

|                                    |
|------------------------------------|
| <b>As of:</b> 6/23/17 9:29 AM      |
| <b>Received:</b> June 22, 2017     |
| <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post        |
| <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k1-8x3u-omng  |
| <b>Comments Due:</b> June 27, 2017 |
| <b>Submission Type:</b> Web        |

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**Docket:** NRC-2015-0225

Emergency Preparedness Requirements for Small Modular Reactors

**Comment On:** NRC-2015-0225-0002

Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors and Other New Technologies: Draft Regulatory Basis for Comment

**Document:** NRC-2015-0225-DRAFT-0025

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-07502

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Ryan Stewart

---

## General Comment

I think it is a wise idea to revise the EPZ for small modular reactors. Often times SMRs contain much less fuel than a fully loaded LWR. Along with this, SMRs are typically at much lower pressures which correlates to smaller accidents occurring, which should in turn correlate to a smaller EPZ for SMRs. I would fully support the NRC examining each reactor design submitted for a unique EPZ based on the technology that is present for the specific reactor.