

REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

3/4.1.4 CONTROL ROD PROGRAM CONTROLS

ROD WORTH MINIMIZER

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.1.4.1 The rod worth minimizer (RWM) shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS 1 and 2\*, when THERMAL POWER is less than or equal to 20% of RATED THERMAL POWER, the minimum allowable low power setpoint.

ACTION:

- a. With the RWM inoperable, verify control rod movement and compliance with the prescribed control rod pattern by a second licensed operator or other technically qualified member of the unit technical staff who is present at the reactor control console. Otherwise, control rod movement may be only by actuating the manual scram or placing the reactor mode switch in the Shutdown position.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.1.4.1 The RWM shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 2 prior to withdrawal of control rods for the purpose of making the reactor critical; ~~and in OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1 prior to RWM automatic initiation when reducing THERMAL POWER;~~
  - 1. By verifying proper indication of the selection error of at least one out-of-sequence control rod, and
  - 2.
- b. ~~In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 2 prior to withdrawal of control rods for the purpose of making the reactor critical;~~ By verifying the rod block function by demonstrating inability to withdraw an out-of-sequence control rod. *When reducing THERMAL POWER*
- b. x In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1 within one hour after RWM automatic initiation when reducing THERMAL POWER, By verifying the rod block function by demonstrating inability to withdraw an out-of-sequence control rod, and *1. Reaching the low power setpoint*
- c. x By verifying the control rod patterns and sequence input to the RWM computer is correctly loaded following any loading of the program into the computer.

*2. By verifying proper indication of the selection error of at least one out-of-sequence control rod.*

\*Entry into OPERATIONAL CONDITION 2 and withdrawal of selected control rods is permitted for the purpose of determining the OPERABILITY of the RWM prior to withdrawal of control rods for the purpose of bringing the reactor to criticality.

9101220488 910118  
PDR ADCK 05000387  
P PDR

Handwritten notes in the lower-left quadrant, including a large number '2' and several lines of illegible text.

Handwritten notes in the lower-middle section, consisting of several lines of illegible text.

Vertical handwritten notes on the right side of the page, including a large number '1' and several lines of illegible text.

## REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

### BASES

#### CONTROL RODS (Continued)

Control rod coupling integrity is required to ensure compliance with the analysis of the rod drop accident in the FSAR. The overtravel position feature provides the only positive means of determining that a rod is properly coupled and therefore this check must be performed prior to achieving criticality after completing CORE ALTERATIONS that could have affected the control rod coupling integrity. The subsequent check is performed as a backup to the initial demonstration.

In order to ensure that the control rod patterns can be followed and therefore that other parameters are within their limits, the control rod position indication system must be OPERABLE.

The control rod housing support restricts the outward movement of a control rod to less than 3 inches in the event of a housing failure. The amount of rod reactivity which could be added by this small amount of rod withdrawal is less than a normal withdrawal increment and will not contribute to any damage to the primary coolant system. The support is not required when there is no pressure to act as a driving force to rapidly eject a drive housing.

The required surveillance intervals are adequate to determine that the rods are OPERABLE and not so frequent as to cause excessive wear on the system components.

#### 3/4.1.4 CONTROL ROD PROGRAM CONTROLS

Control rod withdrawal and insertion sequences are established to assure that the maximum insequence individual control rod or control rod segments which are withdrawn at any time during the fuel cycle could not be worth enough to result in a peak fuel enthalpy greater than 280 cal/gm in the event of a control rod drop accident. The specified sequences are characterized by homogeneous, scattered patterns of control rod withdrawal. When THERMAL POWER is greater than 20% of RATED THERMAL POWER, there is no possible rod worth which, if dropped at the design rate of the velocity limiter, could result in a peak enthalpy of 280 cal/gm. Thus requiring the RSCS and RWM to be OPERABLE when THERMAL POWER is less than or equal to 20% of RATED THERMAL POWER provides adequate control.

The RSCS and RWM provide automatic supervision to assure that out-of-sequence rods will not be withdrawn or inserted.

Parametric Control Rod Drop Accident analyses have shown that for a wide range of key reactor parameters (which envelope the operating ranges of these variables), the fuel enthalpy rise during a postulated control rod drop accident remains considerably lower than the 280 cal/gm limit. For each operating cycle, cycle-specific parameters such as maximum control rod worth, Doppler coefficient, effective delayed neutron fraction, and maximum four-bundle local peaking factor are compared with the inputs to the parametric analyses to determine the peak fuel rod enthalpy rise. This value is then compared against the

*Logic automatically initiates at the low power setpoint (20% of RATED THERMAL POWER) to*

Handwritten text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page. The text is oriented vertically and is difficult to decipher due to its orientation and the quality of the scan. It appears to contain several lines of text, possibly including a name and a date.

REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

3/4.1.4 CONTROL ROD PROGRAM CONTROLS

ROD WORTH MINIMIZER

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.1.4.1 The rod worth minimizer (RWM) shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS 1 and 2\*, when THERMAL POWER is less than or equal to 20% of RATED THERMAL POWER, the minimum allowable low power setpoint.

ACTION:

- a. With the RWM inoperable, verify control rod movement and compliance with the prescribed control rod pattern by a second licensed operator or other technically qualified member of the unit technical staff who is present at the reactor control console. Otherwise, control rod movement may be only by actuating the manual scram or placing the reactor mode switch in the Shutdown position.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.1.4.1 The RWM shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 2 prior to withdrawal of control rods for the purpose of making the reactor critical, ~~and in OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1 prior to RWM automatic initiation when reducing THERMAL POWER;~~
  - 1. By verifying proper indication of the selection error of at least one out-of-sequence control rod, and
  - 2. By verifying the rod block function by demonstrating inability to withdraw an out-of-sequence control rod. *when reducing THERMAL POWER.*
- b. ~~x~~ In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1<sup>v</sup> within one hour after RWM automatic initiation when reducing THERMAL POWER, ~~By verifying the rod block function by demonstrating inability to withdraw an out-of-sequence control rod, and,~~
  - 1. *By verifying the rod block function by demonstrating inability to withdraw an out-of-sequence control rod,*
  - 2. *reaching the low power setpoint*
- c. ~~x~~ By verifying the control rod patterns and sequence input to the RWM computer is correctly loaded following any loading of the program into the computer.

