NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104								
(++++++++)  -								EXPIRES 04/30/98							
									ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS						
								INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK							
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (T-6 F33), U.S. NUCLEAR							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								REGULATORY	AND RECORDS MANAG	JEMENT BRAN	20555-0001	AND TO THE			
									REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND						
BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.															
FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2)								PAG	E (3)						
Dresde	en Nu	clea	r Pow	er S	Station, Uni	it 3		•		(	5000249		1 0	f 4	
TITLE (4)										······································					
Unit 3 Reactor Pressure Boundary Leakage In Excess of Technical Specifications Limit Due To Transverse In-Core															
Probe Dry Tube Leakage.															
	T DAT			_	LER NUMBER	2 (6)	REPO	RT DAT	E (7)	OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)					
MONTH	,DAY	YEA	R YE	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION				YEAR	FACILITY NAME		<u> </u>	DOCKET NUMBER			
				•	NUMBER	NUMBER				N/A		•	N/A		
								Î		FACILITY NAME			DOCKET NUMBER		
05	06	98 98 005 00 06 29 98 N/A N/A						<u> </u>							
OPERA	OPERATING THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR § (Check one or more) (11)														
MODE (9) 4			2	20.2201(b)		20.2203	3(a)(2)(	v) X	50.73(a)	50.73(a)(2)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)			
POWER				2	20.2203(a)(2)	)(i)	20.2203	3(a)(3)(	i)	50.73(a)	50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(x)		
LEVEL	LEVEL (10) 000			2	20.405(a)(1)(	ii)	20.2203	3(a)(3)(	ii)	50.73(a)	(2)(iii)	73.7	73.71		
			2	20.2203(a)(2)	)(ii)	20.2203	3(a)(4)		50.73(a)	50.73(a)(2)(iv)		HER			
			2	20.2203(a)(2)	)(iii)	50.36(c	:)(1)		50.73(a)	50.73(a)(2)(v)		Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A			
				2	20.2203(a)(2)	)(iv)	50.36(c	:)(2)		50.73(a)	50.73(a)(2)(vii)				
						LIC	ENSEE CO	NTACT	FOR TH	IS LER (12)					
NAME										TELEPHONE	NUMBER (Include Area	a Code)			
K. W. I	Robbi	ins, S	Syste	mΕ	ngineer					(815) 94	2-2920 ext 23	14			
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)															
CAUSE	SYSTE	EM	COMPO	NENT	MANUFAC	TURER	REPORTABL		CAUS			MANUFACTURER		REPORTABLE	
X	IG		TBO	3	123	6	TO NPRDS		š	+		· · ·		TO NPRDS	
				-	120		•					·			
						MONTH	DAY	YEAR							
YES X NO SUBMISSION															
		nplete E	XPECTE	SUB	MISSION DATE).				· • •		ATE (15)		·		
ABSTR/	ACT (Li	mit to '	1400 st	aces	, i. e., approxim	nately 15 sing	le-spaced 1	typewritte	en lines)	(16)					
					- • • •		•••	••	,	. ,		•			

On May 4, 1998, Electrical Maintenance Department (EMD) was troubleshooting a ground on the cable that led to the TIP Indexer 3C. When the EMD A mechanic opened the cover to inspect the inside of TIP Indexer 3C. approximately one and one half gallons of water rushed out of TIP Indexer 3C. The Radiation Technician monitoring the work directed personnel in the vicinity to evacuate the area due to elevated beta dose rates in the area as the water emptied from the indexer case. Analysis determined that the water was reactor water. Inspection of TIP Indexer 3C identified the source of the water to be a pressure boundary leak in the Local Power Range Monitor (LPRM) 16-09 dry tube. The safety significance of this is minimal and the consequences bounded by existing analysis. It has been determined that this is an isolated case. There are no known previous occurrences of this failure. The LPRM Assembly 16-09 dry tube was capped to prevent any further leakage and will be replaced during the next refueling outage. This pressure boundary leakage is in excess of the limit imposed by Technical Specification 3.6.H and is therefore reportable under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i) which requires the reporting of any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

