


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:
Ivan W. Smith, Chairman
Michael A. Duggan
Robert M. Lazo



SERVED

JAN 9 1981

In the Matter of)
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY)
(St. Lucie Plant, Unit No. 2))

Docket No. 50-389A

January 7, 1981

ORDER
(Scheduling Prehearing Conference)

The Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will conduct a prehearing conference in this antitrust proceeding beginning at 1:00 P.M. on February 2, 1981 in the NRC Commission Hearing Room, 5th Floor, East/West Towers Building, 4350 East/West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, to consider the Joint Motion (filed September 12, 1980) of the Department of Justice, NRC Staff, and Applicant to Approve and Authorize Implementation of Settlement Agreement.

US NRC
DISTRIBUTION SERVICES
BRANCH
1981 JAN 12 AM 11:55
DISTRIBUTION SERVICES UNIT

The board will receive advice from the parties on the following:

1. Whether the proposed license conditions would have a material adverse impact upon the intervening Florida cities.

810 1140915

M

Dsa
Sof

2. Whether the proposed license conditions contain anticompetitive, discriminatory, or unfair provisions which would create or maintain a situation inconsistent with the antitrust laws.
3. If, in the view of the Florida Cities, the proposed license conditions (including Applicant's December 3, 1980 commitments) do not relieve the alleged situation inconsistent with the antitrust laws, what procedures are appropriate to adjudicate remaining issues?

All parties or their counsel are directed to attend.

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND
LICENSING BOARD

Robert M. Lazer
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

2. Whether the proposed license conditions contain anticompetitive, discriminatory, or unfair provisions which would create or maintain a situation inconsistent with the antitrust laws.
3. If, in the view of the Florida Cities, the proposed license conditions (including Applicant's December 3, 1980 commitments) do not relieve the alleged situation inconsistent with the antitrust laws, what procedures are appropriate to adjudicate remaining issues?

All parties or their counsel are directed to attend.

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND
LICENSING BOARD

Robert M. Lazer
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE