

SSER

Task: Allegation A-107

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06-02

Characterization: It is alleged that false documents were generated to replace missing records related to Cadweld activities.

Assessment of Allegation: The NRC staff reviewed and assessed many allegations related to Cadwelding, including Allegations A-106, A-108, A-133, and A-156 specifically related to the authenticity of Daily Cadweld Inspection Reports (DCIR) and Allegation A-147 specifically related to the authenticity of Cadweld tensile test reports. Each allegation was resolved to the NRC staff's satisfaction as described in these assessments. Concerning the DCIRs, site personnel stated that discrepancies in signatures and initials on the reports were the result of reconstruction of original reports that were soiled in the field, or that inspectors had to be in a physically awkward position during the inspection and had a second inspector record and initial the data. Regarding ~~other~~ tensile test reports, the NCR addressing this issue stated that the original test records were in fact lost and that replacement records were generated based on records maintained by the testing company.

In addition to the review of the allegations described above, the NRC staff reviewed other records related to Cadwelds and looked for any obvious indications of falsified documents. Various other technical areas were also reviewed so that information could be forwarded to the NRC Office of Investigations. The NRC staff looked for documents which appeared to be extremely new, but which had old dates, errors in dates, duplicate originals, or other discrepancies which should be made known to OI investigators. The NRC staff also reviewed ^{other} Allegations (A-110, A-115, A-130, A-146, A-155, and A-171) that were related to Cadwelds. The review revealed no obvious evidence of falsified records. Thus, the NRC staff has concluded that the ^{concern related to} ~~issue of~~ falsified records related to Cadweld activities has been successfully resolved.

8510040525 840609
PDR ADDCK 05000382
E PDR

This issue has neither safety significance nor generic implications. It should be noted, however, that this technical conclusion is contingent upon the results of investigations being performed by the NRC Office of Investigations and that further technical evaluations may be necessary, depending on the outcome of these investigations.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. Assessments of Allegations A-106, A-108, A-110, A-115, A-130, A-133, A-146, A-147, A-148, A-155, A-156, and A-171.

Statement Prepared By:	_____	_____
	J. Devers	Date
Reviewed By:	_____	_____
	Team Leader	Date
Reviewed By:	_____	_____
	Site Team Leader(s)	Date
Approved By:	_____	_____
	Task Management	Date

SSER

Task: Allegation A-107

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06-02

Characterization: It is alleged that false documents were generated to replace missing records related to Cadweld activities.

Assessment of Allegation: The NRC staff reviewed and assessed many allegations related to Cadwelding, including Allegations A-106, A-108, A-133, and A-156 specifically related to the authenticity of Daily Cadweld Inspection Reports (DCIR) and Allegation A-147 specifically related to the authenticity of Cadweld tensile test reports. Each allegation was resolved to the NRC staff's satisfaction as described in these assessments. Concerning the DCIRs, site personnel stated that discrepancies in signatures and initials on the reports were the result of reconstruction of original reports that were soiled in the field, or that inspectors had to be in a physically awkward position during the inspection and had a second inspector record and initial the data. Regarding other tensile test reports, the NCR addressing this issue states that the original test records were in fact lost and that replacement records were generated based on records maintained by the testing company.

In addition to the review of the allegations described above, the NRC staff reviewed other records related to Cadwelds and looked for any obvious indications of falsified documents. Various other technical areas were reviewed so that information could be forwarded to the NRC Office of Investigations. The NRC staff looked for documents which appeared to be extremely new, but which had old dates, errors in dates, duplicate originals, or other discrepancies which should be made known to OI investigators. The NRC staff also reviewed Allegations A-110, A-115, A-130, A-146, A-155, and A-171 that were related to Cadwelds. The review revealed no obvious evidence of falsified records. Thus, the NRC staff has concluded that the issue of falsified records related to Cadweld activities has been successfully resolved.

This issue has neither safety significance nor generic implications. It should be noted, however, that this technical conclusion is contingent upon the results of investigations being performed by the NRC Office of Investigations and that further technical evaluations may be necessary, depending on the outcome of these investigations.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. Assessments of Allegations A-106, A-108, A-110, A-115, A-130, A-133, A-146, A-147, A-148, A-155, A-156, and A-171.

Statement Prepared By:	_____	_____
	J. Devers	Date
Reviewed By:	_____	_____
	Team Leader	Date
Reviewed By:	_____	_____
	Site Team Leader(s)	Date
Approved By:	_____	_____
	Task Management	Date

SSER

Task: Allegation A-107

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06-02

Characterization: It ~~has been~~^{IS} alleged that false documents were generated to replace missing records related to Cadweld activities.

Assessment of Allegation: The NRC staff ~~inspection at Waterford Unit 3~~ included the review and assessment of many allegations related to the area of Cadwelding, ~~including~~^{INCLUDING} Allegations A-106, A-108, A-133, and A-156 ~~which~~^{ED} specifically related to the authenticity of daily Cadweld inspection reports, and Allegation A-147 ~~was~~^(DCIR) specifically related to the authenticity of Cadweld tensile test reports. ~~These technical issues were each~~^{EACH ALLEGATION WAS} resolved to NRC's satisfaction as described in the ~~assessments, presented in this report.~~^{THE STAFF'S} In the ~~case of the DCIR's,~~^{CONCERNING} daily Cadweld inspection reports it has been ~~stated~~^{STATED} by site personnel that discrepancies in signatures and initials on the reports were the result of reconstruction of original reports that were soiled in the field, or that ~~the~~^{HAD TO BE} inspectors ~~were~~^{A PHYSICALLY} in an awkward position during the inspection and ~~had~~^{HAD} a second inspector record and initial the data. Regarding other tensile test reports, ~~it was determined~~^{THE NRC ADDRESSING THIS ISSUE STATES} that the original test records were in fact lost and that replacement records were generated based on records maintained by the testing company.

ALLEGATIONS
In addition to the review of the ~~specific areas~~^{OBVIOUS} described above, the NRC staff looked for any indications of falsified documents. ~~during their review of other~~^{OTHER} records related to Cadwelds and ~~any~~^{VARIOUS} other technical areas ~~so any related~~^{WERE REVIEWED SO THAT} information could be forwarded to the ~~Office of Investigations.~~^{NRC} In this respect the NRC staff looked for documents which appeared to be extremely ~~new,~~^{WHICH HAD} fresh, but ~~with~~^{OR} old dates, errors in dates, duplicate originals, and other situations which should be made known to ~~investigators.~~^{OF} ~~In addition to the~~
DISCREPANCIES

Document Name:

SSER A-107

Requestor's ID:

CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

FINAL SSER ROUTING

A107

Revision :	0	1	2	3
Denny Crutchfield	<i>[Handwritten initials]</i>			
Jim Gagliardo	<i>[Handwritten initials]</i>			