UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

ALLEGHENY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

DOCKET NO. 50-387

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 64
License No. NPF-14

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or the NRC) has found that:

   A. The application for the amendment filed by the Pennsylvania Power & Light Company (PP&L), dated December 12, 1986, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;

   B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the regulations of the Commission;

   C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;

   D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and

   E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the enclosure to this license amendment; and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-14 is hereby amended to read as follows:

   (2) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

   The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 64, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B are hereby incorporated in the license. PP&L shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

/S/

Walter R. Butler, Director
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosure:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 7, 1987

Previously concurred*:

LA:BWD-3:DBL*	BWD-3:DBL	OGC*	D:PDI-2:DRP WB
EHylton/hmc	MThadani	MYoung	WButler
03/26/87	03/26/87	03/31/87	05/7/87

[illegible]

3. This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Walter R. Butler

Walter R. Butler, Director
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects I/II

Enclosure:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 7, 1987

ENCLOSURE TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 64

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-14

DOCKET NO. 50-387

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change. The corresponding overleaf pages are also provided to maintain document completeness.

REMOVE	INSERT
xxi	xxi
xxii	xxii (overleaf)
3/4 2-3	3/4 2-3
3/4 2-4	3/4 2-4
3/4 2-5	3/4 2-5 (overleaf)
3/4 2-6	3/4 2-6
3/4 2-8 Deleted	------
3/4 2-9	3/4 2-9
------	3/4 2-9a
3/4 2-10	3/4 2-10 (overleaf)
3/4 3-53	3/4 3-53 (overleaf)
3/4 3-54	3/4 3-54
3/4 4-1b	3/4 4-1b (overleaf)
3/4 4-1c	3/4 4-1c
B 3/4 2-1	B 3/4 2-1 (overleaf)
B 3/4 2-2	B 3/4 2-2
B 3/4 4-1	B 3/4 4-1
B 3/4 4-2	B 3/4 4-2 (overleaf)
B 3/4 7-3	B 3/4 7-3 (overleaf)
B 3/4 7-4	B 3/4 7-4

INDEX

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES



MAXIMUM AVERAGE PLANAR LINEAR HEAT
GENERATION RATE (MAPLHGR) VERSUS
AVERAGE PLANAR EXPOSURE
GE FUEL TYPES 8CR233 (2.33% ENRICHED)
FIGURE 3.2.1-1



MAXIMUM AVERAGE PLANAR LINEAR HEAT
GENERATION RATE (MAPLHGR) VERSUS
AVERAGE BUNDLE EXPOSURE
EXXON 8X8 FUEL
FIGURE 3.2.1-2

3/4.2.2 APRM SETPOINTS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.2.2 The APRM flow biased simulated thermal power-upscale scram trip setpoint (S) and flow biased neutron flux-upscale control rod block trip setpoint (S_{RB}) shall be established according to the following relationships:

Trip Setpoint#	Allowable Value#
$S \leq (0.58W + 59\%)T$	$S \leq (0.58W + 62\%)T$
$S_{RB} \leq (0.58W + 50\%)T$	$S_{RB} \leq (0.58W + 53\%)T$

where: S and S_{RB} are in percent of RATED THERMAL POWER,

W = Loop recirculation flow as a percentage of the loop recirculation flow which produces a rated core flow of 100 million lbs/hr,

T (GE fuel) = Lowest value of the ratio of FRACTION OF RATED THERMAL POWER divided by the MAXIMUM FRACTION OF LIMITING POWER DENSITY. T is always less than or equal to 1.0.

T (Exxon fuel) = 1.0

APPLICABILITY: OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1, when THERMAL POWER is greater than or equal to 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER.

ACTION:

With the APRM flow biased simulated thermal power-upscale scram trip setpoint and/or the flow biased neutron flux-upscale control rod block trip setpoint less conservative than the value shown in the Allowable Value column for S or S_{RB}, as above determined, initiate corrective action within 15 minutes and adjust S and/or S_{RB} to be consistent with the Trip Setpoint value* within 2 hours or reduce THERMAL POWER to less than 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 4 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.2.2 The FRTP and the MFLPD shall be determined, the value of T calculated, and the most recent actual APRM flow biased simulated thermal power-upscale scram and flow biased neutron flux-upscale control rod block trip setpoints verified to be within the above limits or adjusted, as required:

a. At least once per 24 hours,
b. Within 12 hours after completion of a THERMAL POWER increase of at least 15% of RATED THERMAL POWER, and
c. Initially and at least once per 12 hours when the reactor is operating with MFLPD greater than or equal to FRTP.
d. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable.

