Intercontant       Line NUCLEAR REGILATORY CONSISTENT       Approximation         ILCENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)       Intercontant solution       Intercontant solution         Viscourie       ILCENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)       Intercontant solution         Viscourie       Intercontant solution       Intercontant solution         Suscipitahanna Steam Electric Station - Unit 1       Intercontant solution       Intercontant solution         Suscipitahanna Steam Electric Station - Unit 1       Intercontant solution       Intercontant solution         NUMM       Intercontant solution       Intercontant solution       Intercontant solution         NUMM       Intercontant solution       Intercontant solution       Intercontant solution         OPECATY Solution       Intercontant solution       Intercontant solution<		•																	
Construction       Description       Product Number of the specification starting of the specification star	(6-89) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)										EXPRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U7.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.								
TITLE 0         TITLE 0         Colspan="2">Colspan="2"         Colspan="2"          Colspan="2" <th <="" colspan="2" td=""><td>FACILITY SUS</td><td>NAME (1) quehar</td><td>ina Steam E</td><td>ectric Station -</td><td>Unit 1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>роск</td><td>ET NUMBER</td><td>(2)</td><td>3 8 7 1</td><td>PAGE (3) OF 0 4</td></th>	<td>FACILITY SUS</td> <td>NAME (1) quehar</td> <td>ina Steam E</td> <td>ectric Station -</td> <td>Unit 1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>роск</td> <td>ET NUMBER</td> <td>(2)</td> <td>3 8 7 1</td> <td>PAGE (3) OF 0 4</td>		FACILITY SUS	NAME (1) quehar	ina Steam E	ectric Station -	Unit 1					роск	ET NUMBER	(2)	3 8 7 1	PAGE (3) OF 0 4			
Internet outer (0)       OTHER FOLLING PROVIDE (0)         INTER FOR TAXE (0)       EXAMPLE INTERPORTATION FOR TAXE (0)       OTHER FOLLING PROVIDE (0)         OTHER FOLLING PROVIDE (0)       OTHER FOLLING PROVIDE (0)       OTHER FOLLING PROVIDE (0)         OTHER FOLLING PROVIDE (0)       OTHER FOLLING PROVIDE (0)       OTHER FOLLING PROVIDE (0)         OTHER FOLLING PROVIDE (0)       OTHER FOLLING PROVIDE (0)       STATUS         OTHER FOLLING PROVIDE (0)       OTHER FOLLING PROVIDE (0)       STATUS         STATUS       STATUS         STATUS       STATUS         STATUS       STATUS         STATUS       STATUS         STATUS       STATUS         STATUS	TITLE (4)	Requ	irements for	Testing Activat	ed Carbo	n San	nples N	lot Met					·						
MONTH       DAY       TEAR	E\	ENT DATE	(5)	LER NUMBER (6)		RE	PORT DAT	E (7)		отн	ER FACILITI	ES INVOL	.VED (8)						
O   7   1   9   7   0   1   0   0   7   2   1   7   0   7   2   1   7   7   0   1   0   0   3   8   0   0   0   3   8   0   0   0   3   8   0   0   0   1   1   0   0   1   1   0   0	MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION MONTH DAY YEAR F										FACILITY NAMES DOCKET NUMBER(S)								
0       7       1       9       7       0       5       0       0       0       1         OPECNTING       INSTREPTING PURSUANT TO THE RECORDERATION TO THE RECORDERATION OF THE RECORDERATION		Susqu									Unit 2	0 5	0 0 0	3 8 8					
INSERPORT BUBILITED CHISSUMT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 1; (Decision of an advecting of the strength of the specification of the specification of the specification used of the specification used to purchase new activated carbon, it was determined that the requirements as specified by Technical Specification seed to be revised when improved industry standards for testing activated carbon was related carbon, it was determined that the Technical Specifications needed to be revised when improved industry standards for testing activated carbon was of the specification seed to be revised when improved industry standards for testing activated carbon was of the specification seed to be revised when improved industry standards for testing activated carbon was of the specification seed to be revised when improved industry standards for testing activated carbon was of the specification seed to be revised when improved industry standards for testing activated carbon was of the specification set of the specification sethere the specification set of the specification set o	0 7 1 9 9 7 9 7 0 1 3 0 0 0 7 2 1 9 7 0 5 0 0 0 1																		
Image: Internet in the second of the seco	OP	ERATING	THIS R	PORT IS SUBMITTED	PURSUANT TO	THE RE	QUIREMEN	ITS OF 10	CFR 1 ; (ch+	ck one or more of the folio	wing) (11)	-1							
Life       1       0       0       3x30x000       3x30x000       3x30x000       0x10x0000       0x10x00000       0	POWER			9.402(b) 9.405(a)(1)(b	-	20.405(c) 50.36(c)	;) (1)			50.73(a)(Z)(M) 50.73(a)(Z)(M)			73.71(b) 73.71(c)						
Image: Suppression of the second s	LEVEL	1	0 0 0	.405(a)(1)(š)	- I	50.36(c)	(7)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)				4 h					
Image: Supercontrol       Image: Supercontrol<	(10)		z	.405(a)(1)(iii)	X	50.73(a)	(2)(0			50.73(a)(2)(via)(A)		I	below and in Text, Ni 2004)	RC Form					
Image: Subsection of the specification subscreece to determine the adsorption of the specification change has been requested from the NEC. In 1996, specification shaded to be revised when improved to determine the adsorption capability of the charcoal.			2 z	.405(a)(1)(h)		50.73(a)	(ଅଭ -			50.73(1)(2)(vii)(8)			,	ı					
