

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 9503280017 DOC. DATE: 95/03/23 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # 05000388
 FACIL: 50-388 Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 2, Pennsylv
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 LLOYD, H. Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.
 STANLEY, H.G. Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

SUBJECT: LER 95-004-00: on 950223, discovered that TS fire zone not inspected during last performance of surveillance insp. Caused by improper turnover of responsibilities. Surveillance procedure changed. W/950323 ltr.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE22T COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 3
 TITLE: 50.73/50.9 Licensee Event Report (LER), Incident Rpt, etc.

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	PD1-2 PD	1 1	POSLUSNY, C	1 1
INTERNAL:	ACRS	1 1	AEOD/SPD/RAB	2 2
	AEOD/SPD/RRAB	1 1	<u>FILE CENTER</u> 02	1 1
	NRR/DE/ECGB	1 1	NRR/DE/EELB	1 1
	NRR/DE/EMEB	1 1	NRR/DISP/PIPB	1 1
	NRR/DOPS/OECB	1 1	NRR/DRCH/HHFB	1 1
	NRR/DRCH/HICB	1 1	NRR/DRCH/HOLB	1 1
	NRR/DRSS/PRPB	2 2	NRR/DSSA/SPLB	1 1
	NRR/DSSA/SRXB	1 1	RES/DSIR/EIB	1 1
	RGN1 FILE 01	1 1		
EXTERNAL:	L ST LOBBY WARD	1 1	LITCO BRYCE, J H	2 2
	NOAC MURPHY, G.A	1 1	NOAC POORE, W.	1 1
	NRC PDR	1 1	NUDOCS FULL TXT	1 1

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK, ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 504-2083) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

P
R
I
O
R
I
T
Y

1

D
O
C
U
M
E
N
T



12



Pennsylvania Power & Light Company

Two North Ninth Street • Allentown, PA 18101-1179 • 610/774-5151


March 23, 1995

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 95-004-00
PLAS-626 FILE R41-2

Docket No. 50-388
License No. NPF-22

Attached is Licensee Event Report 95-004-00. This report is being made pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications in that the required inspection of fire barriers in two fire zones were not inspected for one inspection interval.



H. G. Stanley
VP - Nuclear Operations

HL/mjm

cc: Mr. T. T. Martin
Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Ms. Maitri Banerjee
Sr. Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 35
Berwick, PA 18603-0035

250024

9503280017 950323
PDR ADOCK 05000388
S ONO

IR 22
11

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1) Susquehanna Steam Electric Station - Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 8 8 1	PAGE (3) OF 0 2
---	---	---------------------------

TITLE (4)
Two Fire Zones Not Inspected During 18 Month Surveillance Inspection

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)													
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES													
0	2	2	3	9	5	9	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

OPERATING MODE (9) 1	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 1 : (Check one or more of the following) (11)									
POWER LEVEL (10) 1 0 0	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.402(b)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(c)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(b)						
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(y)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(c)						
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(w)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(iii)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(u)(A)	OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 366A)						
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(1)(2)(u)(B)							
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(xv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(c)								

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME Harrison Lloyd, Jr. - Power Production Engineer	TELEPHONE NUMBER
	AREA CODE: 7 1 7 NUMBER: 5 4 2 - 3 9 1 7

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

YES (if yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) NO

EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)

MONTH	DAY	YEAR

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

On February 23, 1995, with Unit 2 at 100% power, it was discovered that a Technical Specification fire zone was not inspected during the last performance of the surveillance inspection. The Technical Specification action statement was entered and the required hourly fire watches were implemented. This zone is a high radiation area during power operation. On March 9, 1995, during review of this discrepancy, an additional zone which is also a high radiation area was discovered, which also was not inspected during the last surveillance. The cause of this condition was inadvertent omission of these zones from the procedure due to improper turnover when responsibility for the procedure was transferred. This condition was determined to be reportable per 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications in that required surveillances were not performed for two fire zones for one inspection interval. There were no safety consequences as a result of this event. The subject surveillance procedure was changed to include these zones and the inspections were completed. This omission is believed to be an isolated occurrence and no additional action is required.

**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION**

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1) Unit 2 Susquehanna Steam Electric Station	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 8 8	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
		9 5	- 0 0 4	- 0 0	0 2	OF 0 2

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On February 23, 1995, with Unit 2 in Condition 1 (Run) at 100% power, during performance of the Eighteen Month Fire Barrier Surveillance inspection, it was discovered that during the last performance of the surveillance (October, 1992), a Technical Specification fire zone was not inspected. The Technical Specification Limiting Condition for Operation action statement was entered and the required hourly fire watch was established. This zone is a high radiation area during power operation. On March 9, 1995, during review of this discrepancy, an additional zone which is also a high radiation area was discovered which also was not inspected during the last surveillance. The second zone (March 9th discovery) was immediately inspected thus the action statement for this condition did not require entry.

CAUSE OF EVENT

The cause of this event was improper turnover when responsibilities for this procedure were transferred to different individuals. The subject fire zones were originally included in a Maintenance Surveillance procedure but when the procedure was converted to an Engineering Surveillance procedure, these zones were removed. These zones are high radiation areas and the intent was to include them in a separate procedure for performance during refueling outages. However, responsibility to complete the revision was not communicated when this task was turned over from one individual to another. Therefore, when the surveillance was last performed, the subject zones were not included in the procedure and were therefore not inspected.

REPORTABILITY / ANALYSIS

This condition was determined to be reportable per 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition prohibited by Technical Specification in that required surveillances were not completed for fire barriers in two fire zones for one inspection interval. One of the zones is a restricted area and additional combustibles are not permitted. The barriers in these zones were inspected to the maximum extent possible from the outside wall of the zone and no discrepancies were noted. The other zone was inspected and no discrepancies were noted. In addition, these barriers received partial inspection from the other side (i.e. - outside) of the barrier during the last performance of the surveillance. Therefore, in the unlikely event of a fire, the barriers for these zones would most likely have performed their function. There were no safety consequences as a result of this event.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The Surveillance procedure was changed to include inspection of the subject zones and the inspections were performed. The Unit 1 and Unit 0 (Common) procedures were reviewed and no discrepancies were noted. This omission is believed to be an isolated case and no additional action is required.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Failed Component Identification: None

Previous Similar Events: Although there have been previous events involving missed surveillances on fire barriers, there were none caused by inadvertent omissions due to inadequate communication and turn over.