

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Florida Power & Light Company
St. Lucie 1 and 2

Docket Nos. 50-335 and 50-389
License Nos. DPR-67 and NPF-16

During an NRC inspection conducted on October 20 - November 23, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

Unit 1 and Unit 2 Technical Specifications (TS) 3.6.1.1 require that CONTAINMENT VESSEL INTEGRITY be maintained in Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4; and require that without CONTAINMENT VESSEL INTEGRITY the unit be shut down and cooled down.

Unit 1 and Unit 2 TS 1.7 define CONTAINMENT VESSEL INTEGRITY to exist, in part, when all containment vessel penetrations required to be closed during accident conditions are either: 1) Capable of being closed by an OPERABLE containment automatic isolation valve system, or 2) Closed by manual valves, blind flanges, or deactivated automatic valves secured in their closed position except as provided in a certain TS Table.

10 CFR 50 Appendix A describes containment isolation to include, for each line that penetrates the primary reactor containment and either connects directly to the containment atmosphere or is a reactor coolant pressure boundary, one locked closed or automatic isolation valve inside containment and one locked closed or automatic isolation valve outside containment.

10 CFR 50 Appendix J defines a containment isolation valve as any valve which is relied upon to perform a containment isolation function. Containment Spray valve I-V07163 is a manual drain valve from the Containment Spray line to the Auxiliary Building. It drains the Containment Spray line between the automatic containment isolation valve outside containment and the automatic containment isolation valve inside containment, and is therefore a containment isolation valve.

Unit 1 and Unit 2 TS 4.6.1.1 require that CONTAINMENT VESSEL INTEGRITY be demonstrated at least once per 31 days by verifying, in part, that all containment vessel penetrations not capable of being closed by OPERABLE containment automatic isolation valves and required to be closed during accident conditions are closed by valves, blind flanges, or deactivated automatic valves secured in their positions, except for those located inside Unit 1 containment or listed in a certain TS table.

Contrary to the above:

1. The licensee failed to maintain CONTAINMENT VESSEL INTEGRITY prior to November 23, 1992, in that Containment Spray drain valve I-V07163 and other non-automatic containment vessel penetration closure devices in both units, such as manual valves or blind flanges, while closed, were not secured in their closed positions as required.

Florida Power & Light Company 2
St. Lucie 1 and 2

Docket Nos. 50-335 and 50-389
License Nos. DPR-67 and NPF-16

2. The licensee failed to implement the 31 day surveillance requirement of Unit 1 and Unit 2 TS 4.6.1.1 prior to November 23, 1992 in that Containment Spray drain valve I-V07163 and other non-automatic containment vessel penetration closure devices in both units, such as manual valves or blind flanges, were not verified secured in their closed positions as required.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, the Florida Power & Light Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the St. Lucie facility, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or demand for information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia
this 28rd day of December 1992.