

1 -

ihailist

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NOS. 79 AND 19

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. DPR-67 AND NPF-16

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, ET AL.

ST. LUCIE PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-335 AND 50-389

INTRODUCTION

By application dated July 19, 1985 (L-85-252) Florida Power & Light Company (licensee) requested an amendment to the Technical Specifications for St. Lucie, Units 1 and 2, regarding instrumentation that has been installed to meet the requirements of "Generic Letter 83-37, NUREG-0737, Technical Specifications."

EVALUATION

8704150099 870407

05000335

The attached EG&G Idaho Technical Evaluation Report (TER) provides a review of the proposed technical specification changes to the accident monitoring instrumentation surveillance requirements for Tables 3.3-11 and 4.3-7 for Unit 1 and Tables 3.3-10 and 4.3-7 for Unit 2. Based on its review of the TER, the staff agrees with the findings and concludes that the proposed technical specification changes to the accident monitoring instrumentation surveillance'requirements for Units 1 & 2 are acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments involve a change in the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 or changes in surveillance requirements. The staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously published a proposed finding that these amendments involve no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, these amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR §51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR §51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Date: April 7, 1987

Principal Contributor:

P. Shemanski

Attachment:

Technical Evaluation Report EGG-NTA-7477 ۰ ۰ ۰ ۰ ۰ ۰

· · · · · · · •