| NRC FOR   | H 366   |        |          | U.                   | S. NUCL   | EAR         | REGULATO | RY COM      | MISSION  |                | APPROVED B<br>EXP    | Y ONB NO.<br>IRES 5/31/ | 3150-0<br>95   | 0104                |
|---|---------|--------|----------|----------------------|---|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)   |         |        |          | <u></u>              | ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH<br>THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS.<br>FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO<br>THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH<br>(MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION,<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK<br>REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF<br>MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503. |             |          |             |          |                |                      |                         |                |                     |
| FACILITY  | Dres    | den N  | uclea    | r Power Stat         | ion,  | Uni         | t 3      |             |          | DOCKET         | 05000249             | ·                       |                | PAGE (3<br>1 OF 6   |
| TITLE (4)<br>Type B and C Leakage Limit Exceeded Due to Ineffective Corrective Actions for Past<br>Value Failures |         |        |          |                      |   |             |          |             |          |                |                      |                         |                |                     |
| EVEN  | T DATE  | (5)    |          |                      | 5)  | -           | REPO     | RT DAT      | F (7)    | l .            | OTHER FACIL          | ITIES INV               | N VED          | (8)                 |
| MONTH   | DAY     | YEAR   | YEAR     | SEQUENTIAL<br>NUMBER | REVIS   | SION<br>BER | MONTH    | DAY         | YEAR     | FACILI<br>None | Y NAME               |                         | DOCKE          | T NUMBER            |
| 05  | 29      | 95     | 95       | 011                  | - 0:  | 1           | 10       | 31          | 95       | FACILI         | Y NAME               |                         | DOCKE          | T NUMBER            |
| OPERA1  | TING    | N      | THIS R   | REPORT IS SUBMIT     | ED PURS   | UANT        | TO THE   | REQUIR      | REMENTS  | OF 10 CI       | R §: (Check          | one or mor              | e) (11         | 71(b)               |
| HOUL  | (7)     |        | 20.      | 2201(0)              |   |             | 20.2203  |             |          |                | 50.73(a)(2)(         | 111)<br>111)            | 77             | 71(0)               |
| POWE  | ER      | 000    | 20.      | 2203(8)(1)           |   | +           | 20.2203  | (a)(5)      |          |                |                      | ./ (()                  |                |                     |
|   | (10)    |        |          | .2203(8)(2)(1)       | ···-  | +           |          |             |          |                | 50.75(a)(2)(         | v)                      | 0              | #EK<br>14., 1       |
|   |         |        | 20.      | 2203(8)(2)(11)       |   |             | 50.30(0  | <u>)(1)</u> |          | Abstract be    |                      |                         | act below      |                     |
|   |         |        | 20.      | .2203(8)(2)(111)     |   | <b>.</b> .  | 50.36(c  | )(2)        |          |                | 50.73(a)(2)(         | V111)(A)                | and in Text,   |                     |
|   |         |        | 20.      | .2203(a)(2)(1v)      |   | X           | 50.73(a  | )(2)(1      |          |                | 50.73(a)(2)(         | V111)(B)                | NRC Form 366A) |                     |
|   |         |        | 20.      | .2203(8)(2)(V)       |   | X           | 50.73(a  | )(2)(1      | 1)       |                | 50.73(a)(2)()        | «)                      |                |                     |
|   |         |        |          |                      | LICENS  | SEE C       | ONTACT I | FOR TH      | IS LER   | (12)           |                      | 1050 (J- 1              |                |                     |
| NAME  | м. м    | cGive  | rn, Lo   | ocal Leak Ra         | te Co   | ord         | inator   | :           | Ext.     | 2526           | (81                  | тыск (Incl)<br>5) 942-  | 2920           | ea code)            |
|   |         |        | CO       | MPLETE ONE LINE      | FOR EACH  | I COM       | PONENT P | AILUR       | E DESCRI | BED IN         | THIS REPORT (1       | 3)                      |                |                     |
| CAUSE   | SYSTE   | em co  | ONPONENT | T MANUFACTURER       | REPOR<br>TO N   | RTABL       | E        |             | CAUSE    | SYSTEM         | COMPONENT            | MANUFACT                | URER           | REPORTAL<br>TO NPRE |
| X   | BJ      |        | ISV      | · C283               | Y   | es          |          |             | х        | BO             | ISV                  | C68                     | 4              | Yes                 |
| X   | во      |        | ISV      | C684                 | Y   | es          |          |             |          |                |                      |                         |                |                     |
|   |         |        | SUPPLEM  | ENTAL REPORT EXP     | ECTED (   | 14)         |          |             |          | E              | XPECTED              | MONTH                   | D              | AY YEA              |
| YES<br>(1f y  | /es, co | mplete | EXPECTE  | D SUBMISSION DAT     | E).   |             | X        | 0           |          | SL<br>D        | BMISSION<br>ATE (15) |                         |                |                     |

