period. Beyond this time, plant responses and event trajectory are subject to greater uncertainty, and there is an increased likelihood of challenges to multiple fission product barriers.

The estimate for restoring at least one emergency bus should be based on a realistic appraisal of the situation. Mitigation actions with a low probability of success should not be used as a basis for delaying a classification upgrade. The goal is to maximize the time available to prepare for, and implement, protective actions for the public.

The EAL will also require a General Emergency declaration if the loss of AC power results in parameters that indicate an inability to adequately remove decay heat from the core.

Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SG1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1
- 3. UFSAR Section 8.3
- 4. QCOA 6100-03 Loss of Offsite Power
- 5. QOP 6100-02 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 12 To Service
- 6. QOP 6100-04 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 22 To Service
- 7. QCOA 6100-04 Station Blackout
- 8. GE letter No. 92-38 from L.G. Knutson to Pat Donahue, dated April 7, 1992, "AC TURBINE LOADS SMALL TASK NO. QC107" (Station Blackout analysis)
- 9. QGA 100 RPV Control

July 2017

MS1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Loss of all offsite and all onsite AC power to emergency busses for 15 minutes or longer.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- 1. Loss of **ALL** offsite AC Power to unit ECCS busses.

AND

2. Failure of Unit EDG 1(2), and shared EDG 1/2 emergency diesel generators to supply power to unit ECCS busses.

AND

3. Failure to restore power to at least one ECCS bus in < 15 minutes from the time of loss of both offsite and onsite AC power.

Basis:

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

This IC addresses a total loss of AC power that compromises the performance of all SAFETY SYSTEMS requiring electric power including those necessary for emergency core cooling, containment heat removal/pressure control, spent fuel heat removal and the ultimate heat sink. In addition, fission product barrier monitoring capabilities may be degraded under these conditions. This IC represents a condition that involves actual or likely major failures of plant functions needed for the protection of the public.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via ICs RG1, FG1 or MG1.

MS1 (cont)

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SS1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1
- 3. UFSAR Section 8.3
- 4. QCOA 6100-03 Loss of Offsite Power
- 5. QOP 6100-02 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 12 To Service
- 6. QOP 6100-04 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 22 To Service
- 7. QCOA 6100-04 Station Blackout
- 8. GE letter No. 92-38 from L.G. Knutson to Pat Donahue, dated April 7, 1992, "AC TURBINE LOADS SMALL TASK NO. QC107" (Station Blackout analysis)

MA1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Loss of all but one AC power source to emergency buses for 15 minutes or longer.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- 1. AC power capability to unit ECCS busses reduced to only one of the following power sources for **> 15 minutes.**
 - Reserve auxiliary Transformer TR-12 (TR-22)
 - Unit Auxiliary Transformer TR-11 (TR-21)
 - Unit Emergency Diesel Generator
 - Shared Emergency Diesel Generator
 - Unit crosstie breakers

AND

2. **ANY** additional single power source failure will result in a loss of **ALL** AC power to SAFETY SYSTEMS.

Basis:

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

This IC describes a significant degradation of offsite and onsite AC power sources such that any additional single failure would result in a loss of all AC power to SAFETY SYSTEMS. In this condition, the sole AC power source may be powering one, or more than one, train of safety-related equipment. This IC provides an escalation path from IC MU1.

An "AC power source" is a source recognized in AOPs and EOPs, and capable of supplying required power to an emergency bus. Some examples of this condition are presented below.

• A loss of all offsite power with a concurrent failure of all but one emergency power source (e.g., an onsite diesel generator).

•

MA1 (cont)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Basis (cont):

• A loss of emergency power sources (e.g., onsite diesel generators) with a single train of emergency buses being fed from an offsite power source.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of power.

and the second sec

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC MS1.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SA1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1
- 3. UFSAR Section 8.3
- 4. QCOA 6100-03 Loss of Offsite Power
- 5. QOP 6100-02 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 12 To Service
- 6. QOP 6100-04 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 22 To Service
- 7. QCOA 6100-04 Station Blackout
- 8. GE letter No. 92-38 from L.G. Knutson to Pat Donahue, dated April 7, 1992, "AC TURBINE LOADS SMALL TASK NO. QC107" (Station Blackout analysis)

MU1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Loss of all offsite AC power capability to emergency buses for 15 minutes or longer.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.

Loss of ALL offsite AC power capability to unit ECCS busses for \geq 15 minutes.

Basis:

This IC addresses a prolonged loss of offsite power. The loss of offsite power sources renders the plant more vulnerable to a complete loss of power to AC emergency buses. This condition represents a potential reduction in the level of safety of the plant.

teria di la composicione della composicione della composicione della composicione della composicione della comp

For emergency classification purposes, "capability" means that an offsite AC power source(s) is available to the emergency buses, whether or not the buses are powered from it. (e.g. unit cross-tie breakers)

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of offsite power.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC MA1.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SU1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1
- 3. **UFSAR Section 8.3**
- QCOA 6100-03 Loss of Offsite Power 4.
- 5. QOP 6100-02 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 12 To Service
- 6. QOP 6100-04 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 22 To Service
- 7. QCOA 6100-04 Station Blackout

MG2

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Loss of all AC and Vital DC power sources for 15 minutes or longer.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- 1. Loss of **ALL** offsite AC power to unit ECCS busses.

AND

2. Failure of Unit EDG 1(2), and shared EDG 1/2 emergency diesel generators to supply power to ECCS busses.

AND

3. Voltage is < 105 VDC on 125 VDC battery busses #1 and #2.

AND

4. ALL AC and Vital DC power sources have been lost for \geq 15 minutes.

Basis:

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

This IC addresses a concurrent and prolonged loss of both AC and Vital DC power. A loss of all AC power compromises the performance of all SAFETY SYSTEMS requiring electric power including those necessary for emergency core cooling, containment heat removal/pressure control, spent fuel heat removal and the ultimate heat sink. A loss of Vital DC power compromises the ability to monitor and control SAFETY SYSTEMS. A sustained loss of both AC and DC power will lead to multiple challenges to fission product barriers.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses. The 15-minute emergency declaration clock begins at the point when all EALs are met.

MG2 (cont)

Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SG8
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1
- 3. UFSAR Section 8.3
- 4. QCOA 6100-03 Loss of Offsite Power
- 5. QOP 6100-02 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 12 To Service
- 6. QOP 6100-04 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 22 To Service
- 7. QCOA 6100-04 Station Blackout
- 8. GE letter No. 92-38 from L.G. Knutson to Pat Donahue, dated April 7, 1992, "AC TURBINE LOADS SMALL TASK NO. QC107" (Station Blackout analysis)
- 9. Technical Specifications 3.8.4 and B3.8.4
- 10. UFSAR Section 8.3.2
- 11. QOP 6900-02 125 VDC Electrical System

:

12. QCTS 0230-01 Unit One (Two) 125 VDC Service Test Normal or Alternate Battery

MS2

Initiating Condition:

Loss of all vital DC power for 15 minutes or longer.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

• The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.

Voltage is < 105 VDC on 125 VDC battery busses #1 and #2 for \geq 15 minutes.

Basis:

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

This IC addresses a loss of Vital DC power which compromises the ability to monitor and control SAFETY SYSTEMS. In modes above Cold Shutdown, this condition involves a major failure of plant functions needed for the protection of the public.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via ICs RG1, FG1 or MG2.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SS8
- 2. Technical Specifications 3.8.4 and B3.8.4
- 3. UFSAR Section 8.3.2
- 4. QOP 6900-02 125 VDC Electrical System
- 5. QCTS 0230-01 Unit One (Two) 125 VDC Service Test Normal or Alternate Battery

MS3

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Inability to shutdown the reactor causing a challenge to RPV water level or RCS heat removal.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

1. Automatic scram did <u>not</u> shutdown the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power > 5%.

AND

2. All manual / ARI actions to shutdown the reactor have been unsuccessful as indicated by Reactor Power > 5%.

AND

- 3. **EITHER** of the following conditions exist:
 - RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be restored and maintained:

(Unit 1) > -162 inches

(Unit 2) > -190 inches

OR

• Heat Capacity Limit (QGA 200, Fig. M) exceeded.

Basis:

This IC addresses a failure of the RPS to initiate or complete an automatic or manual reactor scram that results in a reactor shutdown, all subsequent operator manual actions, both inside and outside the Control Room including driving in control rods and boron injection, are unsuccessful, and continued power generation is challenging the capability to adequately remove heat from the core and/or the RCS. This condition will lead to fuel damage if additional mitigation actions are unsuccessful and thus warrants the declaration of a Site Area Emergency.

In some instances, the emergency classification resulting from this IC/EAL may be higher than that resulting from an assessment of the plant responses and symptoms against the Recognition Category F ICs/EALs. This is appropriate in that the Recognition Category F ICs/EALs do not address the additional threat posed by a failure to shutdown the reactor. The inclusion of this IC and EAL ensures the timely declaration of a Site Area Emergency in response to prolonged failure to shutdown the reactor.

A reactor shutdown is determined in accordance with applicable Emergency Operating Procedure criteria.

RPV values are actual levels, not indicated levels. Therefore, they may need level compensation depending on conditions. Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC RG1 or FG1.

MS3 (cont)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SS5

- 2. QGA 100 RPV Control
- 3. QGA 101 RPV Control (ATWS)
- 4. QGA 200 Primary Containment Control

MA3

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Automatic or manual scram fails to shutdown the reactor, and subsequent manual actions taken at the reactor control consoles are not successful in shutting down the reactor.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- A manual action is any operator action, or set of actions, which causes the control rods to be rapidly inserted into the core, and does not include manually driving in control rods or implementation of boron injection strategies.
- 1. Automatic or manual scram did <u>not</u> shutdown the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power > 5%.

AND

2. Manual / ARI actions taken at the Reactor Console are <u>not</u> successful in shutting down the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power > 5%.

Basis:

This IC addresses a failure of the RPS to initiate or complete an automatic or manual reactor scram that results in a reactor shutdown, and subsequent operator manual actions taken at the reactor control consoles to shutdown the reactor are also unsuccessful. This condition represents an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant. An emergency declaration is required even if the reactor is subsequently shutdown by an action taken away from the reactor control consoles since this event entails a significant failure of the RPS.

A manual action at the reactor control consoles is any operator action, or set of actions, which causes the control rods to be rapidly inserted into the core (e.g., initiating a manual reactor scram. This action does not include manually driving in control rods or implementation of boron injection strategies. If this action(s) is unsuccessful, operators would immediately pursue additional manual actions at locations away from the reactor control consoles (e.g., locally opening breakers). Actions taken at back-panels or other locations within the Control Room, or any location outside the Control Room, are not considered to be "at the reactor control consoles".

Taking the Reactor Mode Switch to **SHUTDOWN** is considered to be a manual scram action.

MA3 (cont)

Basis (cont):

The plant response to the failure of an automatic or manual reactor scram will vary based upon several factors including the reactor power level prior to the event, availability of the condenser, performance of mitigation equipment and actions, other concurrent plant conditions, etc. If the failure to shutdown the reactor is prolonged enough to cause a challenge to the RPV water level or RCS heat removal safety functions, the emergency classification level will escalate to a Site Area Emergency via IC MS3. Depending upon plant responses and symptoms, escalation is also possible via IC FS1. Absent the plant conditions needed to meet either IC MS3 or FS1, an Alert declaration is appropriate for this event.

It is recognized that plant responses or symptoms may also require an Alert declaration in accordance with the Recognition Category F ICs; however, this IC and EAL are included to ensure a timely emergency declaration.

