

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Commonwealth Edison Company
Dresden Nuclear Power Station

Docket Nos. 50-237, 50-249
License Nos. DPR-19, DPR-25

During an NRC inspection conducted on January 13, through March 2, 1992, two violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, (1991), the violations are listed below:

1. 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Actions," states in part, that measures shall be established to assure that conditions adverse to quality are promptly identified and corrected. In the case of significant conditions adverse to quality, the measures shall assure that the cause of the condition is determined and corrective action taken to preclude repetition.

Contrary to the above:

- a. Corrective actions to a condition adverse to quality occurring on July 8, 1991, a non-qualified instrument maintenance mechanic performing a surveillance without direct supervision from the foreman, failed to preclude repetition of a non-qualified instrument mechanic performing a surveillance without direct supervision on February 4, 1992.
- b. Corrective actions to a condition adverse to quality occurring on December 8, 1990, an unplanned Unit 2 Group II isolation, failed to preclude repetition of an unplanned Unit 3 Group II isolation on January 15, 1992, due to the same cause.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

2. Technical Specification 3.1.A and Table 3.1.1 requires a minimum of three operable intermediate range monitors operable in each reactor protection trip channel while in Refuel Mode.

Contrary to the above, on February 2, through February 3, 1992, only two intermediate range monitors were operable in reactor protection trip channel B while Unit 2 was in Refuel Mode.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Commonwealth Edison is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington D.C. 20555 with a copy to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III, 799 Roosevelt Road, Glen Ellyn, Illinois, 60137, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the

corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Glen Ellyn, Illinois
this ___ day of March, 1992



Edward G. Greenman, Director,
Division of Reactor Projects