

- 2 -

In addition, Mr. Oncavage states in his letter, there was a request ("legal action") by a citizen's group regarding extensions to the steam generator inspection intervals. This request was reviewed by the staff and was denied by the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (refer to DD-80-28, dated September 18, 1980, a copy which is enclosed).

I trust that the above information is responsive to your request.

Sincerely,

(Signed) T. A. Rehm

for William J. Dircks, Executive Director
for Operations

Enclosure:

1. NUREG 0756, dtd. December, 1980
2. NUREG 0743, dtd. December, 1980
3. Director's Decision 80-28,
dtd. September 18, 1980

8108060080 810716
PDR ADOCK 05000250
H PDR

DISTRIBUTION: SEE NEXT PAGE.

CA
sure
7-15-81

OFFICE▶	DL:KB#3	DL:ABAS	DL:ORB#1	DL:AB	DL:DR	NRR	EDO	
SURNAME▶	Veneses,jb	Fornaglia	Grotenhuis/va	ga	DL Tedesco	DG Isenhut	Case/Denton	WJ Dircks
DATE▶	7/27/81	7/27/81	7/27/81	7/27/81	7/16/81	7/10/81	7/14/81	

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File 50-389

LPDR

PDR

NSIC

TERA

LB#3 Files

HDenton

ECase

DEisenhut

RPurple

Attorney, OELD

OCA (3)

GErtter (SECY 81-0803)

SCavanaugh (10570)

EHughes

LBerry

FMiraglia

VNurses

JLee

BPCotter, ASLB

IE (3)

SECY Mail Facility (3) (81-0803)

RTedesco

LUnderwood

PPAS

SHanauer

RMattson

TMurley

RVollmer

BSnyder

EDO-10247

MGrotenhuis

SVarga

FROM:		ACTION CONTROL		DATES		CONTROL NO. 10570	
Rep. Dante Fascell		COMPL DEADLINE		7/2/81		DATE OF DOCUMENT	
		ACKNOWLEDGMENT				6/2/81	
TO:		INTERIM REPLY				PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE OF:	
CA		FINAL REPLY		S/Rella for		<input type="checkbox"/> CHAIRMAN	
		FILE LOCATION		Dunley 7/16/81		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
DESCRIPTION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LETTER <input type="checkbox"/> MEMO <input type="checkbox"/> REPORT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS					
Encl. ltr fm Mark P. Oncavage, 5/26/81, urging the licensing of St. Lucie No. 2 be done carefully and thoroughly		Ref. EDO 10247					
CLASSIFIED DATA							
DOCUMENT/COPY NO.		CLASSIFICATION					
NUMBER OF PAGES		CATEGORY		SECY-81-0803			
POSTAL REGISTRY NO.		<input type="checkbox"/> NSI <input type="checkbox"/> RD <input type="checkbox"/> FRD					
ASSIGNED TO:	DATE	INFORMATION ROUTING		LEGAL REVIEW		<input type="checkbox"/> FINAL <input type="checkbox"/> COPY	
Denton, NRR	6/11/81	Linda Underwood		ASSIGNED TO:	DATE	NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY:	
Eisenhut	6/12/81	Case 3. Mattson		6. Vollmer		<input type="checkbox"/> EDO ADMIN & CORRES BR	
Franklin	6/15/81	Denton 4. Murley				EXT. _____	
		1. PPAS 5. Snyder				COMMENTS, NOTIFY:	
		2. Hanauer				EXT. _____	
				JCAE NOTIFICATION RECOMMENDED: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO			

NRC FORM 232
(11-75)

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS

DO NOT REMOVE

PRINCIPAL CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

FROM:		ACTION CONTROL		DATES		CONTROL NO. 10570	
Rep. Dante Fascell		COMPL DEADLINE		7/2/81		DATE OF DOCUMENT	
		ACKNOWLEDGMENT				6/2/81	
		INTERIM REPLY				PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE OF:	
TO:		FINAL REPLY				<input type="checkbox"/> CHAIRMAN	
CA		FILE LOCATION				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
						OTHER: _____	
DESCRIPTION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LETTER <input type="checkbox"/> MEMO <input type="checkbox"/> REPORT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER				SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS			
Encl. ltr fm Mark P. Oncavage, 5/26/81, urging the licensing of St. Lucie No. 2 be done carefully and thoroughly				Ref. EDO 10247			
CLASSIFIED DATA							
DOCUMENT/COPY NO.				CLASSIFICATION			
NUMBER OF PAGES				CATEGORY		SECY-81-0803	
POSTAL REGISTRY NO.				<input type="checkbox"/> NSI <input type="checkbox"/> RD <input type="checkbox"/> FRD			
ASSIGNED TO:		DATE		INFORMATION ROUTING		LEGAL REVIEW <input type="checkbox"/> FINAL <input type="checkbox"/> COPY	
Denton, NRR		6/11/81		Linda Underwood		ASSIGNED TO: DATE NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY:	
Eisenhut		6/12/81		Case 3. Mattson		6. Vollmer	
Franklin		6/15/81		Denton 4. Murley			
				1. PPAS 5. Snyder			
				2. Hanauer			
JCAE NOTIFICATION RECOMMENDED:						<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	

PC FORM 232
(11-75)

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS

PRINCIPAL CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

DO NOT REMOVE THIS COPY

81-0803

No. ~~80XXXXXX~~Logging Date 6/10/81

NRC SECRETARIAT

TO: ☐ Commissioner _____ Date _____
☒ Exec. Dir./Oper. _____
☒ Cong. Liaison _____
☐ Public Affairs _____
☐ _____
☐ Gen. Counsel _____
☐ Solicitor _____
☐ Secretary _____
☐ Inspector & Auditor _____
☐ Policy Evaluation _____

Incoming: Rep Dante Fascell, Const RefFrom: Mark Onoavage

To: _____ Date _____

Subject: urges NRC and other members of the Fla delega
to insist on the most careful and complete lic proce-
dure for St. Lucie #2

☐ Prepare reply for signature of:☐ Chairman☐ Commissioner _____☐ EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE☐ Signature block omitted☐ _____☐ Return original of incoming with response☒ For direct reply*

Suspense: July 2

☐ For appropriate action☐ For informationRec'd Off. EDO 6/10/81

Date.....

