

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III

Docket Nos: 50-010; 50-237; 50-249
Licenses No: DPR-2; DPR-19; DPR-25

Reports No: 50-010/97002(DRS); 50-237/97002(DRS);
50-249/97002(DRS)

Licensee: Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd)

Facility: Dresden Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2 and 3

Location: R. R. No. 1
Morris, IL 60450

Dates: Between January 21 and 30, 1997

Inspector: T. Madedo, Physical Security Inspector

Approved by: James R. Creed, Chief, Plant Support Branch 1
Division of Reactor Safety

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
INFORMATION

~~SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION~~

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Dresden Nuclear Power Plant
NRC Inspection Reports 50-010/97002; 50-237/97002; 50-249/97002

This inspection included our review of plant support activities relative to the physical protection of your facility. The report covered our routine review of the licensee's physical security program and included: evaluation of licensee corrective actions, management support for the security program, review of the security plan and procedures, vital area access control of personnel and vehicles, and follow up on previous inspection findings. The inspection was conducted between January 21 and 30, 1997.

- Generally security performance was good. Security management has taken action to improve communications and performance effectiveness throughout the security organization. Those actions have resulted in a reduction of personnel errors and have reduced procedural adherence problems. Security force members demonstrated a good working knowledge of security requirements and team work between different organizational levels within the security organization.
- Security intrusion equipment was observed to be working as designed. A good working relationship existed between the security organization and maintenance groups.
- A weakness in the security program was identified involving protected and vital area personnel access control. A violation involving a failure to properly limit personnel access authorization to a vital area was identified by the inspector (Section S4.b.1). Also, a non-cited violation involved a failure to terminate a security badge in a timely manner was identified by the licensee (Section S4.b.2). This failure demonstrated weak coordination between corporate and site security in assuring that security badges are terminated in a timely manner.