

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[NRC-2016-0094]**

**Agreement State Program Policy Statement**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Revision to policy statement.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has revised and consolidated two policy statements on the NRC's Agreement State Programs: the "Policy Statement on Adequacy and Compatibility of Agreement State Programs" and the "Statement of Principles and Policy for the Agreement State Program." The resulting single policy statement has been revised to add that public health and safety includes physical protection of agreement material<sup>1</sup> and to reflect comments received from Agreement States, individuals, and the Organization of Agreement States (OAS).

**DATES:** This policy statement is effective on **[INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]**.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID **NRC-2016-0094** when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

---

<sup>1</sup> The term "agreement material" means the materials listed in Subsection 274b. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA), over which the States may receive regulatory authority.

- **Federal Rulemaking Web Site:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2016-0094. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-415-3463; e-mail: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.

- **NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):** You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "[ADAMS Public Documents](#)" and then select "[Begin Web-based ADAMS Search](#)." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this document (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced. The Agreement State Program Policy Statement, in its entirety, is in the attachment to this document.

- **NRC's PDR:** You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cindy Flannery, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-0223, e-mail: [Cindy.Flannery@nrc.gov](mailto:Cindy.Flannery@nrc.gov).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Background

The “Policy Statement on Adequacy and Compatibility of Agreement State Programs” (62 FR 46517; September 3, 1997) ~~presents~~ presented the NRC’s policy for determining the adequacy and compatibility of Agreement State programs. The “Statement of Principles and Policy for the Agreement State Program” (62 FR 46517; September 3, 1997) ~~described~~ s the respective roles and responsibilities of the NRC and the States in the administration of programs carried out under the 274b. State Agreement.<sup>1</sup> The application of these two policy statements has significant influence on the safety and security of agreement material and on the regulation of the more than 20,000 Agreement State and NRC materials licensees, commonly referred to as (i.e., licensees of the National Materials Program (NMP) licensees).

The NRC staff’s current efforts to update the Agreement State policy statements began with the Commission’s direction provided in the Staff Requirements Memorandum (SRM) to SECY-10-0105, “Final Rule: Limiting the Quantity of Byproduct Material in a Generally Licensed Device (RIN 3150-AI33),” issued on December 2, 2010 (ADAMS Accession No. ML103360262). The Commission directed the NRC staff to update the Commission’s “Policy Statement on Adequacy and Compatibility of Agreement State Programs” and associated guidance documents to include both safety and source security considerations in the compatibility determination process. Because Agreement State adequacy and compatibility are closely linked to the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP)<sup>2</sup>, which is a key component of the Commission’s “Statement of Principles and Policy for the Agreement State

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 274 of the AEA provides a statutory basis under which the NRC discontinues portions of its regulatory authority to license and regulate byproduct materials; source materials; and quantities of special nuclear materials under critical mass. The mechanism for the transfer of the NRC’s authority to a State is an agreement signed by the Governor of the State and the Chairman of the Commission, in accordance with Subsection 274b. of the AEA.

<sup>2</sup> The NRC, in cooperation with the Agreement States, developed the IMPEP to evaluate the adequacy and compatibility of Agreement State programs and the adequacy of the NRC’s nuclear materials program activities.

Program,” both policy statements were revised concurrently. Both policy statements were updated to add that public health and safety includes physical protection of agreement material. Two working groups, composed of NRC (~~headquarters and regional~~) staff and Agreement State representatives, developed the revisions to the policy statements. The draft revisions to the two policy statements were provided to the Commission on August 14, 2012 (SECY-12-0112, “Policy Statements on Agreement State Programs” (ADAMS Accession No. ML12110A183)).

