

Quarterly 10 CFR 2.206 Status Report

For each petition listed below, the individual status page summarizes the issues raised by the petitioner, the current status, and the next steps.

When a petition is received, it is reviewed according to criteria in Management Directive (MD) 8.11, "Review Process for 10 CFR 2.206 Petitions," to determine if it should be accepted for evaluation or rejected. A petition undergoing this review is referred to as a petition under consideration. If a petition has been accepted for further evaluation, it is considered an open petition until the staff formally grants or denies the requested action in a Director's Decision (DD), when it is considered a closed petition. Before issuing a final DD, the NRC issues a proposed DD offering the petitioner and licensee an opportunity to comment. If a petition is not accepted for further evaluation, it is also considered a closed petition, and its rejection is communicated in a closure letter.

Licensee/Facility	Petitioner/EDO No.	Page
PETITIONS CLOSED DURING THIS PERIOD		
Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 2 and 3, LLC Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists OEDO-16-00411	3
Pacific Gas and Electric Company Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists OEDO-16-00436	4
Exelon Generation Company, LLC Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, and Byron Station, Units 1 and 2	Samuel Miranda OEDO-16-00783	5
Pacific Gas and Electric Company Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2	Friends of the Earth CLI-15-14	6
OPEN PETITIONS		
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists OEDO-15-00479	7
All operating reactor licensees	Roy Mathew, et al. OEDO-16-00104	9
OPEN PETITIONS UNDER CONSIDERATION		
Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 2 and 3, LLC Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3	Friends of the Earth, Nuclear Information and Resource Service, and Hudson River Sloop Clearwater LTR-16-0297-1	10
Multiple operating reactor licensees	Beyond Nuclear OEDO-17-00070	11

Licensee/Facility	Petitioner/EDO No.	Page
Multiple operating reactor licensees	Samuel Miranda OEDO-17-00075	12
Exelon Generation Company, LLC Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, and Byron Station, Units 1 and 2	Barry Quigley OEDO-17-00104	13
U.S. Army Pohakuloa Training Area (PTA)	Michael Reimer OEDO-17-00396-NMSS	14
Multiple new reactor licensees (AP1000s)	Thomas Saporito LTR-17-00189	15
Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 2 and 3, LLC Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3	Thomas Gurdziel LTR-17-0235-1	16

CLOSED PETITION

OEDO-16-00411 (Petition Age: 10 months)

Facility:	Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists
Date of Petition:	June 30, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issued:	January 11, 2017
Final DD Issued:	April 13, 2017
Last Contact with Petitioner:	April 13, 2017
Petition Manager:	Douglas Pickett
Case Attorney:	Christopher Hair

Issues/Actions Requested:

Citing the discovery of unexpected degradation of the baffle-former bolts at the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit 2, the petitioner requested that NRC (1) issue an order requiring the licensee to inspect the baffle bolts and to install the down-flow to up-flow modifications on Unit 2 during its next refueling outage, (2) issue a demand for information requiring the licensee to submit an operability determination regarding continued operation of Unit 3 until its baffle bolts can be inspected per the Materials Reliability Program 227-A, and (3) issue a demand for information requiring the licensee to submit an evaluation of the performance, role, and operating experience of the metal impact monitoring system in detecting and responding to indications of loose parts, such as broken baffle bolts, within the reactor coolant system.

Background:

- On June 30, 2016, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On June 30, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the Petition Review Board (PRB).
- On July 28, 2016 the petitioner addressed the PRB by teleconference.
- On August 4, 2016, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation regarding the petition. The decision was to accept it for review.
- On August 4, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was being accepted for review and offered a second opportunity to address the PRB. The petitioner declined the offer.
- On September 7, 2016, an acknowledgement letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML16231A140) was issued to the petitioner accepting the petition for review.
- On January 10, 2017, the petitioner withdrew the first two requests for enforcement action.
- On January 11, 2017, the NRC issued a proposed DD (ADAMS Accession No. ML16320A269).

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On April 13, 2017, the NRC issued a final DD (ADAMS Accession No. ML17065A030) denying the petition because the licensee had taken actions that effectively met some requests and because the NRC had no basis for issuing a demand for information concerning a non-safety system. All actions on this petition are closed.