INTER CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)         TELEPHORE INVIGEN         COMPLETE ONE UNE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE UNE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE UNE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE UNE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE UNE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (14)         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)		20.405(s)(1)(v) 50.73(s)(2)(a) 50.7																	
Comellus T. Coddington - Senior Engineer-Licensing       7       1       7       5       4       2       -3       2       8         COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPONENT MUSICIPAL COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE AND THE PROVE COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE AND THE PROVE COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE AND THE PROVE COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPONENT MUSICIPAL COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBE IN THIS REPORT (14)         COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBE IN THIS REPORT (14)         COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBE IN THIS REPORT (14)         ADDOM TO AN THE FOR FAILURE DESCRIBE IN THIS REPORT (15)         COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBE IN THIS REPORT (14) <td <="" colspan="2" td=""><td>NAME</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>(LICENSE</td><td>EE CONTA</td><td>CT FOR TH</td><td>IS LER (12)</td><td>r</td><td></td><td>TEL</td><td>EPHONE NUMBER</td><td></td></td>	<td>NAME</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(LICENSE</td> <td>EE CONTA</td> <td>CT FOR TH</td> <td>IS LER (12)</td> <td>r</td> <td></td> <td>TEL</td> <td>EPHONE NUMBER</td> <td></td>		NAME					(LICENSE	EE CONTA	CT FOR TH	IS LER (12)	r		TEL	EPHONE NUMBER				
COMPLETE ONE UNE FOR EACH COMPONENT PAULINE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE UNE FOR EACH COMPONENT PAULINE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE UNE FOR EACH COMPONENT PAULINE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPLETE ONE UNE FOR EACH COMPONENT PAULINE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (14)         BUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         BUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         BUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         BUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         BUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         Compone Description Component EXPECTED REPORT (13)         ANTEL A COMMENT EXPECTED REPORT (13)         ALTE ON THE REPORT EXPECTED (14)         DEPRECED REPORT EXPECTED (14)         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT FEASE (2010)         ALTE ON THE REPORT EXPECTED (14)         DEPRECED REPORT (13)         COMPANY INFORMATION PROFEMENT (16)         ON THE REPORT EXPECTED REPORT         A DECA	Con	Cornelius T. Coddington - Senior Engineer-Licensing 7   1   7   5   4   2   -   3   2   8																	
CASE       SYSTEM       COMPORENT       MANAGE       RECORTAGE       CAUSE       VIEW       COMPORENT       MANAGE       RECORTAGE       RECORTAGE <td></td> <td></td> <td><u></u></td> <td>COMPLET</td> <td>E ONE LINE FO</td> <td>REACH</td> <td>COMPONE</td> <td>NT FAILUF</td> <td>E DESCRIB</td> <td>ED IN THIS REPORT</td> <td>(13) T</td> <td></td>			<u></u>	COMPLET	E ONE LINE FO	REACH	COMPONE	NT FAILUF	E DESCRIB	ED IN THIS REPORT	(13) T								
AMPTHEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)       BOUTH 1         YES (17)AL COMPANY DOTECTED SIGNESSION DATE)       X         AMPTHAT CLINE 160 DEPOLICIES SIGNESSION DATE)       X         AMPTHATE       YES (17)AL COMPANY MEMORY MEMO	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	NPONENT MANUFAC- RI TURER			REPORTABLE TO NPRDS					
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         EVENTION           YES (Fyre, compare DOPECTED SUBJECTION DATE)         X         NO           ASTRUCT Under to 1000 used. Le. Approximately different and upper discussion (ATE)         X         NO           ASTRUCT Under to 1000 used. Le. Approximately different and upper discussion (ATE)         X         NO           ASTRUCT Under to 1000 used. Le. Approximately different and upper discussion (ATE)         X         NO           ASTRUCT Under to 1000 used. Le. Approximately different and upper discussion (ATE)         X         NO           ASTRUCT Under to 1000 used. Activated to purchase new activated carbon, it was determined that the requirements as specified by Technical Specification surveillances 4.6.5.3.b.2, 4.6.5.3.c. 4.7.2.b.2, and 4.7.2.c. On June 25, 1997, it was determined that the Technical Specification requirements for testing were not being met. This constitutes a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications. Limiting Condition For Operation (LCO) 3.0.3 was entered until enforcement discretion was granted. The cause of the event was determined to be human performance in that it was not recognized that the Technical Specifications needed to be revised when improved industry standards for testing activated carbon were identified in 1987. A Technical Specification change has been provided to engineering and management personnel. An evaluation of processes, such as revising tests and standards used by PP&L, will be performed to insure consistency with licensing documents. There were no safety consequences or compromises to public health and safety as a result of this event since the test methodology used provided greater assurance to determine the adsorption capability of the																			
