	Nig an	REGULATOR	Y INFORM	ATION	DISTRIBU	TION SYST	EM (RIDS)	
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	ACCESSION FACIL:50 50 AUTH.NA CURTIS,N RECIP.N	N.W. Pennsyl NAME RECIPI	Steam E Steam E AFFILIA vania Po	Electri Electri ATION Dwer & [LIATIO]	c Statio c Statio Light Co N	n, Unit 1 n, Unit 2	, Pennsy	tva tva	DOCKET # 05000387 05000388
	ı	: Forwards Vols 1 guidelines of N procedures re A emergency progr SCC " JTION CODE: A003D DR/Licensing Subm	UREG=073 TWS & de am guide	87,Supp eviation elines] 1.Eval ns from encl.	uations o BWR Owner	f emerge s Group	NCY	
1		y NMSS/FCAF/PM.			1 1 1			•	05000387
	10	_:07/17/82 cy NMSS/FCAF/PM. _:03/23/84	LPDR 20	ys Tra	nscripts	•			05000388
	·	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME NRR LB2 BC	COPIES LTTR E 7	ENCL		IENT E/NAME	COPIE LTTR E		
1	INTERNAL:		1	0	IE/DEPE		3 5	3 5	
		NRR PAULSON,W NRR/DHFS/PSRB	1 1	1 1	NRR/DHF NRR/DL/		1	1-	
•		NRR/DL/ORB5	1 5 1	5 1	NRR/DSI		1	1 1	
		NRR/DSI/ICSB N <u>RR/DSI</u> /RAB	1	1 1	NRR/DSI NRR/DSI		1	1	
	¢	REG FILES	1	i	RGN1		ī	1.	
ł	EXTERNAL:	LPDR NSIC	2 1	2 1	NRC PDR		1	1 -	•
	NOTES:		3	3					

,

- X - 2

.

	(۲۰ ۶ ۲۴		, ş	1 L * 3	• \.
5 8 8 8 9 8 8 8 5 8 8 8 8 9 8 8 5 8 8 8 8 9 8 8	1 > 1 X3 1 X 84 1 X 84	а 1919 - 24 1919 - 24 1910 - 24 191	7949 - 684 8 444 8 9 - 198 8 444 - 4 084 8 9 9 9 9 9	4 4 4 6 4 19 9 9 19 9 19 10 4 7 9 10 1 4 19 10 5 7 7 10 6 7 9 19 10 6 7 9 19 10 6 7 19 19 10 6 19 19 19 19	a ga∰≷ta a an	and the second sec
	XON OF	MARY TH	- 「「「「「「」」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「	- 2日記(1)、文文名文(1) (1) 京子(1) ていてい (1) かゆび足主(1) - ア	भाषा भाषा स्वयंत्र स्वयंत्र स्वयंत्र क्रिये जन्म स्टेन छ स्वयंत्र स्वयंत्र स्वयं	rto rol Roman
ua narao cars	րեր ամարտ է։ Դրեր անգինություններություններություններություններություններություններություններություններություններություններո Անություններություններություններություններություններություններություններություններություններություններություննե	35.7 1. 5 F 7 (60)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	TOTAL PLAN	ythien y balant n	3 -11 - 1 * 1 9 9 7 7 4 7 - 1 * 1
8 8 - O.A				an at is a		(入合う) (入力)(およう)(な) (入入)(な)(大力)(ない)(ない)(ない)(ない)(ない)(ない)(ない)(ない)(ない)(ない
.≯ ≗G.A.J			ક્વાહર>	E 17 7 243	льни . 4X я н	
		t 'a ∋atin ≸	₽ - 4¥*+1 8, 53 4 7 - N 8 - 43 - 61¥	₹4 • ₹ ¥ ≠ 4 1.	· # * # * *	Стайна Кайна Кайна Кайна
	X X X	27 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	88 X 24 4 4 40 4 7 5 4 4 7 5 4 4 7 5 4 4 7 5 5 6 4 7 5 5 5 6 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	- P - R - R - R - R		н ЭлХ НА 3 жил – АХ Д К.И. 1. – 507 – АЛ (Х. 6) Х.И. Х. 6 К.И. Х. 6 К.И. А. 6 С. 9 Х. 9 С. 9 С. 9 Х. 9 С. 9 Х. 9 С. 9 С. 9 Х. 9 С. 9 С. 9 С. 9 С. 9 С. 9 С. 9 С. 9 С
	X	k	Sj., (A) ∎.	r H	, X	13 X 1 5 H ≤ 2 3 X 3 9χ.Υ

★ 11 00

Second a state production of the second state of the second state



Pennsylvania Power & Light Company

Two North Ninth Street • Allentown, PA 18101 • 215 / 770-5151

1

Norman W. Curtis Vice President-Engineering & Construction-Nuclear 215/770-7501

MAY 1 3 1985

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Attention: Mr. W. R. Butler, Chief Licensing Branch No. 2 Division of Licensing U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION EMERGENCY PROCEDURES GENERATION PACKAGE ER 100450 FILE 842-03 PLA-2468

Docket Nos. 50-387 50-388

NRC letter, A. Schwencer to N. W. Curtis, "Order Confirming Reference: Licensee Commitments on Emergency Response Capability," dated June 14, 1984.

Dear Mr. Butler:

In accordance with item 4a of the attachment to the reference for Unit 1 and with item (d)(1) of Attachment 2 to the Unit 2 Operating License (NPF-22), enclosed please find the SSES Procedures Generation Package (PGP). The PGP is consistent with the guidance in NUREG 0737 Supplement 1. The PGP provides the basis for the development of plant-specific Emergency Operating Procedures which we intend to implement on July 12.

Also attached are two reports, "Evaluation of Susquehanna Steam Electric Station Emergency Procedures Relating to ATWS" and "Evaluation of SSES Deviations from BWR Owners Group EPG's (Non-ATWS)." These reports address deviations from the BWROG EPG's.

We trust this information is deemed acceptable. Should you require additional information, please contact W. E. Barberich (215-770-7850).

Very truly yours,

N. W. Curtis Vice President-Engineering & Construction-Nuclear

8505160446 850513 ADOCK 0500

Attachment

cc: M. J. Campagnone NRC R. H. Jacobs NRC

PDR





к ,¹ , 7 , **Р** , **Р** , 4

r 19 . .

e de la companya de l La companya de la comp La companya de la comp

· · · ·

Evaluation of Susquehanna Steam Electric Station Emergency Procedures Relating to ATWS

1.0 Summary

The BWROG EPG's have been evaluated to determine their suitability for guidance in formulation of SSES response procedures for ATWS. The evaluations performed have identified a need for modifications to the BWROG guidelines as applied to ATWS response. These modifications involve the guidance for control of makeup water flow and vessel water level. Transient calculations have been performed which demonstrate that peak suppression pool temperatures remain acceptable when HPCI/RCIC flow is not terminated after L2 initiation. Under these conditions the water level will continue to fall below L2 until an equilibrium level is reached. This equilibrium level depends on the initiation time and rate of boron injection ranging between -60 inches to -95 inches relative to instrument zero. The level may be stabilized at this equilibrium value as boron is injected to prevent an increase in water level and the higher values of reactor power which result. The calculations also show that if the operator fails to accomplish longer term maintenance of this initial minimum level the consequences to suppression pool temperature remain acceptable. This is an important result since the transient calculations show that termination of flow, restart of flow and maintenance of level all would be required actions in the critical early period of the transient. The elimination of the need for these actions is believed to increase the overall probability of proper and timely operator actions. A further benefit is the finding that operation at higher water levels which avoid the downcomer area reduction from 300 ft² to 88 ft² at -110 inches is acceptable. This area reduction in combination with the trend toward increasing power to flow ratios as level decreases raises concern over the probability and severity of limit cycle operation which could have adverse consequences on ATWS mitigation capability. Maintaining level above -95 inches, or more likely -65 inches, greatly alleviates this concern. Finally, maintaining level well above -150 inches avoids loss of wide range indication and avoids level 1 initiation signals which could interfere with ATWS mitigating actions.

The procedures which will be adopted for SSES must initially apply to the current 43 gpm boron injection capability of the SLCS. Our calculations show that we have little or no margin with the existing HCTL curve regardless of response strategy with the 43 gpm injection rate. For this reason we also propose a new HCTL curve (to be used for ATWS only) which takes credit for the improved capability of the SRV discharge quenchers to condense steam without excessive condensation loads at elevated pool temperatures.

With this new HCTL curve we predict acceptable ATWS response for all evaluated level response strategies including those strategies which avoid loss of wide range level indication, avoid initiation of level 1 signals, and which minimize the likelihood of limit cycle operation.

2.0 Introduction

The objective of this document is to evaluate the application of the generic BWROG Emergency Procedure Guidelines to SSES specific ATWS sequences to assure that application of those guidelines will result in acceptable consequences to the plant even for the most limiting ATWS cases. The modifications evaluated herein comply with the intent of the BWROG EPGs. These modifications take ત્ર તા દુધરા દુધરા પર કાર્યો છે. તે કે પ્રાપ્ત કરવા કાર્યો છે. તે કે પ્રાપ્ત કરવા કાર્યો છે. તે કે પ્રાપ્ત કરવા દુધરા કે પ્રાપ્ત કરવા છે. તે કે પ્રાપ્ત કર

191 - 18 B. B.

الا الإيران المسافر ال المسافر المسافر

ించా కారించి కార్ సినిమాలు సి సినిమాలు సిన జిల్లా సినిమాలు సినిమా సినిమాలు సిని

الحملي المراجع 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 -2014 - 2014

into account, however, the specific characteristics of SSES and consequently represent a deviation from a literal interpretation of the BWROG EPGs. These deviations are specific to ATWS events and are not applicable to any other accident sequence.

In the description of ATWS event sequences the operator actions discussed in this document do not represent all operator actions. The presentation will focus only on those where we believe special guidance is needed and which may not be readily deduced from the information provided by the BWROG EPG's.

Finally, the response of the plant as it is currently configured will be considered, as well as for the plant configuration which will exist after ATWS related equipment modifications are made. The primary difference, relative to transient response of the plant, is the current 43 gpm boron solution injection rate as opposed to the future 86 gpm injection rate.

The analysis required to support the ATWS response procedures presented here include:

- 1. Rationale and calculations to increase the Heat Capacity Temperature Limit of the suppression pool to 208°F at operating pressure.
- 2. The water level control strategy best suited for response to a limiting ATWS event.

We believe that the procedural modifications that are discussed herein reduce the probability of conditions which could exceed containment integrity limits or require depressurization of a critical reactor to a small fraction of severe ATWS events. Further, severe ATWS events represent only a small fraction of all failure to scram events.

3.0 Description of Severe ATWS Sequences

The complete spectrum of potential ATWS event sequences is very broad. The intent here will be to identify the limiting ATWS sequences and to demonstrate that these limiting sequences can be successfully terminated without damage to the plant, utilizing the plant equipment and procedures recommended in this document.

