

## UNITED STATES

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

APR 0 4 1989

Docket No. 50-237 Docket No. 50-249

Commonwealth Edison Company ATTN: Mr. Cordell Reed

Senior Vice President

Post Office Box 767 Chicago, IL 60690

## Gentlemen:

Enclosed for your review, prior to our scheduled meeting of May 8, 1989, is the SALP 8 Board Report for the Dresden Nuclear Plant, covering the period February 1, 1988 through January 31, 1989.

In accordance with NRC policy, I have reviewed the SALP Board Assessment and concur with their ratings. It is my view that your conduct of nuclear activities in connection with the Dresden facility was acceptable.

Overall, I am pleased with the management and continuing improvement in the performance of the Dresden Station. However, I draw your attention to the need to continue your improvement programs, particularly in areas identified as weak in our recent maintenance team inspection. Highlights of the report are set forth below:

- (1) The Dresden Plant Assessment indicated three Category 2 ratings, two Category 2 improving trend ratings, and two Category 1 ratings. These ratings reflect acceptable performance in all functional areas.
- (2) The area of Plant Operations received a Category 1 rating which is an improvement from the Category 2 and improving rating received during the previous SALP period.
- (3) The area of Emergency Preparedness received a Category 1 rating which is an improvement from the Category 2 and improving rating received during the previous SALP period.
- (4) The area of Maintenance/Surveillance received a Category 2 rating during this SALP period. During the previous SALP period Maintenance and Surveillance were rated as separate categories with Category 3 and 2 ratings, respectively. The rating received this SALP period for the combined areas reflects an improvement from the Category 3 received in Maintenance during the previous SALP period.
- (5) The area of Security received a Category 2 and improving rating which is an improvement from the Category 2 rating received during the previous SALP period.

TE40

While you will have sufficient opportunity to present your comments at the meeting on May 8, 1989, we also solicit written comments by June 1, 1989. Your comments, a summary of our meeting, and my disposition of your comments will be issued as an appendix to the enclosed SALP Board report.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice", Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and the SALP Board Report will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning the SALP report, we would be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

a But Da

A. Bert Davis Regional Administrator

Enclosure: SALP 8 Board Reports

No. 50-237/89001(DRP); No. 50-249/89001(DRP)

cc w/enclosure:

P. Kovack, Nuclear Licensing Manager

J. Eenigenburg, Plant Manager

DCD/DCB (RIDS)

Licensing Fee Management Branch

Resident Inspector, RIII

Richard Hubbard

J. W. McCaffrey, Chief, Public Utilities Division

J. M. Taylor, DEDRO

T. E. Murley, Director, NRR

Regional Administrators

RI, RII, RIV, RV

L. W. Zech, Chairman

T. M. Roberts, Commissioner

K. M. Carr, Commissioner

K. C. Rogers, Commissioner

J. R. Curtiss, Commissioner

B. Siegel, NRR Project Manager

D. R. Muller, NRR Director, Project Directorate III-2

J. Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement

E. M. McKenna, SALP Coordinator, NRR

J. Wechselberger, NRR

RIII PRR

RIII SGA

State Liaison Officer, State of Illinois

[NPO

DRP, DRS and DRSS Branch Chiefs and Section Chiefs

L. A. Reyes, RII