Commonwealth Edison Company Dresden Generating Station 6500 North Dresden Road Morris, II, 60450 Tel 815-942-2920

ComEd

April 17, 1995

TPJLTR 95-0045

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Licensee Event Report 95-010, Docket 50-237 is being submitted as required by Technical Specification 6.6, NUREG 1022 and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

Sincerely

Thomas P. Joyce

Site Vice President

TPJ/:pt

Enclosure

cc: J. Martin, Regional Administrator, Region III

NRC Resident Inspector's Office

File/NRC

File/Numerical

TPJ95\0045.95

9504240139 950412 PDR ADDCK 05000237 PDR PDR

A Unicom Company

JE22 1

NAC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO (5-92)						IISSION	APPROVED BY ONB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95								
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.							
							DOCKET	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 05000237				PAGE (3) 1 OF 3			
TITLE (TITLE (4) Technical Specification Requirement 6.12.2 Not Implemented Into Station Activities Due to Maximum Allowable Stay Time Not Specified on RWP														
EVEI	IT DATE	(5)			LER NUMBER (6))	REPO	RT DATE	(7)	OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)					
MONTH	DAY	YEAR		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILI' None	TY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER			
03	22	95		95	010	00	04	12	95	FACILI	TY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER			
OPERATING THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENT								OF 10 C							
MODE (9)		N		20.	.2201(Ь) ′			20.2203(a)(3)(i)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)		7.	3.71(b)
POL	200		20.	.2203(a)(1)	20.2203(a)(3)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(iv)	7.	3.71(c)		
LEVEL	(10)	000 20		20.	2203(a)(2)(i)	20.2203(a)(4)				50.73(a)(2)(X OTHER				
			20.	2203(a)(2)(ii)		50.36(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)			(Specify in Abstract below and in Text,			
			20.	2203(a)(2)(iii)	03(a)(2)(iii)		50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)					
			20.	2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(i)				50.73(a)(2)(NRC Form 366A)				
			20.	2203(a)(2)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(
	LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)														
NAME							TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)					ode)			
Patrick D. Quealy Ext.							2492	2492 (815) 942-2920							
				CO	PLETE ONE LINE FO	OR EACH COM	PONENT F	AILURE	DESCR	BED IN	THIS REPORT (1	3)			
CAUSE SYSTE		EM	COMPONENT		MANUFACTURER	REPORTABL TO NPRDS		C,	AUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC	TURER REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		
									·						
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)							EXPECTED MONT			D	AY	YEAR			
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).						SUBMISSION DATE (15)									

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

This LER is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). With the increased focus on procedural adherence at Dresden Station, a Radiation Protection Technician was performing a review of DAP 12-4 in order to ensure that the procedure was workable per DAP 9-13. The Radiation Protection Technician informed her supervisor that the Department was not in procedural adherence with DAP 12-4 and Technical Specification 6.12.2. The requirement not being met was the specification of the maximum allowable stay time being stated in the RWP for areas with dose rates greater than 1000 mrem/hour (locked high rad areas). The stay time requirement was interpreted as being met by the use of the electronic dosimeters(ED), which are issued for each entry into the high radiation areas.

The safety significance of this event is minimal. The electronic dosimeters issued for entry into the high radiation areas perform the radiological monitoring function for the individuals. The time in the area is controlled via the alarm set point of the ED.

In order to meet this requirement a Radiation Protection Department policy RWP-02 was written specifying how to calculate a maximum allowable stay time. This calculation is being performed for each work group entering a locked high rad area.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. MUCLEAR RI	EGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY ONB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95						
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LI TEXT CONTINUATION	ER)	THIS I FORWAR THE IN (MNBB WASHIN REDUCT	TED BURDEN PER INFORMATION COLLED COMMENTS REGARMFORMATION AND R7714), U.S. NUCLE GTON, DC 20555-01 ON PROJECT (MENT AND BUDGET,	UEST: 50.0 HRS. DEN ESTIMATE TO NAGEMENT BRANCH FORY COMMISSION, O THE PAPERWORK O, OFFICE OF				
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)				
Droadon Nuc	Non Bourn Shaking Unit 2	05000337	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 07 2			
bresden Nuc	uclear Power Station, Unit 2	05000237	95	010	00	2 OF 3			

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

EVENT IDENTIFICATION:

Technical Specification Requirement 6.12.2 Not Implemented Into Station Activities Due to Maximum Allowable Stay Time Not Specified on RWP

. PLANT CONDITIONS PRIOR TO EVENT:

Unit: 2

Event Date: 03/22/95

Event Time: 1530

Reactor Mode: Refuel

Mode Name: Refuel

Power Level: 0

Reactor Coolant System Pressure:

B. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

This LER is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

While reviewing procedure DAP 12-04, Control of Access to High Radiation Areas and Very High Radiation Areas, a Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) determined that, the R.P. Department, was not in compliance with this procedure. During the investigation into this issue it was determined that the maximum allowable stay times were a Technical Specification requirement. This was identified in the Dresden Technical Specification section 6.12, High Radiation Area, subsection 2 (6.12.2)

The Technical Specification 6.12.2 states "...Doors shall remain locked except during periods of access by personnel under an approved RWP which shall specify the dose rate levels in the immediate work area and the maximum allowable stay time for individuals in that area."

Procedure DAP 12-4 Step E.2. states "...all areas >1rem/hour (including >15 rem/hour areas) shall remain locked except during periods of authorized entry. Entry shall be controlled by an RWP that specifies dose rates in the work area and maximum allowable stay time."

The requirement for stay times was put into DAP 12-4 under Revision 18, which was effective 4/19/93. The requirement was interpreted by the Radiation Protection Department as being met by the use of the electronic dosimeters, which are issued for each entry into the high radiation areas. Access control and the ED would determine the stay time based on when you reached the ED alarm set point. Upon review of the Technical Specification and the interpretation, it was determined to be unacceptable because the procedure and the Technical Specification specifically state that the stay time shall be in the RWP.

A Radiation Protection Department policy was written to provide guidance to the supervisors on the calculation of the maximum allowable stay time. This stay time is then documented on a RWP Information Sheet and Stay Time Log and placed in the RWP.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR R	APPROVED BY CMB MO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95					
	SEE EVENT REPORT (LIFEXT CONTINUATION	ER)	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MMBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.				
FACIL	ITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)			
Dresden Nuclear Po	ower Station, Unit 2	05000237	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3 OF 3	

95

010

00

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

C. CAUSE OF EVENT:

This LER is being submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) which requires that within thirty days after the discovery of the event, the licensee shall report...any operation or condition prohitited by the plant's Technical Specifications. The inappropriate action which occurred was the incorrect interpretation of a Technical Specification and procedural requirement.

The failure mode which allowed this event to occur was that the change in the procedure was put in place without proper understanding of the Technical Specification requirement. It was believed that the ED met the procedural and Technical Specification requirements.

D. SAFETY ANALYSIS:

The safety significance of this event is minimal. The electronic dosimeters issued for entry into the high radiation areas perform the radiological monitoring function for the individuals. The time in the area is controlled via the alarm set point of the ED which is set to alarm at eighty percent of the allowable dose. This alarm would correlate to approximately eighty percent of the maximum allowable stay time.

E. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

A Radiation Protection Department policy was written to provide guidance to the supervisors on the calculation of the maximum allowable stay time. This stay time is then documented on a RWP Information Sheet and Stay Time Log and placed in the RWP.

Evaluating Technical Specification section 6.12.2 for possible revision.

A better method of informing the department of procedural changes is being determined.

F. PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES:

None

G. COMPONENT FAILURE DATA:

None