Two types of severe ATWS events are considered, the isolation case and the non-isolation case. Of these two only the isolation case will be analyzed on the basis that it is more limiting than the non-isolation case. A description of the event sequences and operator actions are presented for both cases, however.

For all cases considered it is postulated that the most severe ATWS sequence is one which occurs at a time when the reactor is operating at full power and no rods insert when the turbine is lost due to stop valve or MSIV closure. Other than determining whether or not the event results in isolation, the nature of the transient initiator plays a minor role in determining the severity of the ATWS event. In this regard, only the suppression pool temperature is considered as a criterion for severity. The short term pressure and power transient occurring in the first few seconds of an ATWS event are considered acceptable for all event sequences.

¢4 .

n ar h s ·;) - - -

الأجاري فالمتحافظ المتحجي المراجع المحاج المحجي المراجع المحاج المحجي المحجي المحجي المحجي المحجي المحجي المحج

r **F**

• •

The important issues relating to adequate mitigation of an ATWS sequence are:

- 1. Maintaining adequate liquid inventory in the vessel to assure core cooling.
- 2. Avoidance of severe power transients which could result in fuel clad perforations.
- 3. Power reduction to stabilize suppression pool temperature below a value which could lead to loss of containment integrity or loss of equipment vital to bringing the plant to a safe and stable condition or which could require depressurization of the reactor before hot shutdown.

The level of success in ATWS mitigation is determined by the performance of plant equipment and of the operators. If equipment and operator performance is consistent with equipment design, response procedures, and training, likelihood of success in mitigating ATWS events is quite high.

3.1 The Isolation ATWS

In the event of a transient without scram at full reactor power which requires reactor shutdown to avoid plant damage, the plant will undergo a transient which will result in operation at a new steady state condition after a short period of time even though no control rods insert. For example, in the case of a transient involving MSIV closure as the event requiring reactor trip, failure to trip will cause a rapid pressure and power increase in the first several seconds of the transient. This pressure transient will cause more than two relief valves to lift which will assure a pressure sufficient to trip the reactor recirculation pumps. These pumps will then coast down and the core flow will decrease to the natural circulation flow rate.

As the MSIVs close, the steam flow to the feedwater drive turbines will be lost and the recirculation pump coast down will be accompanied by a feedwater flow coastdown with eventual complete loss of feedwater flow. Since the reactor power will be producing steam in excess of the makeup rate of water to the vessel, the reactor water level will fall and the natural circulation flow rate will decrease. The reactor power is reduced as core flow rate is reduced so that the rate of loss of vessel water inventory decreases as level falls.

When level 2 is reached the HPCI and RCIC systems are initiated and these systems will supply 5600 gpm to the vessel. The level will continue to fall beyond level 2 until the reactor power generates steam at a rate which just matches this makeup flow rate. Calculations show that this condition will be achieved at a water level about 4 feet below level 2 when boron injection has not been initiated.

At this time the reactor will settle into a steady state operating condition with three relief values continuously open and a fourth value opening intermittently. Operation in this state can only be tolerated for a short period of time before the ATWS related suppression pool temperature limit is reached. Operator action to achieve reactor shutdown is essential if ATWS mitigation success criteria are to be satisfied. The operator can attempt to either manually scram the reactor or take action to manually insert the control rods individually. and we wanted the second se

e

- n na hear ann an thairteann Tha thairteann an thairteann

مان مان بالم الاحد الله الله الله الله الله الله الله المراجعة المحتي في المراحية المحتي المراحية المحتي المراحية المحتي المراجعة المحتي المراحية المحتي المحتي المحتي المحتي المحتي المراحية المحتي ال المحتي المحت المحتي المح

المتحد الله المركز المراجع المركز المركز

In the case of a failure to scram from full power with isolation, there is essentially no time for individual rod insertion, and only initiation of SLCS can assure compliance with ATWS mitigation success criteria when automatic and manual reactor trips have failed. For one pump injection by the SLCS at 43 gpm about 1700 seconds will be required to inject sufficient boron to assure hot shutdown of the reactor. Two pump injection at 86 gpm would require 850 seconds to inject hot shutdown boron.

In the case of 43 gpm injection, SLCS initiation must occur within the first few minutes of the transient to be certain to meet the suppression pool temperature limit criterion. A significant delay can be accepted for 86 gpm injection. When hot shutdown conditions are achieved, however, heating of the suppression pool is not terminated due to decay heat. The decay heat level is roughly 1.5% of design power at the time of hot shutdown. An increase of pool temperature above the ATWS limit can be avoided if both loops of RHR are placed in the suppression pool cooling mode at the time of hot shutdown. In some cases a single loop of RHR will be sufficient to avoid the ATWS pool temperature limit.

For the isolation case, the essential operator action is immediate initiation of SLCS.

3.2 The Non-Isolation ATWS

The non-isolation ATWS involves a turbine trip without isolation. The turbine bypass valves are activated immediately as the turbine control or stop valves close, but they only have 25% of full steam flow capacity so that the reactor pressure rises as in the isolation case and relief valve operation is required. Since the two low set valves cannot handle the necessary steam flow, the reactor pressure is certain to rise to the set point of the second pressure group which assures trip of the recirculation pumps as in the isolation case.

For non-isolation, however, the feedwater system remains operational, and the level control system attempts to maintain normal water level (NWL). The feedwater system has the capacity to supply as much flow as is needed to assure maintaining NWL. The flow rate needed to accomplish this considerably exceeds the combined flow capacity of the HPCI and RCIC, so that when the system comes to natural circulation steady state conditions, the steaming rate is greater than in the case of the isolation event.

If the feedwater enthalpy were to remain constant, the steady state reactor power and steaming rate would be about 55% of the design values at a core flow rate of about 35 to 40 percent of design flow. Actually, since the turbine has tripped, extraction flow to the feedwater heaters is terminated, and so feedwater enthalpy will decrease at a rate depending on the thermal capacity of the feedwater trains. This in turn will result in a steady decrease of the steaming rate to the suppression pool, but not necessarily to a decrease of reactor power.

Nevertheless, the initial steaming rate may far exceed the bypass system capacity and suppression pool heating rates could exceed those for the isolation case by a considerable margin.

4

್ರಿ ಬರ್ಗಾಟ್ಯ ಭೋಷ್ ಕ್ರಾರ್ಟ್ಯ ಸ್ಥಿಪಿತ ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಬರುಗಳನ್ನು ಬರುಗಳನ್ನು ಬರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಭಾಸಿ ಸೇರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಬರುಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಬರುಗ ಸ್ಥಾನಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸ್ಥಿಪಿತ ಸಂಭಾಸಿಗಳು ಬರುಗಳನ್ನು ಬರುಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸ್ಥಾನಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಸಿದ ಬರುಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಬರುಗಳನ್ನು ಬರುಗಳು ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ ಬ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಸ್ಥಾನಿಸಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ ಬ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಸ್ಥಾನಿಸಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಸ

۲. معهوم به اور الملاق میهاید آن از ۲۰۰ این از ۲ به ته توانانانه ارزی از ۲ به ۲۰ تر ۲۰ تر ۲ تر ۲ تر ۲ این محموم با از ۲۰ به اور الملاق الم الملاح الله المرافع الم توان الم ته معموم با المرافع المرافع المرافع المرافع المرافع با المرافع المرافع الم الملاح الله المرافع المرافع المرافع المرافع المرافع المرافع المرافع المرافع المر المرافع المراف

సంగారించి ఉన్నారి. సినిమి కార్పు, కేషర్ రాజ్యా ఉందరి ఉన్న ప్రేష్ట్ పోర్ట్ పోర్ట్ పోర్ట్ సినిమి సినిమి

A AND A CARDON AND A CARDON

مرین فرد از 20 مارد برای از مرین فرای برای برای برای مرید از منابع می این از مرید در میشود. از مرید از از این از والی مرید به مرید برای برای از مرید برای از مرید برای مرید از مرید از مرید برای فران از این از این از مرافقا از مرید بالا از مرید برای مرید از مرید برای مرید از مرید از مرید از مرید از مرید از مرید مرید از این از این از م از مرید برای از مرید برای مرید برای مرید برای مرید از م از مرید برای مرید برای مرید برای مرید برای مرید از مری از مرید برای مرید برای مرید برای مرید برای مرید از مرید برای مرید مرید برای مرید برای مرید از مرید از مرید از مرید از مرید از مرید مرید از مرید برای مرید مرید از مرید مرید از مرید از مرید از مرید از مرید از مرید مرید از مرید از مرید از مرید از مرید

ا معتول با و قرن این ۸۴ از ۲۰۰۰ الارباغ الارتران وی باده از ۲۰۰۰ با ۲۰۰۷ با ۲۰۰۷ و از ۲۰۰۷ و ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ با ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ و و و در از ۲۰۰۱ این اینی با ۲۰۰۰ و ۲۰۰۰ و ۲۰۰۱ با ۲۰۰۰ و ۲۰۰۱ دارد و ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۴۰۰ و ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ و ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰ ۲۰۰۱ به از ایر ۱۰۰۰ ایر این If HPCI plus RCIC flow are adequate to allow successful termination of an ATWS event without damage to the plant for the isolation case, the obvious response would be to reduce feedwater flow to a value corresponding to HPCI plus RCIC flow. This action, if promptly taken, would reduce the non-isolation event to an event allowing an extended period of time for further operator action. This occurs since HPCI plus RCIC flow can only support a 21% steaming rate and this is within the 25% flow capacity of the bypass system. Therefore, immediate runback of feedwater flow will terminate suppression pool heating and allow an extended period for further operator actions.

In the event that the bypass system is unavailable, the event can readily be converted to the equivalent of the isolation ATWS simply by the runback of feedwater flow.

For the non-isolation case, the essential operator action is the runback of feedwater flow to the equivalent of HPCI plus RCIC flow. If bypass is not available he must also immediately start SLCS.

4.0 BWR Owner's Group Guidance for Operator Response to ATWS

The BWROG guidance for operator response to ATWS for isolation or non-isolation events involves the following actions.

- 1. Initiate SLCS when the suppression pool temperature reaches 110°F.
- 2. Terminate all flow into the vessel except for SLCS and CRD cooling flow.
- 3. When level has fallen to TAF use high pressure injection systems to maintain level at TAF.
- 4. When a mass of boron solution sufficient for hot shutdown (HSD) has been injected, increase water level to promote boron mixing and achieve reactor shutdown.
- 5. Initiate suppression pool cooling (SPC).

5.0 Modification for SSES ATWS Response Procedures

The various BWROG EPG guidance items identified in section 4.0 are discussed individually below.

5.1 SLCS Initiation

The operator should be able to reliably identify an ATWS event within 2 minutes. For 43 gpm injection SLCS initiation should be immediate with no delay for any reason in isolation events. For the 86 gpm injection rate, some delay beyond 2 minutes could be allowed for SLCS initiation. The additional time allowed by the 86 gpm injection rate provides margin to allow for degraded equipment or operator performance.

