

USNRC REGION II
ATLANTA, GEORGIA



80 AUG 1 AII : 16

July 30, 1980
L-80-242

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director, Region II
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

Re: RII:VLB
50-250/79-35
50-251/79-35
50-335/79-33
50-389/79-22

This letter supplements Florida Power & Light Company's letter of May 1, 1980 (L-80-135) in response to the subject inspection report. In that letter we indicated that procedures would be revised, training conducted, and full compliance will be achieved by July 31, 1980. To date, the corporate quality procedure for compliance to 10 CFR 21 has been revised and re-issued. Training review sessions have also been conducted at each of FPL's nuclear plant sites and the corporate procedure is implemented. Accordingly, the intent of our original objective for July 31 has been achieved.

However, in the process of developing the revised corporate procedure and the training, many individuals who now must be knowledgeable in 10 CFR 21 asked for more specific instructions, particularly relating to the correlation of factors pertinent to the question of what is and is not a "substantial safety hazard". In the preamble to 10 CFR 21, the Commission itself recognizes that more experience is needed before these factors can be precisely identified. Therefore we foresee that additional instruction development and training may be required as experience in the application of 10 CFR 21 is gained.

Very truly yours,

Robert E. Uhrig
Vice President
Advanced Systems & Technology

REU/AES/bt

OFFICIAL COPY

8008280316

PEOPLE...SERVING PEOPLE

2