*With MFLPD greater than the FRTP during power ascension up to 90% of RATED THERMAL POWER, rather than adjusting the APRM setpoints, the APRM gain may be adjusted such that APRM readings are greater than or equal to 100% times MFLPD, provided that the adjusted APRM reading does not exceed 100% of RATED THERMAL POWER, the required gain adjustment increment does not exceed 10% of RATED THERMAL POWER, and a notice of the adjustment is posted on the reactor control panel.

#See Specification 3.4.1.1.2.a for single loop operation requirements.

3/4.2.3 MINIMUM CRITICAL POWER RATIO

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.2.3 The MINIMUM CRITICAL POWER RATIO (MCPR) shall be greater than or equal to the greater of the two values determined from Figure 3.2.3-1 and Figure 3.2.3-2

APPLICABILITY: OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1, when THERMAL POWER is greater than or equal to 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER.

ACTION:

With MCPR less than the applicable MCPR limit determined above, initiate corrective action within 15 minutes and restore MCPR to within the required limit within 2 hours or reduce THERMAL POWER to less than 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 4 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.2.3.1 MCPR shall be determined to be greater than or equal to the applicable MCPR limit determined from Figure 3.2.3-1 and Figure 3.2.3-2:

a. At least once per 24 hours,

b. Within 12 hours after completion of a THERMAL POWER increase of at least 15% of RATED THERMAL POWER, and

c. Initially and at least once per 12 hours when the reactor is operating with a LIMITING CONTROL ROD PATTERN for MCPR.

d. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable.

THIS PAGE IS DELETED



FLOW DEPENDENT MCPR OPERATING LIMIT
FIGURE 3.2.3-1



REDUCED POWER MCPR OPERATING LIMIT
Figure 3.2.3-2

3/4.2.4 LINEAR HEAT GENERATION RATE

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.2.4 The LINEAR HEAT GENERATION RATE (LHGR) for GE fuel shall not exceed 13.4 kw/ft.

APPLICABILITY: OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1, when THERMAL POWER is greater than or equal to 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER.

ACTION:

With the LHGR of any fuel rod exceeding the limit, initiate corrective action within 15 minutes and restore the LHGR to within the limit within 2 hours or reduce THERMAL POWER to less than 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 4 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.2.4 LHGRs for GE fuel shall be determined to be equal to or less than the limit:

a. At least once per 24 hours,

b. Within 12 hours after completion of a THERMAL POWER increase of at least 15% of RATED THERMAL POWER, and

c. Initially and at least once per 12 hours when the reactor is operating on a LIMITING CONTROL ROD PATTERN for LHGR.

d. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable.

effective upon start-up following the first refueling outage

TABLE 3.3.6-1 (Continued)

CONTROL ROD BLOCK INSTRUMENTATION

ACTION

ACTION 60 - Declare the RBM inoperable and take the ACTION required by Specification 3.1.4.3.

ACTION 61 - With the number of OPERABLE Channels:

a. One less than required by the Minimum OPERABLE Channels per Trip Function requirement, restore the inoperable channel to OPERABLE status within 7 days or place the inoperable channel in the tripped condition within the next hour.

b. Two or more less than required by the Minimum OPERABLE Channels per Trip Function requirement, place at least one inoperable channel in the tripped condition within 1 hour.

ACTION 62 - With the number of OPERABLE channels less than required by the Minimum OPERABLE Channels per Trip Function requirement, place the inoperable channel in the tripped condition within 1 hour.

NOTES

* With THERMAL POWER $\geq$ 30% of RATED THERMAL POWER.

** With more than one control rod withdrawn. Not applicable to control rods removed per Specification 3.9.10.1 or 3.9.10.2.

*** Not required when eight or fewer fuel assemblies (adjacent to the SRMs) are in the core.

a. The RBM shall be automatically bypassed when a peripheral control rod is selected or the reference APRM channel indicates less than 30% of RATED THERMAL POWER.

b. This function shall be automatically bypassed if detector count rate is $\geq$ 100 cps or the IRM channels are on range 3 or higher.

c. This function is automatically bypassed when the associated IRM channels are on range 8 or higher.

d. This function is automatically bypassed when the IRM channels are on range 3 or higher.

e. This function is automatically bypassed when the IRM channels are on range 1.