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

At approximately 1600 on May 29, 1995, with Unit 3 shutdown for maintenance, the performance of Dresden Technical Surveillance (DTS) 1600-01, Local Leak Rate Testing Of Primary Containment Isolation Valves, identified the High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI) System Turbine Exhaust to Suppression Pool Check Valve 3-2301-45 to be leaking more than the test equipment could measure. When the valve's leakage was added to the existing maximum pathway leakage rate, the Technical Specification maximum pathway leakage rate limit for Type B and C primary containment leakage was exceeded. The safety significance of the leakage past the 3-2301-45 was considered to be minimal since the additional minimum pathway leakage out of containment was 14.39 scfh from the inboard isolation Stop Check Valve 3-2301-74 (LLRT performed on 9/2/94) and would not cause the maximum off-site dose rates established in 10 CFR 100 to be exceeded. The check valve was removed, inspected, replaced and Local Leak Rate Tested prior to unit start-up. This supplement is submitted to report the reason for D3F18 valve LLRT failures and the corrective actions taken.

9511030151 951031 PDR ADUCK 05000249 S PDR L:\8360\8301\249\180\95\011.R01

| •                       |   |                   |                         |   |                    |         |  |  |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------|---------|--|--|
| NRC FORM 366A<br>(5-92) | NRC FORM 366A<br>(5-92)<br>LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)<br>TEXT CONTINUATION |                   |                         | APPROVED BY ONB NO. 3150-0104<br>EXPIRES 5/31/95  |                    |         |  |  |
|                         |   |                   |                         | ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WIT<br>THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS<br>FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE T<br>THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANG<br>(MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWOF<br>REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE (<br>MANAGEMENT AND BURDET WASHINGTON DC 20503 |                    |         |  |  |
|                         | FACILITY NAME (1)   | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3) |   |                    |         |  |  |
| Dreeden Nu              | clear Power Station, Unit 3   | 05000040          | YEAR                    | SEQUENTIAL<br>NUMBER  | REVISION<br>NUMBER | 2.011.6 |  |  |
| Dresden Nuc             |   | 03000249          | 95                      | 011   | 01                 | 201.0   |  |  |

EVENT IDENTIFICATION:

Type B and C Leakage Limit Exceeded Due to Ineffective Corrective Actions for Past Valve Failures

A. PLANT CONDITIONS PRIOR TO EVENT:

Unit: 3Event Date: 05/29/95Event Time: 1600 hrs.Reactor Mode: NMode Name: ShutdownPower Level: 0%Reactor Coolant System Pressure: 0 psig

B. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

At approximately 1600, on May 29, 1995 with Unit 3 shutdown for maintenance, the performance of Dresden Technical Surveillance (DTS) 1600-01, Local Leak Rate Testing Of Primary Containment Isolation Valves, identified the High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI) System [BJ] Turbine Exhaust to Suppression Pool Check Valve 3-2301-45 to be leaking more than the test equipment could measure. When the valve's leakage was added to the existing maximum pathway leakage rate, the maximum pathway leakage rate limit for Type B and C primary containment leakage, 488.452 scfh (0.6L<sub>a</sub>), as listed in Technical Specification 3.7.A.2.b.(2)(a) was exceeded.

This supplement is provided to report the reason for D3F18 valve LLRT failures and the corrective actions taken (NTS #249-180-95-01102)

To verify that corrective actions previously undertaken to prevent HPCI check valve LLRT failures were sufficient, leak rate tests were to be performed on an accelerated schedule of every six months. This first six month leak rate test resulted in an LLRT failure of the 3-2301-45 valve.

The Unit Supervisor was notified of the event and a Performance Improvement Form (PIF) was written to report a condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

The 3-2301-45 valve was replaced after re-installing the original-installed closing springs (109 in.-lbs). The as-left Local Leak Rate Test yielded a leakage rate of 0.10 scfh (NTS #249-180-95-01101). Testing with acoustics and pressure sensors was performed on the 3-2301-45 valve to determine the actual condition during the start-up HPCI runs after Maintenance Outage D3F18. Monitoring will also be performed during the next HPCI run. Evaluation of the results will determine future actions.

Unrelated local leak rate testing identified two additional valves that were leaking more than the test equipment could measure. The Low Pressure Coolant Injection (LPCI) System [BO] Loop I Drywell Spray Outboard Isolation Valve 3-1501-27A and Inboard Isolation Valve 3-1501-28A were found to have an indeterminate amount of leakage.