CLOSED PETITION

OEDO-16-00436 (Petition Age: 11 months)

Facility:	Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists
Date of Petition:	July 14, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issued:	March 15, 2017
Final DD Issued:	May 12, 2017
Last Contact with Petitioner:	May 12, 2017
Petition Manager:	Margaret Watford
Case Attorney:	Robert Carpenter

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC “issue a Demand for Information pursuant to 10 CFR 2.204 to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) requiring the company to provide the NRC with a written explanation as to why its June 17, 2015, license amendment request failed to provide complete and accurate information needed by the NRC staff to complete its review and the measures it will implement so as to comply with 10 CFR 50.9 in future submittals to the NRC.”

Background:

- On July 14, 2016, the petitioners filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On July 19, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition and offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On August 2, 2016, the petitioner addressed the PRB by teleconference.
- On August 12 and 23, 2016, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation, which was to accept the petition for review.
- On September 13, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner of the initial recommendation to accept the petition and offered the petitioner a second opportunity to address the PRB.
- On November 9, 2016, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML16285A220) to the petitioner accepting the petition for review.
- On March 15, 2017, the NRC issued a proposed DD (ADAMS Accession No. ML17031A266).
- On March 27, 2017, the petitioner submitted comments on the proposed DD.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On May 12, 2017, the NRC issued a final DD (ADAMS Accession No. ML17108A590) denying the petition because the petitioner did not provide any information that substantiates the need to issue a demand for information pursuant to 10 CFR 2.204 at this time. The NRC staff determined that the agency’s needs in this case are adequately served by the use of the existing license amendment review process.

CLOSED PETITION
OEDO-16-00783 (Petition Age: 7 months)

Facility:	Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, and Byron Station, Units 1 and 2
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Samuel Miranda
Date of Petition:	November 15, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	June 23, 2017
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 23, 2017
Petition Manager:	Joel Wiebe
Case Attorney:	Sara Kirkwood

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC (1) revoke the licensee's authorizations to operate the facilities at any uprated power level; (2) impose a license condition on current operations requiring the licensee to provide an acceptable demonstration of compliance with a design requirement that requires that nuclear plants be designed to prevent certain anticipated operational occurrences from developing into more serious events; and (3) require the licensee to file a 10 CFR Part 21 report regarding its statement of no significant hazards.

Background:

- On November 15, 2016, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On December 12, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition and offered the petitioner the opportunity to address the PRB.
- On December 15, 2016, the petitioner accepted the invitation to address the PRB.
- On February 1, 2017, the petitioner addressed the PRB and supplemented his petition.
- On February 16, 2017, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on the petition to reject the petition because the petitioner raised issues that have already been the subject of NRC staff review (MD 8.11, Handbook 8.11, Part III, (C)(2)(b)). The PRB also determined that significant new information was not provided.
- On March 15, 2017, the petitioner addressed the PRB for the second time, supplementing his petition.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On June 23, 2017, the NRC issued a letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML17108A808) informing the petitioner that in accordance with MD 8.11, "Review Process for 10 CFR 2.206 Petitions," Handbook 8.11, Part III, Section C.2, the PRB rejects the petition for review under the criterion that the issues raised have already been the subject of staff review and evaluation and the petition did not present significant new information. All actions on this petition are closed.

CLOSED PETITION

CLI-15-14 (Petition Age: 24 months)

Facility:	Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Friends of the Earth
Date of Petition:	May 21, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issued:	February 28, 2017
Final DD Issued:	On April 21, 2017
Last Contact with Petitioner:	On April 21, 2017
Petition Managers:	Lisa Regner and Margaret Watford
Case Attorneys:	Emily Monteith and Shelbie Lewman

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petition was referred to the 10 CFR 2.206 process by the Commission. The petitioner claims that the NRC is allowing the licensee to operate Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant (Diablo Canyon), Units 1 and 2, outside of the plant's licensing basis with respect to the analysis of new seismic data following discovery of the Shoreline Fault in 2008.

Background:

- On May 21, 2015, the Commission referred, in part, by way of SECY-15-0028, "Pacific Gas and Electric Company (Diablo Canyon Power Plant Units 1 and 2), Petition to Intervene and Request for Hearing by Friends of the Earth," to the Office of the Executive Director for Operations for consideration under the 10 CFR 2.206 process.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through March 2016, see the January-March 2016 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML16082A332).
- On April 12, 2016, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter to the petitioner accepting the petition for review (ADAMS Accession No. ML16084A717).
- On June 21, 2016, the PRB met to review a summary of the petitioner's concerns and the preliminary resolution for the proposed DD.
- On June 21, 2016, the licensee announced that it had reached an agreement with several groups, including Friends of the Earth, to discontinue seeking license renewal and to shut down both Units by 2025.
- On July 20, 2016, the petitioner's legal representatives informed the NRC that they did not intend to withdraw the petition, despite the news of the licensee's shutting down in 2025.
- On August 18, 2016, the petitioner was informed that the petition was still under review.
- On December 1, 2016, the PRB met to discuss a proposed DD.
- On January 18, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was still under review.
- On February 28, 2017, a proposed DD was issued (ADAMS Accession No. ML17011A204).