TABLE 3.3.6-2

CONTROL ROD BLOCK INSTRUMENTATION SETPOINTS

TRIP FUNCTION	TRIP SETPOINT	ALLOWABLE VALUE
1. ROD BLOCK MONITOR		
a. Upscale	$\leq$ 0.66 W + 42%	$\leq$ 0.66 W + 45%
b. Inoperative	NA	NA
c. Downscale	$\geq$ 5/125 divisions of full scale	$\geq$ 3/125 of divisions full scale
2. APRM		
a. Flow Biased Neutron Flux - Upscale	$\leq$ 0.58 W + 50%*	$\leq$ 0.58 W + 53%*
b. Inoperative	NA	NA
c. Downscale	$\geq$ 5% of RATED THERMAL POWER	$\geq$ 3% of RATED THERMAL POWER
d. Neutron Flux - Upscale Startup	$\leq$ 12% of RATED THERMAL POWER	$\leq$ 14% of RATED THERMAL POWER
3. SOURCE RANGE MONITORS		
a. Detector not full in	NA	NA
b. Upscale	$< 2 \times 10^5$ cps	$< 4 \times 10^5$ cps
c. Inoperative	NA	NA
d. Downscale	$\geq$ 0.7 cps**	$\geq$ 0.5 cps**
4. INTERMEDIATE RANGE MONITORS		
a. Detector not full in	NA	NA
b. Upscale	$\leq$ 108/125 divisions of full scale	$\leq$ 110/125 divisions of full scale
c. Inoperative	NA	NA
d. Downscale	$\geq$ 5/125 divisions of full scale	$\geq$ 3/125 divisions of full scale
5. SCRAM DISCHARGE VOLUME		
a. Water Level - High	$\leq$ 44 gallons	$\leq$ 44 gallons
6. REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM RECIRCULATION FLOW		
a. Upscale	$\leq$ 108/125 divisions of full scale	$\leq$ 111/125 divisions of full scale
b. Inoperative	NA	NA
c. Comparator	$\leq$ 10% flow deviation	$\leq$ 11% flow deviation

*The Average Power Range Monitor rod block function is varied as a function of recirculation loop flow (W). The trip setting of this function must be maintained in accordance with Specification 3.2.2.

**Provided signal-to-noise ratio is $\geq$2. Otherwise, 3cps as trip setpoint and 2.8cps for allowable value.

##See Specification 3.4.1.1.2.a for single loop operation requirements.

Figure 3.4.1.1.1-1
THERMAL POWER LIMITATIONS



REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

RECIRCULATION LOOPS - SINGLE LOOP OPERATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.4.1.1.2 One reactor coolant recirculation loop shall be in operation with the pump speed ≤ 80% of the rated pump speed, and

a. the following revised specification limits shall be followed:

1. Specification 2.1.2: the MCPR Safety Limit shall be increased to 1.07.

2. Table 2.2.1-1: the APRM Flow-Biased Scram Trip Setpoints shall be as follows:

Trip Setpoint	Allowable Value
≤ 0.58W + 55%	≤ 0.58W + 58%.

3. Specification 3.2.1: The MAPLHGR limits shall be as follows:

   a. GE fuel: the limits specified in Figure 3.2.1-1 multiplied by 0.81.

   b. Exxon fuel: the limits specified in Figure 3.2.1-2 multiplied by 0.0.

4. Specification 3.2.2: the APRM Setpoints shall be as follows:

Trip Setpoint	Allowable Value
$S \leq (0.58W + 55\%)T$	$S \leq (0.58W + 58\%)T$
$S_{RB} \leq (0.58W + 46\%)T$	$S_{RB} \leq (0.58W + 49\%)T$

5. Table 3.3.6-2: the RBM/APRM Control Rod Block Setpoints shall be as follows:

	Trip Setpoint	Allowable Value
a. RBM - Upscale	≤ 0.66W + 37%	≤ 0.66W + 40%
b. APRM-Flow Biased	≤ 0.58 + 46%	≤ 0.58W + 49%

b. APRM and LPRM*** neutron flux noise levels shall be less than three times their established baseline levels when THERMAL POWER is greater than the limit specified in Figure 3/4.1.1.1-1.

c. Total core flow shall be greater than or equal to 42 million lbs/hr when THERMAL POWER is greater than the limit specified in Figure 3.4.1.1.1-1.

