

Facility: <u>OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION</u>		Date of Examination: <u>12/2014</u>
Developed by: Written - Facility ☒ NRC ☐ // Operating - Facility ☒ NRC ☐		
Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	MMKM
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	MMKM
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	MMKM
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	MMKM
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 3)]	N/A
{-75}	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	MMKM
{-70}	{7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)}	MMKM
{-45}	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6, and any Form ES-201-3 updates), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	MMKM
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	MMKM
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.i; C.2.i; ES-202)	MMKM
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	MMKM
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	MMKM
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	MMKM
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; 1 or 2 (if >10) applications audited to confirm qualifications / eligibility; and examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 5; ES-202, C.2.e; ES-204)	MMKM
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	MMKM
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	MMKM
* Target dates are generally based on facility-prepared examinations and are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee. [Applies only] {Does not apply} to examinations prepared by the NRC.		

- WRITTEN EXAM SAMPLE PLAN ONLY -

ES-201

Examination Outline Quality Checklist

Form ES-201-2

Facility: OCONEE		Date of Examination: DECEMBER 2014		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	<i>M</i>	N/A	<i>JB</i>
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	<i>M</i>	N/A	<i>JB</i>
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	<i>M</i>	N/A	<i>JB</i>
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	<i>M</i>	N/A	<i>JB</i>
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	N/A	N/A	N/A
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	<i>M</i>	N/A	<i>JB</i>
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	<i>M</i>	N/A	<i>JB</i>
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	<i>M</i>	N/A	<i>JB</i>
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	<i>M</i>	N/A	<i>JB</i>
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	<i>M</i>	N/A	<i>JB</i>
a. Author <u>Michael K. Meeks</u> / <i>Michael K. Meeks</i> b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>N/A</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>Daniel M. Bacon</u> / <i>Daniel M. Bacon</i> d. NRC Supervisor <u>MICHAEL MECKS</u> / <i>Michael Meeks</i> <u>(ACTING) FOR M. WIDMANN</u>		Date <u>03/10/2014</u> <u>03/12/2014</u> <u>03/12/2014</u>		
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines				

Facility: Oconee Nuclear Station		Date of Examination: 12/8/2014		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	*	*	<i>MM</i>
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	*	*	<i>MM</i>
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	*	*	<i>MM</i>
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	<i>CPL</i>	<i>W. Jones</i>	<i>MM</i>
a. Author <u>Clifford P. Witherspoon / Clifford P. Witherspoon</u> b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>Louise Nowell / Louise Nowell</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>MICHAEL MEEKS / Michael Meeks</u> d. NRC Supervisor <u>GERALD McCoy / Gerald J. McCoy</u>		Printed Name/Signature Date 11-28-14 11/29/14 12/02/2014 12/4/2014		
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines				

* Sample Plan generated by NRC

ILT 46

Examination Security Agreement

ES-201

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 12/08/2014 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 12/08/2014. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
Gabriel Gutierrez	Exam Author	<i>Gabriel Gutierrez</i>	5-7-14	G.C. Gutierrez/Chg	2-2-15	
Cliff Witherspoon	Exam Author	<i>Cliff Witherspoon</i>	5-7-14	<i>Cliff Witherspoon</i>	12-17-14	
Larry Nowick	Exam Development Supervisor	<i>Larry Nowick</i>	5/20/14	<i>Larry Nowick</i>	12/17/14	
Aaron Forsyth	Fleet NRC Exam Spec	<i>Aaron Forsyth</i>	11/14	A. Forsyth/Chg	12-22-14	
John Youmans	NIT	<i>John Youmans</i>	7/7/14	<i>John Youmans</i>	1-5-15	
Ken Schaefer	Vendor	<i>Ken Schaefer</i>	7/9/14	<i>Ken Schaefer</i>	12/18/14	
Karen Bryant	NIT	<i>Karen Bryant</i>	7/10/14	K. Bryant/Chg	2-5-15	
Janice Grant	NIT Manager	<i>Janice Grant</i>	7/10/14	J. Grant/Chg	12-22-14	
Alan Sweney	NIT Consultant	<i>Alan Sweney</i>	7/10/14	A. Sweney/Chg	12-22-14	
Teresa L. Metek	Admin Support	<i>Teresa L. Metek</i>	7/15/14	<i>Teresa L. Metek</i>	11/5/15	
Rick Robinson	OPC sup t	<i>Rick Robinson</i>	7/24/14	<i>Rick Robinson</i>	12/18/14	
Tam Van Vo	Sim support	<i>Tam Van Vo</i>	7/24/14	<i>Tam Van Vo</i>	12/29/14	
Bill Rostron	Sim Support	<i>Bill Rostron</i>	7/24/14	<i>Bill Rostron</i>	12/23/14	
John Pottmeyer	Sim Engineer	<i>John Pottmeyer</i>	7/24/14	<i>John Pottmeyer</i>	11/4/15	
KEITH WELCH	Sim Supervisor	<i>KEITH WELCH</i>	7/29/14	<i>KEITH WELCH</i>	11/5/15	