The Commission approved publication of the draft revisions to the policy statements for public comment in the revised SRM to SECY-12-0112, dated May 28, 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13148A352). The NRC staff published the two proposed policy statements on June 3, 2013 (78 FR 33122), for a 75-day comment period. After receiving requests from the Organization of Agreement States (OAS) and the State of Florida to extend the public comment period, the NRC extended the comment period to September 16, 2013 (78 FR 50118; August 16, 2013). The NRC held two public meetings (July 18 and August 6, 2013) and a topical session during the OAS annual meeting in Reno, Nevada, on August 28, 2013. The NRC staff specifically solicited comment on Compatibility Category B, and whether or not the policy statements should maintain the language from the 1997 “Policy Statement on Adequacy and Compatibility of Agreement State Programs” describing the adoption and number of compatible regulations.

The NRC staff received 13 submissions from commenters including Agreement States, industry organizations, and individuals. These submissions contained 51 comments on the policy statements in general and 45 comments on Compatibility Category B. The need for consistent application and flexible implementation of the NRC’s policies was the underlying theme expressed by the Agreement States in the written comments as well as during the public meetings and the OAS topical session. Some commenters provided general remarks and

addressed specific sections of the policy statements. Some commenters also expressed concern that the inconsistent use of terms (e.g., material versus agreement material, enhanced security measures versus physical protection of agreement material, and relinquishing the NRC's authority versus discontinuing the authority) could cause confusion. Regarding Compatibility Category B, the comments show a wide variation on the interpretation of the definition of Compatibility Category B. The NRC staff considered the ~~Agreement States'~~ written comments, input from attendees at the two public meetings, and comments received at the OAS topical session and made modifications to the policy statements to ensure terms are used appropriately. The NRC staff's disposition of these comments was presented in a comment resolution table (ADAMS Accession No. ML14073A549) associated with the June 3, 2013, *Federal Register* ~~n~~Notice (78 FR 33122).

In COMSECY-14-0028, "Agreement State Program Policy Statements: Update on Recent Activities and Recommendations for Path Forward," dated July 14, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14156A277), the NRC staff proposed ~~a plan to consolidate~~ing the two policy statements in a single policy statement, ~~while preserving the work already completed by the two working groups to update the separate policy statements.~~ The Commission approved this plan in the SRM to COMSECY-14-0028, dated August 12, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14224A618). Accordingly, the NRC staff developed a proposed single consolidated policy statement that: identified and eliminated redundant language between the two policy statements, removed detailed information on IMPEP and the "Principles of Good Regulation" (ADAMS Accession No. ML15083A026) ~~(this material is not typically included in a high-level policy statement)~~, added context to make the proposed policy statement clearer and more consistent with other recent NRC policy statements, and added a description of the NMP.

~~The proposed consolidated Agreement State Program Policy Statement was provided to the Commission on June 19, 2015 (SECY-15-0087, "Agreement State Program Policy~~

~~Statement and Program Recommendations” (ADAMS Accession No. ML15002A138)).~~ The Commission approved publication of the proposed consolidated Agreement State Program Policy Statement for public comment in the SRM to SECY-15-0087, dated March 22, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16082A514). The NRC staff published the proposed Agreement State Program Policy Statement on June 2, 2016 (81 FR 35388), for a 75-day public comment period. The NRC staff also held two public webinars during the comment period. The NRC staff received 31 comments~~five submissions~~ from commenters including Agreement States and the OAS. ~~These submissions, combined with input received from participants in the two public webinars, contained 31 comments on the proposed policy statement.~~

The final policy statement is included in its entirety in the attachment to this document.

## II. Overview of Public Comments

The 31 comments received in response to the *Federal Register* notice of June 2, 2016 (81 FR 35388), were considered in developing the final policy statement along with 131 comments that were received from the Agreement States when the policy statements were consolidated. The comments generally fell within the following categories: the consolidation of two policy statements and NRC's unilateral decision to consolidate; the definition and description of adequacy and compatibility; the use of “NRC” and “Commission;” the use of the terms “relinquish” authority versus “discontinue” authority; the use of the terms “shall,” “will,” or “must” versus “should;” ~~add~~ the addition of “significant” to “cross jurisdictional;” and deletion of the section on the Principles of Good Regulation. Commenters provided additional comments that did not fall within those categories as well as comments that were out of scope of the Agreement State Program Policy Statement. The NRC staff’s disposition of the 162 comments is presented in a comment resolution table (ADAMS Accession No. ML17044A406). The

following sections summarize the comments organized in the categories previously noted, and includes the NRC's response to the comments.