APPLICABILITY: OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS 1* and 2*, except during two loop operation.#

3/4.2 POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

BASES

The specifications of this section assure that the peak cladding temperature following the postulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident will not exceed the 2200°F limit specified in 10 CFR 50.46.

3/4.2.1 AVERAGE PLANAR LINEAR HEAT GENERATION RATE

This specification assures that the peak cladding temperature following the postulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident will not exceed the limit specified in 10 CFR 50.46.

The peak cladding temperature (PCT) following a postulated loss-of-coolant accident is primarily a function of the average heat generation rate of all the rods of a fuel assembly at any axial location and is dependent only secondarily on the rod to rod power distribution within an assembly. For GE fuel, the peak clad temperature is calculated assuming a LHGR for the highest powered rod which is equal to or less than the design LHGR corrected for densification. This LHGR times 1.02 is used in the heatup code along with the exposure dependent steady state gap conductance and rod-to-rod local peaking factor. The Technical Specification AVERAGE PLANAR LINEAR HEAT GENERATION RATE (APLHGR) for GE fuel is this LHGR of the highest powered rod divided by its local peaking factor which results in a calculated LOCA PCT much less than 2200°F. The Technical Specification APLHGR for Exxon fuel is specified to assure the PCT following a postulated LOCA will not exceed the 2200°F limit. The limiting value for APLHGR is shown in Figures 3.2.1-1. 3.2.1-2 and 3.2.1-3.

The calculational procedure used to establish the APLHGR shown on Figures 3.2.1-1, 3.2.1-2 and 3.2.1-3 is based on a loss-of-coolant accident analysis. The analysis was performed using calculational models which are consistent with the requirements of Appendix K to 10 CFR 50. These models are described in Reference 1 or XN-NF-80-19, Volumes 2, 2A, 2B and 2C.

3/4.2.2 APRM SETPOINTS

The flow biased simulated thermal power-upscale scram setting and flow biased simulated thermal power-upscale control rod block functions of the APRM instruments limit plant operations to the region covered by the transient and accident analyses. In addition, for GE fuel, the APRM setpoints must be adjusted to ensure that $\geq$ 1% plastic strain does not occur in the degraded situation. The scram settings and rod block settings are adjusted in accordance with the formula in this specification when the combination of THERMAL POWER and MFLPD indicates a higher peaked power distribution to ensure that an LHGR transient would not be increased in the degraded condition. For the Exxon fuel, no adjustment is required since operation within the MCPR and MAPLHGR operating limits assures that fuel mechanical design criteria are not violated.

3/4.2.3 MINIMUM CRITICAL POWER RATIO

The required operating limit MCPRs at steady state operating conditions as specified in Specification 3.2.3 are derived from the established fuel cladding integrity Safety Limit MCPR, and an analysis of abnormal operational transients. For any abnormal operating transient analysis evaluation with the initial condition of the reactor being at the steady state operating limit, it is required that the resulting MCPR does not decrease below the Safety Limit MCPR at any time during the transient assuming instrument trip setting given in Specification 2.2.

To assure that the fuel cladding integrity Safety Limit is not exceeded during any anticipated abnormal operational transient, the most limiting transients have been analyzed to determine which result in the largest reduction in CRITICAL POWER RATIO (CPR). The type of transients evaluated were loss of flow, increase in pressure and power, positive reactivity insertion, and coolant temperature decrease. The limiting transient yields the largest delta MCPR. When added to the Safety Limit MCPR, the required minimum operating limit MCPR of Specification 3.2.3 is obtained and presented in Figures 3.2.3-1 and 3.2.3-2.

The evaluation of a given transient begins with the system initial parameters shown in the cycle specific transient analysis report that are input to a Exxon-core dynamic behavior transient computer program. The outputs of this program along with the initial MCPR form the input for further analyses of the thermally limiting bundle. The codes and methodology to evaluate pressurization and non-pressurization events are described in XN-NF-79-71 and XN-NF-84-105. The principal result of this evaluation is the reduction in MCPR caused by the transient.