A reactor shutdown is determined in accordance with applicable Emergency Operating Procedure criteria.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SA5
- 2. QGA 100 RPV Control
- 3. QGA 101 RPV Control (ATWS)

MU3

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Automatic or manual scram fails to shutdown the reactor.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1,2

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- A manual action is any operator action, or set of actions, which causes the control rods to be rapidly inserted into the core, and does not include manually driving in control rods or implementation of boron injection strategies.
- a. Automatic scram did not shutdown the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power 1. > 5%.

AND

b. Subsequent manual / ARI action taken at the Reactor Console is successful in shutting down the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power < 5%.

OR

2. a. Manual scram did not shutdown the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power > 5%.

AND

- b. **EITHER** of the following:
 - Subsequent manual / ARI action taken at the Reactor Console is successful 1. in shutting down the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power \leq 5%.

OR

2. Subsequent automatic scram / ARI is successful in shutting down the reactor as indicated by Reactor Power < 5%.

Basis: A line of the line of t

This IC addresses a failure of the RPS to initiate or complete an automatic or manual reactor scram that results in a reactor shutdown, and either a subsequent operator manual action taken at the reactor control consoles or an automatic scram is successful in shutting down the reactor. This event is a precursor to a more significant condition and thus represents a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

MU3 (cont)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Basis (cont):

EAL #1 Basis

Following the failure on an automatic reactor scram, operators will promptly initiate manual actions at the reactor control consoles to shutdown the reactor (e.g., initiate a manual reactor scram). If these manual actions are successful in shutting down the reactor, core heat generation will quickly fall to a level within the capabilities of the plant's decay heat removal systems.

EAL #2 Basis

If an initial manual reactor trip is unsuccessful, operators will promptly take manual action at another location(s) on the reactor control consoles to shutdown the reactor (e.g., initiate a manual reactor scram / ARI using a different switch). Depending upon several factors, the initial or subsequent effort to manually scram the reactor, or a concurrent plant condition, may lead to the generation of an automatic reactor scram signal. If a subsequent manual or automatic scram / ARI is successful in shutting down the reactor, core heat generation will quickly fall to a level within the capabilities of the plant's decay heat removal systems.

A manual action at the reactor control consoles is any operator action, or set of actions, which causes the control rods to be rapidly inserted into the core (e.g., initiating a manual reactor scram). This action does not include manually driving in control rods or implementation of boron injection strategies. Actions taken at back-panels or other locations within the Control Room, or any location outside the Control Room, are not considered to be "at the reactor control consoles".

Taking the Reactor Mode Switch to Shutdown is considered to be a manual scram action.

The plant response to the failure of an automatic or manual reactor scram will vary based upon several factors including the reactor power level prior to the event, availability of the condenser, performance of mitigation equipment and actions, other concurrent plant conditions, etc. If subsequent operator manual actions taken at the reactor control consoles are also unsuccessful in shutting down the reactor, then the emergency classification level will escalate to an Alert via IC MA3. Depending upon the plant response, escalation is also possible via IC FA1. Absent the plant conditions needed to meet either IC MA3 or FA1, an Unusual Event declaration is appropriate for this event.

A reactor shutdown is determined in accordance with applicable Emergency Operating Procedure criteria.

MU3 (cont)

Basis (cont):

Should a reactor scram signal be generated as a result of plant work (e.g., RPS setpoint testing), the following classification guidance should be applied.

- If the signal generated as a result of plant work causes a plant transient that creates a real condition that should have included an automatic reactor scram and the RPS fails to automatically shutdown the reactor, then this IC and the EALs are applicable, and should be evaluated.
- If the signal generated as a result of plant work does not cause a plant transient but should have generated an RPS scram signal and the scram failure is determined through other means (e.g., assessment of test results), then this IC and the EALs are not applicable and no classification is warranted.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SU5
- 2. QGA 100 RPV Control
- 3. QGA 101 RPV Control (ATWS)
- 4. Technical Specifications Table 3.3.1.1-1
- 5. **Technical Specification 3.3.1.3**
- 6. Technical Specification Bases 3.3.1.1 and 3.3.1.3

MA4

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

UNPLANNED loss of Control Room indications for 15 minutes or longer with a significant transient in progress.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- 1. UNPLANNED event results in the inability to monitor **ANY** Table M1 parameter from within the Control Room for ≥ **15 minutes**.

Table N	11 Control Room Parameters
Rea	actor Power
• RP'	V Water Level
• RP'	V Pressure
 Dry 	well Pressure
• Tor	rus Level
• Tor	rus Temperature

AND

2. ANY Table M2 transient in progress.

Table M2 Significant Transients

- Turbine Trip
- Reactor Scram
- ECCS Activation
- Recirc. Runback > 25% Reactor Power Change
- Thermal Power oscillations > 10% Reactor Power Change

MA4 (cont)

<u>UNPLANNED</u>: A parameter change or an event that is not 1) the result of an intended evolution or 2) an expected plant response to a transient. The cause of the parameter change or event may be known or unknown.

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

This IC addresses the difficulty associated with monitoring rapidly changing plant conditions during a transient without the ability to obtain SAFETY SYSTEM parameters from within the Control Room. During this condition, the margin to a potential fission product barrier challenge is reduced. It thus represents a potential substantial degradation in the level of safety of the plant.

As used in this EAL, an "inability to monitor" means that values for any of the listed parameters cannot be determined from within the Control Room. This situation would require a loss of all of the Control Room sources for the given parameter(s). For example, the reactor power level cannot be determined from any analog, computer point, digital and recorder source within the Control Room.

An event involving a loss of plant indications, annunciators and/or display systems is evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72 (and associated guidance in NUREG-1022) to determine if an NRC event report is required. The event would be reported if it significantly impaired the capability to perform emergency assessments. In particular, emergency assessments necessary to implement abnormal operating procedures, emergency operating procedures, and emergency plan implementing procedures addressing emergency classification, accident assessment, or protective action decision-making.

This EAL is focused on a selected subset of plant parameters associated with the key safety functions of reactivity control, RPV water level and RCS heat removal. The loss of the ability to determine any of these parameters from within the Control Room is considered to be more significant than simply a reportable condition. In addition, if all indication sources for any of the listed parameters are lost, then the ability to determine the values of other SAFETY SYSTEM parameters may be impacted as well. For example, if the value for RPV water level cannot be determined from the indications and recorders on a main control board, the SPDS or the plant computer, the availability of other parameter values may be compromised as well.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of indication.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via ICs FS1 or IC RS1.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SA2

MU4

Initiating Condition:

UNPLANNED loss of Control Room indications for 15 minutes or longer.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

• The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.

UNPLANNED event results in the inability to monitor **ANY** Table M1 parameter from within the Control Room for \geq 15 minutes.

Table M1 Control Room Parameters			
•	Reactor Power		
•	RPV Water Level		
•	RPV Pressure		
•	Drywell Pressure		
•	Torus Level		
•	Torus Temperature		

Basis:

<u>UNPLANNED</u>: A parameter change or an event that is not 1) the result of an intended evolution or 2) an expected plant response to a transient. The cause of the parameter change or event may be known or unknown.

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

This IC addresses the difficulty associated with monitoring normal plant conditions without the ability to obtain SAFETY SYSTEM parameters from within the Control Room. This condition is a precursor to a more significant event and represents a potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant.

As used in this EAL, an "inability to monitor" means that values for any of the listed parameters cannot be determined from within the Control Room. This situation would require a loss of all of the Control Room sources for the given parameter(s). For example, the reactor power level cannot be determined from any analog, digital and recorder source within the Control Room.

MU4 (cont)

Basis (cont):

An event involving a loss of plant indications, annunciators and/or display systems is evaluated in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72 (and associated guidance in NUREG-1022) to determine if an NRC event report is required. The event would be reported if it significantly impaired the capability to perform emergency assessments. In particular, emergency assessments necessary to implement abnormal operating procedures, emergency operating procedures, and emergency plan implementing procedures addressing emergency classification, accident assessment, or protective action decision-making.

This EAL is focused on a selected subset of plant parameters associated with the key safety functions of reactivity control, core cooling and RCS heat removal. The loss of the ability to determine any of these parameters from within the Control Room is considered to be more significant than simply a reportable condition. In addition, if all indication sources for any of the listed parameters are lost, then the ability to determine the values of other SAFETY SYSTEM parameters may be impacted as well. For example, if the value for reactor vessel level cannot be determined from the indications and recorders on a main control board, the SPDS or the plant computer, the availability of other parameter values may be compromised as well.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of indication.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC MA4.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SU2

MA5

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Hazardous event affecting a SAFETY SYSTEM required for the current operating mode.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- If it is determined that the conditions of MA5 are not met then assess the event via HU3, HU4, or HU6.
- 1. The occurrence of **ANY** of the following hazardous events:
 - Seismic event (earthquake)
 - Internal or external flooding event
 - High winds or tornado strike
 - FIRE
 - EXPLOSION
 - Other events with similar hazard characteristics as determined by the Shift Manager

AND

2. **EITHER** of the following:

a. Event damage has caused indications of degraded performance in at least one train of a SAFETY SYSTEM required by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode.

OR

b. The event has caused VISIBLE DAMAGE to a SAFETY SYSTEM component or structure required by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode.

Basis:

<u>FIRE</u>: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute FIRES. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

<u>EXPLOSION</u>: A rapid, violent and catastrophic failure of a piece of equipment due to combustion, chemical reaction or overpressurization. A release of steam (from high energy lines or components) or an electrical component failure (caused by short circuits, grounding, arcing, etc.) should not automatically be considered an explosion. Such events may require a post-event inspection to determine if the attributes of an explosion are present.

MA5 (cont) Basis (cont):

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

<u>VISIBLE DAMAGE</u>: Damage to a component or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. The visual impact of the damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the operability or reliability of the affected component or structure.

This IC addresses a hazardous event that causes damage to a SAFETY SYSTEM, or a structure containing SAFETY SYSTEM components, required for the current operating mode, "required", i.e. required to be operable by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode. This condition significantly reduces the margin to a loss or potential loss of a fission product barrier, and therefore represents an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant. Manual or automatic electrical isolation of safety equipment due to flooding, in and of itself, does not constitute degraded performance and is classified under HU6.

This EAL #2a addresses damage to a SAFETY SYSTEM train that is required to be operable by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode, and is in operation since indications for it will be readily available. The indications of degraded performance should be significant enough to cause concern regarding the operability or reliability of the SAFETY SYSTEM train.

This EAL #2.b addresses damage to a SAFETY SYSTEM component that is required to be operable by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode, and is not in operation or is not readily apparent through indications alone, as well as damage to a structure containing SAFETY SYSTEM components. Operators will make this determination based on the totality of available event and damage report information. This is intended to be a brief assessment not requiring lengthy analysis or quantification of the damage.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC FS1 or RS1.

If the EAL conditions of MA5 are not met then assess the event via HU3, HU4, or HU6.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev 6 SA9

....

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

	VIU6
Initiating Condition:	1 - 14 T. C. 25 T. S.
RCS leakage for 15 minutes or longer.	$t_{\rm c}$
Operating Mode Applicability:	
1, 2, 3	
Emergency Action Level (EAL):	
Note:	

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- 1. RCS unidentified or pressure boundary leakage in the Drywell > 10 gpm for

\geq 15 minutes.

OR

2. RCS identified leakage in the Drywell >25 gpm for \geq 15 minutes.

OR

3. Leakage from the RCS to a location outside the Drywell >25 gpm for \geq 15 minutes.

Basis:

<u>UNISOLABLE</u>: An open or breached system line that cannot be isolated, remotely or locally.

Failure to isolate the leak, within 15 minutes or if known that the leak cannot be isolated within 15 minutes, from the start of the leak requires immediate classification.