A. *Consolidation of two policy statements and the NRC's unilateral decision to consolidate*

*Comment:* Some commenters opposed the consolidation of the two policy statements—the “Policy Statement on Adequacy and Compatibility of Agreement State Programs” and the “Statement of Principles and Policy for the Agreement State Program”—into a single consolidated policy statement citing the following reasons: 1) the statements address unique topics (operational goals of a regulatory program vs. review of a regulatory program); 2) the splitting up and redistribution of the two policy statements' sections result in changes in the emphasis and relationship of both policy statements, both within each policy, and to each other; and 3) there are only five sentences that are common to both policy statements, which is not indicative of a great amount of redundancy. Multiple commenters believed that the NRC **management** made a unilateral decision to combine the two policy statements into a single consolidated policy statement without input from the Agreement State working group members who worked on the individual policies. One commenter stated an expectation for the NRC to involve Agreement State working group members in all aspects of working group projects to ensure that documents adequately address issues of the Agreement States as well as the NRC. Four commenters stated that unilateral action by the NRC damages trust and the relationship between the NRC and the Agreement States. Three of the five commenters cited NRC Management Directive 5.3, “Agreement State Participation in Working Groups” (<https://scp.nrc.gov/procedures.html>) and noted that the combined policy was not cooperatively developed.

*Response:* Two working groups composed of NRC (headquarters and regional) staff and Agreement State representatives developed revisions to these two policy statements. In COMSECY-14-0028, the NRC staff proposed a plan to consolidate the two policy statements

into a single policy statement, while preserving the work already completed by the two working groups to update the separate policy statements. One of the factors leading to the recommendation for a single policy statement was the identification, by the NRC, of redundant language between the two policy statements. The Commission approved this plan in the SRM to COMSECY-14-0028. ~~In response to Commission direction in the SRM to COMSECY-14-0028,~~ the NRC staff consolidated the two Agreement State Program policy statements into a single policy statement and removed the IMPEP and Principles of Good Regulation details and redundancies. In 2014, the NRC staff provided the draft consolidated policy statement to Agreement States. Some expressed dissatisfaction over not being more engaged in the decision and process used to propose consolidation of the policy statements. The ~~consolidated policy statement preserves the~~ content revisions that were developed by the two NRC/Agreement State working groups during their work on the two separate policy statements were considered during the development of the consolidated policy statement. Additionally, ~~the~~ final Agreement State Program Policy Statement reflects comments received from the Agreement States subsequent to the consolidation of the two policy statements.

~~No change was made to the Agreement State Program Policy Statement as a result of these comments.~~

*B. Definition and description of adequacy and compatibility*

*Comment:* Several commenters requested that adequacy and compatibility be better defined throughout the Agreement State Program Policy Statement and that a greater emphasis be placed on public health and safety.

*Response:* Corresponding changes were implemented throughout the Agreement State Program Policy Statement, as appropriate, for consistency with the intent of the AEA. These include revisions in Section C., “Statement of Legislative Intent,” of the policy statement.

C. *Use of “NRC” and “Commission”*

*Comment:* Several commenters recommended replacing “NRC” with “Commission” or vice versa in various sections throughout the policy statement.

*Response:* The definition of “Commission” was added as a footnote in the policy statement to mean the five Commissioners, and the “NRC” indicates the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission as an agency. Corresponding changes were implemented throughout the Agreement State Program Policy Statement.

D. *Use of the terms “relinquish” authority versus “discontinue” authority*

*Comment:* Several commenters stated the use of the word “relinquish”—in the context of the NRC’s regulatory authority when entering into an agreement—is not accurate and recommended changing “relinquish” to “discontinue” throughout the policy statement so the wording is consistent with Section 274b. of the AEA.