\*Entry into OPERATIONAL CONDITION 2 and withdrawal of selected control rods is permitted for the purpose of determining the OPERABILITY of the RWM prior to withdrawal of control rods for the purpose of bringing the reactor to criticality.

2. By verifying proper indication of the selection error of at least one out-of-sequence control rod.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This ensures transparency and allows for easy verification of the data.

In the second section, the author details the various methods used to collect and analyze the data. This includes both manual and automated techniques. The results of these analyses are presented in a series of tables and graphs, which clearly illustrate the trends and patterns in the data.

The final part of the document provides a comprehensive summary of the findings. It highlights the key insights gained from the study and offers practical recommendations for future research and implementation. The author concludes by expressing confidence in the reliability of the data and the effectiveness of the methods used.

## REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

### BASES

*logic automatically initiates at the low power setpoint (20% of RATED THERMAL POWER) to*

## CONTROL ROD PROGRAM CONTROLS (Continued)

The RSCS and RBM provide automatic supervision to assure that out-of-sequence rods will not be withdrawn or inserted.

Parametric Control Rod Drop Accident analyses have shown that for a wide range of key reactor parameters (which envelope the operating ranges of these variables), the fuel enthalpy rise during a postulated control rod drop accident remains considerably lower than the 280 cal/gm limit. For each operating cycle, cycle-specific parameters such as maximum control rod worth, Doppler coefficient, effective delayed neutron fraction, and maximum four-bundle local peaking factor are compared with the inputs to the parametric analyses to determine the peak fuel rod enthalpy rise. This value is then compared against the 280 cal/gm design limit to demonstrate compliance for each operating cycle. If cycle-specific values of the above parameters are outside the range assumed in the parametric analyses, an extension of the analysis or a cycle-specific analysis may be required. Conservatism present in the analysis, results of the parametric studies, and a detailed description of the methodology for performing the Control Rod Drop Accident analysis are provided in XN-NF-80-19 Volume 1.

The RBM is designed to automatically prevent fuel damage in the event of erroneous rod withdrawal from locations of high power density during high power operation. Two channels are provided. Tripping one of the channels will block erroneous rod withdrawal soon enough to prevent fuel damage. This system backs up the written sequence used by the operator for withdrawal of control rods.

### 3/4.1.5 STANDBY LIQUID CONTROL SYSTEM

The standby liquid control system provides a backup capability for bringing the reactor from full power to a cold, Xenon-free shutdown, assuming that none of the withdrawn control rods can be inserted. To meet this objective it is necessary to inject a quantity of boron which produces a concentration of 660 ppm in the reactor core in approximately 90 to 120 minutes. A minimum quantity of 4587 gallons of sodium pentaborate solution containing a minimum of 5500 lbs. of sodium pentaborate is required to meet this shutdown requirement. There is an additional allowance of 165 ppm in the reactor core to account for imperfect mixing. The time requirement was selected to override the reactivity insertion rate due to cooldown following the Xenon poison peak and the required pumping rate is 41.2 gpm. The minimum storage volume of the solution is established to allow for the portion below the pump suction that cannot be inserted and the filling of other piping systems connected to the reactor vessel. The temperature requirement for the sodium pentaborate solution is necessary to ensure that the sodium pentaborate remains in solution.

With redundant pumps and explosive injection valves and with a highly reliable control rod scram system, operation of the reactor is permitted to continue for short periods of time with the system inoperable or for longer periods of time with one of the redundant components inoperable.



1954  
1955  
1956

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN LIBRARIES  
ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48106-1108

1

2

3

4



## Proposed Units 1 & 2 Technical Specification Change

### REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

#### 3/4.1.4 CONTROL ROD PROGRAM CONTROLS

##### ROD WORTH MINIMIZER

##### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

---

3.1.4.1 The rod worth minimizer (RWM) shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS 1 and 2\* when THERMAL POWER is less than or equal to 20% of RATED THERMAL POWER, the minimum allowable low power setpoint.

##### ACTION:

- a. With the RWM inoperable, verify control rod movement and compliance with the prescribed control rod pattern by a second licensed operator or other technically qualified member of the unit technical staff who is present at the reactor control console. Otherwise, control rod movement may be only by actuating the manual scram or placing the reactor mode switch in the Shutdown position.

##### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

---

4.1.4.1 The RWM shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 2 prior to withdrawal of control rods for the purpose of making the reactor critical:
  1. By verifying proper indication of the selection error of at least one out-of-sequence control rod, and
  2. By verifying the rod block function by demonstrating the inability to withdraw an out of sequence control rod.
- b. In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1 when reducing THERMAL POWER within one hour after reaching the low power setpoint:
  1. By verifying the rod block function by demonstrating the inability to withdraw an out-of-sequence control rod, and
  2. By verifying proper indication of the selection error of at least one out-of-sequence control rod.
- c. By verifying the control rod patterns and sequence input to the RWM computer is correctly loaded following any loading of the program into the computer.

---

\* Entry into OPERATIONAL CONDITION 2 and withdrawal of selected control rods is permitted for the purpose of determining the OPERABILITY of the RWM prior to withdrawal of control rods for the purpose of bringing the reactor to criticality.