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On April 21, 2017, the final DD was issued (ADAMS Accession No. ML17090A182) denying the requested enforcement actions. The Commission determined in CLI-15-14 that there was no basis for immediate suspension of plant operations. The PRB's review of the issues, which were referred to the 2.206 process, did not find that the continued operation of Diablo Canyon would adversely affect public health and safety. All actions on this petition are closed.

OPEN PETITION

OEDO-15-00479 (Petition Age: 24 months)

Facility:	Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	David Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists
Date of Petition:	June 24, 2015
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issued:	Not Applicable
Final DD Issued:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 8, 2017
Petition Manager:	Booma Venkataraman
Case Attorney:	Olivia Mikula

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that NRC take enforcement action to require that the current licensing basis for Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (Pilgrim) explicitly include flooding caused by local intense precipitation events or probable maximum precipitation events. The petitioner cited a letter dated March 12, 2015, from Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee), to NRC, which contained a flood re-evaluation report in response to NRC's 50.54(f) letter, dated March 12, 2012, to satisfy one of NRC's post-Fukushima mandates.

Background:

- On June 24, 2015, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- For a complete summary of NRC actions through December 2015, see the October-December 2015 10 CFR 2.206 status report (ADAMS Accession No. ML16007A313).
- On February 11, 2016, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML15356A735) accepting the petition for review.
- On April 8, June 6, and August 8, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still under review.
- On August 8, 2016, the petitioner requested clarification on the staff interim position on the flooding hazard re-evaluation submitted for Pilgrim.
- On August 16, 2016, the NRC responded to the petitioner's request for clarification by e-mail (ADAMS Accession No. ML16229A525), consistent with MD 8.11, Handbook 8.11, Part III, (H).
- On August 18, 2016, the licensee proposed commitment changes and requested deferral of actions related to beyond-design-basis external events for flooding at Pilgrim (ADAMS Accession No. ML16250A018).
- On December 6, 2016, and February 7, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still under review.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On April 10 and June 8, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still under review.
- On April 17, 2017, the NRC staff responded to the licensee's August 18, 2016, request and deferred the remaining flood assessments until December 31, 2019 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16278A313).

- The next step is to issue a proposed DD. The proposed DD will be deferred until the Commission issues a decision on a relevant rule on the mitigation of a beyond-design-basis event.

OPEN PETITION

OEDO-16-00104 (Petition Age: 17 months)

Facility:	All operating reactor licensees
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Roy Mathew, et al.
Date of Petition:	February 19, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Proposed DD Issued:	Not Applicable
Final DD Issued:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 26, 2017
Petition Manager:	Tanya Mensah
Case Attorney:	David Cylkowski

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioners requested that either (1) the NRC issue orders that require immediate corrective actions, including compensatory measures to address the operability of electric power systems in accordance with their plant Technical Specifications, and to implement plant modifications in accordance with current NRC regulatory requirements and staff guidance, or (2) issue orders to immediately shut down the nuclear power plants that are operating without addressing the significant design deficiency identified in NRC Bulletin 2012-01, "Design Vulnerability in Electric Power System" (ADAMS Accession No. ML12074A115), contending that licensees are not in compliance with their Technical Specification 3.8.1 (typical) requirements related to onsite and offsite power systems.

Background:

- On February 19, 2016, the petitioners filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On February 24, 2016, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition, and offered the petitioners an opportunity to address the PRB, which the petitioners declined.
- On March 14, 2016, the PRB met to determine whether there was a need to take immediate actions, and to make an initial recommendation on the petition.
- On March 15, 2016, the petition manager informed the petitioners of the PRB's decision to deny the request for immediate action, and the PRB's initial recommendation to accept the petition for review. The petitioners declined a second opportunity to address the PRB, on the basis that the petition contained all of the relevant facts.
- On March 21, 2016, the NRC issued a letter to the petitioners (ADAMS Accession No. ML16069A214) denying the request for immediate action, and accepting the petition.
- On January 26, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioners that the petition was still under review.
- On March 13, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioners that the target date to issue the proposed DD was September 29, 2017.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On June 26, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioners that the target date to issue the proposed DD was still September 29, 2017.
- The next step is to complete the evaluation and the proposed DD.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION
LTR-16-0297-1 (Petition Age: 13 months)