9807070325 9804 ADDCK

PDR

	FORM 366A MISSION			u		RREGULATO	RY					
4-95)						,						
	LI	CENSEE EV	ENT REPOR									
	FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET (2)	YEAR	LER NUMBER (6	) REVISION	PAGE (3)					
					NUMBER	NUMBER						
	len Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3 nore space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 360	5A) (17)	05000249	98	005	00	2 OF 4					
<u> </u>	PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFIC		<u> </u>									
	General Electric - Boiling Water Re		Wt rated core th	nermal pov	wer							
	Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) Codes are identified in the text as [XX] and are obtained from IEE Standard 805-1984, IEEE Recommended Practice for System Identification in Nuclear Power Plants and Rela Facilities.											
	EVENT IDENTIFICATION:											
	Reactor Pressure Boundary Leakao Dry Tube Leakage	ge In Excess o	f Technical Spec	cifications	Limit Due To	) Transverse	In-Core Prob					
À.	PLANT CONDITIONS PRIOR TO EVENT:											
	Unit: 3	Event Time	nt Time: 1200 CDT									
	Reactor Mode: 4	Power Level: 000										
	Reactor Coolant System Pressure: 0 psig											
	No systems or components were inoperable or out of service at the start of this event which contributed to the event.											
В.	DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:											
	This LER is being submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i) which requires the reporting of any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.											
	On November 11, 1997, the Transverse In-Core Probe (TIP) Indexer 3C failed to lock onto the selected channel as required. Subsequent troubleshooting by the Instrument Maintenance Department (IMD) isolated the fault to a ground on the cable inside the drywell. Further troubleshooting was deferred until the next outage opportunity to enter the drywell.											
	On May 4, 1998, Electrical Maintenance Department (EMD) was troubleshooting the ground on the cable that led to the TIP Indexer 3C. When the EMD A mechanic opened the cover to inspect the inside of TIP Indexer 3C, approximately one and one half gallons of water rushed out of TIP Indexer 3C. The Radiation Technician monitoring the work directed personnel in the vicinity to evacuate the area due to elevated beta dose rates in the area as the water emptied from the indexer case. There were no significant exposure or personnel contamination events as a result of this event.											
	On May 6, 1998, all TIP tubes were disconnected from the 3C Indexer. The C-9 tube, which is connected to Local Power Range Monitor (LPRM) string 16-09, was found to be clean and free of lubricant and had a small amount (a few drops) of water in it. The TIP tube was disconnected from the dry tube at the bottom of LPRM detector string											

few drops) of water in it. The TIP tube was disconnected from the dry tube at the bottom of LPRM detector string 16-09 to verify the source of the leak. A leak of approximately one-gallon per hour at static reactor head (approximately 30 psig) was observed from the LPRM 16-09 dry tube. A sample of the leakage was analyzed and determined to be reactor water. The TIP tubing was reconnected to direct the leakage to the sump.

On May 8, 1998, an Engineering Letter (DOC ID 0005679880) was issued to evaluate the technical acceptability of Temporary Alteration (Temp Alt) III-08-98, which directed installation of a tube cap onto the flared end of the TIP dry tube for LPRM 16-09 to prevent further leakage of reactor water. Installation of Temp Alt III-08-98 meets all requirements of the applicable portions of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III.

On May 9, 1998, Temp Alt III-08-98 was installed and the penetrant test required by DOC ID 0005679880 was performed satisfactorily.

**UUCLEAR REGULATORY** 

U

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)		PAGE (3)			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION		
			NUMBER	NUMBER		
Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3	05000249	98	005	00	3 OF 4	
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)						

On May 6, 1998, the leakage into the TIP Indexer 3C was confirmed to be from the LPRM assembly TIP dry tube. ComEd Reportability Manual Section SAF 1.15 requires reporting of any condition or operation in violation of the plant's Technical Specifications consistent with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). SAF 1.15 states that the situation is assumed to have occurred at the time of discovery, unless firm evidence exists to indicate otherwise. Troubleshooting determined that the reason the TIP Indexer 3C failed to function properly was the failure of the limit switch that locks the indexer into each location. This was caused by water in the indexer, which was subsequently determined to be reactor water, providing evidence that a pressure boundary leak had existed while the plant was operated at power.

## C. CAUSE OF EVENT:

NRC FORM 366A

COMMISSION

(4-95)

The cause of the reactor pressure boundary leakage was a small leak in the LPRM 16-09 assembly dry tube. This is an isolated failure. A more detailed failure analysis will not be performed due to the expense and dose associated with disassembly of the LPRM assembly and the one of a kind nature of the failure. Therefore, the root cause of the dry tube leak will not be determined and the replacement of the LPRM assembly is considered adequate to prevent recurrence. (NRC Cause Code X)

## D. SAFETY ANALYSIS

The TIP system's primary purpose is to provide a means to measure axial core flux profile through the radially located guide tubes. The system also allows calibration of LPRM signals by correlating TIP signals to LPRM signals. The guide tubes inside the reactor are divided into groups. Each group has its own associated TIP machine.