The 3-1501-27A valve was disassembled and inspected. Piping corrosion products were found to have scratched the valve disk and seating surfaces. These seating surfaces were cleaned and polished. Local leak rate testing of the spray volume

| NRC FORM 366<br>(5-92)                           | FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION<br>2) |                   |  | APPROVED BY C<br>EXPIRE  | MB NO. 315<br>S 5/31/95  | 0-0104  |
|--|--|-------------------|--|--|--|---|
| LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)<br>TEXT CONTINUATION |  |                   | ESTINAT<br>THIS I<br>FORWARD<br>THE IN<br>(MNBB<br>WASHING<br>REDUCTI<br>MANAGEN | TED BURDEN PER<br>NFORMATION COLLI<br>D COMMENTS REGA<br>FORMATION AND I<br>7714), U.S. NUCLI<br>STON, DC 20555-0<br>ION PROJECT<br>4ENT AND BUDGET. | RESPONSE<br>ECTION REQ<br>RDING BURD<br>RECORDS MA<br>EAR REGULAI<br>(3150-0104)<br>WASHINGTON | TO COMPLY WITH<br>UEST: 50.0 HRS<br>IEN ESTIMATE TO<br>NAGEMENT BRANCH<br>(ORY COMMISSION,<br>0 THE PAPERWORK<br>0, OFFICE OF<br>1, DC 20503. |
|  | FACILITY NAME (1)                                  | DOCKET NUMBER (2) |  | LER NUMBER (6  | )  | PAGE (3)  |
|  |  | 05000240          | YEAR   | SEQUENTIAL<br>NUMBER   | REVISION<br>NUMBER   | 2.017.6   |
| Dreaden N  | uclear Power Station, Unit S                       | 05000249          | 95   | 011  | 01   | J UF 6  |

still yielded a leakage of approximately 300 scfh. The 3-1501-28A valve was then disassembled and inspected. Piping corrosion products were found to have scratched the valve disk and seats. These seating surfaces were also cleaned and polished. An as-left LLRT yielded a satisfactory leakage rate of 0.10 scfh.

Valve 2301-45 reliability was reviewed and approved by the Plant Operations Review Committee on August 31, 1995 (NTS #249-180-95-01103)

C. CAUSE OF EVENT:

This LER is being submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i) which requires the reporting of any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

This LER is also submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii) which requires reporting any event or condition that resulted in the condition of the nuclear power plant, including its principal safety barriers, being seriously degraded.

The cause of previous LLRT failures for the 2(3)-2301-45 check valve was that the two disks of the check valve would periodically cycle until damage to the seating surface resulted. The disks would cycle (not remain full open) during Operating Department Surveillances due to the inadequate exhaust flow from the HPCI turbine. This cycling would occur mostly during low HPCI turbine speeds.

Two actions were implemented to reduce the disk cycling. First, the closing springs of the C & S Valve Co. dual disk check valve were replaced with ones having a torque rating of 35 in.-lbs. The original-supplied closing springs had a torque rating of 109 in.-lbs. The purpose of this change was to allow the disks to fully open during low speed HPCI turbine operation. By reducing the closing spring torque, the amount of turbine exhaust flow required to maintain the disks in a full open position was reduced. Secondly, the duration of low speed HPCI turbine operation was reduced. This was performed through changes to Operating Department procedures. Both of these changes reduced the check valve disk cycling.

When the 3-2301-45 valve was removed from the system, the inspection revealed the seats to be in good condition. The inspection also indicated that the disks would not go fully closed. As the disks were released from the full open position, the disks would stop midcycle leaving a gap as large as one inch between the seat and the disks. This gap is large enough so that flow through a 3/4" LLRT test tap would pass through the gap and not provide adequate force to fully close the disks thus resulting in a condition where LLRT test equipment could not measure this leakage rate. The manufacturer, C & S Valve Co., was contacted for assistance and concurred that the disks would not go fully closed with relatively low reverse flow (3/4" test tap versus 24" check valve) and low closing spring torque (35 in.-lbs.).

Therefore, the root cause of the failure of the 3-2301-45 valve on May 29, 1995, was ineffective corrective actions implemented from a previous LLRT failure.