*Response:* All instances of the word “relinquish” have either been deleted or replaced with the word “discontinue” throughout the Agreement State Program Policy Statement.

E. *Use of the terms “shall,” “will,” or “must” versus “should”*

*Comment:* Multiple commenters suggest that “shall,” “will,” or “must” should replace “should” or vice versa in various sections throughout the Agreement State Program Policy Statement.

*Response:* Corresponding changes were implemented throughout the Agreement State Program Policy Statement, as appropriate, for consistency with language used in Section 274b. of the AEA or other sections of the policy statement.

*F. Add “significant” to “cross jurisdictional”*

*Comment:* Several commenters suggest that the term “significant” should be added before “cross jurisdictional” for Compatibility Category B program elements.

*Response:* The NRC/Agreement State working group for the revision of the “Policy Statement on Adequacy and Compatibility of Agreement State Programs” carefully considered the use of the term “significant” and concluded that the term was ambiguous and should not be included as part of the description of Compatibility Category B. The term “cross jurisdictional program elements” was chosen to make the description of Compatibility Category B concise and well-defined.

No change was made to the Agreement State Program Policy Statement as a result of these comments.

*G. Deletion of Principles of Good Regulation*

*Comment:* A number of commenters recommended the deletion of Section D.1.i, “Principles of Good Regulation,” of the policy statement.

*Response:* The Principles of Good Regulation were initially adopted by the Commission in 1991 to serve as a guide to NRC decisionmaking and employee conduct. In 1997, they were included in the “Policy Statement on Adequacy and Compatibility of Agreement State Programs” and the “Statement of Principles and Policy for the Agreement State Program” and were recognized as part of a common culture that the NRC and Agreement States share as co-regulators. These principles have served as a foundation for good regulation in the NMP and are included in the Agreement State Program Policy Statement to indicate their importance and that they should continue to form the basic building blocks for good regulation in the NMP into the future.

No change was made to the Agreement State Program Policy Statement as a result of these comments.

*H. Category Health and Safety*

*Comment:* A number of commenters noted that Category Health and Safety (H&S) was removed from the policy statement and recommended that Category H&S be included.

*Response:* In the proposed policy statement, Category H&S was removed from Section E.2. "Compatibility." This section of the policy describes the program elements required for compatibility. Program elements required for H&S are not required for compatibility. Section E.1. "Adequacy" of the proposed policy statement was made implicit for Category H&S by indicating that an adequate program includes those program elements necessary to maintain an acceptable level of protection of public health and safety. Because Category H&S is one of six categories (A, B, C, D, NRC, and H&S) that forms the basis for evaluating and classifying NRC program elements, a corresponding edit was implemented in Section E.1. "Adequacy" of the policy statement.

### **III. Procedural Requirements**

*Congressional Review Act Statement*

This final Agreement State Program Policy Statement is a rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801-808). However, the Office of Management and Budget has not found it to be a major rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act.

*Paperwork Reduction Act Statement*

This policy statement does not contain new or amended information collection requirements and, therefore, is not subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2017.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook,  
Secretary for the Commission.

## Attachment — Agreement State Program Policy Statement

### A. PURPOSE.

The purpose of this policy statement for the Agreement State Program is to describe the respective roles and responsibilities of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and Agreement States in the administration of programs carried out under Section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA).<sup>1</sup> Section 274 provides broad authority for the NRC to establish a unique Federal and State relationship in the administration of regulatory programs for the protection of public health and safety in the industrial, medical, commercial, and research uses of agreement material. This policy statement supersedes the [September 1997](#) “Policy Statement on Adequacy and Compatibility of Agreement State Programs” and ~~the~~ “Statement of Principles and Policy for the Agreement State Program.”

This policy statement addresses the Federal–State interaction under the AEA to (1) establish and maintain agreements with States under Subsection 274b. that provide for discontinuance by the NRC, and the assumption by the State, of responsibility for administration of a regulatory program for the safe ~~and secure~~ use of agreement material; (2) ensure that post-agreement interactions between the NRC and Agreement State radiation control programs are coordinated; and (3) ensure Agreement States provide adequate protection of public health and safety and maintain programs that are compatible with the NRC’s regulatory program.