This IC addresses RCS leakage which may be a precursor to a more significant event. In this case, RCS leakage has been detected and operators, following applicable procedures, have been unable to promptly isolate the leak. This condition is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

EAL #1 and EAL #2 Basis

These EALs are focused on a loss of mass from the RCS due to "unidentified leakage", "pressure boundary leakage" or "identified leakage" (as these leakage types are defined in the plant Technical Specifications).

EAL #3 Basis

This EAL addresses a RCS mass loss caused by an UNISOLABLE leak through an interfacing system.

These EALs thus apply to leakage into the containment, a secondary-side system or a location outside of containment.

The leak rate values for each EAL were selected because they are usually observable with normal Control Room indications. Lesser values typically require time-consuming

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Basis (cont):

MU6 (cont)

calculations to determine (e.g., a mass balance calculation). EAL #1 uses a lower value that reflects the greater significance of unidentified or pressure boundary leakage.

The release of mass from the RCS due to the as-designed/expected operation of any relief valve does not warrant an emergency classification.

A stuck-open Safety Relief Valve (SRV) or SRV leakage is not considered either identified or unidentified leakage by Technical Specifications and, therefore, is not applicable to this EAL.

The 15-minute threshold duration allows sufficient time for prompt operator actions to isolate the leakage, if possible.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via ICs of Recognition Category R or F.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SU4
- 2. QCOS 1600-07 Reactor Coolant Leakage in the Drywell
- 3. Technical Specifications 3.4.4
- 4. UFSAR 5.2.5
- 5. QCOA 0201-01 Increasing Drywell Pressure

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

MU7 Initiating Condition:

Loss of all On-site or Off-site communications capabilities.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

legen surra

1. Loss of **ALL** Table M3 **Onsite** communications capability affecting the ability to perform routine operations.

OR

2. Loss of **ALL** Table M3 **Offsite** communication capability affecting the ability to perform offsite notifications.

OR

3. Loss of **ALL** Table M3 **NRC** communication capability affecting the ability to perform NRC notifications.

Table M3 Communications Capability			
System	Onsite	Offsite	NRC
Plant Radio	X		
Plant Page	X		
All telephone Lines (Commercial and microwave)	X	X	X
ENS		X	X
HPN		X	X
Satellite Phones		X	X

Basis:

This IC addresses a significant loss of on-site or offsite communications capabilities. While not a direct challenge to plant or personnel safety, this event warrants prompt notifications to Offsite Response Organizations (OROs) and the NRC.

This IC should be assessed only when extraordinary means are being utilized to make communications possible (e.g., use of non-plant, privately owned equipment, relaying of on-site information via individuals or multiple radio transmission points, individuals being sent to offsite locations, etc.).

MU7 (cont)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Basis (cont):

EAL #1 Basis

This EAL addresses a total loss of the communications methods used in support of routine plant operations.

EAL #2 Basis

This EAL addresses a total loss of the communications methods used to notify all OROs of an emergency declaration. The OROs referred to here are listed in procedure EP-MW-114-100-F-01, Nuclear Accident Reporting System (NARS) Form.

EAL #3 Basis

This EAL addresses a total loss of the communications methods used to notify the NRC of an emergency declaration.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, SU6
- 2. EP-MW-124-1001 Facilities Inventories and Equipment Tests
- 3. UFSAR Section 9.5.2

 A second sec second sec

CA1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Loss of all offsite and all onsite AC power to emergency busses for 15 minutes or longer.

Operating Mode Applicability:

4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that 15 minutes has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
 - 1. Loss of **ALL** offsite AC power to unit ECCS busses.

AND

2. Failure of Unit EDG 1(2), and shared EDG 1/2 emergency diesel generators to supply power to unit ECCS busses.

AND

3. Failure to restore power to at least one unit ECCS bus in < 15 minutes from the time of loss of both offsite and onsite AC power.

Basis:

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related

This IC addresses a total loss of AC power that compromises the performance of all SAFETY SYSTEMS requiring electric power including those necessary for emergency core cooling, containment heat removal/pressure control, spent fuel heat removal and the ultimate heat sink.

When in the cold shutdown, refueling, or defueled mode, this condition is not classified as a Site Area Emergency because of the increased time available to restore an emergency bus to service. Additional time is available due to the reduced core decay heat load, and the lower temperatures and pressures in various plant systems. Thus, when in these modes, this condition represents an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CS6 or RS1.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS CA1 (cont)

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, CA2
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1
- 3. UFSAR Section 8.3
- 4. QCOA 6100-03 Loss of Offsite Power
- 5. QOP 6100-02 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 12 To Service
- 6. QOP 6100-04 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 22 To Service
- 7. QCOA 6100-04 Station Blackout
- 8. GE letter No. 92-38 from L.G. Knutson to Pat Donahue, dated April 7, 1992, "ACTURBINE LOADS SMALL TASK NO. QC107" (Station Blackout analysis)

CU1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Loss of all but one AC power source to emergency buses for 15 minutes or longer.

Operating Mode Applicability:

4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that 15 minutes has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- AC power capability to unit ECCS busses reduced to only one of the following power sources for <u>> 15 minutes.</u>
 - Reserve auxiliary Transformer TR-12 (TR-22)
 - Unit auxiliary transformer TR-11 (TR-21)
 - Unit Emergency Diesel Generator
 - Shared Emergency Diesel Generator
 - Unit crosstie breakers

AND

2. **ANY** additional single power source failure will result in a loss of **ALL** AC power to SAFETY SYSTEMS.

Basis:

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

This IC describes a significant degradation of offsite and onsite AC power sources such that any additional single failure would result in a loss of all AC power to SAFETY SYSTEMS. In this condition, the sole AC power source may be powering one, or more than one, train of safety-related equipment.

When in the cold shutdown, refueling, or defueled mode, this condition is not classified as an Alert because of the increased time available to restore another power source to service. Additional time is available due to the reduced core decay heat load, and the lower temperatures and pressures in various plant systems. Thus, when in these modes, this condition is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

CU1 (cont)

Basis (cont):

An "AC power source" is a source recognized in AOPs and EOPs, and capable of supplying required power to an emergency bus. Some examples of this condition are presented below.

- A loss of all offsite power with a concurrent failure of all but one emergency power source (e.g., an onsite diesel generator).
- A loss of emergency power sources (e.g., onsite diesel generators) with a single train of emergency buses being fed from an offsite power source.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of power.

The subsequent loss of the remaining single power source would escalate the event to an Alert in accordance with IC CA1.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6 CU2
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1
- 3. UFSAR Section 8.3
- 4. QCOA 6100-03 Loss of Offsite Power
- 5. QOP 6100-02 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 12 To Service
- 6. QOP 6100-04 Restoring Reserve Auxiliary Transformer 22 To Service
- 7. QCOA 6100-04 Station Blackout
- 8. GE letter No. 92-38 from L.G. Knutson to Pat Donahue, dated April 7, 1992, "AC TURBINE LOADS SMALL TASK NO. QC107" (Station Blackout analysis)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

CA2

Hazardous event affecting SAFETY SYSTEM required for the current operating mode.

Operating Mode Applicability:

4, 5

Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note:

- If it is determined that the conditions of CA2 are not met then assess the event via HU3, HU4, or HU6.
- 1. The occurrence of **ANY** of the following hazardous events:
 - Seismic event (earthquake)
 - Internal or external flooding event
 - High winds or tornado strike
 - FIRE
 - EXPLOSION
 - Other events with similar hazard characteristics as determined by the Shift Manager

AND

- 2. **EITHER** of the following:
 - a. Event damage has caused indications of degraded performance in at least one train of a SAFETY SYSTEM required by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode.

OR

b. The event has caused VISIBLE DAMAGE to a SAFETY SYSTEM component or structure required by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode.

Basis: All Annual and the bast and light Courses of angles such as aligning

<u>FIRE</u>: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute FIRES. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS CA2 (cont)

Basis (cont):

<u>EXPLOSION</u>: A rapid, violent and catastrophic failure of a piece of equipment due to combustion, chemical reaction or overpressurization. A release of steam (from high energy lines or components) or an electrical component failure (caused by short circuits, grounding, arcing, etc.) should not automatically be considered an explosion. Such events may require a post-event inspection to determine if the attributes of an explosion are present.

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

<u>VISIBLE DAMAGE</u>: Damage to a component or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. The visual impact of the damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the operability or reliability of the affected component or structure.

This IC addresses a hazardous event that causes damage to a SAFETY SYSTEM, or a structure containing SAFETY SYSTEM components, required for the current operating mode, "required", i.e. required to be operable by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode. This condition significantly reduces the margin to a loss or potential loss of a fission product barrier, and therefore represents an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant. Manual or automatic electrical isolation of safety equipment due to flooding, in and of itself, does not constitute degraded performance and is classified under HU6.

EAL #2.a addresses damage to a SAFETY SYSTEM train that is required to be operable by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode, and is in operation since indications for it will be readily available. The indications of degraded performance should be significant enough to cause concern regarding the operability or reliability of the SAFETY SYSTEM train.

EAL #2.b addresses damage to a SAFETY SYSTEM component that is required to be operable by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode, and is not in operation or readily apparent through indications alone, or to a structure containing SAFETY SYSTEM components. Operators will make this determination based on the totality of available event and damage report information. This is intended to be a brief assessment not requiring lengthy analysis or quantification of the damage.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CS6 or RS1.

If the EAL conditions of CA2 are not met then assess the event via HU3, HU4, or HU6.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, CA6

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

CU3 Initiating Condition: Loss of Vital DC power for 15 minutes or longer.

Operating Mode Applicability: 4, 5

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

• The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.

Voltage is < 105 VDC on required 125 VDC battery busses #1 and #2 for \geq 15 minutes. Basis:

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

This IC addresses a loss of Vital DC power which compromises the ability to monitor and control operable SAFETY SYSTEMS when the plant is in the cold shutdown or refueling mode. In these modes, the core decay heat load has been significantly reduced, and coolant system temperatures and pressures are lower; these conditions increase the time available to restore a vital DC bus to service. Thus, this condition is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

As used in this EAL, "required" means the Vital DC buses necessary to support operation of the in-service, or operable, train or trains of SAFETY SYSTEM equipment. For example, if Train A is out-of-service (inoperable) for scheduled outage maintenance work and Train B is in-service (operable), then a loss of Vital DC power affecting Train B would require the declaration of an Unusual Event. A loss of Vital DC power to Train A would not warrant an emergency classification.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

Depending upon the event, escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CA6 or CA5, or an IC in Recognition Category R.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

- CU3 (cont) Basis Reference(s):
- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, CU4
- 2. Technical Specifications 3.8.4 and B3.8.4
- 3. UFSAR Section 8.3.2
- 4. QOP 6900-02 125 VDC Electrical System
- 5. QCTS 0230-01 Unit One (Two) 125 VDC Service Test Normal or Alternate Battery

CU4

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Loss of all onsite or offsite communications capabilities.

Operating Mode Applicability:

4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

1. Loss of **ALL** Table C1 **Onsite** communications capability affecting the ability to perform routine operations.

OR

2. Loss of **ALL** Table C1 **Offsite** communication capability affecting the ability to perform offsite notifications.

OR

3. Loss of **ALL** Table C1 **NRC** communication capability affecting the ability to perform NRC notifications.

Table C1 Communications Capability			
System	Onsite	Offsite	NRC
Plant Radio	X		
Plant Page	X		
All telephone Lines (Commercial and microwave)	X	Х	X
ENS		Х	X
HPN		Х	Х
Satellite Phones		X	X

Basis:

This IC addresses a significant loss of on-site or offsite communications capabilities. While not a direct challenge to plant or personnel safety, this event warrants prompt notifications to Outside Response Organizations (OROs) and the NRC.

