

ClinchRiverESPEISCEm Resource

From: Pamela Money <pamelamoney@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2017 3:55 PM
To: ClinchRiverESPEIS
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments by June 12

No nukes. Reasons I have read about:

- SMRs are extremely expensive, with no actual approved reactor designs.
- SMRs are extremely water-intensive, especially when compared to clean energy choices such as wind, solar and energy efficiency and conservation.
- SMRs are polluting – just like existing nuclear plants, SMRs produce long-lived, highly radioactive nuclear waste for which no safe management and permanent storage exists.

I think solar is safer.

Pamela DiMaria, 120 Legends Dr., Hendersonville, TN 37075

Federal Register Notice: 82FR17885
Comment Number: 30

Mail Envelope Properties (4B49193A-6A71-47F7-881F-AD33A652A863)

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments by June 12
Sent Date: 6/8/2017 3:54:36 PM
Received Date: 6/8/2017 3:54:39 PM
From: Pamela Money

Created By: pamelamoney@aol.com

Recipients:

Post Office: aol.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	533	6/8/2017 3:54:39 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: