

Public
Policy
Research
Institute, Inc.

5815 Alton Road
Miami Beach, Fl. 33140
June 3, 1988

Steven A. Varga, Director
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Varga:

After reading your response (May 27, 1988) to my letter to Harold R. Denton, dated May 16, 1988, I find that I have more unanswered questions that I originally asked.

In the first place, I was asking for documentation of the successful completion or non-completion of the first 10-year inspection interval for St. Lucie, Unit No. 1. While you state that your staff has completed all work on this interval, you do not state whether or not FP&L has successfully completed their requirements. Please answer this question and send a copy of the staff's evaluation and December 12, 1987 cover letter.

In addition, you mentioned the second ten-year interval plan of FP&L. Also, the company "...requested various reliefs associated with that program" according to your letter. Please send me copies of these documents.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

D. Marshall Barry, Ph.D.
Director

Boston • Miami

P.O. Box 651249
Miami, Florida 33165
(305) 347-5008

8807080371 880628
PDR ADOCK 05000335
Q PDR



11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

June 27, 1988.

DOCKET NO(S). 50-335-OLA

SEE ATTACHED LIST

SUBJECT: FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY, ST. LUCIE UNIT 1

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility are transmitted for your information.

- Notice of Receipt of Application, dated _____.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Notice of Availability of Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Safety Evaluation Report, or Supplement No. _____ dated _____.
- Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact, dated _____.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License or Amendment to Facility Operating License, dated _____.
- Bi-Weekly Notice; Applications and Amendments to Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Considerations, dated _____ [see page(s)] _____.
- Exemption, dated _____.
- Construction Permit No. CPPR-_____, Amendment No. _____ dated _____.
- Facility Operating License No. _____, Amendment No. _____ dated _____.
- Order Extending Construction Completion Date, dated _____.
- Monthly Operating Report for _____ transmitted by letter dated _____.
- Annual/Semi-Annual Report- _____
_____ transmitted by letter dated _____.


OTHER: ORDER (Final Schedule) dated June 22, 1988

Division of Reactor Projects-I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
As stated

cc: See next page

OFFICE ▶	LA:PD22						
SURNAME ▶	DMiller						
DATE ▶	6/27/88						



June 1, 1988

DOCKET NO(S). 50-335-OLA

SEE ATTACHED LIST

SUBJECT: FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY, ST. LUCIE UNIT 1

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility are transmitted for your information.

- Notice of Receipt of Application, dated _____.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Notice of Availability of Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Safety Evaluation Report, or Supplement No. _____ dated _____.
- Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact, dated _____.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License or Amendment to Facility Operating License, dated _____.
- Bi-Weekly Notice; Applications and Amendments to Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Considerations, dated _____ [see page(s)] _____.
- Exemption, dated _____.
- Construction Permit No. CPPR-_____, Amendment No. _____ dated _____.
- Facility Operating License No. _____, Amendment No. _____ dated _____.
- Order Extending Construction Completion Date, dated _____.
- Monthly Operating Report for _____ transmitted by letter dated _____.
- Annual/Semi-Annual Report- _____
_____ transmitted by letter dated _____.

OTHER: Memorandum and Order dated May 20, 1988; Order dated May 24, 1988; Order dated May 25, 1988.

Division of Reactor Projects-I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
As stated

cc: See next page

OFFICE ▶	LA PR22/						
SURNAME ▶	D Miller						
DATE ▶	6/ /88						

85-335

May 27, 1988

D. Marshall Barry, Ph.D.
5815 Alton Road
Miami Beach, Florida 33140

DISTRIBUTION

Docket or Central File
SVarga DMossburg, PMAS
GLainas
HBerkow
DMiller

Dear Dr. Barry:

This letter is in response to your letter to Harold R. Denton, dated May 16, 1988, which has been referred to my office for response. You requested an update of Florida Power and Light (FP&L) Company's Inservice Inspection Program for the St. Lucie Plant, Unit No. 1. Mr. Denton's letter to you of March 14, 1986, which you referred to in your letter, described the approach that the Commission uses for inservice inspection of nuclear power plants, and describes the staff's basis for extending the first ten-year inspection interval for the St. Lucie Plant, Unit No. 1 to February 11, 1988. This letter provides an update of Mr. Denton's previous letter to you.

The first ten-year inspection interval for St. Lucie, Unit No. 1 ended on February 11, 1988. By submittals dated September 2 and 4, 1987, FP&L provided the staff a copy of the Inservice Inspection Program for the second ten-year interval and also requested various reliefs associated with that program. FP&L specified in their submittals that on February 11, 1988 they would commence the second ten-year inspection interval under the requirements of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code Section XI, 1983 Edition through the Summer of 1983 Addenda, except where specific relief has been requested. The FP&L submittals are currently under review.

The staff has completed all work requested by the licensee in connection with the Unit 1 first ten-year inspection interval. The staff's evaluation of inservice inspection of Class 1, 2 and 3 components was sent to FP&L by letter dated December 12, 1987. In addition, the staff approved the Instrumented Inspection Technique (IIT) at St. Lucie, Unit 1 by letter dated November 8, 1985. IIT represents an alternative inspection method to meet certain requirements of Section XI of the ASME Code for inservice pressure tests. Lastly, the inservice inspection Technical Specifications for Unit No. 1 were upgraded to the format and content of Standard Technical Specifications on March 7, 1988 (Amendment 90).

I believe this information is responsive to your concern.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Steven A. Varga, Director
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

*See previous concurrences

LA:PDII-2*	PM:PDII-2*	EMTB*	EMEB*	D:PDII-2*	ADR2:NRR*
DMiller	ETourigny:bd	CYCheng	TMarsh	HBerkow	GLainas
05/25/88	05/25/88	05/26/88	05/26/88	05/26/88	05/26/88

D:DEP:NRR
SVarga
05/25/88

8806030395 880527
CF ADDCK 05000335
CF