This IC should be assessed only when extraordinary means are being utilized to make communications possible (e.g., use of non-plant, privately owned equipment, relaying of on-site information via individuals or multiple radio transmission points, individuals being sent to offsite locations, etc.).

EAL #1 Basis

Addresses a total loss of the communications methods used in support of routine plant operations.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

CU4 (cont)

Basis (cont):

EAL #2 Basis

Addresses a total loss of the communications methods used to notify all OROs of an emergency declaration. The OROs referred to here are listed in procedure EP-MW-114-100-F-01, Nuclear Accident Reporting System (NARS) Form.

EAL #3 Basis

Addresses a total loss of the communications methods used to notify the NRC of an emergency declaration.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, CU5
- 2. EP-MW-124-1001 Facilities Inventories and Equipment Tests
- 3. UFSAR Section 9.5.2

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

CA	J
Initiating Condition:	en i Laa
Inability to maintain the plant in cold shutdown.	
Operating Mode Applicability:	منه
4, 5	
Emergency Action Level (EAL):	

Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- A momentary UNPLANNED excursion above the Technical Specification cold shutdown temperature limit when heat removal function is available does not warrant classification.
 - 1. UNPLANNED rise in RCS temperature > 212°F for > Table C2 duration.

Table C2 RCS Heat-up Duration Thresholds			
RCS Status	Containment Closure Status	Heat-up Duration	
Intact	Not Applicable	60 minutes*	
Not Intact	Established	20 minutes*	
	Not Established	0 minutes	
this time fra	heat removal system is in o me and RCS temperature is 1 is not applicable.		

OR

2. UNPLANNED RPV pressure rise > 10 psig as a result of temperature rise.

Basis:

<u>UNPLANNED</u>: A parameter change or an event that is not 1) the result of an intended evolution or 2) an expected plant response to a transient. The cause of the parameter change or event may be known or unknown.

<u>CONTAINMENT CLOSURE</u>: The procedurally defined conditions or actions taken to secure containment (primary or secondary) and its associated structures, systems, and components as a functional barrier to fission product release under shutdown conditions.

RCS is intact when the RCS pressure boundary is in its normal condition for the Cold Shutdown mode of operation (e.g. no freeze seals, or steam line nozzle plugs, etc.).

CA5 (cont)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Basis (cont):

This IC addresses conditions involving a loss of decay heat removal capability or an addition of heat to the RCS in excess of that which can currently be removed. Either condition represents an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

그 옷에 많았다. 한다.

A momentary UNPLANNED excursion above the Technical Specification cold shutdown temperature limit when the heat removal function is available does not warrant a classification.

The RCS Heat-up Duration Thresholds table addresses a rise in RCS temperature when CONTAINMENT CLOSURE is established but the RCS is not intact. The 20minute criterion was included to allow time for operator action to address the temperature rise.

The RCS Heat-up Duration Thresholds table also addresses a rise in RCS temperature with the RCS intact. The status of CONTAINMENT CLOSURE is not crucial in this condition since the intact RCS is providing a high pressure barrier to a fission product release. The 60-minute time frame should allow sufficient time to address the temperature rise without a substantial degradation in plant safety.

Finally, in the case where there is a rise in RCS temperature, the RCS is not intact, and CONTAINMENT CLOSURE is not established, no heat-up duration is allowed (i.e., 0 minutes). This is because 1) the evaporated reactor coolant may be released directly into the Containment atmosphere and subsequently to the environment, and 2) there is reduced reactor coolant inventory above the top of irradiated fuel.

EAL #2 provides a pressure-based indication of RCS heat-up.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CS6 or RS1.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS CA5 (cont)

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, CA3
- 2. Technical Specifications Table 1.1-1
- 3. Technical Specifications 3.6.1.1
- 4. Technical Specifications 3.6.4.1
- 5. OU-AA-103 Shutdown Safety
- 6. QCOA 1000-02 Loss of Shutdown Cooling
- 7. QGA 100 RPV Control
- 8. QGA 100 RPV Control Detail A
- 9. QCGP 1-1 Normal Unit Startup
- 10. QCIS 0600-01 Unit One Division 1 Reactor Pressure 0 to 1200 psig Indication Calibration

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

CU5 Initiating Condition: UNPLANNED rise in RCS temperature Operating Mode Applicability:

4, 5

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- A momentary UNPLANNED excursion above the Technical Specification cold shutdown temperature limit when heat removal function is available does not warrant classification.
- 1. UNPLANNED rise in RCS temperature > 212°F.

OR

- 2. Loss of the following for \geq 15 minutes.
 - ALL RCS temperature indications

AND

• ALL RPV water level indications

Basis:

<u>UNPLANNED</u>: A parameter change or an event that is not 1) the result of an intended evolution or 2) an expected plant response to a transient. The cause of the parameter change or event may be known or unknown.

<u>CONTAINMENT CLOSURE</u>: The procedurally defined conditions or actions taken to secure containment (primary or secondary) and its associated structures, systems, and components as a functional barrier to fission product release under shutdown conditions.

This IC addresses an UNPLANNED rise in RCS temperature above the Technical Specification cold shutdown temperature limit, or the inability to determine RCS temperature and level, represents a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant. If the RCS is not intact and CONTAINMENT CLOSURE is not established during this event, the Emergency Director should also refer to IC CA5.

RCS is intact when the RCS pressure boundary is in its normal condition for the Cold Shutdown mode of operation (e.g. no freeze seals, or steam line nozzle plugs, etc.).

A momentary UNPLANNED excursion above the Technical Specification cold shutdown temperature limit when the heat removal function is available does not warrant a classification.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS CU5 (cont)

Basis (cont):

cont):mail and the angle and the and the angle and the

EAL #1 involves a loss of decay heat removal capability, or an addition of heat to the RCS in excess of that which can currently be removed, such that reactor coolant temperature cannot be maintained below the cold shutdown temperature limit specified in Technical Specifications. During this condition, there is no immediate threat of fuel damage because the core decay heat load has been reduced since the cessation of power operation.

During an outage, the level in the reactor vessel will normally be maintained above the reactor vessel flange. Refueling evolutions that lower water level below the reactor vessel flange are carefully planned and controlled. A loss of forced decay heat removal at reduced inventory may result in a rapid rise in reactor coolant temperature depending on the time after shutdown.

EAL #2 reflects a condition where there has been a significant loss of instrumentation capability necessary to monitor RCS conditions and operators would be unable to monitor key parameters necessary to assure core decay heat removal. During this condition, there is no immediate threat of fuel damage because the core decay heat load has been reduced since the cessation of power operation.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of indication.

Escalation to Alert would be via IC CA6 based on an inventory loss or IC CA5 based on exceeding plant configuration-specific time criteria.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, CU3
- 2. Technical Specifications Table 1.1-1
- 3. QGA 100, RPV Control
- 4. QCOP 0201-02, Filling the Reactor Vessel and/or Reactor Cavity Using a Condensate Booster Pump via the Feedwater System
- 5. QCOP 0201-13, Reactor Vessel Upper Wide Range Reference Leg Extension Use and Control
- 6. QCOP 0201-14, Reactor Vessel Level Control Using a Local Pressure Gauge
- 7. QCOA 1000-02 Loss of Shutdown Cooling

CG6

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Loss of RPV inventory affecting fuel clad integrity with containment challenged.

Operating Mode Applicability: 4, 5

Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note:

• The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.

1 a. RPV water level < -142 inches (TAF) for \geq 30 minutes.

AND

b. ANY Containment Challenge Indication (Table C4)

OR

2. a. RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be monitored for <u>> 30 minutes</u>.

AND

- b. Core uncovery is indicated by **ANY** of the following:
 - Table C3 indications of a sufficient magnitude to indicate core uncovery.
 OR
 - Fuel Handling ARM 1(2)-1705-16A or B >3000 mR/hr.

AND

c. **ANY** Containment Challenge Indication (Table C4)

Table C3 Indications of RCS Leakage

- UNPLANNED floor or equipment sump level rise*
- UNPLANNED Torus level rise*
- UNPLANNED vessel make up rate rise
- Observation of leakage or inventory loss

*Rise in level is attributed to a loss in RPV inventory

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS CG6 (cont)

Emergency Action Level (EAL) (cont):

Table C4 Containment Challenge Indications

- Primary Containment Hydrogen Concentration \geq 6% and Oxygen \geq 5%
- UNPLANNED rise in containment pressure
- CONTAINMENT CLOSURE <u>not</u> established*
- ANY Secondary Containment radiation monitor > QGA 300, Maximum Safe operating level.

* if CONTAINMENT CLOSURE is re-established prior to exceeding the 30-minute core uncovery time limit, then escalation to a General Emergency is not required.

Basis:

<u>UNPLANNED</u>: A parameter change or an event that is not 1) the result of an intended evolution or 2) an expected plant response to a transient. The cause of the parameter change or event may be known or unknown.

<u>IMMINENT</u>: The trajectory of events or conditions is such that an EAL will be met within a relatively short period of time regardless of mitigation or corrective actions.

<u>CONTAINMENT CLOSURE</u>: The procedurally defined conditions or actions taken to secure containment (primary or secondary for BWR) and its associated structures, systems, and components as a functional barrier to fission product release under shutdown conditions.

This IC addresses the inability to restore and maintain reactor vessel level above the top of active fuel with containment challenged. This condition represents actual or IMMINENT substantial core degradation or melting with potential for loss of containment integrity. Releases can be reasonably expected to exceed EPA Protective Action Guidelines (PAG) exposure levels offsite for more than the immediate site area.

Following an extended loss of core decay heat removal and inventory makeup, decay heat will cause reactor coolant boiling and a further reduction in reactor vessel level. If RCS/reactor vessel level cannot be restored, fuel damage is probable.

With CONTAINMENT CLOSURE not established, there is a high potential for a direct and unmonitored release of radioactivity to the environment. If CONTAINMENT CLOSURE is re-established prior to exceeding the 30-minute time limit, then declaration of a General Emergency is not required.

CG6 (cont)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Basis (cont):

The existence of an explosive mixture means, at a minimum, that the containment atmospheric hydrogen concentration is sufficient to support a hydrogen burn (i.e., at the lower deflagration limit). A hydrogen burn will raise containment pressure and could result in collateral equipment damage leading to a loss of containment integrity. It therefore represents a challenge to Containment integrity.

In the early stages of a core uncovery event, it is unlikely that hydrogen buildup due to a core uncovery could result in an explosive gas mixture in containment. If all installed hydrogen gas monitors are out-of-service during an event leading to fuel cladding damage, it may not be possible to obtain a containment hydrogen gas concentration reading as ambient conditions within the containment will preclude personnel access. During periods when installed containment hydrogen gas monitors are out-of-service, operators may use the other listed indications to assess whether or not containment is challenged.

EAL #1 Basis

The 30-minute criterion is tied to a readily recognizable event start time (i.e., the total loss of ability to monitor level), and allows sufficient time to monitor, assess and correlate reactor and plant conditions to determine if core uncovery has actually occurred (i.e., to account for various accident progression and instrumentation uncertainties). It also allows sufficient time for performance of actions to terminate leakage, recover inventory control/makeup equipment and/or restore level monitoring.

The inability to monitor RPV water level may be caused by instrumentation and/or power failures, or water level dropping below the range of available instrumentation. If water level cannot be monitored, operators may determine that an inventory loss is occurring by observing changes in sump and/or tank levels. Sump and/or tank level changes must be evaluated against other potential sources of water flow to ensure they are indicative of leakage from the RPV.

