## Appendix A

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Commonwealth Edison Company

Docket No. 50-237 Docket No. 50-249 Docket No. 50-254 Docket No. 50-265

As a result of the inspection conducted on July 6-9, 1981, and in accordance with the Interim Enforcement Policy, 45 FR 66754 (October 7, 1980), the following violation was identified.

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion VI, states, in part, that "Measures shall be established to control the issuance of documents ... including the changes thereto, which prescribe all activities affecting quality. These measures shall assure that documents, including changes, are reviewed ... and approved ... by authorized personnel and are distributed to and used at the location where the prescribed activity is performed."

Commonwealth Edison Company Topical Report CE-1-A, "Quality Assurance Program for Nuclear Generating Stations", Revision 9, dated July 16, 1979, states in Section 6, that "A document control system will be used to assure that documents such as specifications, procedures, and drawings are reviewed for adequacy and approved for release by authorized personnel. Such documents will be distributed to and used at the locations where the prescribed activity is performed. Changes to these documents will be handled similarly and will be reviewed and approved by the same organization that performed the original review and approval, unless delegated by the originating organization to another responsible organization."

Contrary to the above, procedures had not been revised to reflect the operability criteria for the evaluation of the installed suspension system components. In addition, there were conflicting requirements within the procedures.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement II).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, you are required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each item of noncompliance: (1) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective action to be taken to avoid further noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Under the authority of Section 182 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, this response shall be submitted under oath or affirmation. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

Dated AUG 2 4 1981

6.8 Norelus

C. E. Norelius, Director
Division of Engineering and
Technical Inspection