

REGULATOR INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8206010211 DOC. DATE: 82/05/24 NOTARIZED: NO  
 FACIL: 50-335 St. Lucie Plant, Unit 1, Florida Power & Light Co.  
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 UHRIG, R.E. Florida Power & Light Co.  
 RECIPIENT NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION  
 EISENHUT, D.G. Division of Licensing


DOCKET #  
05000335


SUBJECT: Informs of delay in analysis of seized rotor & loss of all nonemergency power events. Complexity of task dictated that analysis be subcontracted. New submittal date of 820831 anticipated.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR L ENCL 0 SIZE: 1  
 TITLE: General Distribution for after Issuance of Operating License

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES	
			LTTR	ENCL			LTTR	ENCL
	ORB #3 BC	01	7	1				
INTERNAL:	ELD/HDS2		1	0	NRR/DHFS DEPY08		1	1
	NRR/DL DIR		1	1	NRR/DL/ORAB		1	0
	NRR/DSI/RAB		1	1	<u>REG FILE</u>	04	1	1
	RGN2		1	1				
EXTERNAL:	ACRS	09	10	10	LPDR	03	1	1
	NRC PDR	02	1	1	NSIC	05	1	1
	NTIS		1	1				





May 24, 1982  
L-82-216

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
Attention: Mr. Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director  
Division of Licensing  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Eisenhut:

Re: St. Lucie Unit 1  
Docket No. 50-335  
Stretch Power -Additional Analysis

In our letter (L-81-484) dated November 18, 1981, we stated that we would reevaluate the Seized Rotor and Loss of All Non-Emergency Power events with additional assumptions. When this commitment was made, we had intended to perform the necessary analysis work within Florida Power & Light. We have now determined, however, that because of the complexity of the task, we will not be able to meet our original schedule for completion. In order to provide the response in a timely manner, we have now contracted with our NSSS vendor to perform the necessary analyses and have scheduled August 31, 1982 as our new submittal date.

We anticipate that the results of the analyses of these postulated events, that assume the additional failure mechanisms, will continue to satisfy the acceptance criteria.

Very truly yours,

Robert E. Uhrig  
Vice President  
Advanced Systems & Technology

REU/JEM/mbd

cc: J.P. O'Reilly, Region II  
Harold F. Reis, Esquire

*Food  
Silo*

8206010 211 p



The following information was obtained from the records of the  
 Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, regarding  
 the land owned by the State of California and the United States  
 Government in the County of Los Angeles, California, as of  
 January 1, 1960.

The land owned by the State of California is as follows:  
 1. 100.00 acres, more or less, of Section 1, Township 12  
 North, Range 14 East, Merced 23S, Los Angeles County,  
 California, owned by the State of California.

The land owned by the United States Government is as follows:  
 1. 100.00 acres, more or less, of Section 1, Township 12  
 North, Range 14 East, Merced 23S, Los Angeles County,  
 California, owned by the United States Government.

The total land owned by the State of California and the United  
 States Government in the County of Los Angeles, California,  
 as of January 1, 1960, is 200.00 acres, more or less.