These EALs address concerns raised by Generic Letter 88-17, *Loss of Decay Heat Removal*; SECY 91-283, *Evaluation of Shutdown and Low Power Risk Issues*; NUREG-1449, *Shutdown and Low-Power Operation at Commercial Nuclear Power Plants in the United States*; and NUMARC 91-06, *Guidelines for Industry Actions to Assess Shutdown Management*.

Quad Cities Annex

Exelon Nuclear

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS CG6 (cont)

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, CG1
- 2. QGA 100, RPV Control
- 3. Technical Specifications 3.3.1
- 4. Technical Specifications 3.6.1.1
- 5. Technical Specifications 3.6.4.1
- 6. QGA-200-5, Hydrogen Control
- 7. UFSAR 6.2.1.1
- 8. QGA 300 Secondary Containment Control
- 9. EP-EAL-0501, Estimation Of Radiation Monitor Readings Indicating Core Uncovery During Refueling

CS6

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition:

Loss of RPV inventory affecting core decay heat removal capability.

Operating Mode Applicability:

4, 5

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- 1. With CONTAINMENT CLOSURE <u>not</u> established, RPV water level < 65 inches

OR

 With CONTAINMENT CLOSURE established, RPV water level < - 142 inches (TAF).

OR

3. a. RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be monitored for <u>> 30 minutes</u>

AND

- b. Core uncovery is indicated by **ANY** of the following:
 - Table C3 indications of a sufficient magnitude to indicate core uncovery.
 OR
 - Fuel Handling ARM 1(2)-1705-16A or B >3000 mR/hr.

Table C3 Indications of RCS Leakage	
UNPLANNED floor or equipment sump level rise*	
UNPLANNED Torus level rise*	,
UNPLANNED vessel make up rate rise	
Observation of leakage or inventory loss	
*Rise in level is attributed to a loss in RPV inventory	1
······································	

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS CS6 (cont)

Basis:

. 이 사람이 있는 것은 것은 것을 가지 않는 것을 가지 않는 것을 가지 않는 것을 알았다. 그 것은 가장은 것은 가장을 가지 않는 것은 것은 가장을 가지 않는 것을 가지 않는 것을 가지 않는 것을 ST 같은 것은 것을 같은 것을 같은 것을 알았다. 이 것은 것을 같은 것은 것을 알았다. 이 것은 가장은 것은 가장을 알았다. 것은 것은 것을 알았는 것을 같은 것을 알았다. 것은 것을 같은 것을 알

<u>UNPLANNED</u>: A parameter change or an event that is not 1) the result of an intended evolution or 2) an expected plant response to a transient. The cause of the parameter change or event may be known or unknown.

<u>CONTAINMENT CLOSURE</u>: The procedurally defined conditions or actions taken to secure containment (primary or secondary for BWR) and its associated structures, systems, and components as a functional barrier to fission product release under shutdown conditions.

The lost inventory may be due to a RCS component failure, a loss of configuration control or prolonged boiling of reactor coolant. These conditions entail major failures of plant functions needed for protection of the public and thus warrant a Site Area Emergency declaration.

Following an extended loss of core decay heat removal and inventory makeup, decay heat will cause reactor coolant boiling and a further reduction in reactor vessel level. If RCS/reactor vessel level cannot be restored, fuel damage is probable. Outage/shutdown contingency plans typically provide for re-establishing or verifying CONTAINMENT CLOSURE following a loss of heat removal or RCS inventory control functions. The difference in the specified RCS/reactor vessel levels of EALs 1.b and 2.b reflect the fact that with CONTAINMENT CLOSURE established, there is a lower probability of a fission product release to the environment.

In EAL #3.a, the 30-minute criterion is tied to a readily recognizable event start time (i.e., the total loss of ability to monitor level), and allows sufficient time to monitor, assess and correlate reactor and plant conditions to determine if core uncovery has actually occurred (i.e., to account for various accident progression and instrumentation uncertainties). It also allows sufficient time for performance of actions to terminate leakage, recover inventory control/makeup equipment and/or restore level monitoring.

The inability to monitor RPV water level may be caused by instrumentation and/or power failures, or water level dropping below the range of available instrumentation. If water level cannot be monitored, operators may determine that an inventory loss is occurring by observing changes in sump and/or tank levels. Sump and/or tank level changes must be evaluated against other potential sources of water flow to ensure they are indicative of leakage from the RPV.

These EALs address concerns raised by Generic Letter 88-17, *Loss of Decay Heat Removal*; SECY 91-283, *Evaluation of Shutdown and Low Power Risk Issues*; NUREG-1449, *Shutdown and Low-Power Operation at Commercial Nuclear Power Plants in the United States*; and NUMARC 91-06, *Guidelines for Industry Actions to Assess Shutdown Management*.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CG6 or RG1.

Quad Cities Annex

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

CS6 (cont)

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, CS1
- 2. Technical Specifications 3.3.5.1
- 3. Technical Specifications 3.6.1.1
- 4. Technical Specifications 3.6.4.1
- 5. QGA 100, RPV Control
- 6. Technical Specifications 3.3.1
- 7. Technical Specifications Table 3.3.3.1-1
- 8. Technical Specifications 3.3.5.1
- 9. QCOS 1600-07, Reactor Coolant Leakage in the Drywell
- 10. Technical Specifications 3.4.4
- 11. UFSAR 5.2.5
- 12. QCOA 0201-01, Increasing Drywell Pressure
- 13. QOA 900-4 A-17, Annuciator Response

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

CA6 Initiating Condition: Loss of RPV inventory.

Operating Mode Applicability:

4, 5

Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
 - 1. Loss of RPV inventory as indicated by level < 59 inches.

OR

a. RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be monitored for <u>> 15 minutes</u>.

AND

b. Loss of RPV inventory per Table C3 indications.

	Table C3 Indications of RCS Leakage
•	UNPLANNED floor or equipment sump level rise*
•	UNPLANNED Torus level rise*
•	UNPLANNED vessel make up rate rise
•	Observation of leakage or inventory loss
	*Rise in level is attributed to a loss in RPV inventory

Basis:

<u>UNPLANNED</u>: A parameter change or an event that is not 1) the result of an intended evolution or 2) an expected plant response to a transient. The cause of the parameter change or event may be known or unknown.

This IC addresses conditions that are precursors to a loss of the ability to adequately cool irradiated fuel (i.e., a precursor to a challenge to the fuel clad barrier). This condition represents a potential substantial reduction in the level of plant safety.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS CA6 (cont)

Basis (cont):

EAL #1 Basis

A lowering of water level below -59 inches indicates that operator actions have not been successful in restoring and maintaining RPV water level. The heat-up rate of the coolant will rise as the available water inventory is reduced. A continuing decrease in water level will lead to core uncovery.

Although related, EAL #1 is concerned with the loss of RCS inventory and not the potential concurrent effects on systems needed for decay heat removal (e.g., loss of a Residual Heat Removal suction point). An rise in RCS temperature caused by a loss of decay heat removal capability is evaluated under IC CA5.

EAL #2 Basis

The inability to monitor RPV water level may be caused by instrumentation and/or power failures, or water level dropping below the range of available instrumentation. If water level cannot be monitored, operators may determine that an inventory loss is occurring by observing changes in sump and/or tank levels. Sump and/or tank level changes must be evaluated against other potential sources of water flow to ensure they are indicative of leakage from the RPV.

The 15-minute duration for the loss of level indication was chosen because it is half of the EAL duration specified in IC CS6

If the RPV water level continues to lower, then escalation to Site Area Emergency would be via IC CS6.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, CA1
- 2. Technical Specifications 3.3.5.1
- 3. QCOS 1600-07, Reactor Coolant Leakage in the Drywell
- 4. Technical Specifications 3.4.4
- 5. UFSAR 5.2.5
- 6. QCOA 0201-01, Increasing Drywell Pressure
- 7. QOA 900-4 A-17, Annuciator Response
- 8. QGA 100, RPV Control
- 9. QCOP 0201-02, Filling the Reactor Vessel and/or Reactor Cavity Using a Condensate Booster Pump via the Feedwater System
- 10. QCOP 0201-13, Reactor Vessel Upper Wide Range Reference Leg Extension Use and Control
- 11. QCOP 0201-14, Reactor Vessel Level Control Using a Local Pressure Gauge

CU6

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS

Initiating Condition: UNPLANNED loss of RPV inventory for 15 minutes or longer.

Operating Mode Applicability: 30 Control of the second sec

Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- UNPLANNED loss of reactor coolant results in the inability to restore and maintain RPV water level to above the procedurally established lower limit for <u>> 15</u> minutes.

OR

2. a. RPV water level <u>cannot</u> be monitored

AND

b. Loss of RPV inventory per Table C3 indications.

PLANNED floor or equ	•	mp level	rise*		
PLANNED Torus leve	l rise*				
	1100				
PLANNED vessel mal	e up rate i	rise			
servation of leakage o	[·] inventory	loss			
*Rise in level is attr	buted to a	loss in F	{PV inve	entory	
	servation of leakage or	servation of leakage or inventory	IPLANNED vessel make up rate rise servation of leakage or inventory loss *Rise in level is attributed to a loss in F	servation of leakage or inventory loss	

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS CU6 (cont)

<u>UNPLANNED</u>: A parameter change or an event that is not 1) the result of an intended evolution or 2) an expected plant response to a transient. The cause of the parameter change or event may be known or unknown.

This IC addresses the inability to restore and maintain water level to a required minimum level (or the lower limit of a level band), or a loss of the ability to monitor RPV water level concurrent with indications of coolant leakage. Either of these conditions is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

The procedurally established lower limit is not an operational band established above the procedural limit to allow for operator action prior to exceeding the procedural limit, but it is the procedurally established lower limit.

Refueling evolutions that lower RCS water inventory are carefully planned and controlled. An UNPLANNED event that results in water level decreasing below a procedurally required limit warrants the declaration of an Unusual Event due to the reduced water inventory that is available to keep the core covered.

EAL #1 recognizes that the minimum required RPV water level can change several times during the course of a refueling outage as different plant configurations and system lineups are implemented. This EAL is met if the minimum level, specified for the current plant conditions, cannot be maintained for 15 minutes or longer. The minimum level is typically specified in the applicable operating procedure but may be specified in another controlling document.

The 15-minute threshold duration allows sufficient time for prompt operator actions to restore and maintain the expected water level. This criterion excludes transient conditions causing a brief lowering of water level.

EAL #2 addresses a condition where all means to determine RPV water level have been lost. In this condition, operators may determine that an inventory loss is occurring by observing changes in sump and/or tank levels. Sump and/or tank level changes must be evaluated against other potential sources of water flow to ensure they are indicative of leakage from the RPV.

Continued loss of RCS inventory may result in escalation to the Alert emergency classification level via either IC CA6 or CA5.

Quad Cities Annex

RECOGNITION CATEGORY COLD SHUTDOWN / REFUELING SYSTEM MALFUNCTIONS CU6 (cont)

- NEI 99-01, Rev. 6 CU1 1.
- 2. **Technical Specifications 3.3.5.1**
- 3. **Technical Specifications 3.4.4**
- **UFSAR 5.2.5** 4.
- 5. QGA 100, RPV Control
- 6. QCOP 0201-02, Filling the Reactor Vessel and/or Reactor Cavity Using a Condensate Booster Pump via the Feedwater System
- QCOP 0201-13, Reactor Vessel Upper Wide Range Reference Leg Extension 7. **Use and Control**
- QCOP 0201-14, Reactor Vessel Level Control Using a Local Pressure Gauge 8.

HG1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

HOSTILE ACTION resulting in loss of physical control of the facility.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

1. A notification from the Security Force that a HOSTILE ACTION is occurring or has occurred within the PROTECTED AREA.

AND

2. a. **ANY** Table H1 safety function <u>cannot</u> be controlled or maintained.