The cause of the scratched seating surfaces of the LPCI Drywell Spray Valves 3-1501-27A and 3-1501-28A was due to piping corrosion products present on seating surfaces when the valve is stroked. Operating Department procedures keep the piping volume between the spray valves drained of water. This

| NRC FORM 366A<br>(5-92) | U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION              |                   |  | APPROVED BY ONB NO. 3150-0104<br>EXPIRES 5/31/95   |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                         | LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LEI<br>TEXT CONTINUATION | R)                | ESTIMAT<br>THIS I<br>FORWARD<br>THE IN<br>(MNBB 7<br>WASHING<br>REDUCTI<br>MANAGEM | TED BURDEN PER<br>NFORMATION COLLI<br>COMMENTS REGA<br>Formation and 1<br>714), U.S. Nucli<br>Ton, DC 20555-0<br>ON PROJECT<br>ION PROJECT | RESPONSE<br>ECTION REQ<br>RDING BURE<br>RECORDS MA<br>EAR REGULAT<br>001, AND T<br>(3150-0104)<br>WASHINGTON | TO COMPLY WITH<br>UEST: 50.0 HRS.<br>DEN ESTIMATE TO<br>NAGEMENT BRANCH<br>FORY COMMISSION,<br>0 THE PAPERWORK<br>0, OFFICE OF<br>1, DC 20503. |  |
|                         | FACILITY NAME (1)                               | DOCKET NUMBER (2) |  | LER NUMBER (6  | )  | PAGE (3)   |  |
|                         |   | 05000240          | YEAR   | SEQUENTIAL<br>NUMBER   | REVISION<br>NUMBER   | 4.07.0   |  |
| Dresden Nu              | Iclear rower Station, Unit S                    | 05000249          | 95   | 011  | 01   | 4 01 0   |  |

condition is leading to increased corrosion of the piping and subsequently these corrosion products are ending up on valve seating surfaces. These Crane solid wedge gate valves have a seating surface material which is more susceptible to being scratched than stellite. In addition, the valve team has increased the torque setting of the valves by a factor of two in response to Generic Letter 89-10 concerns. Increased corrosion products on seating surfaces when the valve is stroked with a greater force has led the scratched seating surfaces.

## D. SAFETY ANALYSIS:

The safety significance of the leakage past the 3-2301-45 was considered to be minimal since the minimum pathway leakage through this containment penetration was 14.39 scfh past the inboard isolation Stop Check Valve 3-2301-74 (LLRT performed on 9/2/94) and would not have caused the maximum off-site dose rates established in 10 CFR 100 to be exceeded.

The safety significance of the leakage past the 3-1501-27A and 3-1501-28A was considered to be minimal since the minimum pathway leakage through the containment penetration was at most 300 scfh past the inboard isolation valve 3-1501-28A and would not have caused the maximum off-site dose rates established in 10 CFR 100 to be exceeded.

Additional Unit 3 Primary Containment Isolation Valves experienced leakage, therefore, a review of total containment leakage was performed. This leakage included leakage from the containment liner, containment head, downcomer, suppression pool, welds, valves, penetrations, piping and instrumentation. Two of these valves were Anchor Darling dual disk gate valves installed in the Main Steam Line Drains (MSLD) (Ref. LER/Docket Number 95-007/0500249). Assuming the worst case break of the Main Steam Line Drain pipe in the Turbine Building, preliminary calculations using Station Off-site Dose Calculation Manual software for Control Room Operator dose, Exclusion Area Boundary (EAB) dose, and Low Population Zone (LPZ) dose were performed based on minimum pathway leakage from the Dresden Unit 3 Primary Containment. Under worst case conditions, dose limits for Control Room Operators established by General Design Criteria (GDC) 19 of Appendix A to 10 CFR 50 as well as EAB and LPZ dose limits established by 10 CFR 100 would have been exceeded during the Design Basis Accident.

However, the purpose of the Main Steam Line Drains is to collect moisture in the Main Steam Lines and direct it to the Main Condenser. Hence, this line exits the containment, traverses the Reactor Building, enters the Turbine Building (i.e. exits Secondary Containment which is filtered by the Standby Gas Treatment System) ultimately ending up at the Main Condenser. This non-safety related line is not expected to break during the Design Basis Accident and leakage past the MSLD valves is expected to get held up/contained in the Main Steam Lines and Main Condenser. Additional evaluations are being performed to refine the dose rate calculations to determine whether or not either GDC 19 limits or 10 CFR 100 limits would have been exceeded. This event is significant due to the potential for release of radioactivity to the public due to total containment leakage, however, the safety significance of the leakage from Primary Containment is considered to be minimal because there was no challenge to containment and no release.