YES (#ym. complex EXPECTED SLEARSDOW DATE)       INITIAL CHILD ON CONTROL         AMATTACT (Untel to 1000 Uncert, Le. approximately dates hyperetteration) (16)         On June 19, 1997, at 1400, with both Unit 1 and Unit 2 in Condition 1 (Power Operation) at 100% power, during a review of the specification used to purchase new activated carbon, it was determined that the requirements for periodic testing of used activated carbon samples by laboratory analysis differed from the requirements as specified by Technical Specification surveillances 4.6.5.3.b.2, 4.6.5.3.c., 4.7.2.b.2, and 4.7.2.c. On June 25, 1997, it was determined that the Technical Specification requirements for testing were not being met. This constitutes a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications. Limiting Condition For Operation (LCO) 3.0.3 was entered until enforcement discretion was granted. The cause of the event was determined to be human performance in that it was not recognized that the Technical Specifications needed to be revised when improved industry standards for testing activated carbon were identified in 1987. A Technical Specification change has been requested from the NRC. In 1996, specific training on maintaining licensing basis documents has been provided to engineering and management personnel. An evaluation of processes, such as revising tests and standards used by PP&L, will be performed to insure consistency with licensing documents. There were no safety consequences or compromises to public health and safety as a result of this event since the test methodology used provided greater assurance to determine the adsorption capability of the charcoal.					AL REPORT E	XPECTER	) (1A)					TED		AY YEAR					
VES (Type, compare DEPECTO SUBJECT OF SUBJEC							<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	SUBMI	SSION (15)							
On June 19, 1997, at 1400, with both Unit 1 and Unit 2 in Condition 1 (Power Operation) at 100% power, during a review of the specification used to purchase new activated carbon, it was determined that the requirements fo periodic testing of used activated carbon samples by laboratory analysis differed from the requirements as specified by Technical Specification surveillances 4.6.5.3.b.2, 4.6.5.3.c, 4.7.2.b.2, and 4.7.2.c. On June 25, 1997, it was determined that the Technical Specification requirements for testing were not being met. This constitutes a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications. Limiting Condition For Operation (LCO) 3.0.3 was entered until enforcement discretion was granted. The cause of the event was determined to be human performance in that it was not recognized that the Technical Specifications needed to be revised when improved industry standards for testing activated carbon were identified in 1987. A Technical Specification change has been requested from the NRC. In 1996, specific training on maintaining licensing basis documents has been provided to engineering and management personnel. An evaluation of processes, such as revising tests and standards used by PP&L, will be performed to insure consistency with licensing documents. There were no safety consequences or compromises to public health and safety as a result of this event since the test methodology used provided greater assurance to determine the adsorption capability of the charcoal.	YES	(If yes, comp	He EXPECTED SUBMI	SSION DATE)	urther Enert (16	X	NO			·····									
9707290220 970721 PDR ADDCK 05000387 S PDR	On Ja a rev perio speci 1997 const enter perfo indus been provi stanc safet meth	On June 19, 1997, at 1400, with both Unit 1 and Unit 2 in Condition 1 (Power Operation) at 100% power, during a review of the specification used to purchase new activated carbon, it was determined that the requirements for periodic testing of used activated carbon samples by laboratory analysis differed from the requirements as specified by Technical Specification surveillances 4.6.5.3.b.2, 4.6.5.3.c, 4.7.2.b.2, and 4.7.2.c. On June 25, 1997, it was determined that the Technical Specification requirements for testing were not being met. This constitutes a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications. Limiting Condition For Operation (LCO) 3.0.3 was entered until enforcement discretion was granted. The cause of the event was determined to be human performance in that it was not recognized that the Technical Specifications needed to be revised when improved industry standards for testing activated carbon were identified in 1987. A Technical Specification change has been requested from the NRC. In 1996, specific training on maintaining licensing basis documents has been provided to engineering and management personnel. An evaluation of processes, such as revising tests and standards used by PP&L, will be performed to insure consistency with licensing documents. There were no safety consequences or compromises to public health and safety as a result of this event since the test methodology used provided greater assurance to determine the adsorption capability of the charcoal.																	
NPC FORM 368 (6.80)	NPC EO	9707290220 970721 PDR ADOCK 05000387 S PDR																	