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 12/08/2014 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 12/08/2014. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Joey Woolbright	Sim Support	[Signature]	7/29/14	[Signature]	12/23/14	
2. JAMES M. BYKO	SIM Support	[Signature]	7/29/14	[Signature]	1-4-15	
3. Joseph Applegate	SRO	[Signature]	7/31/14	[Signature]	12-22-14	
4. Krysta Londerville	OTC Admin	[Signature]	8/4/14	[Signature]	12-23-14	
5. KERR FOX	RO/ILT48	[Signature]	9-5-14	[Signature]	1-05-15	
6. David J. [unclear]	RO/ILT48	[Signature]	9-5-14	[Signature]	1-5-15	
7. Patrick E. Gadsby	SRO	[Signature]	9-6-14	[Signature]	12-22-14	
8. Eric [unclear]	SRO	[Signature]	9-7-14	[Signature]	12-31-14	
9. Steven M. Steller	MNS Exam Team	[Signature]	9-8-14	[Signature]	2-5-15	
10. Clark Fletcher	MNS Exam Team	[Signature]	9-8-14	[Signature]	2-5-15	
11. Judy Smith	TechSpec RegAffairs	[Signature]	9-15-14	[Signature]	12-18-14	
12. JOHN DIMUZIO	RO	[Signature]	9-17-14	[Signature]	12-27-14	
13. William Ribble	SRO	[Signature]	9-17-14	[Signature]	12-27-14	
14. Chris Lack	RO	[Signature]	9-17-14	[Signature]	1-13-15	
15. Matt Adair	SRO	[Signature]	9-17-14	[Signature]	12/22/14	

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 12/08/2014 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 12/08/2014. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.


PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
<u>SAM LARK</u>	<u>Exam Author</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/22/14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>12/18/14</u>
<u>Darrell Hensley</u>	<u>Peer Reviewer</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/24/14</u>	<u>O. Hensley</u>	<u>2-8-15</u>
<u>Alan Hensley</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/30/14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>12/22/14</u>
<u>Scott Hawkesworth</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/30/14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/6/14</u>
<u>Jose Duarte</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/30/14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/12/15</u>
<u>Alan Dills</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-1-14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>12-3-14</u>
<u>Joe Vaher</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-14-14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>12/31/14</u>
<u>Ben McCall</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-14-14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>12-3-14</u>
<u>Nicholas Sutton</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-14-14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-7-15</u>
<u>John R. Steely</u>	<u>Training Manager</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-16-14</u>	<u>S. Steely</u>	<u>2-5-15</u>
<u>J. J. + S. E. Phengs</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-21-14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>12-23-14</u>
<u>CORTIS NORDEN</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-21-14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-12-15</u>
<u>Scott G. Moeblis</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-22-14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>01-10-15</u>
<u>Lance A. Hawkin</u>	<u>RO / Train. mt</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-22-14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>12-18-14</u>
<u>Stanley C. Presley</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-22-14</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>12-18-14</u>

