Jaman 260	REGU	ATORY	ORMATION (	DISTRIBUTION	бт <b>е</b> м то	(RIDS)	
ACCESSION FACIL:50 ÂUTH.NA UĤRIG,R. RECIP.N MILLER,J	NBR:830901 -335 St. Luc ME Al E. Flo NAME RI J.R.	0312 Do tie Plant JTHOR AFF orida Powe CIPIENT Operating	DC.DATE: 8 , Unit 1, f LLIATION or & Light AFFILIATION g Reactors	3/08/26 NOTAI =lorida Power Co. N Branch 3	RIZED: N & Light	10 t Co.	DOCKET # 05000335
SUBJECT:	Forwards s re NUREG-00 Outstanding	upplementi 512 Genera g concern	al response al Guidelin s resolved	e to NRC requi ne 7, "Crane I ,	est for Design.'	addl inf	0
DISTRIBU TITLE: C	JTION CODE: / DR Submittal	40338 CO USI A-30	PIES RECEIV 5 Control (	VED:LTR <u> </u> ENI of Heavy Load	Near Sp	SIZE:	NUREG-06
NOTES:	1			1			I
	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAU NRR ORB3 BC	COI 1E ÊT	PIES FR Encl 7 7	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAMI NRR SINGH,A	E L1 01	COPIES TTR ENCL 4 4	
INTERNAL:	NRR REQUARG	09 04	1 1 1- 1	NRR/DL/ORAB NRR/DSI/ASI RGN2	15	1 1 1 1 1 1	,
EXTERNAL:	ACRS NRC PDR NTIS	13 02	5 6 1 1 1 1	LPDR NSIC	03 06	1 1 1 i	·

	4		ډ				9		
1 e e		U		М		i i			
					1				

х с Х Сан ала х с Сан ц \* в К. К. н. н. н. с в Т

Å ·

ار ا الا

.

<b>N</b> POV	14000		REACH	EL 22409	
	14000,	20140	DEACH,	1.6 00400	



August 26, 1983 L-83-463

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Attention: Mr. James R. Miller, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #3 Division of Licensing U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Miller:

Re: St. Lucie Unit 1 Docket No. 50-335 Control of Heavy Loads (TER)

Reference: FPL Letter No. L-82-316 to the NRC, dated 7/28/82

In our initial response of July 28, 1982 to the Draft Technical Evaluation Report (TER) prepared for St. Lucie Unit 1, we had partially responded to your concerns pertaining to NUREG-0612, General Guideline 7, "Crane Design".

We have since contacted the applicable crane manufacturers and have resolved the outstanding TER concerns as noted in the attached supplemental response.

Very truly yours,

Robert E. Uhrig Vice President Advanced Systems and Technology

REU/PLP/cab

Attachment

8307010312 830826 PDR ADOCK 05000335

PEOPLE...SERVING PEOPLE

## SUPPLEMENT TO THE ST. LUCIE 1 RESPONSE TO THE NRC'S REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION PERTAINING TO NUREG 0612 -- CONTROL OF HEAVY LOADS

## INTRODUCTION

On December 22, 1980, the NRC issued generic letter 81-07 to Florida Power and Light Company (FPL) requesting a review of the provisions for handling and control of heavy loads at St. Lucie Unit 1, an evaluation of these provisions with respect to the guidelines of NUREG 0612 and providing additional information as required to determine conformance with these guidelines. On July 2, 1981, FPL provided its initial response to this request. On October 9, 1981, Franklin Research Center (FRC), a consultant to the NRC, issued a draft Technical Evaluation Report (TER) on this initial response. On July 28, 1982, FPL provided a supplemental response to address the TER's request for additional information. In this response, FPL noted that the concerns pertaining to Guideline 7, "Crane Design," could not be fully addressed until additional information was received from the applicable crane manufacturers. The purpose of this supplement is to provide the balance of the crane design information not addressed in our July 28, 1982 response.

## Draft TER Section 2.1.7 Crane Design (Guideline 7, NUREG-0612, Article 5.1.1 (7))

a) FRC Conclusions and Recommendations .

St. Lucie Unit 1 does not comply with Guideline 7. In order to comply, FPL should evaluate the existing crane designs to determine compliance with the fourteen (14) design condition specified in the TER.

b) FPL Position

The following cranes are considered to fall within the scope of NUREG

- 1. Reactor Building Polar Crane
- 2. Fuel Cask Crane

3. Intake Structure Crane .

In our July 28, 1982 response, we fully addressed the following TER design conditions as they apply to the subject cranes (as such, our response to these conditions will not be repeated here):

- 1. Impact Allowance (CMAA-70, Article 3.3.2.1.1.3)
- 2. Torsional Forces (CMAA-70, Article 3.3.2.1.3)
- 3. Fatigue considerations (CMAA-70, Article 3.3.3.1.3)
- 4. Hoist rope requirements (CMAA-70, Article 4.2.1)
- 5. Gear design (CMAA-70, Article 4.5)
- 6. Bridge brake design (CMAA-70, Article 4.7.2.2)
- 7. Restart protection (CMAA-70, Article 5.6.2).

The following is a breakdown of the remaining TER design conditions as they apply to the subject cranes

## 1. Longitudinal Stiffeners (CMAA-70, Article 3.3.3.1)

The crane manufacturer has determined that the longitudinal stiffeners supplied on the Reactor Building Polar Crane do not conform to CMAA-70, Articles 3.3.3.1.2.1 and 3.3.3.1.2.3 (concerning the location and moment of inertia of these stiffeners). However, the manufacturer has also stated that an equivalent design procedure has been used in lieu of these articles. As such, we have determined that this crane complies with CMAA longitudinal stiffener requirements.

Based on information received from the manufacturers of the Fuel Cask Crane and Intake Structure Crane, we have determined that these cranes comply with CMAA longitudinal stiffener requirements.

2. Allowable compressive stress (CMAA-70, Article 3.3.3.1.3)

Based upon information received from the crane manufacturers, we have determined that these cranes comply with the allowable compressive stress specified by CMAA-70.  $\cdot$ 

3 & 4 <u>Drum Design</u> (CMAA-70, Articles 4.4.1 & 4.4.3)

Based upon information received from the crane manufacturers, we have determined that these cranes comply with the drum design requirements specified by CMAA-70.

5. Hoist brake design (CMAA-70, Article 4.7.4.2)

Based upon information received from the crane manufacturers, we have determined that these cranes comply with the hoist brake design requirements specified by CMAA-70.

6. Bumper and Stops (CMAA-70, Article 4.12)

The crane manufacturer has determined that safety cables for the trolley chocks on the Reactor Building Polar Crane have not been provided in accordance with the requirements of CMAA-70, Article 4.12.3.2. We are in the process of procuring these safety cables and we will advise the NRC of the schedule for installation when determined.

Based upon information received from the manufacturers of the Fuel Cask Crane and the Intake Structure Crane, we have determined that these cranes comply with the CMAA-70 requirements applicable to bumpers and stops.

7. <u>Static control systems</u> (CMAA-70, Article 5.4.6)

Based upon information received from the crane manufacturers, we have determined that these cranes comply with the static control system requirements of CMAA-70.

Upon completion of the modification to the Reactor Building Polar Crane, noted herein, all applicable St. Lucie Unit 1 cranes will conform to the requirements of NUREG 0612.