Figure 3.2.3-1 defines core flow dependent MCPR operating limits which assure that the Safety Limit MCPR will not be exceeded during a flow increase transient resulting from a motor-generator speed control failure. The flow dependent MCPR is only calculated for the manual flow control mode. Therefore, automatic flow control operation is not permitted. Figure 3.2.3-2 defines the power dependent MCPR operating limit which assures that the Safety Limit MCPR will not be exceeded in the event of a feedwater controller failure initiated from a reduced power condition.

Cycle specific analyses are performed for the most limiting local and core wide transients to determine thermal margin. Additional analyses are performed to determine the MCPR operating limit with either the Main Turbine Bypass inoperable or the EOC-RPT inoperable. Analyses to determine thermal margin with both the EOC-RPT inoperable and Main Turbine Bypass inoperable have not been performed. Therefore, operation in this condition is not permitted.

At THERMAL POWER levels less than or equal to 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER, the reactor will be operating at minimum recirculation pump speed and the moderator void content will be very small. For all designated control rod patterns which may be employed at this point, operating plant experience indicates that the resulting MCPR value is in excess of requirements by a considerable margin. During initial start-up testing of the plant, a MCPR evaluation

3/4.4.1 RECIRCULATION SYSTEM

Operation with one reactor recirculation loop inoperable has been evaluated and found acceptable, provided that the unit is operated in accordance with Specification 3.4.1.1.2.

For single loop operation, the MAPLHGR limits for Exxon fuel are multiplied by a factor of 0.0. This multiplication factor precludes extended operation with one loop out of service.

For single loop operation, the RBM and APRM setpoints are adjusted by a 7% decrease in recirculation drive flow to account for the active loop drive flow that bypasses the core and goes up through the inactive loop jet pumps.

Surveillance on the pump speed of the operating recirculation loop is imposed to exclude the possibility of excessive reactor vessel internals vibration. Surveillance on differential temperatures below the threshold limits on THERMAL POWER or recirculation loop flow mitigates undue thermal stress on vessel nozzles, recirculation pumps and the vessel bottom head during extended operation in the single loop mode. The threshold limits are those values which will sweep up the cold water from the vessel bottom head.

THERMAL POWER, core flow, and neutron flux noise level limitations are prescribed in accordance with the recommendations of General Electric Service Information Letter No. 380, Revision 1, "BWR Core Thermal-Hydraulic Stability," dated February 10, 1984.

An inoperable jet pump is not, in itself, a sufficient reason to declare a recirculation loop inoperable, but it does, in case of a design-basis-accident, increase the blowdown area and reduce the capability of reflooding the core; thus, the requirement for shutdown of the facility with a jet pump inoperable. Jet pump failure can be detected by monitoring jet pump performance on a prescribed schedule for significant degradation.

Recirculation pump speed mismatch limits are in compliance with the ECCS LOCA analysis design criteria for two loop operation. The limits will ensure an adequate core flow coastdown from either recirculation loop following a LOCA. In the case where the mismatch limits cannot be maintained during the loop operation, continued operation is permitted in the single loop mode.

In order to prevent undue stress on the vessel nozzles and bottom head region, the recirculation loop temperatures shall be within 50°F of each other prior to startup of an idle loop. The loop temperature must also be within 50°F of the reactor pressure vessel coolant temperature to prevent thermal shock to the recirculation pump and recirculation nozzles. Since the coolant in the bottom of the vessel is at a lower temperature than the coolant in the upper regions of the core, undue stress on the vessel would result if the temperature difference was greater than 145°F.

3/4.4.2 SAFETY/RELIEF VALVES

The safety valve function of the safety/relief valves operate to prevent the reactor coolant system from being pressurized above the Safety Limit of 1325 psig in accordance with the ASME Code. A total of 10 OPERABLE safety-relief valves is required to limit reactor pressure to within ASME III allowable values for the worst case upset transient.

Demonstration of the safety/relief valve lift settings will occur only during shutdown and will be performed in accordance with the provisions of Specification 4.0.5.

3/4.4.3 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM LEAKAGE

3/4.4.3.1 LEAKAGE DETECTION SYSTEMS

The RCS leakage detection systems required by this specification are provided to monitor and detect leakage from the reactor coolant pressure boundary.

