



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 68

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-67

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

ST. LUCIE PLANT, UNIT NO. 1

DOCKET NO. 50-335

Background

In November 1980, the staff issued NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements," which included all TMI Action Plan items approved by the Commission for implementation at nuclear power reactors. NUREG-0737 identifies those items for which Technical Specifications were scheduled for implementation after December 31, 1981. The staff provided guidance on the scope of Technical Specifications for all of these items in Generic Letter 83-37. Generic Letter 83-37 was issued to all Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) licensees on November 1, 1983. In this Generic Letter, the staff requested licensees to:

1. review their facility's Technical Specifications to determine if they were consistent with the guidance provided in the Generic Letter, and
2. submit an application for a license amendment where deviations or absence of Technical Specifications were found.

By letter dated May 21, 1984, Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee) responded to Generic Letter 83-37 by submitting a Technical Specification change request for St. Lucie Unit 1. The licensee has proposed Technical Specifications for Item II.B.1 - Reactor Coolant System Vents. The remaining items contained in Generic Letter 83-37 will be the subject of a future review when they are submitted.

Evaluation

Reactor Coolant System Vents (II.B.1)

The staff's guidance for Reactor Coolant System Vents (RCS) identified the need for at least one operable vent path at the reactor vessel head and the pressurizer steam space, for Combustion Engineering reactors. Generic Letter 83-37 also provided limiting conditions for operation and the surveillance requirements for the RCS vents. The licensee has proposed TS changes that are consistent with the staff's guidance contained in Generic Letter 83-37. Therefore, the staff finds the proposed changes to be acceptable.



### Environmental Considerations

This amendment involves a change in the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR §51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

### Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussion above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Date: September 5, 1984

Principal Contributor:

C. Patel, ORAB