- المراجع المراجع التي المراجع التي المحاصل المحاصل المحاص المراجع التي المحاص المحاص المحاص المحاص المحاص المحا المحاص المراجع المحاص المحاص

- المي المركز المي المركز الم المركز المركز
 - - e sa terre de la constante de la const
 - · · · · · · · · ·
 - - · · · · · · ·

5.2 Termination of Makeup Flow

Termination of makeup flow by HPCI/RCIC for the isolation case is unnecessary. The level stabilizes without operator action and the resulting steaming rate to the suppression pool does not lead to unacceptable pool temperatures. Elimination of the need to terminate HPCI/RCIC flow avoids concern over the potential for failure to achieve timely restart and frees the operator from the need for additional actions (trip and restart) in a critical time period.

For non-isolation cases the equivalent action would be trip of two out of three feedwater pumps and flow adjustment on the third to HPCI/RCIC equivalent flow. In this case the feedwater runback replaces initiation of SLCS as the critical action. Successful feedwater runback should essentially terminate suppression pool heating.

A related concern is the instruction to terminate HPCI/RCIC injection if RPV water level indication becomes unavailable. This is also unnecessary. The intent of the EPG guidance is to prevent the introduction of water to the turbines, but this is considered loss of an overall risk than rapidly adding a large quantity of heat to the suppression pool. That would be necessary to reduce RPV pressure below the shutoff head of low pressure pumps.

5.3 Maintain Level at TAF

For all isolation cases we recommend that HPCI and RCIC be allowed to initiate at L2 and continue to operate at full flow until the water level has fallen to its lowest level and stabilized or started to rise as boron injection reduces power. The minimum level for SSES should be about two to three feet below L2. The stable level in the absence of boron injection is believed to be about four feet below L2.

When the level has reached minimum the operator may choose to hold level constant at that value by throttling HPCI flow as needed or may allow full flow with a consequent increase in water level. Calculations have shown that the choice is not critical to successful ATWS mitigation.

Makeup flow should not be reduced to allow operation below the levels identified above. The reasons for this are:

- 1. At about five feet above TAF the downcomer free area reduces from 300 ft² to 88 ft². This aggravates concerns over limit cycle operation.
- 2. At just below L1 the wide range indication goes down scale and indication is lost. Only the fuel range indication is left, and it is not calibrated for Modes 1, 2, or 3.
- 3. At some level above L1 as specified in the technical specifications the L1 isolation signals will be generated. This could occur as high as two to three feet above TAF.

The level control strategy outlined above provides several major benefits. These are:

- .

- - ***** ۰. ۲
- а — _ л м
- - <u>المحمد من المحمد</u>
- a a se estado estad A construição estado A construição estado . ι.
 - ٢ * _ " Гуз**б** , ^ту В

- It reduces requirements for operator action in a critical time 1. period. It minimizes the chances of an apparent loss of level indication with
- 2. the resultant need to depressurize.
- It avoids taking the reactor further into a region where flow is 3. reducing more rapidly than power, reducing the chances of unacceptable limit cycle operation. Such a trend would be further aggravated by a large factor by the reduction in downcomer area.

Calculations show that the resulting peak pool temperatures are not less acceptable than those that result from operation with level at TAF.

1

For non-isolation cases it is important to run back feedwater flow as quickly as possible. Even though bypass is available, the short term equilibrium steaming rate will exceed its capacity and could result in suppression pool heating rates as much as 50% greater than for the isolation case. If two feedwater pumps are tripped and the third is carefully run back to the equivalent of HPCI plus RCIC, the event becomes relatively benign in that the bypass can fully accommodate the steaming rate. This would reduce the pool heating rate to that associated with HPCI and RCIC turbine operation. These two systems should be allowed to operate at minimum flow with appropriate adjustments to the feedwater flow rate. This strategy will result in a level response very similar to that of the isolation cases. The benefits for the isolation case also apply to the non-isolation case.

5.4 Boron Remixing

There is no objection to this guidance in that calculations indicate that the result seems always favorable. In those cases where HPCI flow is not throttled, the remixing step need not be taken in that core flow is always maximized by maintaining downcomer level at the highest value within the HPCI capability.

5.5 Initiate Suppression Pool Cooling

There is no objection to this guidance. It is clear that the sooner SPC is initiated, the lower will be the peak pool temperature. Guidance should instruct that both loops of RHR be used for this purpose in order to minimize the rise in pool temperature after HSD has been achieved. A reasonable time interval to accomplish SPC initiation should be within eight to ten minutes after the start of the transient for the isolation case. For non-isolation cases with bypass, SPC initiation timing is not critical. It should be initiated in time to prevent significant pool temperature rise from HPCI and RCIC turbine exhaust however.

A high drywell pressure signal or a L1 signal will terminate SPC by opening the heat exchanger bypass valve and aligning the system to operate in the LPCI mode. With the reactor at pressure no injection will occur. Flow through the heat exchanger will be reduced from 10,000 gpm to 5000 gpm until the bypass valve is closed. A timer prevents reclosing the bypass valve for 10 minutes. For this reason SPC effectiveness will be reduced for a 10 minute period. While L1 is not expected to occur, calculations show that high drywell

ೆ. ಸ್ವಾರ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರಿಸ್ ನಿಂದ್ರೇಶ್ವ ಸ್

r

- المعادية التي يحتر التي يعلم الم^عر المن المعالين المحاج المحاج (1994) المحاجي التي 1998) المحاجي التي 1998 من 2 التاجير التي المحاجة التي 2010 من 1992 م

جي 👫 ڀيون جي جي جي ج

الا مراجع المحركة المراجع من المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع وم تلاوية التوجيع الألي من ما المراجع مع ما المراج المراجع ويورف المراجع ا المراجع المحرجين التركيم المراجع المحرجين المراجع المراجع

the the transformed and the second second

ಮುಂಬ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಾರಣ ಬಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಂಗ್ ಸೇರ್ ಸ್ಥಾನಿಯ ಬೇಕಿ ಸಂಕರ್ಷ ನಿರ್ವಾರಣ ಸಂಕರ್ಷ ಸಂಕರ್ಷ ಸಂಕರ್ಷ ಸಂಕರ್ಷ ಸಂಕರ ಸಾರ್ವ ಬಿಂಗ್ ಫ್ರಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸಾರ್ವಿಸಿಕ್ ಸಾರ್ವಿ ಸ್ಥಾನಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿ ಸ್ಥಾನಿಗಳು ಸಂಕರ್ಷ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸಹಿತಿಗಳು ಸಂಕರ್ಣ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರೆ ಸಂಕರ್ಷ ಸೇರಿಸಿದೆ ಸಂಕರ್ಷ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸಂಕರ ಸಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸಹಿತಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿದೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸಂ ಸಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸಹಿತಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸೇರೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಗಳು ಸೇರೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿದೆ ಸಂಕರ್ಷ ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳ ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸೇರೆಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸೇರಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನ pressure is expected to occur at a suppression pool temperature in the range of 135°F to 145°F. Operator action is required to restore and maintain SPC at full capacity.

6.0 The Objectives of Operator Actions in Response to Severe ATWS Occurrences

Even with ideal response of the operators and equipment to a severe ATWS event, the plant will operate under conditions which have never been tested or thoroughly analyzed. The major concern relating to plant response to a severe ATWS event is the fact that natural circulation operation at low water level and with cold makeup water cannot be avoided. Calculations indicate that under these conditions core flow decreases more rapidly than core power as water level is decreased. This means that the ratio of core power to flow increases as water level falls. This ratio is an indication of the vulnerability of the reactor to entering a limit cycle mode of operation. Avoidance of limit cycle operation should be an objective of the mitigating actions taken in response to an ATWS event. Operation under limit cycle conditions could result in damage to the reactor, damage to the fuel or effective loss of instrumentation.

Calculations of plant response to an ATWS event have been made on the basis that limit cycle operation will be avoided. There is no assurance that this is true, however, and the further water level is decreased, the greater the risk of encountering limit cycle operation. The risk is believed to be considerably increased as water level is lowered below the top of the upper plenum due to the decrease in the downcomer free area by a factor of 3.4.

The primary objective of the operator must be to reach HSD before the suppression pool temperature limit (HCTL) is reached, and, if this is done, his response must be judged successful. An attempt to increase the margin by which the limiting temperature can be avoided by taking the reactor further into an untested range of operation should not be considered. Calculations have shown that there is only limited benefit in reducing water level below the minimum equilibrium level that can be supported by HPCI plus RCIC in any event.

7.0 Computational Models

The computational models used to determine an ATWS curve for HCTL and to follow ATWS shutdown transients are discussed here.

7.1 The Heat Capacity Temperature Limit

The origin of the requirement for reactor depressurization above some suppression pool temperature is the concern over severe dynamic loads due to SRV discharge phenomena. The Heat Capacity Temperature Limit (HCTL) curve is based on an upper limit the pool temperatures at which tests have been carried out and this temperature has been set as the maximum allowable pool temperature for any time when SRV discharge can occur. The limit is based on lack of positive knowledge that higher temperatures would result in acceptable dynamic loads rather than positive evidence to the contrary. Test data does exist which supports acceptable dynamic loads of pool temperatures approaching saturation. This is a credible result in that quencher submergence will always produce some degree of local subcooling and on physical grounds and the second The second sec The second se

and a start of the second s

الله معادل بالمراجع من المراجع من ا المراجع من ا المراجع من ال المراجع من المراجع المراجع من المراجع المراجع من المراجع المراجع من المرا المراجع من المراجع من المراجع من المراح من المراجع من المراجع من المراجع من المراجع من المراحع من المراحع من الم

من المحكم الذي التركيب المحافظ المحل المحلية العالم المحلية المحلية المحلية المحلية المحلية المحلية المحلية ال المحلية ا

الارم العالي التي يعاني المعاني التي التي من يوني المن معين المائم المعاني معاني والمعاني المعاني المعاني المع المعانية المعاني الولاة المعانية الذي المعاني المعاني المعانية في المعانية في المعانية المعانية المعانية المعان المعانية المعاني المعانية المع المعانية الم المعانية الم المعانية الم المعانية الم المعانية الم

الطين الجالي من الإنجاج المراجب بينيون الحالية في 1946 من 1977 م. وكانتها كان يكل الكاري في 1978 من الأراج الم الإيجاز 1978 من الإقلاق المالية المالية المالية المالية الإيجاز المالية من 1977 م.

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

subcooling should be a critical parameter to determine the potential for severe dynamic loads.

We propose a new criterion be established for acceptable SRV dynamic loads under ATWS conditions. The recommendation is that all conditions under which 10°F subcooling exists in the general pool volume at the quencher elevation will be considered to result in acceptable dynamic loads. There are two considerations in support of this change. First, it is reasonable to believe that a smooth steam quenching process is primarily dependent on local subcooling, and second, the risk associated with the chance of severe dynamic loads using this criterion under ATWS conditions is judged to be more acceptable than that risk associated with depressurizing a critical reactor with a resulting uncovering of fuel rods and subsequent entry of cold makeup water into the core.

