

MAY 15 1990

Docket No. 50-237
Docket No. 50-249

Commonwealth Edison Company
ATTN: Mr. Cordell Reed
Senior Vice President
Post Office Box 767
Chicago, IL 60690

Gentlemen:

This refers to the followup maintenance team inspection conducted by Mr. Z. Falevits and others of this office on April 2-6, 1990. This inspection covered activities at the Dresden Nuclear Power Station authorized by NRC Operating Licenses No. DPR-19 and No. DPR-25. The inspection was conducted to evaluate your progress in the area of maintenance since the maintenance team inspection that was conducted in early 1989. The inspection findings were discussed with Mr. E. Eenigenburg and others of your staff on April 12, 1990.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of work packages procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

Based on the last twelve months of plant performance there was some noticeable improvement in 1989 in the areas of unplanned reactor scrams, forced outage rate, deratings, and the availability of the high pressure coolant injection system. This improvement was attributed to the Dresden Maintenance Improvement Program, more aggressive management support, and the morale and attitude of maintenance and support personnel. However, during the first quarter of 1990 some decline occurred in those same areas.

The team concluded that your actions were generally good in response to the violations, the unresolved item, and weaknesses identified during the previous maintenance team inspection. Although progress on corrective actions was evident, specifically in the electrical area, continued management involvement is needed to implement the various ongoing programs and to assess their effectiveness in improving the maintenance process at Dresden.

During this inspection, certain of your activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements, as described in the enclosed Notice. A written response is required. However, violation 237/90011-05; 249/90010-05 included in Paragraph 4.11 of the inspection report is not being cited, because the criteria specified in 10 CFR 2, Appendix C, Section V.A of the enforcement policy were satisfied. No response is required to this violation, as the inspection showed that actions had been taken to correct the identified violations and to prevent

9006060014 900515
FIR ADDCK 05000237
PIC
Q

IE01
11

MAY 15 1990

recurrence. However, a written response is requested for unresolved items 237/90011-02, 03, and 04(DRS) that pertain to the adequacy of sizing thermal overloads on 250 Vdc motor operated valves, the safety significance of missing problem analysis data sheets, and the change to the circuitry of a containment isolation valve.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter and the accompanying Notice are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY T. O. MARTIN

H. J. Miller, Director
Division of Reactor Safety

Enclosures:

- 1. Notice of Violation
- 2. Inspection Reports
 - No. 50-237/90011(DRS);
 - No. 50-249/90010(DRS)

cc w/enclosures:

- D. Galle, Vice President - BWR Operations
- T. Kovach, Nuclear Licensing Manager
- E. D. Eenigenburg, Station Manager DCD/DCB (RIDS) Licensing Fee Management Branch Resident Inspector, RIII Richard Hubbard
- J. W. McCaffrey, Chief, Public Utilities Division
- T. Foley, NRR/LPEB

RIII

Falevits/lc/jk
5/11/90

yes

RIII

Jablonski
5/14/90

yes

RIII

Hinds
5/11/90

RIII

for Ring
5/14/90

yes

RIII

Martin
5/15/90

RIII

Miller
5/15/90

for