

CHAIRMAN Resource

From: Tom Gurdziel <tgurdziel@twcny.rr.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 25, 2016 10:45 PM
To: CHAIRMAN Resource
Cc: JNappi@entergy.com; T Holden; Lyon, Jill:(NMP); SCRENCI, DIANE P; Bridget Frymire; ESTRONSKI@aol.com; tknauss@syracuse.com
Subject: [External_Sender] Words Fail Me! Entergy/Indian Point, Unit 2/Rockland County Times June 25, 2016
Attachments: P1040657.JPG; Indian Point.JPG

Good morning,

Yes, I am talking about another problem down at the Entergy/Indian Point site. Let's start with this one.

Item 1

22-L23-1

Unit 2 was shutdown from March 7, 2016 to June 4, 2016. That is about 3 months. During that time, 30 to 40 site-based system "engineers" could not realize that a circuit breaker that can only be tested when the plant is off line, (which it was for 3 months), needed to be tested. Their ageing management program, (usually needed by plants aged 40 years), did NOT notice this need. All the technical mid-level management at Indian Point were too busy to notice. And, then there are the site vice presidents, of which I think they have two. They did not ask about anything else needed, either. So, now that the plant "has", to shutdown, let's just check it out and, probably, take another week or two rebuilding it.

Actually, I have no words to describe this situation.

Item 2

Generally, a nuclear plant has two, (or more), of everything. (The leak was given as 1 drop every 5 seconds.) Then, can somebody explain in a press release available to the public why you just can't take one of the two out of service and fix it in 3 days?

Item 3

Just because the leak is at a weld doesn't mean, (to me, anyway), that it is the weld that is leaking. Did anybody look to see if the nearby metal corroded away due to its exposure to Hudson River water? You know, pumping river water to the city of Flint, Michigan didn't work out too well either. Or, is Entergy/Indian Point Unit 2 exempt from doing root cause investigations BEFORE making repairs?

Item 4

Earlier this year, Entergy/Indian Point site groundwater monitoring wells showed increased contamination levels. The excuse was that there was something in the way in the truck bay that could not be touched until the current refueling outage was over. Well, the refueling outage is over. Has the root cause been completed? (Why doesn't the containment building contain contaminated water?)

Item 5

Recently when I sent a 2.206 request on a FirstEnergy plant to the NRC at the listed NRC address, it got returned to me. (See attached.) I received word that I would get a written explanation. That never happened.

22-L23-1
cont'd

Item 6

So, instead of a letter, I am using this e-mail as a 2.206 request to the EDO/US NRC Commissioners to reject the Entergy application for a license extension for their Indian Point, Unit 2 (only). The basis would be a general failure of their site staff to be aware of needs related to reliable supply of electrical power. Also, an inability to do timely root cause investigations. Also, the lack of an effective, implemented ageing management program.

Thank you,

Thomas Gurdziel

Note that this is a 2.206 request that the NRC take the requested action.



Virus-free. www.avast.com

Mrs. Thomas Gurdziel
Orchard Dr
o, NY 13126-6107



7014 2120 0001 9517 4912

R. T. S.
F. D. C.

RECEIVED
RETURN TO POSTAGE
OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C.
20555-0001

Executive Director for Operations Victor M. McCree
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C.

~~20555-0001~~