Although not defined in the AEA, the National Materials Program (NMP) is a term used to describe the broad collective effort within which both the NRC and the Agreement States function in carrying out their respective regulatory programs for agreement material. The [mission-vision](#) of the NMP is to provide a coherent national system for the regulation of

---

<sup>1</sup> Subsection 274b. of the AEA authorizes the NRC to enter into an agreement by which the NRC discontinues and the State assumes regulatory authority over some or all of these materials. The material over which the State receives regulatory authority under such agreement is termed “agreement material.”

agreement material with the goal of protecting public health and safety through compatible regulatory programs. ~~Under Through~~ the NMP, the NRC and Agreement States function as regulatory partners. ~~The roles and responsibilities of the NRC and the Agreement States are based on their legislative authority, program needs, and expertise.~~

## B. BACKGROUND.

This policy statement is intended solely as guidance for the NRC and the Agreement States in the implementation of the Agreement State Program. This policy statement does not itself impose legally binding requirements on the Agreement States. In addition, nothing in this policy statement expands the legal authority of Agreement States beyond that already granted to them by Section 274 of the AEA and other relevant legal authority; nor does this policy statement diminish or constrain the NRC's authority under the AEA. Implementation procedures adopted pursuant to this policy statement shall be consistent with the legal authorities of the NRC and the Agreement States.

This policy statement presents the NRC's policy for determining the adequacy and compatibility of Agreement State programs. This policy statement clarifies the meaning and use of the terms "adequate to protect public health and safety" and "compatible with the NRC's regulatory program" as applied to Agreement State programs. The terms "adequate" and "compatible" represent fundamental concepts in the Agreement State programs authorized in 1959 by Section 274 of the AEA. Subsection 274d. states that the NRC shall enter into an Agreement under Subsection 274b. that discontinues the NRC's regulatory authority over specified AEA radioactive materials and activities within a State, provided that the State's program is adequate to protect public health and safety and is compatible with the NRC's regulatory program. Subsection 274g. authorizes and directs the NRC to cooperate with States in the formulation of standards to assure that State and NRC programs for protection against

hazards of radiation will be coordinated and compatible. Subsection 274j.(1) requires the NRC to periodically review the Agreements and actions taken by States under the Agreements to ensure compliance with the provisions of Section 274.

The NRC and Agreement State radiation control programs maintain regulatory authority for the safe and secure handling, use, and storage of agreement material. These programs have always included the security of agreement material as an integral part of their health and safety mission as it relates to controlling and minimizing the risk of exposure to workers and the public. Following the events of September 11, 2001, the NRC and Agreement States developed and implemented enhanced security measures. For the purposes of this policy statement, public health and safety includes the physical protection of agreement material.

#### C. STATEMENT OF LEGISLATIVE INTENT.

In 1954, the AEA did not initially specify a role for the States in regulating the use of nuclear material. Many States were concerned as to what their responsibilities in this area might be and expressed interest in clearly defining the boundaries of Federal and State authority over nuclear material. This need for clarification was particularly important in view of the fact that although the Federal Government retained sole responsibility for protecting public health and safety from the radiation hazards of AEA radioactive materials—defined as byproduct, source, and special nuclear material—the States maintained the responsibility for protecting the public from the radiation hazards of other sources such as x-ray machines and naturally occurring radioactive material.

Consequently, in 1959, Congress enacted Section 274 of the AEA to establish a statutory framework under which States could assume, and the NRC could discontinue, regulatory authority over byproduct, source, and small quantities of special nuclear material insufficient to form a critical mass. The NRC continued to retain regulatory authority over the

licensing of certain facilities and activities, including nuclear reactors, quantities of special nuclear material sufficient to form a critical mass, the export and import of nuclear materials, and matters related to common defense and security.