OR

b. Damage to spent fuel has occurred or is IMMINENT

Table H1 Safety Functions

- Reactivity Control (ability to shut down the reactor and keep it shutdown)
- RPV Water Level (ability to cool the core)
- RCS Heat Removal (ability to maintain heat sink)

Basis:

HOSTILE ACTION: An act toward a NPP or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take HOSTAGES, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, PROJECTILEs, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. HOSTILE ACTION should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on the NPP. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the owner controlled area).

<u>HOSTAGE</u>: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

<u>PROJECTILE</u>: An object directed toward a NPP that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

<u>PROTECTED AREA</u>: An area that normally encompasses all controlled areas within the security protected area fence.

<u>IMMINENT</u>: The trajectory of events or conditions is such that an EAL will be met within a relatively short period of time regardless of mitigation or corrective actions.

HG1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Basis (cont):

<u>HOSTILE FORCE</u>: Any individuals who are engaged in a determined assault, overtly or by stealth and deception, equipped with suitable weapons capable of killing, maiming, or causing destruction.

This IC addresses an event in which a HOSTILE FORCE has taken physical control of the facility to the extent that the plant staff can no longer operate equipment necessary to maintain key safety functions. It also addresses a HOSTILE ACTION leading to a loss of physical control that results in actual or IMMINENT damage to spent fuel due to 1) damage to a spent fuel pool cooling system (e.g., pumps, heat exchangers, controls, etc.) or, 2) loss of spent fuel pool integrity such that sufficient water level cannot be maintained.

Timely and accurate communications between Security Shift Supervision and the Control Room is essential for proper classification of a security-related event.

Security plans and terminology are based on the guidance provided by NEI 03-12, Template for the Security Plan, Training and Qualification Plan, Safeguards Contingency Plan [and Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Security Program].

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 6 HG1
- 2. Station Security Plan Appendix C

HS1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

HOSTILE ACTION within the PROTECTED AREA.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

A notification from the Security Force that a HOSTILE ACTION is occurring or has occurred within the PROTECTED AREA.

Basis:

<u>HOSTILE ACTION</u>: An act toward a NPP or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take HOSTAGES, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, PROJECTILEs, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. HOSTILE ACTION should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on the NPP. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the owner controlled area).

HOSTAGE: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

<u>PROJECTILE</u>: An object directed toward a NPP that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

<u>PROTECTED AREA</u>: An area that normally encompasses all controlled areas within the security protected area fence.

<u>HOSTILE FORCE</u>: Any individuals who are engaged in a determined assault, overtly or by stealth and deception, equipped with suitable weapons capable of killing, maiming, or causing destruction.

<u>INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE INSTALLATION (ISFSI)</u>: A complex that is designed and constructed for the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage.

This IC addresses the occurrence of a HOSTILE ACTION within the PROTECTED AREA. This event will require rapid response and assistance due to the possibility for damage to plant equipment.

Timely and accurate communications between Security Shift Supervision and the Control Room is essential for proper classification of a security-related event.

Security plans and terminology are based on the guidance provided by NEI 03-12, Template for the Security Plan, Training and Qualification Plan, Safeguards Contingency Plan [and Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Security Program].

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HS1 (cont)

Basis (cont):

As time and conditions allow, these events require a heightened state of readiness by the plant staff and implementation of onsite protective measures (e.g., evacuation, dispersal or sheltering). The Site Area Emergency declaration will mobilize ORO resources and have them available to develop and implement public protective actions in the unlikely event that the attack is successful in impairing multiple safety functions.

This IC does not apply to a HOSTILE ACTION directed at an ISFSI PROTECTED AREA located outside the plant PROTECTED AREA; such an attack should be assessed using IC HA1. It also does not apply to incidents that are accidental events, acts of civil disobedience, or otherwise are not a HOSTILE ACTION perpetrated by a HOSTILE FORCE. Examples include the crash of a small aircraft, shots from hunters, physical disputes between employees, etc. Reporting of these types of events is adequately addressed by other EALs, or the requirements of 10 CFR § 73.71 or 10 CFR § 50.72.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC HG1.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, HS1
- 3. Station Security Plan Appendix C

HA1

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

HOSTILE ACTION within the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA or airborne attack threat within 30 minutes.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

1. A validated notification from NRC of an aircraft attack threat < 30 minutes from the site.

OR

2. Notification by the Security Force that a HOSTILE ACTION is occurring or has occurred within the OWNER CONTROLED AREA.

Basis:

<u>HOSTILE ACTION</u>: An act toward a NPP or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take HOSTAGES, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, PROJECTILEs, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. HOSTILE ACTION should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on the NPP. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the owner controlled area).

HOSTAGE: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

<u>PROJECTILE</u>: An object directed toward a NPP that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

<u>OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)</u>: The property associated with the station and owned by the company. Access is normally limited to persons entering for official business.

<u>PROTECTED AREA</u>: An area that normally encompasses all controlled areas within the security protected area fence.

<u>HOSTILE FORCE</u>: Any individuals who are engaged in a determined assault, overtly or by stealth and deception, equipped with suitable weapons capable of killing, maiming, or causing destruction.

This IC addresses the occurrence of a HOSTILE ACTION within the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA or notification of an aircraft attack threat. This event will require rapid response and assistance due to the possibility of the attack progressing to the PROTECTED AREA, or the need to prepare the plant and staff for a potential aircraft impact.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HA1 (cont)

Basis (cont):

Timely and accurate communications between Security Shift Supervision and the Control Room is essential for proper classification of a security-related event.

Security plans and terminology are based on the guidance provided by NEI 03-12, Template for the Security Plan, Training and Qualification Plan, Safeguards Contingency Plan [and Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Security Program].

As time and conditions allow, these events require a heightened state of readiness by the plant staff and implementation of onsite protective measures (e.g., evacuation, dispersal or sheltering). The Alert declaration will also heighten the awareness of Offsite Response Organizations, allowing them to be better prepared should it be necessary to consider further actions.

This IC does not apply to incidents that are accidental events, acts of civil disobedience, or otherwise are not a HOSTILE ACTION perpetrated by a HOSTILE FORCE. Examples include the crash of a small aircraft, shots from hunters, physical disputes between employees, etc. Reporting of these types of events is adequately addressed by other EALs, or the requirements of 10 CFR § 73.71 or 10 CFR § 50.72.

EAL #1 Basis

Addresses the threat from the impact of an aircraft on the plant, and the anticipated arrival time is within 30 minutes. The intent of this EAL is to ensure that threat-related notifications are made in a timely manner so that plant personnel and OROs are in a heightened state of readiness. This EAL is met when the threat-related information has been validated in accordance with QCOA 0010-20, Security Event.

EAL #2 Basis

Is applicable for any HOSTILE ACTION occurring, or that has occurred, in the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA. This includes any action directed against an ISFSI that is located outside the plant PROTECTED AREA.

The NRC Headquarters Operations Officer (HOO) will communicate to the licensee if the threat involves an aircraft. The status and size of the plane may be provided by NORAD through the NRC.

In some cases, it may not be readily apparent if an aircraft impact within the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA was intentional (i.e., a HOSTILE ACTION). It is expected, although not certain, that notification by an appropriate Federal agency to the site would clarify this point. In this case, the appropriate federal agency is intended to be NORAD, FBI, FAA or NRC. The emergency declaration, including one based on other ICs/EALs, should not be unduly delayed while awaiting notification by a Federal agency.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC HS1.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HA1 (cont)

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, HA1
- 2. Station Security Plan Appendix C
- 3. QCOA 0010-20, Security Event (G.7.A, G.7.B, G.7.C, G.7.D, G.7.K)

an an an Anna a Anna an Anna an

1114

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:	
Confirmed SECURITY CONDITION	or threat.
Operating Mode Applicability:	reserve a contrar en la co Reserve en la contrar en la
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D	

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

1. Notification of a credible security threat directed at the site as determined per SY-AA-101-132, Security Assessment and Response to Unusual Activities.

OR

- 2. A validated notification from the NRC providing information of an aircraft threat. **OR**
- 3. Notification by the Security Force of a SECURITY CONDITION that does **not** involve a HOSTILE ACTION.

The state of th

Basis:

<u>SECURITY CONDITION</u>: Any Security Event as listed in the approved security contingency plan that constitutes a threat/compromise to site security, threat/risk to site personnel, or a potential degradation to the level of safety of the plant. A SECURITY CONDITION does not involve a HOSTILE ACTION

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

HOSTILE ACTION: An act toward a NPP or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take HOSTAGES, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, PROJECTILEs, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. HOSTILE ACTION should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on the NPP. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the owner controlled area).

<u>HOSTAGE</u>: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

<u>PROJECTILE</u>: An object directed toward a NPP that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

This IC addresses events that pose a threat to plant personnel or SAFETY SYSTEM equipment, and thus represent a potential degradation in the level of plant safety. Security events which do not meet one of these EALs are adequately addressed by the requirements of 10 CFR § 73.71 or 10 CFR § 50.72. Security events assessed as HOSTILE ACTIONS are classifiable under ICs HA1, HS1 and HG1.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY

HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HU1 (cont)

Basis (cont):

Timely and accurate communications between Security Shift Supervision and the Control Room is essential for proper classification of a security-related event. Classification of these events will initiate appropriate threat-related notifications to plant personnel and OROs.

Security plans and terminology are based on the guidance provided by NEI 03-12, Template for the Security Plan, Training and Qualification Plan, Safeguards Contingency Plan [and Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Security Program].

EAL #1 Basis

Addresses the receipt of a credible security threat. The credibility of the threat is assessed in accordance with SY-AA-101-132.

EAL #2 Basis

Addresses the threat from the impact of an aircraft on the plant. The NRC Headquarters Operations Officer (HOO) will communicate to the licensee if the threat involves an aircraft. The status and size of the plane may also be provided by NORAD through the NRC. Validation of the threat is performed in accordance with QCOA 0010-20, Security Event (G.7.A, G.7.B, G.7.C, G.7.D, G.7.K)

EAL #3 Basis

References Security Force because these are the individuals trained to confirm that a security event is occurring or has occurred. Training on security event confirmation and classification is controlled due to the nature of Safeguards and 10 CFR § 2.39 information.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC HA1.

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev 6, HU1
- 2. SY-AA-101-132, Security Assessment and Response to Unusual Activities
- 3. Station Security Plan Appendix C
- 4. NRC Safeguards Advisory 10/6/01
- 5. Letter from Mr. B. A. Boger (NRC) to Ms. Lynette Hendricks (NEI) dated 2/4/02
- 6. QCOA 0010-20, Security Event (G.7.A, G.7.B, G.7.C, G.7.D, G.7.K)

HS2

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

Inability to control a key safety function from outside the Control Room.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- 1. A Control Room evacuation has resulted in plant control being transferred from the Control Room to alternate locations per
 - QCARP 0050-01, SB-1-1 Injection with SSMP and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown
 - OR
 - QCARP 0050-02, SB-1-2 Injection with RCIC and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown
 OR
 - QOA 0010-05, Plant Operation with the Control Room Inaccessible

AND

2. Control of **ANY** Table H1 key safety function is not reestablished in < 30 minutes.

Table H1 Safety Functions

- Reactivity Control (ability to shut down the reactor and keep it shut down)
- RPV Water Level (ability to cool the core)
- RCS Heat Removal (ability to maintain heat sink)

Basis:

The time period to establish control of the plant starts when either:

- a. Control of the plant is no longer maintained in the Main Control Room OR
- b. The last Operator has left the Main Control Room.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HS2 (cont)

Basis (cont):

This IC addresses an evacuation of the Control Room that results in transfer of plant control to alternate locations, and the control of a key safety function cannot be reestablished in a timely manner. The failure to gain control of a key safety function following a transfer of plan control to alternate locations is a precursor to a challenge to any fission product barriers within a relatively short period of time.