For this purpose we conservatively assume a normal pool depth of 23 feet with a resultant quencher such mergence of 18 feet. This yields a hydraulic head of 7.8 psi. This incremental pressure yields a local saturation temperature of 234.3°F which would allow operation up to a local temperature of 224.3°F. The HCTL curve therefore has as its 240 psia end point a temperature of 224.3°F. This value of subcooling is known to be quite conservative on two grounds. First the pool tends to develop a stratified vertical temperature profile which would result in a local temperature of several degrees below the bulk pool temperature. The second source of conservatism is the fact that as the pool heats up, water vapor evolves into the wetwell airspace and causes non-condensable flow through the vacuum breakers into the drywell. This process would permit the HCTL criterion to be met at temperatures well above 224.3°F depending on the rate of water vapor evolution and condensation in the containment.

For the high pressure pool temperature which triggers depressurization we have selected 208°F as a conservative limit at a reactor pressure of 1000 psia. Calculations show that the reactor can always be depressurized to remain below the HCTL limit line defined by these two points under any credible reactor condition when the limit is reached. Use of HPCI to maintain inventory and contribute to the depressurization is presumed in this event.

7.2 The Shutdown Transient Model

This calculation consists of eight sub-models which determine the interactions of the various reactor parameters in the shutdown process. These are discussed individually below.

7.2.1 Decay Heat

The reactor transient reduces the reactor power by a factor of three or more in the first few minutes of the transient and the fission power then continues to decrease due to boron injection. The decay heat is carried separately and is based on the initial operating power of the reactor at the transient start and on the assumption of steady operation at that power for a long period of time.

Separation of the decay heat and the fission power is important in that boron addition cannot reduce the decay heat and the decay heat contribution to pool

a state a state a second a se Second a sec

ليه من المرابعة الحقيق محمد العربية المستانية المحمد التركيفي المحمد المركبية العام العام العام المركبية المحم حالة المرابعة المرابعة المحمد وعالية العام على على المحمد التركيفي المحمد المرابعة المحمد المحمد المحمد العام ا المركب العاق المركبية المحمد العربية المحمد العام المحمد المحمد المرابعة المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد الم المركب العاق المركبية المحمد العربية المحمد المحم المركب العاق المركبية المحمد المحم المحمد العاق المحمد المحمد

المعلَّق الأهلاب التي العالم التي يعان المعلم المعلي التي التي التي التي الكرام الحرف المراكب التي التي العالم المالية المعلومين المن الاطلاب المعلوي الأمام المعلي المعلي المعلي التي المعلي التي التي المعالم المعالم المعال التي 1945 على العاليات المعلم في

रह क्षे ह के क र चार्ट्

有一次,是人们的时候,我们有一个人,不能是有些人们的人们。""我们的人们,你不是不是这个人,你不是有些我们的人们有些是我们的人们有些人,我们就是你们的人。 我们的是你们的人们不是不是不是一个人们的人们不是一个人们的人们的人们,你不是你的人们,我们还是不是不是不是你的人们。""你不是你,你不是你。" 你们们的人们是你们,你不是你不是你们,你不是你,你不是你们的人们的人们,你不是你们的人们,你不是你的人们。""你不是你。""你不是你。""你不是你,你们你 你们们的人们,你不是你是你们,你不是你们的人们,你不是你们的人们的人们,你不是你们,你们还是你不是你。""你你不是你。""你不是你。" 你们们的人们,你不是你们是你们的人们,你不是你们的人们,你不是你们的人们,你不是你不是你。""你你你你,你你们你你?""你你你你,你你们你?""你你你你,你你们

n van de tel van verken van de stander op de de de stander van de stander van de stander op de van de stander De stander van de stander op de stander op de stander op de stander op de stander van de stander van de stander heating is not negligible. This treatment of decay heat is slightly non-conservative in that it neglects the additional contribution of fissions occurring after the start of the transient to the decay heat level as the transient progresses.

7.2.2 Fission Power

The calculation of fission power in the absence of boron is taken from data presented in NSAC-70 for core power versus downcomer water level, and is used in the analysis for steady state or for slow transients. The validity of this application of the data is supported by comparisons in NSAC-70 of the transient data with steady state calculations. The steady state data points fall very close to the transient data for power versus level.

The power taken from the NSAC-70 data includes both the fission and the decay heat. For this reason decay heat is subtracted from the NSAC-70 data to obtain the fission power. The addition of boron reduces the fission power in inverse proportion to the fraction of hot shutdown boron mixed in the reactor water in the core volume.

This is somewhat conservative since the HSD boron concentration was determined in the absence of voids. This will result in an over estimate of the boron needed for HSD in the presence of decay heat.

7.2.3 Core Flow

For the unborated reactor, data from NSAC-70 may be directly used to determine core flow as a function of level. For the case of boron addition, however, the NSAC-70 data may no longer be used since the boron disturbs the relationship between level and power. For this reason we have chosen to use a flow model which balances the hydraulic head inside the shroud against the corresponding head outside the shroud and equating the difference to irreversible flow losses depending on flow squared.

The NSAC-70 data for core voids and analytically calculated voids in the upper plenum and riser are used to calculate the hydraulic head for a range of flow rates and the NSAC-70 core flow data is used to determine an irreversible loss coefficient as a function of core flow. This model yields exact agreement with the NSAC-70 data for a transient with no boron addition due to the calculation of the loss coefficient from NSAC-70 data.

As boron is added, the change in power permits the model to calculate the change in voids in a consistent manner to describe the reduction in flow. This model is the most critical part of the calculation in terms of the power transient using the current model for boron mixing. This sensitivity results from the calculation of very low flow as boron is added and a corresponding reduction in boron transport rates.

The flow calculation sets a minimum core flow condition such that the core flow may never be less than that required to produce the steam leaving the core. Core inlet enthalpy is considered to be at saturation for this calculation. This assumption is valid as long as the feedwater sparger is uncovered. క్రి కార్యకోరింది కార్ లైన్ క్రిక్ కి సి. జర్గర్ కొడికి రెడిటి కెడ్డి సి. సి. జర్ క్రిక్ కి కి క్రిక్ గో సంగారంత్రారంతాలు సంధర్ కొరికి కోరింది నేపి సంజర్గారి సినీస్ సంసర్ సామార్లి సినిమం గాహా కార్ కేందినారు. ప్రారాగు కార్ కింటర్ క్రిక్ కోరింది కార్ కి. గ్రిక్ కింటర్ గారికి

ام و الا المعطوعة المراوي و الحالي المحالي و الترقي الترقي المعطور و المحالي المعالية المحالية المحالية المحالي المحالية المراوعية المراوعي و المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية و المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المراحة المحالية المح المحالية المح المحالية المح المحالية المحال المحالية ا

کو الوزید از بال الرجونی عکری کار و میگرد کرد و بالای علی آن و این این و در روی از روی از روی از در کاری کرد گون کی ایران الاقایوم الا بو بالا الا الا کرد کرد ایران الاقایون و در آن ایرافته کرد میزید و در روی الای کرد و هری وجع فرادی ایران الا قریر ایران ایران ایران ایران ایران الاقایون ایران و کونوی در مراد کرد و در از میلان ا ایران ایران میزان و در ایران و کی ایران ایران ایران ایران ایران ایران و کرد و کردو در ایران ایران ایران ایران ا ایران ایران میزان و در ایران ایران و کردو در ایران و در ایران و کرد ایران ایران میزان و در ایران و کردو در ایران و کرد و کرد

不不过是"这些"这些",这些"你说是"这"的第三人称单数的"不是","你们","你们",""你?""你?""你?""你?""你?""你?""你?""你?""你?"" "来不道道:"你说,你们的一次,","你不过了。"""你不不不不不好?""你?""你你,我不是你,你不是你?""你?""你?""你?""你?""你?""你?""你 来说,你们你?""你们?""你们?""你们?""你?""你?""你?""你你?""你?""你?""你?""你?"

ing an ing the training t

್ಯಾಲ್ ಬಿಕ್ಕೆಲ್ ಕಾರ್ಟ್ ನಿರ್ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಕಾರ್ಣ ಬೇಳು ಬೇಕುಗಳಿಗೆ ಬೇಳಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶದ ಬಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಬಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಬಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂದಿ ಸಾಮಾ ಸಂದೇಶ ವಿಕ್ರೇಶದ ಮಾರ್ಗಿಕೆಗೆ ಸಾಮಾನಿಗಳು ಸಾಮಾನಿ ಬೇಕಿಎ ಬೇಕುವು ಬೇಕಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಾರಿ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಮಾಡಿದ ಸಂಪ್ರ ಸಿಲಿಸ್ ಸೇರಿ ಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಸಾಮ್ರಿ ಬೇಕಿಂಗ್ ಸಾಮಾನಿಗಳು ಗಾಗಿ ಬರ್ಗಾನ್ ತಿಲ್ಲ ಗಾರ್ಕಿ ಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರೇರ್ಥಿ ಸೇರಿ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಪ್ರ ಸಾಮ್ರಿ ಬೇಕಿಂಗ್ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಗಾಗಿ ಬರ್ಗಾನ್ ತಿಲ್ಲ ಗಾರ್ಕಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸ್ಥಾನ್ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಪ್ರ ಸಿಲ್ಸ್ ಸಿಸ್ ಸಾಮ್ರಿ ಬೇಕಿಂಗ್ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸ್ಥಾನ್ ಸ್ಥೆ ಬೇಕು ಸಾಮಾನಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಿಸುವು ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿಸಿಂಗ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ಟ್ರೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕೆ

చూటాల ఉందించి కారాలు స్రక్షి ఉందు సినిమారి దేశారి కారాలి కారాలు ఉందు సినిమారు. ప్రాణాకూటా కారు క్రాణాలు క్రాణి ఎర్. రెడ్డారికోటాలు స్రక్షి సౌకర్షి సాధిని సాధాని సాధాని సాధాని సాధాని స్రా కార్కి క్రాష్ పైరాలు సినిమారికో సాధా కార్ కారు సినిమా ఎందికో సాధాని స్రాధి స్రాధి సాధాని సాధాని సాధాని స్రాధి స్రాధికో క్రాఫాలు కారు సినిమారికో సాధా కారు సాహా సాధికారు. స్రాధి స్రాధి స్రాధి స్రాధి సాధాని సాధాని సాధాని స్ర సాధున్ క్రాఫాలు కార్తి సాధానికో సాధా సాధానికి కారు సినిమా ఉంది. స్రాధి స్రాధి స్రాధి స్రాధి స్రాధి స్రాధి సాధికార్ స్రాధింగా ఉంది. కారా ప్రాధికారి సినిమా ఎంది స్రాధి స్రాధి స్రాధి స్రాధి స్రాధి స్రాధి స్రాధి సాధికారికి సాధానికి కారా ప్రాధికారి ఎంది సాధానికి కారు సినిమా సాధికి స్రాధి స్రాధి సినిమా ఉంది. సాధాని సాధాని స

مهر الارام الارام الموجودية، الذي الذي المراقع الحريمة الأولم مريومية، رادة والاردة القية المسلم المتعلمة. «المرجع جزرة الرجع الثلاث في المستعد الله المحافة الحريمة علم عن والاردة والاردة القية الاردة القية الاردة الم الإرامية المرجع ما تعلم الله القوار ويهالا عنه الله المحافة المراجع المحاد المرحم المحاد المحاد المحاد المحاد ا المحاد المحادي المحاد المحافة الذي المحاد المحاد

7.2.4 Void Models

The voids for the core are determined directly from the NSAC-70 data for average core voids. As boron is added, the portion of the voids due to fission power is reduced in inverse proportion to the fraction of HSD boron in the core.