Time.....

Remarks: _____

For the Commission: billie

*Send three (3) copies of reply to Secy Correspondence and Records Branch

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, D.C.


June 2 1981

Office of Congressional Affairs
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, NW
Washington, D. C. 20555

Sir:

The attached communication
is sent for your consideration.
Please investigate the statements
contained therein and forward me
the necessary information for re-
ply, returning the enclosed corre-
spondence with your answer.

Yours truly,



DANTE B. FASCELL
15th District, Florida

RT

Mark P. Oncavage
12200 S.W. 110th Avenue
Miami, Florida 33176

May 26, 1981

Hon. Lawton Chiles
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Chiles;

I appreciate your efforts to keep me informed of the problems of nuclear energy in the State of Florida. I was appalled at the letter sent to Chairman Hendrie by Chairman Marshall McDonald of the Florida Power and Light Corporation on March 3, 1981. 10247

In essence, Chairman McDonald asked the NRC to abandon its congressional mandate. The NRC was formed to make nuclear power "safe" for the American people. This mandate and obligation will certainly be forfeited as the NRC neglects its licensing duties.

The heart of the NRC licensing procedure is the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and the Safety Evaluation Report (SER). Each document must thoroughly and objectively examine the failings and the benefits of the proposed action. Comes now Chairman McDonald asking that the draft SER be written by FP&L and/or its contractors. If this request is granted, the NRC would be truly morally bankrupt.

The licensing proceedings certainly have their failings, but in large part the failings come from the NRC which does not establish and enforce its own criteria for reactor design. Weak components in the reactor design are often categorized as "non safety related" and this allows the design to become acceptable. The case in point is Turkey Point where terminal corrosion in all six steam generators is causing terrible waste, safety, and economic problems.

Let's get memo


The NRC is guilty of approving poorly studied and tested proposals in an attempt to alleviate the corrosion problem. In reality, the change in water chemistry at Turkey Point made the corrosion far worse than it had been. As a result of this bumbling, the citizens of Florida will receive a new radioactive waste dump in Dade County and a bill for about \$ 730,000,000 in repair costs. Other plants with poorly functioning components are Surry, Palisades, and of course Three Mile Island.

FP&L has not been bashful in asking the NRC to ignore its own rules. In 1980, the NRC was asked to ignore its inspection schedule on the corroded steam generators for four months. The NRC approved, but fortunately legal action taken by some local citizens has stopped this practice.

The licensing of St. Lucie No. 2 must be done carefully and thoroughly. I have strong doubts that the NRC will not effectively study the design problems of Turkey Point but will allow the licensing of St. Lucie No. 2 to proceed without the benefit of this data. If FP&L were to write the draft SER, I am certain that the steam generator corrosion issue would be thoroughly whitewashed.

I urge you and the other members of the Florida delegation to insist on the most careful and complete licensing procedure for St. Lucie No. 2. I would appreciate being kept informed of this situation as it develops.

Very truly yours,



Mark P. Oncavage

cc: Members of the Florida
Congressional Delegation

**TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF STEAM GENERATOR ADVERSE EXPERIENCE**

12/29/78

NSSS	PLANT NAME	WASTAGE	U-BEND FRETTING	SECONDARY SIDE CRACKING		DENTING					CONSIDERATION FOR REPLACEMENT OR RETUBING
				HIGH CYCLE FATIGUE	SCC*	TUBE DENTING	SP HOUR- GLASSING	SP CRACKING OR ISLANDING	LEAKING DENTS	U-BEND CRACK	
CE	MAINE YANKEE					X-MINOR					
	MILLSTONE 2					X-MODERATE		X			
	PAWPOW	X			X	X-MINOR					X (Tube Sleaving)
	ST. LUCIE 1					X-MINOR					
W	HADDAM NECK	X	X		X	X-MINOR					
	R.E. GINNA 1	X			X	X-MINOR					
	INDIAN POINT 2					X-MODERATE	X	X	X		
	INDIAN POINT 3					X-MINOR			X		
	POINT BEACH 1	X			X	X-MODERATE					
	POINT BEACH 2	X				X-MODERATE			X (?)		
	H.B. ROBINSON 2	X			X	X-MINOR					
	SAN ONOFRE 1	X	X			X-EXTENSIVE	X	X	X		
	SURRY 1	X				X-EXTENSIVE	X	X	X	X	X
	SURRY 2	X				X-EXTENSIVE	X	X	X	X	X
	TURKEY POINT 3	X				X-EXTENSIVE	X	X	X	X	X
	TURKEY POINT 4	X				X-EXTENSIVE	X	X	X	X	X
	YANKEE ROWE				X						

*SCC - CAUSTIC STRESS CORROSION CRACKING

NOTES: 1. TO DATE THERE ARE 33 OPERATING PWR UNITS (NOT INCLUDING INDIAN POINT 1) WHICH UTILIZE RECIRCULATION TYPE OF STEAM GENERATORS.

2. 17 HAVE BEEN FOUND TO HAVE ONE OR MORE FORM(S) OF DEGRADATION, AS SUMMARIZED ABOVE.

3. TROJAN AND D. C. COOK HAVE HAD INDICATIONS OF LIMITED DEGRADATION IN RECENT INSPECTIONS.

1981 21 JUL