

Docket Nos. 50-335  
and 50-389

JUL 17 1984

DISTRIBUTION:

~~Docket File~~  
NRC PDR  
L PDR  
ORB#3 Rdg  
DEisenhut  
EJordan

PMKreutzer  
DESells  
Gray File  
OELD  
ACRS-10  
JNGrace

Mr. J. W. Williams, Jr.  
Vice President  
Nuclear Energy Department  
Florida Power & Light Company  
P. O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

Dear Mr. Williams:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION,  
ST. LUCIE PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2

In the course of its review the Procedures and Systems Review Branch has developed a need for additional information in order to complete its review of the Procedures Generation Package for Emergency Operating Procedures submitted by your letter to Darrell G. Eisenhut dated October 26, 1983. The specific request for additional information is enclosed.

It is requested that you provide a response within 30 days of receipt of this letter or a date that you can meet within 15 days.

If you have any questions please contact the Project Manager D. Sells at (301) 492-9735.

This request for additional information affects fewer than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under P.L. 96-511.

Sincerely,

*Original Signed by J. R. Miller*

James R. Miller, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #3  
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

ORB#3:DL  
PMKreutzer  
7/12/84

ORB#3:DL  
DESells/pn  
7/12/84

ORB#3:DL  
JRMiller  
7/12/84

8408010091 840717  
PDR ADOCK 05000335  
F PDR

200-11 11-20-81  
10-11-81

1. The first step is to identify the problem or question that needs to be addressed. This involves understanding the context and the specific requirements of the task.

**CONCLUSIONS**

SECRET

1. The first step is to identify the problem or question that needs to be answered. This involves understanding the context and the specific information required.

1. The first step is to identify the problem. In this case, the problem is that the company is not meeting its sales targets.

As of 1992, the total number of people employed in the public sector was 1,100,000 (1992).

1. The first step is to identify the problem. This involves understanding the situation, gathering information, and defining the problem clearly.

1987

[illegible]

10-11-1947

כרמי' ויחזקו ויחזקו  
למנוח' ויחזקו ויחזקו

10:00 PM  
11:00 PM  
12:00 AM

19:01:40  
03/11/2017  
11:34 AM

1950 1951 1952

Florida Power & Light Company

cc:

Harold F. Reis, Esquire  
Newman & Holtzinger  
1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20036

Norman A. Coll, Esquire  
McCarthy, Steel, Hector and Davis  
14th Floor, First National Bank Building  
Miami, Florida 33131

Administrator  
Department of Environmental Regulation  
Power Plant Siting Section  
State of Florida  
2600 Blair Stone Road  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Mr. Weldon B. Lewis  
County Administrator  
St. Lucie County  
2300 Virginia Avenue, Room 104  
Fort Pierce, Florida 33450

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region IV Office  
ATTN: Regional Radiation Representative  
345 Courtland Street, NE  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

Mr. Charles B. Brinkman  
Manager - Washington Nuclear Operations  
C-E Power Systems  
Combustion Engineering, Inc.  
7910 Woodmont Avenue  
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Regional Administrator  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region II  
Office of Executive Director for Operations  
101 Marietta Street, Suite 2900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Mr. Jack Schreve  
Office of the Public Counsel  
Room 4, Holland Building  
Tallahassee, Florida 32304

Resident Inspector  
c/o U.S. NRC  
Senior Resident Inspector  
7585 S. Hwy 1A  
Jensen Beach, Florida 33457

State Planning & Development  
Clearinghouse  
Office of Planning & Budget  
Executive Office of the Governor  
The Capitol Building  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION  
ST. LUCIE UNITS 1 AND 2

The staff is reviewing the Procedures Generation Package (PGP) for St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 submitted by letter from J. W. Williams, Jr., to Darrell G. Eisenhut dated October 26, 1983. We have determined that additional information is needed to conduct a detailed review of the Plant-Specific Technical Guidelines portion of the PGP. So that we may continue our review of the PGP, the following information should be provided.

1. A description of the process used to determine the applicability of the actions specified in the referenced generic technical guidelines to your plant is in the PGP. This description should, however, include a discussion of how the engineering evaluation or analysis, at the specific operator action step level, was performed to modify the generic guidelines to apply them to St. Lucie Units 1 and 2.
2. If the process described in item 1 identifies any safety significant deviations from, or additions to, the generic technical guidelines (because of different plant equipment operating characteristics or design), the PGP should: (a) describe the evaluation performed to determine the safety significance of the deviations, (b) identify the safety significant deviations or additions found, and (c) provide the technical justification (i.e., engineering evaluation or analysis, as appropriate) for the plant-specific approach.
3. Describe the process used to identify plant-specific parameters and other plant-specific information and control capability needs and describe how the characteristics of needed instruments and controls will be determined. These processes may be described in either the PGP or the DCRDR Program Plan with appropriate cross-referencing.

