



Commonwealth Edison  
1400 Opus Place  
Downers Grove, Illinois 60515

April 6, 1994

Mr. William T. Russell, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Attn: Document Control Desk

Subject: Dresden Nuclear Power Station Units 2 and 3  
Supplemental Information Regarding Revision 3 of the Third  
Ten-Year Inspection Interval Inservice Inspection (ISI) Plan  
NRC Docket Nos. 50-237 and 50-249

Reference: (a) P. Piet letter to T. Murley, dated February 24, 1994.

Dear Mr. Russell:

In Reference (a), Commonwealth Edison (CECo) transmitted Revision 3 of the Dresden Third Ten-Year Interval Inservice Inspection (ISI) Plan. Subsequent to this transmittal, CECo has identified a typographical error in Relief Request CR-17, which was included as pages 12-43 and 12-44 in Attachment 2 of the Reference (a) transmittal. Both in the "Basis for Relief" and "Proposed Alternate Examination" sections of Relief Request CR-17, Revision 0 (page 12-44), reference is made to Code Case N-525. This is a typographical error. The correct reference is **Code Case N-524**. Attached to this letter is a new page 12-44, Revision 0, in which this error has been corrected. Please insert this page into Revision 3 of the Dresden Station Third Ten-Year Inspection Interval ISI Plan and discard the page provided in the reference (a) document. We regret any inconvenience this may have caused your staff.

If there are any questions concerning this matter, please contact this office.

Respectfully,

Peter L. Piet

Nuclear Licensing Administrator

Attachment

cc: J. B. Martin, Regional Administrator - RIII  
J. F. Stang, Project Manager - NRR  
M. N. Leach, Senior Resident Inspector - Dresden  
P. Fisher, Regional Manager Codes & Standards, Hartford Steam Boiler Inspection  
and Insurance Co.  
L. Sage, Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety

k:\nla\dresden\isireliefs\isi3\_rev.wpft1

9404120278 940406  
PDR ADDCK 05000237  
Q PDR

A047  
11

**RELIEF REQUEST NUMBER: CR-17**  
(Page 2 of 2)

**BASIS FOR RELIEF** (Con't)

On the basis of the above information, the additional costs and man-rem exposure associated with the incremental inspection of such welds, in association with circumferential butt weld inspections as currently required by Section XI, are not technically warranted. The ASME Code has recognized this fact and has recently published Code Case N-524 to allow alternate examination coverage of longitudinal piping welds.

Based on the above, Dresden Station requests relief from the current ASME Section XI requirements for examination coverage of longitudinal piping welds as specified in Tables IWB-2500-1 and IWC-2500-1.

**PROPOSED ALTERNATE EXAMINATION**

As an alternate to the existing Section XI requirements, Dresden Station will adopt the provisions of Code Case N-524 for the examination of Class 1 and Class 2 longitudinal piping welds.

**APPLICABLE TIME PERIOD**

Relief is requested for the third ten-year interval of the Inservice Inspection Program for Dresden Units 2 and 3, which concludes on March 1, 2002.