3/4.4.3.2 OPERATIONAL LEAKAGE

The allowable leakage rates from the reactor coolant system have been based on the predicted and experimentally observed behavior of cracks in pipes. The normally expected background leakage due to equipment design and the detection capability of the instrumentation for determining system leakage was also considered. The evidence obtained from experiments suggests that for leakage somewhat greater than that specified for UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE the probability is small that the imperfection or crack associated with such leakage would grow rapidly. However, in all cases, if the leakage rates exceed the values specified or the leakage is located and known to be PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE, the reactor will be shutdown to allow further investigation and corrective action.

The Surveillance Requirements for RCS pressure isolation valves provide added assurance of valve integrity thereby reducing the probability of gross valve failure and consequent intersystem LOCA.

3/4.4.4 CHEMISTRY

The water chemistry limits of the reactor coolant system are established to prevent damage to the reactor materials in contact with the coolant. Chloride limits are specified to prevent stress corrosion cracking of the stainless steel. The effect of chloride is not as great when the oxygen concentration in the coolant is low, thus the 0.2 ppm limit on chlorides is permitted during POWER OPERATION. During shutdown and refueling operations, the temperature necessary for stress corrosion to occur is not present so a 0.5 ppm concentration of chlorides is not considered harmful during these periods.

3/4 7.4 SNUBBERS (continued)

The service life of a snubber is evaluated via manufacturer input and information through consideration of the snubber service conditions and associated installation and maintenance records (newly installed snubber, seal replaced, spring replaced, in high radiation area, in high temperature area, etc...). The requirement to monitor the snubber service life is included to ensure that the snubbers periodically undergo a performance evaluation in view of their age and operating conditions. These records will provide statistical bases for future consideration of snubber service life. The requirements for the maintenance of records and the snubber service life review are not intended to affect plant operation.

3/4 7.5 SEALED SOURCE CONTAMINATION

The limitations on removable contamination for sources required leak testing, including alpha emitters, is based on 10 CFR 70.39(c) limits for plutonium. this limitation will ensure that leakage from byproduct, source, and special nuclear material sources will not exceed allowable intake values. Sealed sources are classified into three groups according to their use, with surveillance requirements commensurate with the probability of damage to a source in that group. Those sources which are frequently handled are required to be tested more often than those which are not. Sealed sources which are continuously enclosed within a shielded mechanism, i.e., sealed sources within radiation monitoring or boron measuring devices, are considered to be stored and need not be tested unless they are removed from the shielded mechanism.

3/4 7.6 FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS

The OPERABILITY of the fire suppression systems ensures that adequate fire suppression capability is available to confine and extinguish fires occurring in any portion of the facility where safety related equipment is located. The fire suppression system consists of the water system, spray and/or sprinklers, CO_2 systems, Halon systems and fire hose stations. The collective capability of the fire suppression systems is adequate to minimize potential damage to safety related equipment and is a major element in the facility fire protection program.

In the event that portions of the fire suppression systems are inoperable, alternate backup fire fighting equipment is required to be made available in the affected areas until the inoperable equipment is restored to service. When the inoperable fire fighting equipment is intended for use as a backup means of fire suppression, a longer period of time is allowed to provide an alternate means of fire fighting than if the inoperable equipment is the primary means of fire suppression.

3/4 7.6 FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS (continued)

The surveillance requirements provide assurances that the minimum OPERABILITY requirements of the fire suppression systems are met. An allowance is made for ensuring a sufficient volume of Halon in the Halon storage tanks by verifying the weight and pressure of the tanks.

In the event the fire suppression water system becomes inoperable, immediate corrective measures must be taken since this system provides the major fire suppression capability of the plant. The requirement for a twenty-four hour report to the Commission provides for prompt evaluation of the acceptability of the corrective measures to provide adequate fire suppression capability for the continued protection of the nuclear plant.

3/4 7.7 FIRE RATED ASSEMBLIES

The OPERABILITY of the fire barriers and barrier penetrations ensure that fire damage will be limited. These design features minimize the possibility of a single fire involving more than one fire area prior to detection and extinguishment. The fire barriers, fire barrier penetrations for conduits, cable trays and piping, fire windows, fire dampers, and fire doors are periodically inspected to verify their OPERABILITY.

3/4 7.8 MAIN TURBINE BYPASS SYSTEM

The required OPERABILITY of the main turbine bypass system is consistent with the assumptions of the feedwater controller failure analysis in the cycle specific transient analysis.