The unborated void is divided between fission power and decay heat in proportion to their relative magnitude. It is this procedure which introduces conservatism into the estimate for the boron concentration needed for HSD.

Voids in the upper plenum and separator risers are calculated from an analytical model. To assure consistency of the unborated results to the NSAC-70 results, the flow loss coefficient is calculated for the unborated data points to force the model into agreement with NSAC-70. These flow loss coefficients are then used also for calculating the voids when boron is present. For this purpose the loss coefficient is considered to be a function of flow only. Any dependence of the loss coefficients on power cannot readily be derived from the NSAC-70 data and is therefore neglected.

This approach assures maintaining the performance derived by NSAC-70 unchanged, but also permits the influence of boron addition to be approximated.

7.2.5 Steam Flow From the Reactor

The reactor model is based on a constant pressure system, and a calculated steam flow which maintains constant reactor pressure. Reactor internal temperatures remain constant during the transient so that the steam flow is chosen to remove excess heat generation above that required to bring the makeup flow up to saturation.

7.2.6 Makeup Flow

The makeup flow to the reactor is controlled by a rather complex set of logical instructions which consider:

- 1. The water level
- The amount and distribution of boron in the reactor 2.

н 5, к. ,

F 1.1

- The impact on reactor pressure 3.
- 4. Time

The logic is arranged so that a variety of operator response strategies to ATWS may be simulated. The calculation will not permit a decrease in reactor pressure due to addition of makeup in excess of what the reactor power can bring to saturation. It avoids this by restricting makeup flow to that which can be brought to saturation with no steam flow from the vessel.

7.2.7 Water Level

The water level in the downcomer is calculated from a global mass balance on the vessel. First, the total mass in the vessel is calculated for a new time step. Then the energy change for the time step is calculated. Using the new energy and total mass, the quality is calculated and therefore the mass of κ' ,

. .

Constant frequences and the

الاسروم می آن از برای مرکزی ایران این این این این برای با این این میگری این میگری این مگری این مگری اوران ایروپی این محمد با با ایروپی این ایران ایران ایروپی ایروپی می می رای مرکز ایران می مگری ایران ایروپی ایروپی ایروپی ایروپی

الالهام ومانها هذه المرحلية الجرار ماناً محافظ العن العالم التي تحمد الميان العام المانية عام المعها المحافظ ال الما حصولية الحاج في المحاف المراجل المان المان العام المراجل المحاف المحمول المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ ال المحافظ المراجل المراجل المان المحاف المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ ال

ಮಾರ್ಟ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿಗಳು ಸೇವಿ ಗ್ರೇಟ್ಸ್ ಸಂಗ್ರೆ ಸಂಗ್ರೆ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿ ಕ್ರೋಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ ಸ್ಟ್ರಿಟ್ ಸೇರ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರಿ ಸ್ಟ್ರಿಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರಿಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರಿ ಸ್ಟ್ರಿಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರಿಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ ಸ್ಟ್ರೀ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್

and all the same the same the same the

e se er er er

াইয়ে তথ্য সংগত প্ৰীয়ত কৰা বিয়য় যাৰ আয়বহায় যা ৰাজকো মাজ হৰবেঁজ তথ্য শহাৰহায় সংগত হয়। সংগত হয় কেন্দ্ৰ হ শতাৰ্জ্য সংগত হয় হয় থাৰ কৰা যুৱন্ত্ৰী কৰা মতা নাইখল আ

الاستان المحققي التي والكار والكار والكام العلم بن والمالية المراجع المحافية المحتية المحتية المحتية المحتية ال يراجع العلمي الأملام المحتي المحتي المحتول المحتول المحتول المحتول المحتول المحتية المحتي المحتي المحتي المحتية المحتي المحتات المحتي المحتاج التي والمحتي المحتول المحتول المحتول المحتية المحتية المحتي المحتي المحتي المحتي المحتي المحتي المحتات المحتي المحتاج التي والمحتي المحتول المحتول المحتي المحتية المحتي المحتي المحتي المحتي الم

「「「「「「「「「」」」、「」」、「「」」、

 liquid phase. With the liquid phase mass and the assumption of saturation conditions for the liquid, the core, plenum, and separator voids may be used to calculate the downcomer liquid level.

The assumption of saturated liquid should be valid for those cases where the feedwater sparger is uncovered. Under these conditions the condensation of steam on the cold makeup water plus the mixing with saturated liquid from the steam separators will result in core inlet conditions very near to saturation.

Where the feedwater sparger is covered, this argument is no longer valid. For this condition some degree of subcooling in the downcomer and the lower plenum could occur. In the case of a still critical system this could result in increased fission power and in the case of a shutdown reactor it could cause the calculated steaming rate to be high for some period of time. We believe that the assumption of saturation does not have a major influence on the results of the calculations performed.

7.2.8 Boron Mixing

Our initial intent in the conduct of our calculations was to use the results of the General Electric evaluation of their 3D mixing test data for standpipe injection. This data is reported in NEDE-22267 and the data analysis and correlation is reported in NEDC-30921.

In the latter report GE did not develop a model from the data, but rather developed a functional fit to the data at each of four constant flow rates which yield a "mixing coefficient" as a function of time. This function, however, applies only to constant flow situations and cannot be used in a transient where flow varies. For this reason, it was necessary to develop a new model for boron mixing which could be adjusted to fit the data.

A boron mixing analytical model was developed which was intended to yield agreement with the GE evaluated data when proper values of the model parameters were chosen. The model divides the reactor liquid phase volume into four regions:

- 1. The upper portion of the lower plenum into which the boron is injected.
- 2. The core and bypass volume.
- 3. The upper plenum, separator, downcomer, and jet pump volume.
- 4. The lower portion of the lower plenum in which stagnated boron may accumulate.

In addition each of these subvolumes could be further divided into sub-nodes (up to 10) except for the fourth volume above.

The model used data for entrainment efficiency and a remixing time constant for the "stagnant" volume developed by General Electric for the BWR Owner's Group and reported in NEDC-22166 (August 1983). In addition, a core bypass model was added to represent the GE approach to data interpretation. ್ರವಾಗಿಗಳು ಹೇಳಿಗೆ ಬಾರ್ಕ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಭಾಗಿಯು ಮುಖ್ಯೆಗಳು ಬಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದರು. ಇದು ಬಿಲಿಸಲು ಕೇಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಮುಂದು ಸಿಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಲ್ಲಿ ಸ ಬಾರ್ಕ್ಸ್ ಕ್ರೌಮ್ಮನ್ನು ಬ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಸಿಕ್ರಿಯಿಂಗ್ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಗ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಮುಂದು ಸಿಲ್ಲಿಗಳ ಬ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಸಿಕ್ರಿಸಲ್ ಬರ್ಗೆ ಸಿಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಲ್ ಗೋಗ್ರಾಮ್ಮಗಳು ಸಿಲ್ಲೇಗಳು ಬೇಗು ಸಾಕ್ರಿಸಿಗಳು ಗ್ರಾಂಗ್ರಿಕ್ ಸಿಕ್ರಿಯ್ಟ್

.

ಿಕ್ಷೆ ಬ್ಯಾಪರ್ಕ್ಯಾಪ್ ಸ್ಥಾನ್ ಸೇವ್ ಕ್ರಿಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಬೈಲಿ ಮೊಬ್ಬಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಿಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಸ್ಥೇನ್ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಸ್ ಸ್ಥಾನ್ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಪ್ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ್ಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಸಿ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯು ಸೇವಿಗಳ ಹಿಡಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ್ಯ ಮೊಬ್ಬ ಸ್ಥಾನ್ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾಣಿಸುವುದು ಸೇ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯು ಸ್ಥಾನವರ್ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಸ್ಥಾನ ವಿಶ್ವೇ ವಿಶ್ವೇ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯು ಸೇವಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಿ ಸ್ಥಾನಿಗಳು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಸೇವಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನವರ್ ಸ್ಥಾನ್ ಸ್ಥಾನವರ್ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸ್ಥಾನಿಯ ಸ್ಥಾನಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನವರ್ ಸ್ಥಾನಿಗಳ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಸ್

۳۵ یا در ۲۹۵ یو تواند به ۲۹۵ مالد کا میک تواندگی ۳۵۵۴ مالیک در آن ۳۰۰ گیروی ۲۰۰۱ د. او و از مراد دو ۲۸۰۰ دارد از ۲۰۰۱ مالیک کار دو ۲۰۰۱ میک تواند کار ۲۰۰۱ میلی ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک او ۲۸۸۸ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک این کار ۲۰۰۱ مالیک تواند کار دو ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک او از ۲۵۱۸ میلیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک این ۲۰۰۱ مالیک تواند میلیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک او از ۲۵۱۸ میلیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک میلیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۱۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک او از ۲۵۱۸ میلیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک میلیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک او از ۲۵۱۸ میلیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک میلیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۱۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک ۲۰۰۱ مالیک

ан тайт наба на ан

.

ادر بیآنه اگر اندان در بایار این برگرد از ایک معهد می در میکند با استرا ایک ایکن برای کرد. در ۱۹۹۰ مرزی از مرحم در ایک مرکب گردیک گریزی ایک **وگرد از ایک میکن ایک در دیک کرد در در ۱**۹۵ مرکزی ایک ایک ایک ایک ایک مرکب ایک میکند ایک آیک مرکب گردیک ایک میکند ایک ایک میک میک میکند میکند. ایک در میکند میکند ایک میکند ایک م ایک میکند ایک میکن ایک میکن ایک میکند ایک ایک میکند ایک ایک میکند ایک میک میکند ایک در میکند ایک میکند ایک ایک ایک میکند ایک میکند ایک میکند ایک میکند ایک ایک میکند ایک ایک میکند ایک میکند ایک در در دارد ایک ایک میکند ایک ایک میکند ا

- and the second second
 - **C·V** • **f** • • • •
- and the second second
- A strategy of the stra

ave a subservation of the state attained to the state of the Attained to the state of the state

المهاد المالية المالية المالية الميلية المرابعة المالية المرابعة المرافعة المالية المحكور المحكور المحكور المحك المراجع المحكومية في من المالية المحكمة المعاد المحكمة المحكمة المحكم المحكم المحكوم المحكوم المحكوم المحكوم ال المراجعين المحكومية في المراجع المالية في المراجع المحكم المحكم المحكم المحكم المحكم المحكم المحكوم المحكم المح The basis for the core bypass model was that GE excluded the outer-most ring of instrumented fuel bundle mockups from the data reduction and also the inner ring bundle nearest the injection stand pipe. Since some of these channels may see greater than average boron concentrations on the first pass of fluid as it circulates around the reactor flow path, some boron effectively bypasses the core on its first circuit of the reactor volume. The bypassed boron should mix relatively uniformly beyond the core, and so will be seen in subsequent fluid passes. This was modeled by bypassing a portion of the entrained boron around the core and injecting it at the core exit.

