

## REVISED RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

### APR1400 Topical Reports

Korea Electric Power Corporation / Korea Hydro & Nuclear Power Co., LTD

Docket No. PROJ 0782

RAI No.: TOP 6-8322

SRP Section: TR PLUS7 Fuel Design for the APR1400

Application Section: PLUS7 Fuel Design for the APR1400  
(APR1400-F-M-TR-13001-P)

Date of RAI Issue: 10/27/2015

---

### **Question No. TR PLUS7 Fuel Design for the APR1400-24**

GDC 10 requires that the reactor core and associated coolant, control, and protection systems shall be designed with appropriate margin to assure that specified acceptable fuel design limits (SAFDLs) are not exceeded during any condition of normal operation, including the effects of anticipated operational occurrences (AOOs). GDC 27 requires that the reactivity control system is designed with appropriate margin and, in conjunction with the ECCS, is capable of controlling reactivity and cooling the core under post-accident conditions. SRP Section 4.2 (II)(1)(B)(viii) and Appendix A provides review guidance related to mechanical fracturing based on seismic and LOCA applied loads. It is also stated specifically that control rod insertability must be maintained.

This topic is addressed in Section 2.2.2 of APR1400-F-M-TR-13001-P, in the response to Question 2 of RAI 4-7542 (ML14177A220), and in the response to Question 23 of RAI 5-7954. In Question 23 of RAI 5-7954 (ML15169A118), the staff requested supporting technical justification that the proposed guide tube stress limits would meet GDC 27. The response provides an analysis which compares the calculated stresses for the PLUS7 fuel assemblies under seismic and LOCA loads with the material's yield stress and concludes that the yield stress is never exceeded. This response does not address the staff's concerns because the original RAI requested justification for the proposed limits, not an analysis of the applied loads.

Provide a discussion that covers the proposed stress-strain limits and what level of damage could occur to the components based on those limits. If damage could occur to the guide tubes, include a description of the tests and results that demonstrate control rod insertability. Update the topical report, as necessary, to capture these points.

**Response - (Rev.1)**

The stress limits of the normal operation and anticipated operational occurrence (AOO) condition will be used to assure that the components do not deformed severely enough to interfere with control rod insertion at the faulted conditions. The fuel assembly components for CEA insertion are guide thimble, outer guide post, adapter plate and holddown plate. The stress limits of fuel assembly components for CEA insertion are:

$$(a) P_m \leq S_m$$

$$(b) P_m + P_b \leq 1.5 \cdot S_m$$

Where:

$P_m$  = primary membrane stress intensity,

$P_b$  = primary bending stress intensity

$S_m$  = allowable design stress intensity defined in the ASME Section III

DCD APR1400-K-X-FS-14002-P Rev.1, technical report APR1400-Z-M-NR-14010-P Rev.1 and topical report APR1400-F-M-TR-13001-P Rev.0 will be revised to add the limits of the fuel assembly components as indicated in the attachment 1, 2 and 3.

Based on analyses of Seismic and LOCA events, the stress intensities results of the fuel assembly components for CEA insertion are less than the normal operation and AOO limits. Satisfying the normal operation and AOO limits ensures that no permanent deformation of the guide tubes within manufacturing tolerances will occur, so there is no impact on CEA insertability. Evaluation results of the fuel assembly components for the CEA insertion will be included in technical report APR1400-Z-M-NR-14010-P Rev.0 as indicated in the attachment 3.

**Impact on DCD**

DCD Rev.1 4.2.1.5.3 will be revised as indicated on the Attachment 1.

**Impact on PRA**

There is no impact on PRA.

**Impact on Technical Specifications**

There is no impact on the Technical Specifications.

**Impact on Technical/Topical/Environmental Reports**

Technical Report APR1400-Z-M-NR-14010-P Rev.1 will be revised as indicated on the Attachment 2.

Topical Report APR1400-F-M-TR-13001-P Rev.0 will be revised as indicated on the Attachment 3.

## APR1400 DCD TIER 2

- c. Two-thirds of the specified minimum yield strength ( $S_y$ ) at room temperature
- d. Ninety percent of the yield strength at temperature

For the zirconium alloy, the design stress intensity on the unirradiated yield strength is conservative.

The design stress intensity of zirconium alloy is defined as follows:

- a. Two-thirds of the minimum yield strength at temperature

### 4.2.1.5.3 Postulated Accident Loads

Worst-case abnormal loads during postulated accidents are represented by seismic and LOCA loads. For these conditions, the reactor is able to be brought to a safe shutdown condition, and the core is kept subcritical with the acceptable heat transfer geometry. This requirement is met by demonstrating that, under the most severe anticipated loading of fuel assemblies for postulated accidents, no damage to the fuel assembly structure is severe enough to prevent a coolable geometry from being maintained or to preclude CEA insertion.

The fuel assembly structural component stresses under faulted conditions are evaluated using primarily the methods in Appendix F of ASME Section III (Reference 23). The faulted condition stress limits for fuel assembly structural components are:

- a. General primary membrane stress intensity limit:  $S_m'$
- b. Primary membrane plus bending stress intensity:  $1.5 S_m'$

Where:

$S_m'$  = the lesser value of  $2.4 S_m$  and  $0.7 S_u$

### 4.2.1.6 In-Core Control Components

Additionally the stresses of the fuel assembly components for the CEA insertion are evaluated using the normal operation and AOO stress limits to assure that the components do not deformed severely enough to interfere with CEA insertion even under the faulted conditions. The fuel assembly components for CEA insertion are guide thimble, outer guide post, adapter plate and holddown plate. The stress limits of fuel assembly components for CEA insertion are same as the normal operation and AOO stress limits in subsection 4.2.1.5.2.

$$P_m \leq S_m'$$

$$P_m + P_b \leq 1.5 \cdot S_m'$$

Where,

$P_m$  = calculated primary membrane stress

$P_b$  = calculated primary bending stress

$S_m$  = allowable design stress intensity defined in the ASME Section III

$S_u$  = minimum ultimate tensile strength at unirradiated condition

$S_m'$  = allowable design strength for the accident conditions (a smaller value of 2.4  $S_m$  and 0.7  $S_u$ )

### (3) Evaluation

The structural integrity of the PLUS7 components is verified in Section 2.3 for all conditions.

