PRIORITY 2

REGULATOR INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION

STEM (RIDS)

Р

R

0

R

D

M

ACCESSION NBR:9507110019 DOC.DATE: 95/06/27 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # FACIL:50-335 St. Lucie Plant, Unit 1, Florida Power & Light Co. 05000335 50-389 St. Lucie Plant, Unit 2, Florida Power & Light Co. 05000389 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION BOHLKE, W.H. Florida Power & Light Co. RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION Division of Freedom of Information & Publications Services SUBJECT: Comment opposing proposed GL "Relocation of Pressure Temp Limit Curves & Low Temp Overpressure Protection Sys Limits." DISTRIBUTION CODE: DS09D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR ENCL PR)-Misc Notice; Reg G TITLE: SECY/DSB Dist: Public Comment on Proposed Rule NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPII LTTR	es Encl	RECIPIE ID CODE/		COP: LTTR	ies Encl
INTERNAL:	FILE_CENTER 01 OGC/DR 15-B-18 RES/DSIR	1 1 1	9	NMSS/IMOB RES DIR RES/FMPAS	T8F5	1 1 1	1
EXTERNAL:	NRC PDR	1	1				1 .

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

ž

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK, ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 504-2083) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

0

DSO9 10 . m. jueston : A. Kugler

Florida Power & Light Company, P.O. Box 14000, Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

CEIVED

1995 JUL -5 AM 8: 28
RULES NUN 2 8 1995
YS95988

6/2/95 6/2/95

Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Proposed Generic Letter; Relocation of the Pressure Temperature Limit Curves and

Low Temperature Overpressure Protection System Limits

(60 FR 28805, June 2, 1995)

Request for Comments

On June 2, 1995, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission published for public comment, "Proposed Generic Letter; Relocation of the Pressure Temperature Limit Curves and Low Temperature Overpressure Protection System Limits." These comments are submitted on behalf of Florida Power & Light (FPL), a licensed operator of two nuclear power plant units in Dade County, Florida and two units in St. Lucie County, Florida.

FPL agrees with the concept to voluntarily relocate the pressure temperature limit curves and low temperature overpressure protection system limits from the Technical Specifications to a licensee controlled document. However, several of the details described in this proposed generic letter (GL) are confusing, and it contains new and different terms without clarification. FPL's major concern is that subsequent changes to the methodology will require a license amendment. There are a number of minor changes which occur in the methodologies, lending themselves to an increase in license amendment submittals over time. This appears counterproductive to a reduction in regulatory burden.

Specific questions and/or comments are provided below:

- What is a fluence period? This is a new term and needs defining or clarification.
- Can the PTLR replace the reporting requirements of 10CFR50.61?
- What are the criteria for determining when there is a change in methodology such that a license amendment is required. Guidance is needed on whether changes to a methodology can be accomplished under 10 CFR 50.59.

AND THE

7.

L-95-188 Page 2

• The pending Regulatory Guide on fluence and Regulatory Guide 1.99, Rev.2, appear to be considered as mandatory within this GL. Is this the intent? GL 88-11 still allows alternate methods with justification.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed generic letter.

Very truly yours,

W. H. Bohlke

Vice President

Nuclear Engineering and Licensing

WHB/spt

CORTA TO TO THE STATE OF THE ST

.

4