| NRC FORM 366A (5-92)                  | U.S. NUCLEAR R                                   | EGULATORY CONNISSION |                         | APPROVED BY (<br>EXPIRE  | MB NO. 315<br>S 5/31/95 | 0-0104   |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------|--|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)<br>TEXT CONTINUATION |                      |                         | ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH<br>THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS.<br>FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO<br>THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH<br>(MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION,<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK<br>REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF<br>MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. WASHINGTON, DC 2053. |                         |          |  |
|                                       | FACILITY NAME (1)                                | DOCKET NUMBER (2)    | LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3) |  |                         | PAGE (3) |  |
| Dradon Nu                             | uclear Power Station, Unit 3                     | 05000240             | YEAR                    | SEQUENTIAL<br>NUMBER   | REVISION<br>NUMBER      | 5.07.6   |  |
| Dresden Nu                            |  | 05000249             | 95                      | 011  | 01                      | 5 OF 6   |  |

## E. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

Monitoring of the High Pressure Coolant Injection System Turbine Exhaust to Suppression Pool Check Valve, 3-2301-45, will also be performed during the next HPCI run. Evaluation of the results will determine if additional actions are required. (NTS #249-180-95-01104)

Local Leak Rate Testing of the 3-2301-45 valve will continue to be performed on an accelerated interval. An LLRT is performed after six months if the unit were to shutdown or a HPCI Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) of greater than three days is entered for any reason other than differential pressure testing.

Other utilities were contacted in an effort to investigate the possibility of a new design for this check valve. Utilities with a lift-type check valve in this application experienced the least amount of failures. Replacing the current dual disk check valve, 3-2301-45, with a lift-type check valve is being evaluated. (NTS #249-180-95-01105)

Evaluation of valve design for the Low Pressure Coolant Injection System Drywell Spray Outboard (3-1501-27A) and Inboard (3-1501-28A) valve is ongoing. (NTS #249-180-95-01106)

A review of system operation coupled with procedure changes will be performed to ensure that the piping between valves 2(3)-1501-27A(B) and 2(3)-1501-28A(B) remains water filled to minimize pipe corrosion and to prevent corrosion products from coming in contact with the seating surfaces. (NTS #249-180-95-01107)

Additional evaluations are being performed to refine the dose rate calculations to determine whether or not either GDC 19 limits or 10 CFR 100 limits would have been exceeded. (NTS #249-180-95-01108)

## F. PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES:

| LER/Docket Numbers | Title  |
|--------------------|--|
| 94-022/0500237     | Type B and C Leakage Limit Exceeded Due to Worn Seating<br>Surface of HPCI Check Valve                             |
| 91-007/0500249     | Type B and C Containment Local Leak Rate Testing Limit<br>Exceeded Due to HPCI Turbine Exhaust Check Valve Leakage |
| 89-009/0500249     | Local Leak Rate Testing "As Found" limit Exceeded Due to<br>leakage From Primary Containment Valves                |

| • •                     |  |                   |      | J   |                    |          |  |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------|------|---|--------------------|----------|--|
| NRC FORM 366A<br>(5-92) | U.S. MUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION               |                   |      | N APPROVED BY ONB NO. 3150-0104<br>EXPIRES 5/31/95  |                    |          |  |
| · · ·                   | LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)<br>TEXT CONTINUATION |                   |      | ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH<br>THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS.<br>FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO<br>THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH<br>(MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION,<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK<br>REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF<br>MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. WASHINGTON. DC 20503. |                    |          |  |
|                         | FACILITY NAME (1)                                | DOCKET NUMBER (2) |      | LER NUMBER (6)  | )                  | PAGE (3) |  |
| Droeden Nu              | clear Power Station, Unit 3                      | 05000240          | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL<br>NUMBER  | REVISION<br>NUMBER | C OF C   |  |
| Dresden Nu              |  | 05000249          | 95   | 011   | 01                 | 0 10 0   |  |

G. COMPONENT FAILURE DATA:

| Manufacturer    | Nomenclature   | Model Number | Mfg. Part Number |
|-----------------|--|--------------|------------------|
| C & S Valve Co. | HPCI Turbine Exhaust<br>to Suppression Pool<br>Check Valve 2-2301-45 | N/A          | N/A              |

An industry-wide data base search revealed seventeen corrective maintenance entries for C & S Valve Co. check valves. Six failures were due to corrosion of valve internals and ten failures were due to worn seating surfaces.

| Crane Valve Co. | LPCI Loop I Drywell<br>Spray Outboard<br>Isolation Valve<br>3-1501-27A | 33-1/2XR | N/A |
|-----------------|--|----------|-----|
| Crane Valve Co. | LPCI Loop I Drywell<br>Spray Inboard<br>Isolation Valve<br>3-1501-28A  | 33-1/2XR | N/A |

An industry-wide search revealed four corrective maintenance entries for Crane Valve Co. valves. None of the failures were due to scratches on valve seats.