A TIP machine uses a fission chamber attached to a flexible drive cable, which is driven from its lead shielded storage chamber located outside the primary containment by a pinion gearbox assembly. The flexible cable is contained by guide tubes that continue into the reactor core. The guide tubes are specially prepared to provide a durable, low-friction surface and are an integral part of the LPRM detector assembly. The indexing mechanism allows the use of a single detector in any one of 9 different tube paths. The control system provides both manual and semiautomatic operation. The TIP signal is amplified and displayed on a meter and input to the process computer. Core position versus neutron flux is recorded on a x-y plotter.

Each indexing mechanism functions as a circular transfer machine with 10 selectable indexing points. Eight of these locations are for the guide tubes uniquely associated with that particular TIP machine. One location is not used. The final location is for the guide tube common to all the TIP machines. Indexing to a particular tube location is accomplished manually at the control panel by means of a position selector switch, which energizes the electrically actuated rotating mechanism. The tube transfer mechanism is part of the indexing mechanism and consists of a fixed circular plate containing 10 holes on the reactor side of the primary containment which mates to a rotating single-hole plate. The rotating plate aligns and mechanically locks with each fixed hole position in position in succession. The indexing mechanism is actuated by a motor-operated rotating drive. Electrical interlocks prevent the indexing mechanism from changing positions until the probe cable has been completely retracted beyond the transfer point. Additional electrical interlocks prevent the cable drive motor from moving the cable until the transfer mechanism has indexed to the reselected guide tube location.

Disconnecting the TIP tube for LPRM 16-09 and installing a cap results in the loss of the ability to perform a TIP flux profile for LPRM 16-09, making that location out-of-service (OOS). Dresden Unit 3 Reload Analysis EMF-96-139 assumes that forty percent of the TIP positions (equivalent to two machines) are OOS. Currently there are five LPRM strings (TIP locations) OOS, including LPRM 16-09. This is equivalent to approximately twelve percent. Therefore, the loss of the ability to develop a TIP flux profile at location 16-09 results in minimal impact on the calibration of the LPRMs and is accounted for in the core reload analysis.

	·									
	ORM 366A									
COMMISSION (4-95)										
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)									
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	3)	PAGE (3)						
			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER					
	e'n Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3 ore space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)	05000249	98	005	00	4 OF 4				
Ε.	Dresden DOC ID 0005679880 provides a techn Extending the pressure boundary to the dry tube does not result in significant degradation of the in diameter. Any rupture of the exposed tube o the analysis as described in the UFSAR, Sectio Containment. Therefore, a failure of the Temp described in UFSAR and the safety impact is m <b>CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:</b> Temp Alt III-08-98 was installed. (Complete) Replace LPRM Assembly 16-09 during the nex removal of Temp Alt III-08-98. (249-180-98-00	e and installing the over all pressure l r failure of the cap n 15.6.5, Loss of ( Alt or the exposed inimal. t refueling outage	e tube cap boundary. b would res Coolant Ac d tubing wo	in accordance The dry tube sult in a small condents from build not incre	ce with Temp e is approxim I leak which is Piping Break ease the cons	Alt III-08-98 ately 3/8 inch s bounded by (s Inside equences as				
<b>F.</b>	<ul> <li>PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES:</li> <li>During the course of the investigation, an inquiry was made on the Nuclear Network to determine if any other utility had experienced a leaking TIP dry tube. Nine utilities responded stating that they had not experienced this type of phenomenon. In addition, the vendor reported that a problem of this type had never been reported.</li> <li>The results of a search of the INPO LER Database and NPRDS indicate that there have been no previous occurrences of a TIP dry tube or thimble tube failure.</li> <li>The results of a Problem Identification Form (PIF) search indicate that there have been no previous events of this type at Dresden during the last two years.</li> </ul>									
G.	COMPONENT FAILURE DATA:ManufacturerNomenclatuImaging and SensingLPRM AsserTechnology Corporation (IST)			<u>del/ Part Nur</u> <sup>-</sup> -WL 24261	nber					