•

						*													•	
NRC FORM 366a (6-89 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION					APPROVED OMB NO. 3159-0104 EXPIRES: 430/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS, FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 2055S, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.															
		DOCKET		60 (N)										DACE (2)						
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKE	NUME	ER (2)			⊢		1 Second		LE	RNU	MBE	н (б)	an treat				PAGE (3)	
Unit 1							YE	AR		SEQ1 NUM	UEN	TIAL				REVIS NUMB	ion Er			
							· · · ·													
Susquehanna Steam Electric Station			0 0	0   0	3 8	7	9	7	-	0		1		3	.—	0	0	2	OF	4
TEXT (If more space is	required, use additional NR	C Form 360	6A's) (1	7)				_												

## EVENT DESCRIPTION

On June 19, 1997, at 1400, with both Unit 1 and Unit 2 in Condition 1 (Power Operation) at 100% power, during a review of the specification used to purchase new activated carbon, it was determined that the requirements for periodic testing of used activated carbon samples by laboratory analysis differed from the requirements as specified by Technical Specification surveillances 4.6.5.3.b.2, 4.6.5.3.c, 4.7.2.b.2, and 4.7.2.c. On June 25, 1997, it was determined that the Technical Specification requirements for testing were not being met. This constitutes a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications. Limiting Condition For Operation (LCO) 3.0.3 was entered and enforcement discretion was requested from the NRC and granted by them.

## Background

The Technical Specifications contain Limiting Conditions For Operation (LCO) for the Standby Gas Treatment System (SGTS; EIIS Code: BH) and the Control Room Emergency Outside Air Supply System (CREOASS; EIIS Code: VI). The associated surveillance requirements require that laboratory analysis of carbon samples meet the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978.

Regulatory Position C.6.a.(3) of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2 requires that representative samples of used activated carbon pass the laboratory tests listed in Table 2 of the Regulatory Guide. In Table 2, "Laboratory Tests for Activated Carbon," the applicable test for activated carbon bed depths of 4 inches or greater is test 5.b at a relative humidity of 70% for a methyl iodide penetration of less than 0.175%. A footnote for this test requirement directs the reader to Table 5-1 of ANSI N509-1976.

Table 5-1 of ANSI N509-1976 is entitled "Summary Table of New Activated Carbon Physical Properties Batch Tests To Be Performed On Finished Adsorbents." Test 5.b (as referenced in Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2) is this table refers to a radioiodine removal efficiency qualification test for new activated carbon using methyl iodide at 80°C and 95% relative humidity. The acceptable test method is RDT-M16-1T, paragraph 4.5.3, except at 80°C and 95% relative humidity with the pre- and post-loading sweep medium at 25°C.

