



Commonwealth Edison
One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois
Address Reply to: Post Office Box 767
Chicago, Illinois 60690

September 2, 1983

Mr. D. G. Eisenhut, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Schedules for Submittals in Response
to NRC Generic Letter 83-28,
Docket Nos. 50-237/249, 50-254/265,
50-295/304, 50-373/374, 50-454/455 and
50-456/457

- References (1): Letter, D. G. Eisenhut to All Licensees of
Operating Reactors, et.al, "Required Actions
Based on Generic Implications of Salem ATWS
Events (Generic Letter 83-28)," July 8, 1983
(NL-83-0003)
- (2): Letter, T. J. Dente to D. G. Eisenhut, "Schedule
for Submittals in Response to NRC Generic Letter
83-28", September 2, 1983, BWROG 83-29

Dear Mr. Eisenhut:

This letter requests an extension of time for submittals in
response to Reference 1 for Commonwealth Edison plants.

We have, in conjunction with the BWR (BWROG) and
Westinghouse (WOG) Owner's Group and the Institute of Nuclear Power
Operations, determined that a generic response to some of the
actions discussed in Reference 1 would provide a uniform,
well-considered approach while minimizing unneeded duplication of
efforts. We are participating in these group's generic evaluation
and in order to best utilize this effort a time extension is needed.

Attachments 1 and 2 provide a proposed program for
submittal of positions, plans and schedules in response to Reference
1.

Please advise us if you wish to discuss our response
program.

Respectfully,

P. L. Barnes

P. L. Barnes
Nuclear Licensing Administrator

8309080074 830902
PDR ADCK 05000237
P PDR

Attachments

7213N

802/11

Attachment 1
Dresden, Quad Cities and LaSalle County Stations
Program for Submittals in Response to
NRC Generic Letter 83-28

- Action 1.1 - Response will be provided in accordance with Reference 1.
- Action 1.2 - Response will be provided in accordance with Reference 1.
- Action 2.1 - Response being evaluated through the BWROG (Reference 2). We endorse the BWROG response. After completion of this evaluation and the BWR-developed guidelines, we will develop our plants assessment and submit this response by February 29, 1984.
- Action 2.2 - Response being evaluated through an INPO sponsored Nuclear Utility Task Action Committee. After completion of this evaluation we will develop our plants assessment and submit this response by February 29, 1984.
- Action 3.1 - Response will be provided in accordance with Reference 1.
- Action 3.2 - The check of vendor and engineering recommendations is an enormous task. Sheer volume and the necessary communication with vendors (all during current plant startup and near term overhaul operations) necessitates exact scheduling of resources. We will develop our plants assessment and submit this response by February 29, 1984.
- Action 4.1 - Not applicable to BWRs.
- Action 4.2 - Not applicable to BWRs.
- Action 4.3 - Not applicable to BWRs.
- Action 4.4 - Not applicable to BWRs.
- Action 4.5 - Response being evaluated through the BWROG (Reference 2). We endorse the BWROG response. After completion of this evaluation and the BWR-developed guidelines, we will develop our plants assessment and submit this response by February 29, 1984.

Attachment 2
Zion, Byron and Braidwood Stations
Program for Submittals in Response to
NRC Generic Letter 83-28

- Action 1.1 - Response will be provided in accordance with Reference 1.
- Action 1.2 - Response will be provided in accordance with Reference 1.
- Action 2.1 - Response being evaluated through the WOG. After completion of this evaluation and the WOG-developed guidelines, we will develop our plants assessment and submit this response by February 29, 1984.
- Action 2.2 - Response being evaluated through an INPO sponsored Nuclear Utility Task Action Committee. After completion of this evaluation we will develop our plants assessment and submit this response by February 29, 1984.
- Action 3.1 - Response will be provided in accordance with Reference 1.
- Action 3.2 - The check of vendor and engineering recommendations is an enormous task. Sheer volume and the necessary communication with vendors (all during current plant startup and near term overhaul operations) necessitates exact scheduling of resources. We will develop our plants assessment and submit this response by February 29, 1984.
- Action 4.1 - Response being evaluated through the WOG. After completion of this evaluation and the WOG-developed guidelines, we will develop our plants assessment and submit this response by February 29, 1984.
- Action 4.2 - Response being evaluated through the WOG. After completion of this evaluation and the WOG-developed guidelines, we will develop our plants assessment and submit this response by February 29, 1984.
- Action 4.3 - Response being evaluated through the WOG. After completion of this evaluation and the WOG-developed guidelines, we will develop our plants assessment and submit this response by February 29, 1984.

Action 4.4 - Not applicable to Westinghouse PWRs.

Action 4.5 - Response being evaluated through the WOG. After completion of this evaluation and the WOG-developed guidelines, we will develop our plants assessment and submit this response by February 29, 1984.