Facility:	Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Friends of the Earth, Nuclear Information and Resource Service, and Hudson River Sloop Clearwater
Date of Petition:	May 24, 2016
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 24, 2016
Petition Manager:	Richard Guzman
Case Attorney:	Christopher Hair

Issues/Actions Requested:

Citing the unexpected degradation of the reactor vessel baffle-former bolts identified during the spring 2016 refueling outage at Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit 2, the petitioners requested that the Commission take enforcement actions to (1) to prohibit the restart of Unit 2 until the Commission is satisfied that the unit can be safely restarted, and (2) order the immediate shutdown of Unit 3 so that the baffle-former bolts in that unit may be inspected.

Background:

- On May 2, 2016, the Friends of the Earth submitted a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request (2016-0457) for all NRC documentation between March 7 and May 2, 2016, concerning reactor vessel baffle-former bolts at Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3. This was an extensive request and the FOIA staff believes it will take an additional 7-8 months to provide a complete response.
- On May 24, 2016, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action directly with the Commission. The petition was subsequently referred for action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On June 3, 2016, the PRB met regarding the request for prohibiting the restart of Unit 2, and the immediate shutdown of Unit 3. On that day, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the request for immediate actions was denied because there were no immediate safety-significant concerns which would adversely impact the public's health and safety.
- On June 14, 2016, the petitioner informed the petition manager that it rejected the NRC's treating the petition under the 2.206 process, and disagreed with the denial of the request for immediate actions.
- On June 16, 2016, the petitioner filed a petition for Writ of Mandamus with the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, compelling the NRC to prevent restart of the facility until the agency issued a reasoned decision on the petition.
- On June 22, 2016, the Nuclear Information and Resource Service and the Hudson River Sloop Clearwater requested to be co-petitioners to the original petition.
- On June 23, 2016, the Writ of Mandamus was denied.
- On June 24, 2016, the staff granted the requests to become co-petitioners.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- The next step is for the petitioners to address the PRB, which the petitioners want to defer until the NRC provides a complete response to their FOIA request.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION
OEDO-17-00070 (Petition Age: 5 months)

Facility:	Multiple
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Beyond Nuclear
Date of Petition:	January 24, 2017
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 30, 2017
Petition Manager:	Merrilee Banic
Case Attorney:	Sarah Kirkwood

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC take emergency enforcement action per 10 CFR 2.206 at U.S. reactors that currently rely on potentially defective safety-related components and quality assurance documentation with anomalies supplied by AREVA-Le Creusot Forge and its subcontractor Japan Casting and Forging Corporation.

Background:

- On January 24, 2017, the petitioner filed a petition for an enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On February 2, 2017, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition and offered the petitioner the opportunity to address the PRB.
- On February 3, 2017, the petitioner requested a public meeting with the PRB.
- On February 8, 2017, the PRB met to make a decision on the emergency action request.
- On February 13, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioner that an emergency action was not warranted.
- On March 8, 2017, the petitioner addressed the PRB in a public meeting.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On April 11, 2017, the PRB met to make a decision on the petition.
- On May 19, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioner of the PRB's initial recommendation to accept the petition in part.
- On June 16, June 22, June 27, and June 30, 2017, the petitioner submitted supplements to his petition.
- The next step is for the PRB to review the supplements.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION
OEDO-17-00075 (Petition Age: 5 months)

Facility:	Multiple
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Samuel Miranda
Date of Petition:	January 25, 2017
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	May 23, 2017
Petition Manager:	Ekaterina Lenning
Case Attorney:	David Cylkowski

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC take several enforcement actions regarding multiple reactors, asserting that Westinghouse Electric Corporation (a.k.a. Toshiba) disseminated erroneous advice to its customers through its series of Nuclear Safety Advisory Letters. The petitioner further asserts that several customers adopted some of the suggestions and inserted them into their license amendment requests for power uprating authorizations and other licensing actions.

Background:

- On January 25, 2017, the petitioner filed a petition for enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On February 1, 2017, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition and offered the petitioner the opportunity to address the PRB, which he accepted.
- On March 29, 2017, the petitioner addressed the PRB.