The model then provides for boron injection at three locations. The upper lower plenum, the upper plenum, and the lower lower plenum. The bypass coefficient, the mixing efficiency, and the remixing coefficient (which removes stagnated boron) were all modeled as functions of flow. Starting with the data for these functions from the original model a variety of bypass functions were tried without success. After extensive attempts to obtain agreement by drastic adjustments to the three functional relationships two extreme cases were calculated: first, zero mixing efficiency with adjustments to the remixing time constant to yield best agreement, and, second, one hundred percent mixing efficiency with one hundred percent bypass. For the first of these, the bypass model has no influence on the results and for the second the remixing time constant has no practical influence on the results.

The finding, for these two extreme cases, was that both produced nearly identical results when the functional forms giving the best fit to the data were selected.

For the first case, a remixing time constant much slower than that recommended by General Electric was required to yield the best agreement for the 5% flow test. For higher flow rates, the long term values for mixing coefficient calculated were reasonable, but the short term values were much too high compared to the evaluated data.

For the second case, ten subnodes each in the downcomer, the upper lower plenum, and the core were used to represent the circulation delay. Finer noding did not further improve the results. Much coarser noding could be used in the core and upper lower plenum. The best fit obtained by this approach had exactly the same characteristics as the first approach. The 5% curve fit was conservative. The higher flow results were good in the asymptotic region, but much higher than the General Electric correlation in the "knee" region of the curve.

From these results, it was concluded that no physically reasonable combination of functional dependences for the three mixing phenomena incorporated into the model could yield an improved fit to the correlation.

At this point we investigated the mixing behavior of fluid jets into relatively stagnant fluid volumes. Data on entrainment of surrounding fluid by jet streams indicates that a very high degree of mixing of the boron solution with the reactor water is to be expected as it leaves the standpipe. The exit velocity at 86 gpm is about 70 feet/second through 8 holes of 0.25 inch diameter. Perry (Table 5-6) indicates that the mixing out to 100 diameters (25 inches) for either injection rate, 43 gpm or 86 gpm, should mix the boron solution with entrained reactor water to bring the mixture density to within a few percent of reactor water density. ۲۵ و بال بال المحمد المحمد المحمد التركيم والمحمد المحمد المحم المحمد المحم المحمد المحم المحمد المحم المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحم المحمد المحمد ا

الای الای درانی در ایران این این این این این در در این ۲۸ این در این در در وایل ایران در این این ای ای این این این این این این در این این در در این این این این این این این این این در این این در این ای این این این این این این

ان از این می از این می از این به این می از این می بیشتن از این به این می بیشن از این می بیشن از این میش از این این می از می بیش از این این این این این این این می بیش این می بیش این می وارد این می بیش می بیش این این این این این می این این این این این این این این می بیش بیش این این می بیش این این این این می بیش این می بیش این این این این این می وارد این این این می بیش این این می بیش این این می این این این این این می وارد این می بیش این می وارد

ال المام الأسانية المحافظ الذي المراجع المانية في المحافظ من من المحافظ من المحافظ من العرب المحافظ المحافظ ال المام المراجع المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ من المحافظ من المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ الم المحافظ المحافظ

می از باید می واجع از این می بردی بر می از این از این می باید می این می این می این از می باید از این می باید ای این این برای می می بردی این می بردی این می این م این این می می می این می این می این می این می بردی این می بردی این می این این می بردی می این این می بردی می این این می بردی می این می ا این می این می بردی می این می ای این می There are reservations about this conclusion due to qualifications expressed in the discussion in Perry. The fact that a liquid (as opposed to test results for air) is involved and that the jet is a denser fluid could both reduce the mixing efficiency. Nevertheless, we believe that this result supports the use of a mixing efficiency near 100% independent of the reactor flow rate. Only at the very lowest flow rates would any discernable degree of stagnation be expected due to the thorough mixing due to jet entrainment. This apparent stagnation would be primarily due to the low bulk fluid velocity.

Examination of the lower plenum thermocouple data from the 3D tests support this conclusion. All lower plenum thermocouples show a start of the temperature increase that is nearly the same for all and a rate and magnitude of temperature rise that is nearly the same for all. This behavior appears to hold for all tests from 0% flow to 20% flow. The only conclusion that can be drawn from this behavior is that mixing in the lower plenum is rapid and reaches nearly the entire lower plenum volume.

This finding supports the GE conclusion that mixing is independent of injection rate (as the theory states it should be), but does not support the GE concept of stagnation of the injected boron except possibly for the case of zero core flow. Even in the zero flow case, however, the evidence clearly demonstrates that the lower plenum is well mixed, but perhaps not perfectly mixed.

On the basis of the above discussion we have chosen to utilize a 100% mixing efficiency in our model combined with a 100% bypass of the core on the first circuit. The bypass is intended to represent the data censoring concept used by GE in interpreting the data. We believe that the following conservatisms result.

- 1. The time of boron entry into the core is delayed by from 75 seconds at 20% flow to 300 seconds at 5% flow using a bypass fraction of unity.
- 2. The entire downcomer volume has been assumed to be included for boron mixing when it is believed that little mixing with the volume below the jet pump throat will occur.
- 3. Instantaneous and uniform mixing within each sub-node is assumed.

These conservatisms are believed to have a strong influence on the results of the analysis and are believed to more than compensate for potential non-conservatisms in the model. The non-conservatisms are:

- 1. Complete mixing of the injected boron is assumed with no stratification of dense solution.
- 2. Concentration within the core is assumed uniform.
- 3. The recirc loop volume is excluded from the calculation.

The first of these is believed to be fully supported by the nature of the 3D test data and by theoretical and test results for jet entrainment. The second



ان المراكزية من ماد المستعلى بيرك فراف معن من تركي من المراكز المراكز من الالالية المراكزية المراكزية المراكزية التركية المستعلم المراكزية المركزية المركزية المركزية المركزية المركزية المركزية المركزية المركزية المركزية الم المركزية المجالية المركزية الم المركزية المجالية المركزية الم المركزية المحالية المركزية الم المركزية الم المركزية الم المركزية الم

中国人的人的人的人的人的人的人的人的人的人的人,就是一种的人,就是一种的一种的人的人,我们的人的人,不是是一种的人,也不是一种的人的人,也不是有一个人。 作用的人们是不是是不是不是不是不是不是不是不是我们的人,也不是我们的人们的人们的人,不是是一种的人们。 一人们不是一个人们的人们,不是是一个人的人们的情况的,不是是是一个人们的人们的人们,不是是不是我们的人,这些人们的人。 一人们不是一个人们的时候,一起来说,你们就是你们的人们的人,你们就是你们的人们的人,你们是你们的人,你们不是

ાર પ્રાથમિક પ્રાથમિક કેવેલા છે. ૬ સ્થાર્થ સ્વરાદ કેક્રિસ્ટેલ્ટ્ર હોયપ્રસ્ટાર કેંદ્રો સ્થાયક કેટ કેટ સ્વાર્થ પ્રાથમિક પ્રાથમિક સ્થાય વર્ષ્ય કેલ્ આવે કહે

ಗಳ ಗಳಿಸುವುದು ಬ್ಯಾಲಕ್ಷಕ್ರಿಗಳ ನಿರ್ವಾರ್ಷ ಮಾಡಿದಿಂದುವರು ಸಾಧಾನಿಕ ಸೇರ್ಪ್ ಕೇರಿದ ಕೇರಿ ಬಿಕಿದ್ದ ಎಂದು ಗಳಿಸಿದು ವಿಜನ್ ಸಂಗ್ರೆ ಸಂಗ್ರೆ ಬ್ರೋಗಳ ಸಾಧಾನವು ಕಾರ್ಣಿಯಿಗೆ ಹಾರಣಿಗಳಿಗಳ ನಿರ್ವಾಧಿ ಸಾಧಾನ್ಯ ಸಿನ್ನಾಗಿ ಬ್ರೋಬ್ ಸೇರಿಗಳ ಸಂಗ್ರೆ ಸಂಗ್ರೆ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸೆಸಿ ಹೇಗೆ ಸೇರ್ಟ್ ಬ್ರೀಟ್ ಸಾಧಾನಿ ಬ್ರೀಟ್ ಗ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಸೇರ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರೆ ಸ್ಟ್ರಿಯಿಂದ ಹೊಸಲಾವು ಬ್ರೀಟ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸಿರ್ದಿಸ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಗ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಸೇರ್ಟ್ ಬೇಗೆ ಗ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರೆ ಸಿಬ್ದಾರೆಗಳು ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸಿರ್ದಿಸಿ ಬರೆ ಬ್ರೀಟ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸಿನ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರೆ ಸ್ಟ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಸಿಬ್ದಾರೆಗಳು ಬ್ರೀಟ್ ಸಿಬ್ದಾರೆಗಳು ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ ಸ್ಟ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರಾನ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರಾಂಗ್ ಸಿಬ್ದಾರೆಗಳು ಬ್ರೀಟ್ ಸಿಬ್ದಾರೆಗಳು ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾರ್ ಸಿನ್ನಾ

- - a first of the Barrows in the State of the Barrows in the second state of the second state of the second state

的第三人类,不是是数据文化的中华,数据数据,是数据数字,数据为数字,第二次的数字,第一次,是为数字,第一次的一次的一次的。 2019年代, 2019年代, 2019年代, 2019年代, 2019年代, 2019年代, 2 来说的人们, 2019年代, 2 1919年代, 2019年代, 2019年代,

- and the second of the station of the second s
 - A State of the second state of th

- of the least in the second state of the

n net a status setting i setting na setting to the setting in the set of a subject of subject of the set of the For sub-status and the subject of the setting as the set of the setting is the setting of the set of the set of is believed unimportant in that the injected boron is not counted as in-core boron on its first pass, and concentration should be nearly uniform after passage through the upper plenum, separator, and jet pumps. Exclusion of the recirc loop volume is justified on the basis that no circulation through the loop is expected during the boron injection period. Flow induced by the natural circulation flow is expected to be small and the inclusion of the lower downcomer volume should compensate for any flow induced.

In order to establish an upper bound to SLCS performance a second set of calculations was performed in which a mixing efficiency of 100% was assumed, but no core bypass was assumed. Since all boron injected into the lower plenum must now pass through the core before reaching the downcomer volume, mixing coefficients much greater than unity are calculated in the first minute or so of the injection transient, and the mixing coefficient approaches unity from above asymptotically. The time delay for boron circulation through the reactor is properly represented in this model, however. The apparent large improvement due to high values of mixing coefficients does not really have a strong impact on the overall pool temperature transient since the boron concentrations early in the transient are small. The major benefit from this model is a result of the asymptotic behavior which results in core boron concentrations 10% to 30% higher at longer times in the transient.