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 12/08/2014 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 12/08/2014. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. DANIEL E. WELSH	SRO		11-2-14		12-29-14	
2. JOSHUA ARJETT	RO		11-5-14		12-23-14	
3. DANIEL E. STRANDERMAN	SRO / OPS Support		11-10-14		1-8-15	
4. DAVID HOLMES	RO		11-10-14		12-23-14	
5. LUIS OLIVERA	RO		11/10/14		12-24-14	
6. JOEY LAWSON	NLO Training Supervisor (Temp)		11-12-14		12-12-14	
7. CHRISTOPHER A. RUDOLPH	OPERATIONS TRAINING MANAGER		11/14/14		12/18/14	
8. TIM CHAMPION	SRO		11-24-14		12-23-14	
9. RUDOLPH RUDEN	RO		11-24-14		12/23/14	
10. BRAD MAIR	RO		11-24-14		12/28/14	
11. SCOTT BOWEN	SRO Instructor		11-24-14		12-18-14	
12. BRIAN BOWERS	LOCT Supervisor		11-25-14		12-18-14	
13. STEPHEN BATHS	Ops Instructor		12-5-14		1-5-15	
14. SIANE FERGUSON	Ops Instructor		12-5-14		12-29-14	
15. THEODORE A. COE	OPS INSTRUCTOR		12/5/14		12-29-14	

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 12/08/2014 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 12/08/2014. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Fred Williams	ILT Instructor	<i>Fred Williams</i>	12-5-14	<i>Fred Williams</i>	12-18-14
2. Michael Smith	ILT Instructor	<i>Michael Smith</i>	12-5-14	<i>Michael Smith</i>	12/18/14
3. Kent Baldwin	ADM - TRAINING	<i>Kent Baldwin</i>	12-8-14	<i>Kent Baldwin</i>	12-18-14
4. RONARD DOSS	ILT SUPERVISOR	<i>Ronald A. Doss</i>	12/8/14	<i>Ronald A. Doss</i>	12/19/14
5. J. Wayne Smith	ILT Instructor / Supervisor	<i>J. Wayne Smith</i>	12/8/14	<i>J. Wayne Smith</i>	12-30-14
6. ROBERT POPE	FEET OPM	<i>Robert Pope</i>	12/9/14	<i>Robert Pope</i>	1-12-15
7. CHRIS HARTSOCK	ILT INSTRUCTOR	<i>Chris Hartsock</i>	12/9/14	<i>Chris Hartsock</i>	12/18/14
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

Facility:		Date of Examination:		Operating Test Number:		
1. General Criteria				Initials		
				a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).			<i>CPW</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>M</i>
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.			<i>CPW</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>M</i>
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)			<i>CPW</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>M</i>
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.			<i>CPW</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>M</i>
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.			<i>CPW</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>M</i>
2. Walk-Through Criteria				--	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: - initial conditions - initiating cues - references and tools, including associated procedures - reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee - operationally important specific performance criteria that include: - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable			<i>CPW</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>M</i>
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.			<i>CPW</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>M</i>
3. Simulator Criteria				--	--	--
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.				<i>CPW</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>M</i>
		Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a.	Author	<i>Clifford P. Witheiser</i>		<i>11-20-14</i>		
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	<i>Louis Newell</i>		<i>11/20/14</i>		
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<i>MICHAEL MEEKS</i>		<i>12/02/2014</i>		
d.	NRC Supervisor	<i>GERARD J. McCoy</i>		<i>12/04/2014</i>		
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: Oconee		Date of Exam: 12/08/14		Scenario Numbers: 1 2 3		Operating Test No.: 1	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES					Initials		
					a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.				SV	W. Powell	M
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.				SV	W. Powell	M
3.	Each event description consists of - the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated - the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event - the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew - the expected operator actions (by shift position) - the event termination point (if applicable)				SV	W. Powell	M
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.				SV	W. Powell	M
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.				SV	W. Powell	M
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.				SV	W. Powell	M
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.				SV	W. Powell	M
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.				SV	W. Powell	M
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.				SV	W. Powell	M
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.				SV	W. Powell	M
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).				SV	W. Powell	M
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).				SV	W. Powell	M
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.				SV	W. Powell	M
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)					Actual Attributes		
		8	7	6			
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	8	7	6	SV	W. Powell	M
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2	1	2	SV	W. Powell	M
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4	2	2	SV	W. Powell	M
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1	2	1	SV	W. Powell	M
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2	1	1	SV	W. Powell	M
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	2	1	1	SV	W. Powell	M
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	3	2	2	SV	W. Powell	M