As a result of heightened awareness to the need for evaluating impacts to licensing documents as a result of changes to processes (e.g., testing, specifications, etc.), Pennsylvania Power and Light Company (PP&L) identified, during review of the specification used to purchase new activated carbon, that a discrepancy existed between the test procedures/conditions of the plant's Technical Specifications and those used in Surveillance Testing. PP&L had earlier determined that the vendor's testing at 30°C and 95% relative humidity in accordance with ASTM D-3803-1979 was acceptable. However, PP&L did not

NRC FORM 366a U.S. NU (6-89 LICENSEE EVENT RI TEXT CONTINU	APPROVED OMB NO. 3159-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.											
	DOCKET NUMBER (2)			 L	ER NUN	ABER (6)					PAGE (3)	
Unit 1		YEAR		SEQUE	NTIAL R			REVIS	ION ER			
Susquehanna Steam Electric Station	0 5 0 0 0 3 8 7	9 7	_	0	1	3		0	0	3	OF	4

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17).

revise the Technical Specification requirements to reflect the use of the ASTM D-3803-1979 standard in lieu of RDT-M16-1T, which was referenced as an "acceptable test method" in ANSI N509-1976, which in turn was referenced in Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2.

## CAUSE OF EVENT

The cause of the event was determined to be human performance in that it was not recognized that the Technical Specifications needed to be revised when improved industry standards for testing activated carbon were identified in 1987.

## **REPORTABILITY/ANALYSIS**

This event was determined to be reportable per 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), in that Susquehanna SES Units 1 and 2 were in a condition prohibited by the Technical Specifications in that the requirements for periodic testing of used activated carbon by laboratory analysis as specified by Technical Specification surveillances 4.6.5.3.b.2, 4.6.5.3.c, 4.7.2.b.2, and 4.7.2.c were not met. PP&L changed the standard being used for laboratory testing of used activated carbon from RDT-M-16-1T to ASTM D 3803-1979 without revising the Technical Specifications. This discrepancy was noted during a review of the purchase specification for new activated carbon.

The major difference between the testing standard in the Technical Specifications (RDT-M-16-1T and Regulatory Guide 1.52 Revision 2) and the standard used by vendor (ASTM D 3803-1979) is that the Technical Specifications required testing of the activated carbon sample at 80°C and 95% relative humidity while the tests were conducted using 30°C and 95% relative humidity. PP&L had determined that the use of ASTM D 3803-1979 was conservative.

When it was determined that the literal requirements of the Technical Specifications were not being met, LCO 3.03 was entered. Enforcement discretion from the NRC was requested and granted. NRC agreed that the ASTM D 3803-1979 methodology with a test temperature of 30°C and 95% relative humidity provides greater assurance to determine the adsorption capability of the charcoal than the test methodology required in the Technical Specifications. There were no safety consequences or compromises to public health and safety as a result of this event.

In accordance with the guidelines provided in NUREG-1022, Supplement 1, Items 14.1 and 10CFR50.4, the required submission date for this report was determined to be July 21,1997.

.

- 4

· · ·

• • • . . · • • • • •

·

								)								
NRC FORM 366a (6-89	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (5-89 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION					APPROVED OMB NO. 3159-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.										
FACILITY NAME (1)		LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)														
Unit 1		YEAR	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION													
1					NUMB	<u> </u>			110.000							
Susquehanna Ste	am Electric Station	0 5 0 0 0 3 8 7	9 7		0	1	3		0	0	4	OF	4			
CORREC	FIVE ACTIONS	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		*							-					
The following corrective actions have been taken:																
Enforce	<ul> <li>Enforcement Discretion for both Unit 1 and Unit 2 was requested by PP&amp;L and granted by NRC.</li> </ul>															
<ul> <li>A char</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A change to the Technical Specifications has been submitted to the NRC.</li> </ul>															
• In 199 up to c	6, specific train late. This traini	ing was instituted to rais ing was provided to bot	se the h Mana	awa ager	rene: nent	ss of and l	keep Engir	oing L neerii	licen ng pe	sing B ersonn	Basis [ nel.	Docum	ents			
The follow	ing corrective a	actions are being taken:														
<ul> <li>A revie licensi specifi</li> </ul>	ew of the procur ng document cl cations, etc.)	rement and design inpu hanges as a result of ch	t proce anges	esse in t	s will hose	be c proc	ompl esse	eted s (e.(	to er g., te	nsure sting r	consid netho	deratio dology	n of ′,			
<ul> <li>As parand state</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>As part of PP&amp;L's Current Licensing Basis project, an evaluation of processes, such as revising tests and standards used by PP&amp;L, will be performed to insure consistency with licensing documents.</li> </ul>											ests				
ADDITION	IAL INFORMAT	<u>rion</u>														
Past Simila	ar Events:	None									•					
Failed Con	nponent: I	None														
N																
													,			

.