The two sets of calculations performed represent upper and lower bounds on the reactor response to an ATWS transient. Based on our review of the General Electric test data in NEDE-22267, we believe that the zero bypass case is the better model for actual system response.

8.0 Results of the Analysis

A summary of the results of the calculations performed is shown in Table 8-1. The calculations have considered both the existing 43 gpm boron injection system and the future 86 gpm system. The limiting cases for core bypass, 0 and 1, are believed to represent bounding cases for reactor response to a limiting isolation ATWS. Four response strategies have been evaluated. These are:

- 1. BWROG response. Terminate make up flow until TAF, then maintain level at TAF.
- 2. Same as 1 above except control level at -110 inches to avoid level 1 trips and loss of wide range level indication.
- 3. Allow full flow HPCI/RCIC operation until level begins to increase due to boron injection, then maintain that level.
- 4. Allow full flow HPCI/RCIC operation until water level returns to normal. Maintain level at normal.

An evaluation of these results follows.

Boron Injection at 43 gpm

Initiation of boron injection is assumed to begin at 120 sec. Since the SLCS transit time for boron is only 13 seconds, it has been neglected both for the

من و هي در اين الله المراجع الله المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراحع ال حجو حجو حجو المراجع ا المراجع ا المراجع الم المراجع المرا المراجع الم المراجع ا المراجع ا الم

MERCEN STORE IN S. 2

ನ್ನು ಆಟರ್ ಎಂ. ಆರ್. ಬೈಲ್ಯ ಆರ್. ಟ್ರೌಟ್ ಆಗಿ ಆಗಿ ಕ್ರಿಟ್ ಬ್ಯಾಂಡಿ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಎಂದು ಕ್ರಿಟ್ ಸ್ರಿಯಾಗ್ ಸ್ರಿಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥೆ ಸ್ಥ್ರಿಯಾಗಿ ಪ್ರತಿಕರ್ಷ ಪ್ರತಿಕರ್ಷ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಸ್ಥಾನಿ ಸೇವಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಸ್ಥಿಯಿತ್ರಿ ಅಟ್ಟಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನ್ ಸ್ಥೆ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಗ್ ಸ್ಥೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಪ್ರತಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಕ್ರಿಟ್ ಕ್ರಿಟಿಕ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಥಾನ್ ಸ್ಥೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಸೇವಿ ಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಸ್ಥೆ ಕ್ರಾಂಡಿಸ್ ಸ್ಥೆ ಸ್ಥೆ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾ ಪ್ರತಿ ಸ್ಥಾನಿ ಸ್ಥೇನ್ ಸ್ಥೇನ್ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥ ಪ್ರತಿ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥೇನ್ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಪ್ರತಿ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥೇನ್ ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಆಗ್ರಾಂಡಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿ ಸ

. The top of the second second second second

The second se

 43 gpm and 86 gpm cases. All four response strategies avoid reaching the ATWS HCTL curve before shutdown, and, on that basis, all four response strategies are acceptable. This is an important result in that if the operator fails to throttle HPCI for strategy 3, the resulting pool temperature does not exceed HCTL. Table 8-2 shows that for strategies 1 and 2 there are four actions required within 160 seconds while for 3 only two are required. The second of these actions for strategy 3 is not critical in that failure to execute it will still yield acceptable results.

The TAF case is not considered acceptable on the basis that level 1 isolations and initiations would be generated prior to 160 seconds and would as a result cause a much heavier burden on the operators as a result of the L1 initiations. Those initiations can be avoided by holding level at a minimum value of -110 inches instead of TAF.

The resulting advantage of strategy 2 over strategy 3 is between $13^{\circ}F$ and $17^{\circ}F$. This advantage is a result of the fact that mass of water is less for 2 than for 3. In both cases HSD is reached within 30 seconds after the peak suppression pool temperature is reached. As a result, the remixing guidance of the EPGs does not influence the transient, since HSD is reached before make up flow can actually be increased.

Even for the case of a 43 gpm SLCS the concerns over reducing water level beyond the HPCI/RCIC equilibrium level are considered to outweigh the slight additional reduction in peak pool temperature that results. Furthermore, an advantage of this magnitude is strictly temporary since the SLCS injection capacity will be increased to 86 gpm in the future.

Boron Injection at 86 gpm

Increasing the boron injection rate to 86 gpm essentially removes any concern over exceeding the HCTL curve regardless of the response strategy. The advantage of strategy 2 over strategy 3 is reduced to the range of $5^{\circ}F$ to $8^{\circ}F$. Performance is so improved that the failure to throttle HPCI flow for strategy 3 will not result in exceeding even the $165^{\circ}F$ HCTL value for non-ATWS events. For the higher injection rate the incentive to operate the reactor at low water levels to reduce suppression pool peak temperature has essentially been removed. The incentives to avoid operation at low water level remains. తెల్లెక్ కార్ కొండ ఉన్నారు. స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్టాల్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ కొర్తి స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ ఉందు రైక్ సెట్ ని కారాల్ బ్రాల్ కొర్తి సెట్ ఫ్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ కారాల్ ఇంట్ స్ట్రాల్ కొండు లోని సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రా ఫ్రాల్ ఫ్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్ర ఫ్రాల్ ఫ్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ ఫ్రెల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ ఫ్రెల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ సెట్ సెట్ సెట్ సెట్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ ఫ్రెల్ స్ట్రాల్ ఫ్ట్రెట్ ఫ్ట్రెట్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ సెట్ ఫ్రెల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్ట్రాల్ స్

و به المحالية من المحالية المعالية المحالية بالمعلمة من المحالية المعالية من المحالية ا والمحالية المحالية الم المحالية الم المحالية الم

ان والدامين الامين المحروب المحرول والمحرفة مع الكل كالاحراث المركز عرب المحالة المحروبين بالأراف الم الالا على المربي المؤلف الحراب من المحرول والمحرفة المع المحرول الأرجاب الأربع العالم المحروبين بالأراف المرابع عالي المربي المربي المعلم المحرول المحروك أولا المحرول الأرجاب الأربع العالم المحروب المحروف الأمان المرابع الع مرابع المربي المحروب المعلم المحرول المحروك أولا المحرول الأرجاب الأربع العالم المحروب المحروبين بالأراف المحر مرابع المحروب المحروب المعلم المحروب المحروب المحروب المحروب المحروب المحروب المحروبين المحروبين المحروبين الم

ಗಳನ್ನು ಸಂಸ್ಥಿತಿಗಳು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಕನ್ನು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆತ್ವ ಸ್ನೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಿಪಿಸುತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆತ್ವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆತ್ವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆತ್ವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಸ್ಥೇಧಿಗಳು ಸಂಶ್ಲೇ ಪ್ರೀತಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆತ್ವ ಸಂಭಿತಿಗಳು ಸೇರಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಸ್ಥಾನಿಸುತ್ತವು ಸೇರಿತ್ವವು ಸೇರಿತ್ವವು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆತ್ವ ಪ್ರೇಟಿಗೆ ತಂತರಾಗಿ ಸಂಶ್ಲೆಶಿಂತರಿ ಸ್ಥೇನಿ ಸ್ಥೇನಿ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಸಂಪ್ರೇಶಿಸಿಕ ಸೇರಿತ್ವ ಸೇರಿ ಶೇರಿಪ್ರ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆತ್ವವು ಸೇರಿತ್ವೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಸೇರಿತ್ವಾಗ್ಗಳು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆತ್ವ ಸಂಪ್ರಕ್ರಿ ಸೇರಿತ್ವ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೆ ಸಂಪ್ರಕ್ರಿ ಸೇರಿತ್ವ ಸೇರಿತ್ವ ಸೇರಿತ್ವವು ಸೇರಿತ್ವೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆತ್ವ ಸೇರಿತ್ವಾಗ್ಗಳು ಸ್ಥಾನಿಸಿಕ ಸೇರಿತ್ವಾಗಿ ಸೇರಿತ್ವ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆತ್ವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆತ್ವ ಸೇರಿತ್ವ ಸೇರಿತ್ವ ಸೇರಿತ್ವೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆತ್ವ ಸೇರಿ

-		Strategy*	Maximum Pool Temperature	Time To:							
c				<u></u>							
Injection Rate	Bypass			QSH	Latest SLCS Start	L2	II0 ⁰ F	145°F	165°F	Minimum Level	
43	0	1	: 153.5	1000	1075	95	90	560		160	
43	0	2	163.4	1020	660	95	90	410		´ 150	
43	0	3	180.0	1190	420	95	90	370	650	170	
43	0	4	196.8	1510	240	95	90	370	650		
43	1	1	165.2	1320	860	95	90	450 [°]	1220	160	
43	1	2	174.3	1370	530	95	90	-390	800	150	
43	1	3	187.1	1570	340	95	90	360	620	190	
43	1	4	201.6	1590	170	95	90	360	<u> </u>		
86	0	1	137.0	510	1365	95	90		·	. 160	
86	· 0	2	141.7	520	910	95	90			140	
86	0	່ 3	149.9	640	* 740	95	90	430		200	
86	0	4	157.1	790	710	95	90	450			
86	1	ľ	148.6	800	1190	95	90	550		160	
86	1	2	152.1	. 850	800	95	90	460		`150	
86	1	3	157.3	900	680	95	90	390		220	
86	1`	4	161.6	870	. 655	95	90	400			

* 1 = Hold level at TAF.

.

2 = Hold level at -110 inches.

3 = Full HPCI/RCIC flow to equilibrium level, then hold.

. .

4 = Full HPCI/RCIC flow to NWL.

Table 8-1

Summary of Calculated Results for Response Strategies

	,	•	1	2	3	4
1.	Operator	trips HPCI/RCIC	90	90		
2.	Operator	initiates SLCS	120	120	120	120
3.	Operator	restarts HPCI	160	150		
4.	Operator	adjusts HPCI flow	160	150	170	
5.	Operator	starts RHR	450	450	450	450
, 6 .	Operator	closes HX bypass	1160	1010	970	970

Strategy

Table 8-2

Timing Requirements for Operator Actions (seconds)

(43 gpm Boron Injection)

EVALUATION OF SSES DEVIATIONS

FROM BWR OWNERS GROUP EPG'S

.(NON - ATWS)

Prepared By: St. Proj. Engr. (Nuclear Systems

Reviewed By:

Uphlombia Supervisor - Eng. Aval.

Approved By:

Afrand Rese

Scope

The scope of this document is to provide an evaluation of the differences between the BWR Owner's Group Emergency Procedures Guidelines (EPG's) and the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station (SSES) specific EPG's which have been generated. This review is limited to procedure differences which do not relate to the anticipated transient without scram (ATWS) event. The following differences are evaluated in this report.