Facility: Oconee			Date of Exam: 12/08/14			Operating Test No.: 1											
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*) R I U		
		1			2			3			4						
		C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P				
RO ☒ SRO-I ☐ SRO-U ☐	RX							1							1	1	0
	NOR		3												1	1	1
	I/C		2,6 7			2,4 5			3,5						4	4	2
	MAJ		8			7,8			6,7						2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2
RO ☒ SRO-I ☐ SRO-U ☐	RX														1	1	0
	NOR			1											1	1	1
	I/C			4,5			1,3,6			2,3,4					4	4	2
	MAJ			8			7,8			6,7					2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2
RO ☐ SRO-I ☐ SRO-U ☐ X	RX							1							1	1	0
	NOR		1,3												1	1	1
	I/C		2,4,5 6,7			1,2,3 4,5,6			2,3,4 5						4	4	2
	MAJ		8			7,8			6,7						2	2	1
	TS		4,5,6			2,3,5			2,3,4						0	2	2

Instructions:

- Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: Oconee		Date of Examination: 12/08/14				Operating Test No.: 1											
Competencies	APPLICANTS																
	RO ☒ X SRO-I ☐ SRO-U ☐				RO ☒ X SRO-I ☐ SRO-U ☐				RO ☐ SRO-I ☐ SRO-U ☒ X				RO ☐ SRO-I ☐ SRO-U ☐				
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	2,3, 6,7, 8	2,4, 5,7 8	1,3, 5,6, 7		1,4, 5,8	1,3, 5,6, 7,8	2,3, 4,5 6,7		1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7						
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	2,3, 6,7, 8	2,4, 5,7 8	1,3, 5,6, 7		1,4, 5,6 8	1,3, 5,6, 7,8	2,3, 4,5 6,7		1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6 7						
Operate Control Boards (2)	2,3, 6,7, 8	2,4, 5,7 8	1,3, 5,6, 7		1,4, 5,6 8	1,3, 5,6, 7,8	2,3, 4,5 6,7										
Communicate and Interact	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7		1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7		1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7,8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7						
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)									1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6 7,8	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 7						
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)									4,5, 6	2,3 5	2,3 4						
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.																	

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: <u>OCONEE</u>		Date of Exam: <u>12/17/14</u>		Exam Level: RO ☒ SRO ☒	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<u>CB</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>M</u>		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	<u>CB</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>M</u>		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<u>CB</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>M</u>		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 $\pm$ 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, $\pm$ 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	<u>CB</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>M</u>		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<u>CB</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>M</u>		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<u>CB</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>M</u>		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<u>Daniel M. Bacon / Daniel M. Bacon</u>	<u>1/13/2015</u>			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>MICHAEL MEEKS / Michael Meeks</u>	<u>01/26/2015</u>			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>GERALD J. McCoy / Gerald McCoy</u>	<u>2/3/2015</u>			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					



Scott L. Batson
Vice President
Oconee Nuclear Station

ONS-2014-125

September 19, 2014

Mr. Victor McCree, Regional Administrator
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

Duke Energy
ON01VP | 7800 Rochester Hwy
Seneca, SC 29672

o: 864.873.3274
f: 864.873.4208
Scott.Batson@duke-energy.com

Subject: **OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION**
Operating Exam Outlines - 75 day submittal

Dear Mr. McCree:

In accordance with the provisions of NUREG-1021, Duke Energy is submitting the operating exam outlines, quality checklists, and other documentation as required for the initial license operating exam scheduled for the week of December 8, 2014 at the Oconee Training Center, Oconee Nuclear Site. Submitted for review are:

