





2-1-1964

"No Antitrust Review has been Ordered in Connection with the St. Lucie Unit No. 2 Operating License Review."

and that:

"An Operating License Antitrust Review Cannot be Initiated by the Filing of a Petition."

Finally, the Company argues at length and with slight restraint the merits of its position.

FPL appears to argue, but does not quite state, that Florida Cities' rights to relief under an operating license proceeding and construction permit proceeding would be the same. If Florida Cities' Petition is to be denied on grounds that if the petition is dismissed, they lose no rights, then there is no need for response. Further, if FPL arguments are accepted that this Board has no authority to rule one way or the other on antitrust matters or that the Petition is premature, Florida Cities would similarly not seek to respond, although they would then respectfully request that their Petition be forwarded within the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to whoever has the authority to rule and that appropriate procedures be instituted. In all events, they request consolidation with the construction permit proceedings to avoid wasteful duplication of litigation time and effort.

However, if the Board is prepared to rule on the merits, in view of the content and nature of FPL's arguments on the merits, they seek a right to respond no later than July 15, 1981. They note that the July 15th date is requested after consultation

with counsel for Florida Power & Light Company, who does not object to such date, assuming that a response would be appropriate.

CONCLUSION

(1) The Board should rule that the issue is moot because, absent a waiver, the operating license cannot be issued until completion of the antitrust review in the construction permit proceeding and, unless waived, Florida Cities may raise all issues and obtain all relief in the construction permit proceeding that they could obtain in the operating license proceeding; if the issue is thus ruled moot, the Board may dismiss the Cities' Petition;

(2) If not ruled moot, the Board should rule that it has jurisdiction over the Cities' antitrust contentions in this proceeding and grant Cities' leave to respond in accordance with this motion;

(3) If the Board rules that it lacks jurisdiction, it should forward Cities' Petition and this pleading to the appropriate officials of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission or the Commission Staff for a ruling.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert A. Jablon  
Attorney for Florida Cities

June 16, 1981

Law offices of  
Spiegel & McDiarmid  
2600 Virginia Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Florida Power & Light Company        )   Docket Nos. 50-389-OL  
  )  
(St. Lucie Plant, Unit No. 2)        )

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing were served by hand delivery (\*) or by deposit in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid this 16th day of June, 1981.

Ivan W. Smith, Esquire  
Chairman  
Atomic Energy and Licensing Board  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Robert M. Lazo, Esquire  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Michael A. Duggan, Esquire  
College of Business Administration  
University of Texas  
Austin, Texas 78712

William D. Paton, Esquire  
Counsel for NRC Staff  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Elizabeth S. Bowers, Chairman  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Panel  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. Peter A. Morris  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Panel  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. Oscar H. Paris  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Panel  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

\*Docketing & Service Section  
Office of the Secretary  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Jerome Saltzman, Chief  
Antitrust & Indemnity Group  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Joseph Rutberg, Esquire  
Lee Scott Dewey, Esquire  
Fredric D. Chanania, Esquire  
Counsel for NRC Staff  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Richard S. Salzman, Esquire  
Atomic Safety and Licensing  
Appeal Board Panel  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Thomas Gurney, Sr., Esquire  
203 North Magnolia Avenue  
Orlando, Florida, 32802

William C. Wise, Esquire  
1200 18th Street  
Suite 500  
Washington, D. C. 20036

William H. Chandler, Esquire  
Chandler, O'Neal, Avera, Gray  
& Stripling  
Post Office Drawer 0  
Gainesville, Florida 32602

Janet Urban, Esquire  
P. O. Box 14141  
Washington, D. C. 20044

Donald A. Kaplan, Esquire  
Robert Fabrikant, Esquire  
Antitrust Division  
Department of Justice  
Washington, D. C. 20530

Charles R. P. Brown, Esquire  
Brown, Paxton and Williams  
301 South 6th Street  
P. O. Box 1418  
Fort Pierce, Florida 33450

George R. Kucik, Esquire  
Marc Gary, Esquire  
Ellen Sward, Esquire  
Arent, Fox, Kintner, Plotkin &  
Kahn  
1815 H Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20006

J. A. Bouknight, Jr., Esquire  
Lowenstein, Newman, Reis &  
Axelrad  
1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Herbert Dym, Esquire  
Covington & Burling  
888 16th Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20006

Ben Vogler, Esquire  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Ann P. Hodgdon, Esquire  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

*Robert A. Jablon*

---

Robert A. Jablon  
Attorney for Florida Cities

Law offices of:  
Spiegel & McDiarmid  
2600 Virginia Avenue, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20037