- 1. Water level at which the Reactor Pressure Vessel (RPV) control sequence is entered.
- 2. Allowing a main steam isolation valve (MSIV) to reopen during a non-ATWS event.
- 3. Additional guidance on Site Area Emergency dose rates as entry into RPV control sequence.
- 4. A deleted step in secondary containment control which gives guidance for secondary containment high water levels.
- Allow the operator to use the bypass valves, if available, in emergency depressurization along with the safety/relief valves. (S/RV's).

Background & Purpose

The NRC has issued a Safety Evaluation Report (SER) on the EPG's Revision 3 as submitted by the BWR Owners Group, of which PP&L is a participating member. However, in formulating the SSES specific EPG's, design differences and plant specific features at SSES required some changes in the Owners Group generic EPG's to more accurately reflect the SSES design. A requirement in the SER written by the NRC allows the Generic EPG's to be modified if an evaluation of the consequences of such changes is included. The purpose of this document is to provide an evaluation of the non ATWS related differences denoted above.

Analysis

Change Entry Level into RPV Control Guideline from L3 toL2

The level for entry into the RPV Control Guidance procedures as given in the generic EPG's is the level at which a scram on low water level occurs (L3). A review of plant startup data (NPE Technical Report NPE-85-001) shows that under a turbine trip condition from normal water level, void collapse in the core will result in the narrow range water level reaching L3. Since the EPG's should be entered into in emergency conditions only, an entry level on L3 could refer the operator to the EPG's under normal conditions. Therefore, a better entry level into this guidance would be the level at which high pressure make up systems are actuated or L2. A transient in which the level fell such that the high pressure injection systems are initiated would be indicative of level control problems in normal plant systems, therefore, setting the entry conditions at L2 for the RPV Control guidelines is technically justified.

Allow the Use of the Main Condenser Under Non-ATWS Events

2

The generic EPG's allow the operator to attempt to reestablish steam flow to the condenser under ATWS conditions when the condenser is available and no indication of gross fuel failure exists by opening the main steam isolation valves (MSIV's). At SSES, reestablishment of the main condenser, if available; as a source of cooling is the desired cooling mode under ATWS or non-ATWS conditions provided that no indication of fuel failure exist. Since fuel failure is the only condition under which long-lived isotopic fission product contamination can migrate to the condenser, the requirement that no indication of fuel damage exist prior to reestablishment of condenser cooling adequately precludes the possibility of radiation due to fission product transport reaching the condenser and therefore transmitted to the environment. Under conditions which indications of fuel damage exist, the MSIV's remain closed and cooling is established via the suppression pool cooling path. If fuel damage does occur or is undetected, the jumpering open of the MSIV's does not preclude the closing of the valves on high main steam line radiation levels, according to operations staff.

Therefore, it is technically justified to delete the condition that boron injection be required before the MSIV's are to be reopened. Deleting this requirement allows reestablishment of condenser cooling under appropriate conditions under both ATWS and non-ATWS conditions in procedural step RC/P-1.

Add an Entry to RPV Control Guideline on the Basis of Site Emergency Declaration

The generic EPG's have as entry level into the RPV control guideline the criteria for a general emergency as far as boundary dose calculations are concerned. At SSES, this guidance is transformed into a slightly more conservative approach, still within the intent of the EPG's, whereby the entry condition into the RPV Control Guideline is off-site dose equivalent to a site emergency rather than a general emergency. By taking control of reactor pressure and reducing it, the general emergency classification may be avoided by reducing the driving force for fission product transport. Since the RPV control guideline at SSES would be entered before the generic EPG's would have the operator enter the guideline, the SSES EPG's are consistent with the intent of the generic EPG's and are applied conservatively. Thus, no safety impact due to this addition exists.

Delete Section in Secondary Containment Control

The generic EPG's contain a section, SC/L. which instructs the operator to perform actions based on the level of water existing on the floor of rooms in secondary containment. At SSES, no water level measurements are available for rooms in secondary containment prior to the alarm condition. However, the location of safety related equipment in secondary containment is such that their function is maintained even at the alarm setpoint. Therefore, operator response to the alarm is sufficient to maintain function or at least maintain control. The action steps required to respond to alarm conditions are covered in SSES off-normal procedures, therefore no specific steps from the EPG's are required.

Use of Bypass Valves During Emergency Depressurization

Under Emergency Depressurization conditions, the generic EPG's instruct the operator to open six safety/relief valves (S/RV's) (either all of the ADS

valves or enough non-ADS valves to equal a total of six valves open). The SSES specific EPG's provide the same general instructions but allow the use of the bypass valves if prerequisites are met.

The prerequisites for maintaining the condenser available are the same as the requisites given for opening the MSIV's as discussed earlier in this section. The main concern is to limit the amount of fission product transport out of containment into the condenser. If no indication of fuel damage exist as a prerequisite, the condenser may be used as a heat sink and thus may be used during depressurization. If fuel damage indications are present, then only the S/RV's opening to the suppression pool should be used as a depressurization path.

The calculation for equivalencing S/RV's to bypass valves has been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Conclusion

، • • • •

> The differences between the generic EPG's and the SSES specific EPG's have been reviewed. In each case the SSES specific EPG's were found to be acceptable from a safety standpoint.

jf/rpe50a:nf

EVALUATION OF SSES DEVIATIONS

FROM BWR OWNERS GROUP EPG'S

(NON - ATWS)

Prepared By: <u>July St. Proj. Engr. (Nuclear Systems</u>

Reviewed By:

Uplicades Supervisor - Eng. Aval.

Approved By:

ho Manager NF&SE

Scope

The scope of this document is to provide an evaluation of the differences between the BWR Owner's Group Emergency Procedures Guidelines (EPG's) and the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station (SSES) specific EPG's which have been generated. This review is limited to procedure differences which do not relate to the anticipated transient without scram (ATWS) event. The following differences are evaluated in this report.

- 1. Water level at which the Reactor Pressure Vessel (RPV) control' sequence is entered.
- 2. Allowing a main steam isolation valve (MSIV) to reopen during a non-ATWS event.
- 3. Additional guidance on Site Area Emergency dose rates as entry into RPV control sequence.
- 4. A deleted step in secondary containment control which gives guidance for secondary containment high water levels.
- Allow the operator to use the bypass valves, if available, in emergency depressurization along with the safety/relief valves. (S/RV's).

Background & Purpose

The NRC has issued a Safety Evaluation Report (SER) on the EPG's Revision 3 as submitted by the BWR Owners Group, of which PP&L is a participating member. However, in formulating the SSES specific EPG's, design differences and plant specific features at SSES required some changes in the Owners Group generic EPG's to more accurately reflect the SSES design. A requirement in the SER written by the NRC allows the Generic EPG's to be modified if an evaluation of the consequences of such changes is included. The purpose of this document is to provide an evaluation of the non ATWS related differences denoted above.

Analysis

Change Entry Level into RPV Control Guideline from L3 toL2

The level for entry into the RPV Control Guidance procedures as given in the generic EPG's is the level at which a scram on low water level occurs (L3). A review of plant startup data (NPE Technical Report NPE-85-001) shows that under a turbine trip condition from normal water level, void collapse in the core will result in the narrow range water level reaching L3. Since the EPG's should be entered into in emergency conditions only, an entry level on L3 could refer the operator to the EPG's under normal conditions. Therefore, a better entry level into this guidance would be the level at which high pressure make up systems are actuated or L2. A transient in which the level fell such that the high pressure injection systems are initiated would be indicative of level control problems in normal plant systems, therefore, setting the entry conditions at L2 for the RPV Control guidelines is technically justified.

Allow the Use of the Main Condenser Under Non-ATWS Events

The generic EPG's allow the operator to attempt to reestablish steam flow to the condenser under ATWS conditions when the condenser is available and no indication of gross fuel failure exists by opening the main steam isolation valves (MSIV's). At'SSES, reestablishment of the main condenser, if available, as a source of cooling is the desired cooling mode under ATWS or non-ATWS conditions provided that no indication of fuel failure exist. Since fuel failure is the only condition under which long-lived isotopic fission product contamination can migrate to the condenser, the requirement that no indication of fuel damage exist prior to reestablishment of condenser cooling adequately precludes the possibility of radiation due to fission product transport reaching the condenser and therefore transmitted to the environment. Under conditions which indications of fuel damage exist, the MSIV's remain closed and cooling is established via the suppression pool cooling path. If fuel damage does occur or is undetected, the jumpering open of the MSIV's does not preclude the closing of the valves on high main steam line radiation levels, according to operations staff.

Therefore, it is technically justified to delete the condition that boron injection be required before the MSIV's are to be reopened. Deleting this requirement allows reestablishment of condenser cooling under appropriate conditions under both ATWS and non-ATWS conditions in procedural step RC/P-1.

Add an Entry to RPV Control Guideline on the Basis of Site Emergency Declaration

The generic EPG's have as entry level into the RPV control guideline the criteria for a general emergency as far as boundary dose calculations are concerned. At SSES, this guidance is transformed into a slightly more conservative approach, still within the intent of the EPG's, whereby the entry condition into the RPV Control Guideline is off-site dose equivalent to a site emergency rather than a general emergency. By taking control of reactor pressure and reducing it, the general emergency classification may be avoided by reducing the driving force for fission product transport. Since the RPV control guideline at SSES would be entered before the generic EPG's would have the operator enter the guideline, the SSES EPG's are consistent with the intent of the generic EPG's and are applied conservatively. Thus, no safety impact due to this addition exists.

Delete Section in Secondary Containment Control

The generic EPG's contain a section, SC/L. which instructs the operator to perform actions based on the level of water existing on the floor of rooms in secondary containment. At SSES, no water level measurements are available for rooms in secondary containment prior to the alarm condition. However, the location of safety related equipment in secondary containment is such that their function is maintained even at the alarm setpoint. Therefore, operator response to the alarm is sufficient to maintain function or at least maintain control. The action steps required to respond to alarm conditions are covered in SSES off-normal procedures, therefore no specific steps from the EPG's are required.

Use of Bypass Valves During Emergency Depressurization

Under Emergency Depressurization conditions, the generic EPG's instruct the operator to open six safety/relief valves (S/RV's) (either all of the ADS

valves or enough non-ADS valves to equal a total of six valves open). The SSES specific EPG's provide the same general instructions but allow the use of the bypass valves if prerequisites are met.

The prerequisites for maintaining the condenser available are the same as the requisites given for opening the MSIV's as discussed earlier in this section. The main concern is to limit the amount of fission product transport out of containment into the condenser. If no indication of fuel damage exist as a prerequisite, the condenser may be used as a heat sink and thus may be used during depressurization. If fuel damage indications are present, then only the S/RV's opening to the suppression pool should be used as a depressurization path.

The calculation for equivalencing S/RV's to bypass valves has been reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Conclusion

The differences between the generic EPG's and the SSES specific EPG's have been reviewed. In each case the SSES specific EPG's were found to be acceptable from a safety standpoint.

jf/rpe50a:nf