- Form ES-201-2, Examination Outline Quality Checklist
- Form ES-201-3, Examination Security Agreement
- Form ES-301-1, RO Administrative Topics Outline
- Form ES-301-1, SRO Administrative Topics Outline
- Form ES-301-2, RO Control Room/In-Plant Systems Outline
- Form ES-301-2, SRO (U) Control Room/In-Plant Systems Outline
- Form ES-301-2, SRO (I) Control Room/In-Plant Systems Outline
- Form ES-301-5, Transient and Event Checklist
- Form ES-D-1, Scenario outline (three)
- Form ES-401-4, Record of Rejected K/As

If you require any additional information, or have any questions, please contact Cliff Witherspoon at (864) 873-4330 or Gabriel Washburn at (864) 873-4490.

Sincerely,

Scott L. Batson
Vice President
Oconee Nuclear Station

Attachments

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II

September 19, 2014

Page 2

cc:

Mr. Eugene F. Guthrie, Chief
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Division of Reactor Safety
Operations Branch
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

Mr. Michael Meeks
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Division of Reactor Safety
Operations Branch
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257



Scott L. Batson
Vice President
Oconee Nuclear Station

ONS-2014-129

October 2, 2014

Duke Energy
ON01VP | 7800 Rochester Hwy
Seneca, SC 29672

o: 864.873.3274
f: 864.873.4208

Scott.Batson@duke-energy.com

Mr. Victor McCree, Regional Administrator
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

Subject: Oconee Nuclear Station
Written Exam - 45 day submittal

Dear Mr. McCree:

In accordance with the provisions of NUREG-1021, Duke Energy is submitting the written exam, quality checklists, and other documentation as required for the initial license written exam scheduled for December 17, 2014 at the Operator Training Center, Oconee Nuclear Station. Submitted for review are:

- Form ES-201-3, Examination Security Agreement
- Form ES-401-4, Record of Rejected K/As
- Form ES-401-6, Written Examination Quality Checklist
- RO written exam (with reference package)
- RO written exam key
- SRO written exam (with reference package)
- SRO written exam key
- Reference material on a DVD

If you require any additional information, or have any questions, please contact Cliff Witherspoon at (864) 873-4330.

Sincerely,

Scott L. Batson
Vice President
Oconee Nuclear Station

cc: Gerald J. McCoy, Chief
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Division of Reactor Safety
Operations Branch
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

Michael Meeks
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Division of Reactor Safety
Operations Branch
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257



Scott L. Batson
Vice President
Oconee Nuclear Station

ONS-2014-139

October 22, 2014

Mr. Victor McCree, Regional Administrator
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

Duke Energy
ON01VP | 7800 Rochester Hwy
Seneca, SC 29672

o: 864.873.3274
f: 864.873.4208
Scott.Batson@duke-energy.com

Subject: OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION
ILT46 Operating Exam - 45 day submittal

Dear Mr. McCree:

In accordance with the provisions of NUREG-1021, Operator Licensing Examination Standards for Power Reactors, Duke Energy is submitting the operating exam, quality checklists, and other documentation as required for the initial license exam scheduled for the week of December 8, 2014 at the Operator Training Center, Oconee Nuclear Site. Submitted for review are:

- One set of Administrative JPM's with handouts
- One set of Control Room/In-Plant JPM's with handouts
- Form ES-201-2, Examination Outline Quality Checklist
- Form ES-201-3, Examination Security Agreement
- Form ES-301-1, RO Administrative Topics Outline
- Form ES-301-1, SRO Administrative Topics Outline
- Form ES-301-2, RO Control Room/In-Plant Systems Outline
- Form ES-301-2, SRO (U) Control Room/In-Plant Systems Outline
- Form ES-301-2, SRO (I) Control Room/In-Plant Systems Outline
- Form ES-301-3, Operating Test Quality Checklist
- Form ES-301-4, Simulator Scenario Quality Checklist
- Form ES-301-5, Transient and Event Checklist
- Form ES-301-6, Competencies Checklist
- Form ES-D1 for Three Simulator Scenarios
- Form ES-D2 for Three Simulator Scenarios

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II

October 22, 2014

Page 2

If you require any additional information, or have any questions, please contact Cliff Witherspoon at (864) 873-4330 or Sam Lark at (864) 873-3642.