The legislation did not authorize a wholesale, immediate relinquishment or abdication by the Commission<sup>2</sup> of its regulatory responsibilities but only a gradual, carefully considered turnover. Congress recognized that the Federal Government would need to assist the States to ensure that they developed the capability to exercise their regulatory authority in a competent and effective manner. Accordingly, the legislation authorized the NRC to provide training, with or without charge, and other services to State officials and employees as the Commission deems appropriate. However, in rendering this assistance, Congress did not intend that the NRC would provide any grants to a State for the administration of a State regulatory program. This was fully consistent with the objectives of Section 274 to qualify States to assume independent regulatory authority over certain defined areas under their Agreement and to permit the NRC to discontinue its regulatory responsibilities in those areas.

In order to discontinue its authority, the NRC must find that the State program is adequate to protect public health and safety and compatible with the NRC program for the regulation of agreement material. In addition, the NRC has an obligation, pursuant to Subsection 274j. of the AEA, to periodically review existing Agreement State programs to ensure continued adequacy and compatibility. Subsection 274j. of the AEA provides that the NRC may terminate or suspend all or part of its agreement with a State if the NRC finds that such termination is necessary to protect public health and safety or that the State has not complied with the provisions of Subsection 274j. In these cases, the NRC must offer the State reasonable notice and opportunity for a hearing. In cases where the State has requested

---

<sup>2</sup> For the purposes of this policy statement, "Commission" means the five member Commission or a quorum thereof sitting as a body, as provided by Section 201 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 (42 USC 5841).

termination of the agreement, notice and opportunity for a hearing are not necessary. In addition, the NRC may temporarily suspend all or part of an agreement in the case of an emergency situation.

#### D. PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION.

1. Implementation of the Agreement State Program is described below and includes (a) Principles of Good Regulation; (b) performance ~~assessment~~ evaluation on a consistent and systematic basis; (c) the responsibility to ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, including physical protection of agreement material; (d) compatibility in areas of national interest; and (e) sufficient flexibility in program implementation and administration to accommodate individual State needs.

##### i. Principles of Good Regulation.

In 1991, the Commission adopted the “Principles of Good Regulation” to serve as a guide to both agency decision making and ~~to the~~ individual behavior of NRC employees. There are five Principles of Good Regulation: independence, openness, efficiency, clarity, and reliability. Adherence to these principles has helped to ensure that the NRC’s regulatory activities have been of the highest quality and are appropriate and consistent. The “Principles of Good Regulation” recognize that strong, vigilant management and a desire to improve performance are prerequisites for success, for both regulators and the regulated industry. The NRC’s implementation of these principles has served the public, the Agreement States, and the regulated community well. Such principles are useful as a part of a common culture of the NMP that the NRC and the Agreement States share as co-regulators. Accordingly, the NRC encourages each Agreement State to adopt a similar set of principles for use in its own regulatory program. These principles should be incorporated into the day-to-day operational fabric of the NMP.

ii. Performance ~~Assessment~~Evaluation.

To ensure that Agreement State programs continue to provide adequate protection of public health and safety and are compatible with the NRC's regulatory program, periodic program ~~assessment~~evaluation is needed. The NRC, in cooperation with the Agreement States, established and implemented the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP). The IMPEP is a performance evaluation process that provides the NRC and Agreement State management with systematic and integrated evaluations of the strengths and weaknesses of their respective radiation control programs and identification of areas needing improvement.

iii. Adequate to Protect Public Health and Safety.

The NRC and the Agreement States have the responsibility to ensure adequate protection of public health and safety in the administration of their respective regulatory programs, including physical protection of agreement material. Accordingly, the NRC and Agreement State programs shall possess the requisite supporting legislative authority, implementing organization structure and procedures, and financial and human resources to effectively administer a radiation control program that ensures adequate protection of public health and safety.

iv. Compatible in Areas of National Interest.