The fuel assembly evaluation for seismic and LOCA loads is performed in accordance with the NRC licensed CE methodology (Reference 2-1). The fuel assembly model and characteristics were determined as analysis of fuel assembly mechanical test and used to the core analysis predicted fuel assembly deflected shapes and grid impact forces. Grid buckling strength was determined from dynamic impact testing for PLUS7 grids, and compared with predicted grid impact forces. The stresses for remaining components during seismic and LOCA are calculated through deflection shapes and axial loads, and then evaluated against each stress criteria. The evaluation of fuel assembly for seismic and LOCA loads will be addressed in DCD tier 2, Section 4.2.

#### 2.2.2.2 Rod-to-Top Nozzle Axial Clearance

##### (1) Basis

Additionally the stresses of the fuel assembly components for the CEA insertion are evaluated using the normal operation and AOO stress limits to assure that the components do not deformed severely enough to interfere with control rod insertion even under the postulated accident conditions. The fuel assembly components for CEA insertion are guide thimble, outer guide post, adapter plate and holddown plate. The stress limits of fuel assembly components for CEA insertion are same as the normal operation and AOO stress limits.

### (3) Evaluation

Based on the calculation of the axial gap between the fuel rod and top nozzle considering their irradiation growths, the axial clearance is maintained during the fuel lifetime. The PLUS7 PSE results confirmed the sufficient gap after three cycles of irradiation as shown in the Section 4.2.3.

#### 2.2.2.3 Hydraulic Stability

##### (1) Basis

Since the fuel assembly lift-off may cause the fuel assembly and in-core structure failure, the fuel assembly shall not be lifted off during the normal operation. The fuel assembly and fuel rod vibration causing the fuel failure shall not occur over the full range of flow rates of the plant.

TS

Results of the fuel assembly stress analysis in this section did not exceed each stress limit during postulated accidents. In addition, as a result of core analysis in Section 3 and 4, grid impact loads did not exceed the grid crush strength in Section 5.

Consequently, the fuel assembly satisfied the requirements to maintain control rod insertability and core coolability.

Additionally the stresses of fuel assembly components for the CEA insertion are compared with the normal operation and anticipated operational occurrence (AOO) stress limits to ensure the CEA insertability. The stresses of guide thimble, outer guide post, adapter plate and holddown plate did not exceed the stress limits of normal operation and anticipated operational occurrence (AOO) condition. It is evaluated that these components will not interfere with control rod insertion under the postulated accident conditions.

## 7. FAULTED CONDITION CRITERIA FOR FUEL ASSEMBLY PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

### 7.1 Introduction

This section presents the criteria that must be met for fuel assembly components, such as nozzles and guide thimbles, during seismic and pipe rupture events. According to Reference 7-1, to meet the requirement related to control rod insertability and core coolability for postulated accidents (seismic and

Additionally the stresses of the fuel assembly components for the CEA insertion are evaluated using the normal operation and anticipated operational occurrence (AOO) stress limits to assure that the components do not deformed severely enough to interfere with control rod insertion even under the postulated accident conditions. The fuel assembly components for CEA insertion are guide thimble, outer guide post, adapter plate and holddown plate. The stress limits of fuel assembly components for CEA insertion are:

- (a)  $P_m \leq S_m$
- (b)  $P_m + P_b \leq 1.5 \cdot S_m$

h adequate  
the fuel  
ere with control  
  
ents, the criteria  
in the following  
  
vable stresses

would be based on ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code values.

### 7.2 Grid

The grids have an important role for coolable geometry of the fuel assembly in maintaining the appropriate spacing between adjacent fuel rods. The evaluation of grids for this function is performed by comparing the peak impact load for the grid in the core analysis with the lateral impact strength of the grid. This grid crush strength is determined by the grid impact test described in Appendix A and by analyzing the test results described in Section 5.

### 7.3 Components Except Grid

As described in Section 2, the fuel assembly is made from Type 304 stainless steel including Grade CF-3, Inconel 718, and zirconium alloy. The structural integrity of these components to withstand the loads during seismic and pipe rupture accidents are evaluated by comparing the calculated stress intensities for each component with stress limits defined by:

- (a)  $P_m \leq S_m'$
- (b)  $P_m + P_b \leq 1.5 \cdot S_m'$

$S_m$  = allowable design stress intensity defined in the ASME Section III

Where:

- $P_m$  = primary membrane stress intensity,
- $P_b$  = primary bending stress intensity
- $S_m'$  = design intensity value for faulted conditions.

~~For holddown springs that are fabricated from Inconel 718 wire, their performance is evaluated by calculating the shear stress resulting from the spring being compressed to its solid height. This calculated shear stress must not exceed the yield strength in shear for this material.~~

### 7.4 References for Section 7

- 7-1 NUREG-0800, Standard Review Plan Section 4.2 Rev. 03, "Fuel System Design," March 2007.