Sincerely,



Scott L. Batson

Vice President

Oconee Nuclear Station

Attachments

cc: Mr. Gerald J. McCoy, Chief
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Division of Reactor Safety
Operations Branch
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

Mr. Michael Meeks
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Division of Reactor Safety
Operations Branch
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257



Scott L. Batson
Vice President
Oconee Nuclear Station

ONS-2014-152

November 25, 2014

Duke Energy
ON01VP | 7800 Rochester Hwy
Seneca, SC 29672

o: 864.873.3274
f: 864.873.4208
Scott.Batson@duke-energy.com

Mr. Victor McCree, Regional Administrator
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

Subject: Oconee Nuclear Station
ILT46 Final Submittal

Dear Mr. McCree:

In accordance with the provisions of NUREG-1021, Duke Energy is submitting the written exam, operating exam, quality checklists, and other documentation as required for the initial license exam scheduled for the weeks of December 8, 2014, and December 15, 2014, at the Operator Training Center, Oconee Nuclear Station. Submitted for review are:

- One set of Administrative JPM's with handouts
- One set of Control Room/In-Plant JPM's with handouts
- Form ES-D1 for Three Simulator Scenarios
- Form ES-D2 for Three Simulator Scenarios
- Form ES-201-2, Examination Outline Quality Checklist
- Form ES-201-3, Examination Security Agreement
- Form ES-301-1, RO Administrative Topics Outline
- Form ES-301-1, SRO Administrative Topics Outline
- Form ES-301-2, RO Control Room/In-Plant Systems Outline
- Form ES-301-2, SRO (U) Control Room/In-Plant Systems Outline
- Form ES-301-2, SRO (I) Control Room/In-Plant Systems Outline
- Form ES-301-3, Operating Test Quality Checklist
- Form ES-301-4, Simulator Scenario Quality Checklist
- Form ES-301-5, Transient and Event Checklist
- Form ES-301-6, Competencies Checklist
- Form ES-401-4, Record of Rejected K/As
- Form ES-401-6, Written Examination Quality Checklist
- RO written exam
- RO written exam key
- SRO written exam (with reference package)
- SRO written exam key

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
November 25, 2014
Page 2

If you require any additional information, or have any questions, please contact Cliff Witherspoon at (864) 873-4330.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Scott L. Batson", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Scott L. Batson
Vice President
Oconee Nuclear Station

cc: Gerald J. McCoy, Chief
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Division of Reactor Safety
Operations Branch
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

Michael Meeks
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Division of Reactor Safety
Operations Branch
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257



Scott L. Batson
Vice President
Oconee Nuclear Station

Duke Energy
ON01VP | 7800 Rochester Hwy
Seneca, SC 29672

ONS-2014-158

o: 864.873.3274
f: 864.873.4208

Scott.Batson@duke-energy.com

December 19, 2014

Mr. Victor McCree, Regional Administrator
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

Subject: **OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION**
ILT46 Post Exam Submittal

Dear Mr. McCree:

An NRC initial license operating exam was administered the week of December 8, 2014. The associated written exam was administered on December 17, 2014. Oconee has two post exam comments included with this submittal letter as Attachment 1. Enclosed for your review as directed by NUREG-1021 are the following:

- A student seating chart,
- The original student answer sheets,
- The original signed exam cover sheets,
- Two clean copies of the student answer sheets,
- A copy of the RO exam,
- A copy of the SRO exam,
- An RO exam key,
- An SRO exam key,
- A compiled list of student comments and proctor answers.

If you require any additional information or have any questions, please contact Cliff Witherspoon at (864) 873-4330 or Sam Lark at (864) 873-3642.

Sincerely,

Scott L. Batson
Vice President
Oconee Nuclear Station

Attachment (1)
Enclosures (9)

cc: Gerald J. McCoy, Chief
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Division of Reactor Safety
Operations Branch
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

Mr. Michael Meeks
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
Division of Reactor Safety
Operations Branch
Marquis One Tower
245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1257