The NRC and the Agreement States have the responsibility to ensure that the radiation control programs are compatible. Such radiation control programs should be based on a common regulatory philosophy including the common use of definitions and standards. The programs should be effective and cooperatively implemented by the NRC and the Agreement States and also should provide uniformity and achieve common strategic outcomes in program areas ~~having of~~ national significance.

Such areas ~~of national significance~~ include aspects of licensing, inspection and enforcement, response to incidents and allegations, and safety reviews for the manufacture and distribution of sealed sources and devices. Furthermore, communication using a nationally accepted set of terms with common understanding, ensuring an adequate level of protection of public health and safety that is consistent and stable across the nation, and evaluation of the effectiveness of the NRC and Agreement State programs for the regulation of agreement material with respect to protection of public health and safety are essential to maintaining the NMP.

v. Flexibility.

With the exception of those compatibility areas where programs should be essentially identical, Agreement State radiation control programs have flexibility in program implementation and administration to accommodate individual State preferences, State legislative direction, and local needs and conditions. A State has the flexibility to design its own program, including incorporating more stringent, or similar, requirements provided that the requirements for adequate protection of public health and safety are met and compatibility is maintained. However, the exercise of such flexibility should not preclude a practice authorized by the AEA, and in the national interest.

2. New Agreements.

Section 274 of the AEA requires that once a decision to request Agreement State status is made by the State, the Governor of that State must certify to the NRC that the State desires to assume regulatory responsibility and has a program for the control of radiation hazards adequate to protect public health and safety with respect to the materials within the State that would be covered by the proposed agreement. This certification will be provided in a letter to the NRC that includes ~~a number of documents in support of the certification~~supporting documentation. This ~~ese documents~~documentation includes the State's enabling legislation; the

radiation control regulations; the radiation control program staffing plan; a narrative description of the State program's policies, practices, and procedures; and a proposed agreement.

The NRC's policy statement, "Criteria for Guidance of States and NRC in Discontinuance of NRC Regulatory Authority and Assumption Thereof by States Through Agreement" (46 FR 7540, January 23, 1981; as amended by policy statements published at 46 FR 36969, July 16, 1981; and 48 FR 33376, July 21, 1983), describes the required content of these documents ~~are required to cover~~. The NRC reviews the request and publishes notice of the proposed agreement in the *Federal Register* to provide an opportunity for public comment. After consideration of public comments, if the NRC determines that the proposed State program is adequate for protection of public health and safety and compatible with the NRC's regulatory program, the Governor and Chairman of the NRC sign the agreement.

### 3. Program Assistance.

The NRC will offer training and other assistance to States, such as assistance in developing regulations and program descriptions to help individual States prepare their request for entering into an Agreement and to help them prior to the assumption of regulatory authority. Following approval of the agreement and assumption of regulatory authority by a new Agreement State, to the extent permitted by resources, the NRC may provide training and offer other assistance (such as review of proposed regulatory changes to help Agreement States administer their regulatory responsibilities). Nevertheless, it is the responsibility of each Agreement State to ensure that it has a sufficient number of qualified staff to implement its program. If the NRC is unable to provide the training, the Agreement State will need to do so.

The NRC may also use its best efforts to provide specialized technical assistance to Agreement States to address unique or complex licensing, inspection, incident response, and limited enforcement issues. In areas where Agreement States have particular expertise or are in the best position to provide immediate assistance to the NRC or other Agreement States,

they are encouraged to do so. In addition, the NRC and Agreement States will keep each other informed about relevant aspects of their programs.

If an Agreement State experiences difficulty in implementing its program, the NRC will, to the extent possible, assist the State in maintaining the effectiveness of its radiation control program. Under certain conditions, an Agreement State can also voluntarily return all or part of its Agreement State program.

#### 4. Performance Evaluation.

Under Section 274 of the AEA, the NRC retains oversight authority for ensuring that Agreement State programs provide adequate protection of public health and safety and are compatible with the NRC's regulatory program. In fulfilling this statutory responsibility, the NRC will determine whether the Agreement State programs are adequate and compatible prior to entrance into a Subsection 274b. agreement and will periodically review the program to ensure it continues to be adequate and compatible after an agreement becomes effective.

