

Docket No. 50-389

June 9, 1986

D C L 4

Mr. C. O. Woody
Group Vice President
Nuclear Energy
Florida Power & Light Company
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

Dear Mr. Williams:

SUBJECT: SAFETY PARAMETER DISPLAY SYSTEM (SPDS)

This letter represents our supplemental safety evaluation on the above subject and addresses your submittal of confirmatory information by letter dated September 4, 1985. The confirmatory information was requested when we provided you our safety evaluation of the SPDS on June 11, 1985. The confirmatory information addressed the SPDS's display of plant variables consisting of hot leg temperature, stack radiation, containment hydrogen, steam line radiation, and containment isolation. In addition, the confirmatory information addressed variable validation.

In regard to hot leg temperature, stack radiation, and containment hydrogen concentration, you stated that these variables can be displayed on the SPDS CRT by use of the dedicated function key pad located near the control board. Because these variables were not part of the original SPDS variable list, you stated that they would not be available on the same schedule as SPDS, but will be provided to the SPDS on the same schedule as the Safety Assessment System (SAS). This resolves our concern regarding the availability of these variables.

In connection with steam line radiation, you stated that the main steam line radiation monitors are not displayed on SPDS, but are alarmed on the Area Radiation Monitoring System (ARMS) panel. In addition, the main steam line radiation monitors will also be available on a CRT which is located next to the operator's desk and is part of the SAS. This resolves our concern regarding the availability of this variable.

Regarding containment isolation, you stated that containment isolation valve position indication will be available on a CRT located on a console next to the operator's desk (part of SAS). This resolves our concern regarding the availability of this variable.

The validation of SPDS variables relative to the Critical Safety Function was also a confirmatory item. You stated that the variables used to support SPDS were selected from SAS generic guidelines, operations personnel, members of Quadrex (the vendor), FP&L personnel, NUREG-0696, Combustion Engineering EPGs, and AIF guidelines. The requirements for variable validation for SPDS have

8606120460 860609
PDR ADDCK 05000389
P PDR

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for the integrity of the financial system and for the ability to detect and prevent fraud.

2. The second part of the document outlines the specific procedures for recording transactions. It details the steps involved in the accounting cycle, from identifying the transaction to posting it to the appropriate ledger account.

3. The third part of the document discusses the role of the auditor in verifying the accuracy of the records. It describes the various techniques used by auditors to test the reliability of the data and to ensure that the financial statements are presented fairly.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the issue of internal controls. It explains how a well-designed system of internal controls can help to minimize the risk of error and to ensure that the organization's assets are protected.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the importance of transparency and accountability in financial reporting. It argues that organizations should be open and honest about their financial performance and should provide clear and concise information to their stakeholders.

6. The sixth part of the document discusses the role of the government in regulating the financial system. It describes the various laws and regulations that govern the behavior of financial institutions and the consequences of non-compliance.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the importance of ethical behavior in the financial industry. It argues that financial professionals should always act in the best interests of their clients and should avoid any conflicts of interest.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the role of the media in financial reporting. It describes how the media can help to disseminate financial information and to hold financial institutions accountable for their actions.

9. The ninth part of the document discusses the importance of ongoing education and training for financial professionals. It argues that the financial industry is constantly evolving and that professionals must stay up-to-date on the latest developments.

10. The tenth part of the document discusses the importance of collaboration and communication between financial institutions and other stakeholders. It argues that a coordinated effort is needed to ensure the stability and integrity of the financial system.

not generally been reviewed for operating plants such as St. Lucie prior to implementation unless specifically requested by the licensee. You have not requested a pre-implementation review and, therefore, we conclude that this confirmatory item is resolved. However, a review of this concern would be conducted by the staff during a post-implementation audit.

In our safety evaluation dated June 11, 1985, we confirmed that you had committed to a Human Factors Program to ensure that the displayed information could be readily perceived and comprehended so as not to mislead the operator. You should assure that the variables of hot leg temperature, stack radiation, and containment hydrogen, which will be added to the SPDS on the SAS implementation schedule, are addressed in the Human Factors Program.

Since some of the above described variables will be added to the SPDS on the SAS implementation schedule, we believe that there is a need to establish a SAS completion schedule in order to ensure closure of the entire SPDS. You are requested to provide a SAS completion schedule within 30 days of your receipt of this letter or provide a date by which you can meet the request within 15 days of receipt of this letter.

Based upon this letter and our letter dated June 11, 1985, we conclude that we have not identified any serious safety questions or inadequacies and, therefore, find no reason to direct FP&L to cease implementation. The conclusion that SPDS implementation may continue does not imply staff confirmation that the SPDS meets the requirements of Supplement 1 to NUREG-0737. Such confirmation can be made after a full post-implementation audit or when the staff has otherwise obtained sufficient information.

This request for additional information affects fewer than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under P.L. 96-511.

In a separate but related matter, we met with your staff on May 20, 1986 to discuss the progress review report we wrote on the St. Lucie SPDS. This report was provided to you by letter dated February 18, 1986. In that meeting, your staff made a number of commitments. Those commitments were acknowledged in the meeting summary which was forwarded to you under separate cover.

Please contact the project manager, E. Tourigny, (301) 492-8007, if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/

E. G. Tourigny, Project Manager
PWR Project Directorate #8
Division of PWR Licensing-B

cc: See next page

Distribution:	Docket File	NRC & L PDRs	St Lucie Files	PBD#8 Rdg	ETourigny
FMiraglia	PKreutzer	EJordan	BGrimes	ACRS 10 OELD	ATHadani

PBD#8
PKreutzer
6/5/86

PBD#8
ETourigny;eh
6/5/86

PEICSB
JRC
6/5/86

PBD#8
ATHadani
6/19/86

Mr. C. O. Woody
Florida Power & Light Company

St. Lucie Plant

cc:

Mr. Jack Shreve
Office of the Public Counsel
Room 4, Holland Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32304

Resident Inspector
c/o U.S. NRC
7585 S. Hwy A1A
Jensen Beach, Florida 33457

State Planning & Development
Clearinghouse
Office of Planning & Budget
Executive Office of the Governor
The Capitol Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Harold F. Reis, Esq.
Newman & Holtzinger
1615 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

Norman A. Coll, Esq.
McCarthy, Steel, Hector and Davis
14th Floor, First National Bank Building
Miami, Florida 33131

Administrator
Department of Environmental Regulation
Power Plant Siting Section
State of Florida
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Mr. Weldon B. Lewis, County
Administrator
St. Lucie County
2300 Virginia Avenue, Room 104
Fort Pierce, Florida 33450

Mr. Charles B. Brinkman, Manager
Washington - Nuclear Operations
Combustion Engineering, Inc.
7910 Woodmont Avenue
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Mr. Allan Schubert, Manager
Public Health Physicist
Department of Health and
Rehabilitative Services
1323 Winewood Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Executive Director for Operations
101 Marietta Street N.W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323