Actions Completed This Quarter /Next Steps:

- On April 11, 2017, the PRB met to make an initial recommendation on whether to accept the petition.
- On April 13, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the PRB recommended to accept in part the petition and not take further action until an Agency position is established on the underlying technical issue associated with the petition.
- On May 23, 2017, the petitioner addressed the PRB a second time.
- The next step is for the PRB to finalize its recommendation.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION
OEDO-17-00104 (Petition Age: 5 months)

Facility:	Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, and Byron Station, Units 1 and 2
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Barry Quigley
Date of Petition:	February 8, 2017
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 2, 2017
Petition Manager:	Joel Wiebe
Case Attorney:	Emily Monteith

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC take several enforcement actions regarding his concerns with High Energy Line Breaks (HELB) outside the containment as well as Safety Conscious Work Environment (SCWE) concerns. He stated that the analysis of record (AOR) for the Main Steam Isolation Valve (MSIV) room pressurization following a HELB is deficient; corrective actions to resolve an issue in the AOR are long overdue and improperly tracked; a proposed revision to the AOR shows that the MSIV room roof slabs will be ejected by the high pressures in the MSIV rooms becoming potential missiles; and that an SCWE is not assured.

Background:

- On February 8, 2017, the petitioner filed a petition for enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On March 2, 2017, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition and offered the petitioner the opportunity to address the PRB, which he accepted.
- On March 27, 2017, the petition manager confirmed details regarding the petitioner addressing the PRB.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On April 13, 2017, the petitioner addressed the PRB.
- On May 17, 2017, the PRB met and made an initial recommendation to accept the petition for review.
- On June 2, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the petition was accepted for review.
- The next step is to issue a letter to the petitioner.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION
OEDO-17-00396-NMSS (Petition Age: 1 month)

Facility:	Pohakuloa Training Area (PTA)
Licensee Type:	Source material
Petitioner(s):	Michael Reimer
Date of Petition:	March 16, 2017
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 26, 2017
Petition Manager:	Amy Snyder
Case Attorney:	Emily Monteith

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC reconsider its position regarding the issuance of Amendment 2 to License SUC-1593, based on concerns related to environmental monitoring of licensed depleted uranium located in radiation controlled areas on the United States Army's Pohakuloa Training Area, one of the facilities licensed under License SUC-1593. Concerns focused on lack of air sampling, inappropriateness of the location and number of sediment samples, and insufficient geologic sampling procedures for sediment collection.

Background:

- On March 16, 2017, the petitioner filed a petition for enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On May 15, 2017, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition and offered the petitioner the opportunity to address the PRB.
- On June 7, 2017, the petitioner declined to address the PRB.
- On June 26, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the PRB was going to meet on his petition.
- On June 26, 2017, the petitioner supplemented his petition.
- The next step is for the PRB to review the supplement.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION

LTR-17-00189 (Petition Age: 2 months)

Facility:	Multiple
Licensee Type:	AP1000 reactors
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Saporito
Date of Petition:	May 2, 2017
DD to be Issued by:	Office of New Reactors
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 20, 2017
Petition Manager:	Manny Comar
Case Attorney:	Marcia Simon

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC revoke and/or deny any NRC license requested by the licensee to build and/or conduct license operations of the proposed Turkey Point Nuclear Units 6 and 7 because of commercial viability issues. Subsequently, the petitioner amended his petition to include all AP1000 reactors.

Background:

- On May 2, 2017, the petitioner filed a petition for enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On May 9, 2017, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition.
- On May 22, 2017, the petitioner amended his petition to include all AP1000 reactors.
- On June 20, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioner of the details of the teleconference with the PRB, which is scheduled for July 6, 2017.

OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION

LTR-17-0235-1 (Petition Age: 1 month)

Facility:	Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Gurdziel
Date of Petition:	June 11, 2017
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	June 22, 2017
Petition Manager:	Richard Guzman
Case Attorney:	David Cylkowski

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC keep Unit 3 in cold shutdown until the condition of the upper surface of the reactor vessel, including O-ring grooves, and the condition of the lower surface of the upper head is found to be identical to the as-purchased condition.

Background:

- On June 11, 2017, the petitioner filed a petition for enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On June 22, 2017, the petition manager acknowledged receipt of the petition.
- On June 22, 2017, the PRB met to determine the need for immediate action to prevent startup and to keep the plant in cold shutdown.
- On June 22, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioner of the PRB's decision that immediate actions were not warranted.
- The next step is for the PRB to hold a teleconference with the petitioner.