The determination of whether or not "control" is established at the remote safe shutdown location(s) is based on Emergency Director judgment. The Emergency Director is expected to make a reasonable, informed judgment within 30 minutes whether or not the operating staff has control of key safety functions from the remote safe shutdown location(s).

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC FG1 or CG6.

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev 6 HS6
- 2. QOA 0010-05, Plant Operation with the Control Room Inaccessible
- 3. QCARP 0050-01, SB-1-1Injection with SSMP and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown
- 4. QCARP 0050-02, SB-1-1 Injection with RCIC and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown

HA2

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

Control Room evacuation resulting in transfer of plant control to alternate locations.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

A Control Room evacuation has resulted in plant control being transferred from the Control Room to alternate locations per:

 QCARP 0050-01, SB-1-1 Injection with SSMP and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown

OR

- QCARP 0050-02, SB-1-2 Injection with RCIC and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown
 - OR
- QOA 0010-05, Plant Operation with the Control Room Inaccessible

Basis:

This IC addresses an evacuation of the Control Room that results in transfer of plant control to alternate locations outside the Control Room. The loss of the ability to control the plant from the Control Room is considered to be a potential substantial degradation in the level of plant safety.

Following a Control Room evacuation, control of the plant will be transferred to alternate shutdown locations. The necessity to control a plant shutdown from outside the Control Room, in addition to responding to the event that required the evacuation of the Control Room, will present challenges to plant operators and other on-shift personnel. Activation of the ERO and emergency response facilities will assist in responding to these challenges.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC HS2.

Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev 6 HA6
- 2. QOA 0010-05, Plant Operation with the Control Room Inaccessible
- 3. QCARP 0050-01, SB-1-1Injection with SSMP and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown

ىرىيە ئەرىپى بىرىيى بىرىيى بىرىيى بىرىيىتىنى ئېچىنىيە بىرىيە بىرىيى بىرىيى بىرىيى بىرىيى بىرىيى بىرىيى بىر

4. QCARP 0050-02, SB-1-1 Injection with RCIC and Bringing the Unit to Cold Shutdown

HU3

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

FIRE potentially degrading the level of safety of the plant.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL): Note:

- The Emergency Director should declare the event promptly upon determining that the applicable time has been exceeded, or will likely be exceeded.
- Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CA2 or MA5
- 1. A FIRE in **ANY** Table H2 area is <u>not</u> extinguished in < **15-minutes** of **ANY** of the following FIRE detection indications:
 - Report from the field (i.e., visual observation)
 - Receipt of multiple (more than 1) fire alarms or indications
 - Field verification of a single fire alarm

Table H2 Vital Areas

- Reactor Building (when inerted the Drywell is exempt)
- Main Control Room Envelope
- Unit and Shared Emergency Diesel Generator Rooms
- 4KV Switchgear Area
- Battery Rooms
- RHR Service Water Vaults
- Turbine Building Cable Tunnel
- Cribhouse

OR

2. a. Receipt of a single fire alarm in **ANY** Table H2 area (i.e., no other indications of a FIRE).

AND

b. The existence of a FIRE is not verified in < 30 minutes of alarm receipt.

OR

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HU3 (cont)

Emergency Action Level (EAL) (cont):

3. A FIRE within the plant or ISFSI PROTECTED AREA not extinguished in < 60minutes of the initial report, alarm or indication.

OR

4. A FIRE within the plant or ISFSI PROTECTED AREA that requires firefighting support by an offsite fire response agency to extinguish.

Basis:

<u>FIRE</u>: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute FIRES. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

<u>PROTECTED AREA</u>: An area that normally encompasses all controlled areas within the security protected area fence.

<u>INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE INSTALLATION (ISFSI)</u>: A complex that is designed and constructed for the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage.

This IC addresses the magnitude and extent of FIRES that may be indicative of a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

EAL #1 Basis

The intent of the 15-minute duration is to size the FIRE and to discriminate against small FIRES that are readily extinguished (e.g., smoldering waste paper basket). In addition to alarms, other indications of a FIRE could be a drop in fire main pressure, automatic activation of a suppression system, etc.

Upon receipt, operators will take prompt actions to confirm the validity of an initial fire alarm, indication, or report. For EAL assessment purposes, the emergency declaration clock starts at the time that the initial alarm, indication, or report was received, and not the time that a subsequent verification action was performed. Similarly, the fire duration clock also starts at the time of receipt of the initial alarms, indication or report.

EAL #2 Basis

This EAL addresses receipt of a single fire alarm, and the existence of a FIRE is not verified (i.e., proved or disproved) within 30-minutes of the alarm. Upon receipt, operators will take prompt actions to confirm the validity of a single fire alarm. For EAL assessment purposes, the 30-minute clock starts at the time that the initial alarm was received, and not the time that a subsequent verification action was performed.

See a george Sand

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HU3 (cont)

Basis (cont):

A single fire alarm, absent other indication(s) of a FIRE, may be indicative of equipment failure or a spurious activation, and not an actual FIRE. For this reason, additional time is allowed to verify the validity of the alarm. The 30-minute period is a reasonable amount of time to determine if an actual FIRE exists; however, after that time, and absent information to the contrary, it is assumed that an actual FIRE is in progress.

If an actual FIRE is verified by a report from the field, then EAL #1 is immediately applicable, and the emergency must be declared if the FIRE is not extinguished within 15-minutes of the report. If the alarm is verified to be due to an

equipment failure or a spurious activation, and this verification occurs within 30-minutes of the receipt of the alarm, then this EAL is not applicable and no emergency declaration is warranted.

EAL #3 Basis

In addition to a FIRE addressed by EAL #1 or EAL #2, a FIRE within the plant PROTECTED AREA not extinguished within 60-minutes may also potentially degrade the level of plant safety. This basis extends to a FIRE occurring within the PROTECTED AREA of an ISFSI located outside the plant PROTECTED AREA.

EAL #4 Basis

If a FIRE within the plant or ISFSI PROTECTED AREA is of sufficient size to require a response by an offsite firefighting agency (e.g., a local town Fire Department), then the level of plant safety is potentially degraded. The dispatch of an offsite firefighting agency to the site requires an emergency declaration only if it is needed to actively support firefighting efforts because the fire is beyond the capability of the Fire Brigade to extinguish. Declaration is not necessary if the agency resources are placed on stand-by, or supporting post-extinguishment recovery or investigation actions.

Basis-Related Requirements from Appendix R

Appendix R to 10 CFR 50, states in part:

Criterion 3 of Appendix A to this part specifies that "Structures, systems, and components important to safety shall be designed and located to minimize, consistent with other safety requirements, the probability and effect of fires and explosions."

July 2017

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HU3 (cont)

Basis (cont):

When considering the effects of fire, those systems associated with achieving and maintaining safe shutdown conditions assume major importance to safety because damage to them can lead to core damage resulting from loss of coolant through boil-off.

Because fire may affect safe shutdown systems and because the loss of function of systems used to mitigate the consequences of design basis accidents under post-fire conditions does not per se impact public safety, the need to limit fire damage to systems required to achieve and maintain safe shutdown conditions is greater than the need to limit fire damage to those systems required to mitigate the consequences of design basis accidents.

In addition, Appendix R to 10 CFR 50, requires, among other considerations, the use of 1-hour fire barriers for the enclosure of cable and equipment and associated non-safety circuits of one redundant train (G.2.c). As used in EAL #2, the 30-minutes to verify a single alarm is well within this worst-case 1-hour time period.

Depending upon the plant mode at the time of the event, escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CA2 or HA3.

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev 6 HU4
- 2. UFSAR Section 3.2

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

HU4

Seismic event greater than OBE levels.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CA2 or MA5
- For emergency classification if EAL 2 is not able to be confirmed, then the occurrence of a seismic event is confirmed in manner deemed appropriate by the Shift Manager or Emergency Director in ≤ 15 mins of the event.

Seismic event as indicated by:

1. Control Room personnel feel an actual or potential seismic event.

AND

- 2. **ANY** one of the following confirmed in \leq **15 mins** of the event:
 - The earthquake resulted in Modified Mercalli Intensity (MMI) ≥ VI and occurred ≤ 3.5 miles of the plant.
 - The earthquake was magnitude \geq 6.0
 - The earthquake was magnitude \geq 5.0 and occurred \leq 125 miles of the plant.

Basis:

This IC addresses a seismic event that results in accelerations at the plant site greater than those specified for an Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE)¹. An earthquake greater than an OBE but less than a Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE)² should have no significant impact on safety-related systems, structures and components; however, some time may be required for the plant staff to ascertain the actual post-event condition of the plant (e.g., performs walk-downs and post-event inspections). Given the time necessary to perform walk-downs and inspections, and fully understand any impacts, this event represents a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

¹ An OBE is vibratory ground motion for which those features of a nuclear power plant necessary for continued operation without undue risk to the health and safety of the public will remain functional.

² An SSE is vibratory ground motion for which certain (generally, safety-related) structures, systems, and components must be designed to remain functional.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HU4 (cont)

Basis (cont):

Event verification with external sources should not be necessary during or following an OBE. Earthquakes of this magnitude should be readily felt by on-site personnel and recognized as a seismic event (e.g., typical lateral accelerations are in excess of 0.08g).

EAL #2 and the accompanying note is included to ensure that a declaration does not result from felt vibrations caused by a non-seismic source (e.g., a dropped load). The Shift Manager or Emergency Director may seek external verification if deemed appropriate (e.g., call to USGS, check internet source, etc.) however, the verification action must not preclude a timely emergency declaration. This EAL wording recognizes that it may cause the site to declare an Unusual Event while another site, similarly affected but with readily available OBE indications in the Control Room, may not.

Depending upon the plant mode at the time of the event, escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CA2 or MA5.

Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev 6 HU2
- 2. QCOA 0010-09, Earthquake
- 3. US NRC Reg. Guide 1.166, Pre-Earthquake Planning and Immediate Nuclear Power Plant Operator Earthquake Actions.

HA5

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

Gaseous release impeding access to equipment necessary for normal plant operations, cooldown or shutdown.

Operating Mode Applicability:

3, 4

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- If the equipment in the listed room or area was already inoperable, or out of service, before the event occurred, then no emergency classification is warranted.
- 1. Release of a toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gas in ANY Table H3 area.

Table H3 Areas with Entry Related Mode Applicability			
Area	Unit	Entry Related Mode Applicability	
Reactor Building			
First Floor North Wall	1	Mode 3 and 4	
Second Floor North Wall	1		
First Floor South Wall	2		
Second Floor South Wall	2		
High Pressure Heater Bay	1 & 2	Mode 3	
MSIV Room	1		
Second Floor Turbine Bldg. N.E. Corner	2		

AND

2. Entry into the room or area is prohibited or impeded

This IC addresses an event involving a release of a hazardous gas that precludes or impedes access to equipment necessary to transition the plant from normal plant operation to cooldown and shutdown as specified in normal plant procedures. This condition represents an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HA5 (cont)

Basis (cont):

Assuming all plant equipment is operating as designed, normal operation is capable from the Main Control Room (MCR). The plant is also able to transition into a hot shutdown condition from the MCR, therefore Table H3 is a list of plant rooms or areas with entry-related mode applicability that contain equipment which require a manual/local action necessary to transition the plant from normal plant operation to cooldown and shutdown as specified in normal operating procedures (establish shutdown cooling), where if this action is not completed the plant would not be able to attain and maintain cold shutdown. This Table does not include rooms or areas for which entry is required solely to perform actions of an administrative or record keeping nature (e.g., normal rounds or routine inspections).

This Table does not include the Control Room since adequate engineered safety/design features are in place to preclude a Control Room evacuation due to the release of a hazardous gas.

An Alert declaration is warranted if entry into the affected room/area is, or may be, procedurally required during the plant operating mode in effect and the gaseous release preclude the ability to place shutdown cooling in service. The emergency classification is not contingent upon whether entry is actually necessary at the time of the release.

Evaluation of the IC and EAL do not require atmospheric sampling; it only requires the Emergency Director's judgment that the gas concentration in the affected room/area is sufficient to preclude or significantly impede procedurally required access. This judgment may be based on a variety of factors including an existing job hazard analysis, report of ill effects on personnel, advice from a subject matter expert or operating experience with the same or similar hazards. Access should be considered as impeded if extraordinary measures are necessary to facilitate entry of personnel into the affected room/area (e.g., requiring use of protective equipment, such as SCBAs, that is not routinely employed).

An emergency declaration is not warranted if any of the following conditions apply.

- The plant is in an operating mode different than the mode specified for the affected room/area (i.e., entry is not required during the operating mode in effect at the time of the gaseous release). For example, the plant is in Mode 1 when the gaseous release occurs, and the procedures used for normal operation, cooldown and shutdown do not require entry into the affected room until Mode 4.
- The gas release is a planned activity that includes compensatory measures which address the temporary inaccessibility of a room or area (e.g., fire suppression system testing).
- The action for which room/area entry is required is of an administrative or record keeping nature (e.g., normal rounds or routine inspections).
- The access control measures are of a conservative or precautionary nature, and would not actually prevent or impede a required action.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HA5 (cont)

Basis (cont):

An asphyxiant is a gas capable of reducing the level of oxygen in the body to dangerous levels. Most commonly, asphyxiants work by merely displacing air in an enclosed environment. This reduces the concentration of oxygen below the normal level of around 19%, which can lead to breathing difficulties, unconsciousness or even death.

This EAL does not apply to firefighting activities that generate smoke, that automatically or manually activate a fire suppression system in an area, or to intentional inerting of containment.

The Operating Mode Applicability of this EAL has been revised from All Modes to modes 3 and 4 due to the mode applicability of the areas of concern in Table H-3. In the future should the areas of concern in Table H-3 be revised then the Operating Mode Applicability of this EAL should be reevaluated.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via Recognition Category R, C or F ICs.

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev 6 HA5
- 2. UFSAR Section 3.2
- 3. ACIT 660892-20, Station Halon Discharge IDLH Evaluation

HU6

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

Hazardous Event

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Note:

- EAL #4 does not apply to routine traffic impediments such as fog, snow, ice, or vehicle breakdowns or accidents.
- Escalation of the emergency classification level would be via IC CA2 or MA5
- 1. Tornado strike within the PROTECTED AREA.

OR

2. Internal room or area flooding of a magnitude sufficient to require manual or automatic electrical isolation of a SAFETY SYSTEM component required by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode.

OR

3. Movement of personnel within the PROTECTED AREA is impeded due to an offsite event involving hazardous materials (e.g., an offsite chemical spill or toxic gas release).

OR

4. A hazardous event that results in on-site conditions sufficient to prohibit the plant staff from accessing the site via personal vehicles.

OR

- 5. Abnormal River level, as indicated by **EITHER**:
 - a. High river water level > **594 ft.**

OR

b. Report of substantial reduction in river level by site personnel and confirmation by the Corp. of Engineers that Dam # 14 has failed.

Basis: A the second second

<u>PROTECTED AREA</u>: An area that normally encompasses all controlled areas within the security protected area fence.

<u>SAFETY SYSTEM</u>: A system required for safe plant operation, cooling down the plant and/or placing it in the cold shutdown condition, including the ECCS. These are typically systems classified as safety-related.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HU6 (cont)

Basis (cont):

This IC addresses hazardous events that are considered to represent a potential

degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

EAL #1 Basis

Addresses a tornado striking (touching down) within the Protected Area.

EAL #2 Basis

Addresses flooding of a building room or area that results in operators isolating power to a SAFETY SYSTEM component due to water level or other wetting concerns. Classification is also required if the water level or related wetting causes an automatic isolation of a SAFETY SYSTEM component from its power source (e.g., a breaker or relay trip). To warrant classification, operability of the affected component must be required by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode.

EAL #3 Basis

Addresses a hazardous materials event originating at an offsite location and of sufficient magnitude to impede the movement of personnel within the PROTECTED AREA.

EAL #4 Basis

Addresses a hazardous event that causes an on-site impediment to vehicle movement and significant enough to prohibit the plant staff from accessing the site using personal vehicles. Examples of such an event include site flooding caused by a hurricane, heavy rains, up-river water releases, dam failure, etc., or an on-site train derailment blocking the access road.

This EAL is not intended apply to routine impediments such as fog, snow, ice, or vehicle breakdowns or accidents, but rather to more significant conditions such as the Hurricane Andrew strike on Turkey Point in 1992, the flooding around the Cooper Station during the Midwest floods of 1993, or the flooding around Ft. Calhoun Station in 2011.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY HU6 (cont)

Basis (cont):

EAL#5 Basis:

The Design Flood elevation is 594.5 ft. el. (rounded down to 594 ft. el. MSL). This initial design flood elevation is equal to the plant grade of 594.5 ft. el. and any mode of operation is, therefore, possible without additional protective measures. The station design is such that if Lock and Dam No. 14 were to fail, the water level would recede in the intake bay to the point where it would be separated from the river. As the water level recedes in the intake bay, circulating water, service water and fire diesel pumps would become inoperable, leaving only RHRSW and DGCW available to shutdown the units. Use of the ultimate heat sink to shutdown the reactors requires the operation of portable diesel pumps with a total capacity of 5100 gpm to reverse the normal flow of makeup water. Makeup water would be provided from the river through the discharge piping and return to the river across the log boom in the intake bay.

Escalation of the emergency classification level would be based on ICs in Recognition Categories R, F, M, H or C.

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev 6 HU3
- 2. UFSAR Section 3.2
- 3. QCTP 0130-11 Internal Flood Protection Program
- 4. Drawing FL-1 Flood Barriers
- 5. Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station Unit 1 and 2 Internal Flooding Analysis Note Book, July 1993 Final Draft, prepared by Individual Plant Evaluation Partnership (IPEP)

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

HG7

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Director warrant declaration of a GENERAL EMERGENCY.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Director indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which involve actual or IMMINENT substantial core degradation or melting with potential for loss of containment integrity or HOSTILE ACTION that results in an actual loss of physical control of the facility. Releases can be reasonably expected to exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels offsite for more than the immediate site area.

Basis:

<u>IMMINENT</u>: The trajectory of events or conditions is such that an EAL will be met within a relatively short period of time regardless of mitigation or corrective actions.

HOSTILE ACTION: An act toward a NPP or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take HOSTAGES, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, PROJECTILEs, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. HOSTILE ACTION should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on the NPP. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the owner controlled area).

HOSTAGE: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station

<u>PROJECTILE</u>: An object directed toward a NPP that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

This IC addresses unanticipated conditions not addressed explicitly elsewhere but that warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the Emergency Director to fall under the emergency classification level description for a General Emergency.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev 6 HG7

영화 소설 옷이 봐.

HS7

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Director warrant declaration of a SITE AREA EMERGENCY.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Director indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which involve actual or likely major failures of plant functions needed for protection of the public or HOSTILE ACTION that results in intentional damage or malicious acts, (1) toward site personnel or equipment that could lead to the likely failure of or, (2) that prevent effective access to equipment needed for the protection of the public. Any releases are not expected to result in exposure levels which exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels beyond the site boundary.

Basis:

<u>HOSTILE ACTION</u>: An act toward a NPP or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take HOSTAGES, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, PROJECTILEs, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. HOSTILE ACTION should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on the NPP. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the owner controlled area).

HOSTAGE: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station

<u>PROJECTILE</u>: An object directed toward a NPP that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

This IC addresses unanticipated conditions not addressed explicitly elsewhere but that warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the Emergency Director to fall under the emergency classification level description for a Site Area Emergency.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev 6 HS7

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

HA7

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Director warrant declaration of an ALERT Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Other conditions exist which, in the judgment of the Emergency Director, indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which involve an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant or a security event that involves probable life threatening risk to site personnel or damage to site equipment because of HOSTILE ACTION. Any releases are expected to be limited to small fractions of the EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels.

Basis:

<u>HOSTILE ACTION</u>: An act toward a NPP or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take HOSTAGES, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, PROJECTILEs, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. HOSTILE ACTION should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on the NPP. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the owner controlled area).

HOSTAGE: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station

<u>PROJECTILE</u>: An object directed toward a NPP that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

This IC addresses unanticipated conditions not addressed explicitly elsewhere but that warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the Emergency Director to fall under the emergency classification level description for an Alert.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev 6 HA7

RECOGNITION CATEGORY HAZARDS AND OTHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING PLANT SAFETY

Initiating Condition:

HU7

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Director warrant declaration of an UNUSUAL EVENT.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Director indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which indicate a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant or indicate a security threat to facility protection has been initiated. No releases of radioactive material requiring offsite response or monitoring are expected unless further degradation of safety systems occurs.

Basis: The second s

This IC addresses unanticipated conditions not addressed explicitly elsewhere but that warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the Emergency Director to fall under the emergency classification level description for an UNUSUAL EVENT.

Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev 6 HU7

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ISFSI MALFUNCTIONS

E-HU1

Initiating Condition

Damage to a loaded cask CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY.

Operating Mode Applicability:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, D

Emergency Action Level (EAL):

Damage to a loaded cask CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY as indicated by an on-contact radiation reading:

1. HI-STORM (labeled as xxx-A2, or xxx-A3)

- > 40 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on top of the spent fuel cask OR
- > 220 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on the side of the spent fuel cask, excluding inlet and outlet ducts

OR

- 2. HI-STORM (labeled as xxx-A8.1)
 - > 60 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on top of the spent fuel cask OR
 - > 600 mrem/hr (gamma + neutron) on the side of the spent fuel cask, excluding inlet and outlet ducts

.Basis:

<u>CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY</u>: The irradiated fuel dry storage cask barrier(s) between areas containing radioactive substances and the environment.

<u>INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE INSTALLATION (ISFSI)</u>: A complex that is designed and constructed for the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage.

This IC addresses an event that results in damage to the CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY of a storage cask containing spent fuel. It applies to irradiated fuel that is licensed for dry storage beginning at the point that the loaded storage cask is sealed. The word cask, as used in this EAL, refers to the storage container in use at the site for dry storage of irradiated fuel. The issues of concern are the creation of a potential or actual release path to the environment, degradation of any fuel assemblies due to environmental factors, and configuration changes which could cause challenges in removing the cask or fuel from storage.

The existence of "damage" is determined by radiological survey. The technical specification multiple of "2 times", which is also used in Recognition Category R IC RU1, is used here to distinguish between non-emergency and emergency conditions. The emphasis for this classification is the degradation in the level of safety of the spent fuel cask and not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate. It is recognized that in the case of extreme damage to a loaded cask, the fact that the "on-contact" dose rate limit is exceeded may be determined based on measurement of a dose rate at some distance from the cask.

Security-related events for ISFSIs are covered under ICs HU1 and HA1.

RECOGNITION CATEGORY ISFSI MALFUNCTIONS

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev 6 E-HU1
- 2. Certificate of Compliance No. 1014, Amendment No. 2, 3, and 8 Revision 1 Appendix A