To fulfill this responsibility, the NRC, in cooperation with the Agreement States, established and implemented the IMPEP. As described in Management Directive 5.6 "Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP)," IMPEP is a performance evaluation process that provides the NRC and Agreement States with systematic, integrated, and reliable evaluations of the strengths and weaknesses of their respective radiation control programs and identification of areas needing improvement. The same criteria are used to evaluate and ensure that regulatory programs are adequate to protect public health and safety and that Agreement State programs are compatible with the NRC's program. The IMPEP process employs a Management Review Board (MRB), comprised of senior NRC managers staff members to make a determination of program adequacy and compatibility. An MRB also includes an Agreement State liaison, provided by the Organization of Agreement States (OAS), as a non-voting member.

As a part of the performance evaluation process, the NRC will take necessary actions to help ensure that Agreement State radiation control programs remain adequate and compatible. These actions may include more frequent IMPEP reviews of Agreement State programs and providing assistance to help address weaknesses or areas needing improvement within an Agreement State program. Monitoring, heightened oversight, probation, suspension, or termination of an agreement may be applied for certain program deficiencies or emergencies (e.g. loss of funding, natural or man-made events, pandemic). The NRC's actions in addressing program deficiencies or emergencies will be implemented through a well-defined process that is consistently and fairly applied.

5. Program Funding and Training.

Section 274 of the AEA permits the NRC to offer training and other assistance to a State in anticipation of entering into an Agreement with the NRC. Section 274 of the AEA does not allow Federal funding for the administration of Agreement State radiation control programs. Given the importance to public health and safety of having well trained radiation control program personnel, the NRC may offer certain relevant training courses and notify Agreement State personnel of their availability. These training programs also help to ensure compatible approaches to licensing and inspection and thereby strengthen the NMP.

6. Regulatory Development.

The NRC and Agreement States will cooperate in the development of both new and revised regulations and policies. Agreement States will have early and substantive involvement in the development of regulations affecting protection of public health and safety and of policies and guidance documents affecting administration of the Agreement State program. The NRC and Agreement States will keep each other informed about their individual regulatory requirements (e.g., regulations, orders, or license conditions) and the effectiveness of those regulatory requirements so that each has the opportunity to make use of proven regulatory

approaches to further the effective and efficient use of resources. In order to avoid conflicts, duplications, gaps, or other conditions that would jeopardize an orderly pattern in the regulation of agreement material on a nationwide basis, Agreement States should inform the NRC of, and provide the opportunity to review and comment on, proposed changes in regulations and significant changes to Agreement State programs, policies, and regulatory guidance.

Two national organizations composed of State radiation ~~protection-control~~ program personnel facilitate participation and involvement with the development of regulations, guidance, and policy. The OAS provides a forum for Agreement States to work with each other and with the NRC on regulatory issues, including centralized communication on radiation protection matters between the Agreement States and the NRC. The Conference of Radiation Control Program Directors, Inc. (CRCPD) assists its members in their efforts to protect the public, radiation workers, and patients from unnecessary radiation exposure. One product of the CRCPD is the Suggested State Regulations for use by its members. The NRC reviews Suggested State Regulations for compatibility.

#### E. ADEQUACY AND COMPATIBILITY.

In accordance with Section 274 of the AEA, any State that chooses to establish an Agreement State program must provide for an acceptable level of protection of public health and safety. This is the “adequacy” component. The Agreement State must also ensure that its program supports an overall nationwide program in radiation protection. This is the “compatibility” component.

By adopting the criteria for adequacy and compatibility as discussed in this policy statement, the NRC provides a broad range of flexibility in the administration of individual Agreement State programs. Recognizing the fact that Agreement States have responsibilities

for radiation sources other than agreement material, the NRC allows Agreement States to fashion their programs to reflect specific State needs and preferences.

The NRC will minimize the number of NRC regulatory requirements that the Agreement States will be requested to adopt in an identical manner to maintain compatibility. At the same time, requirements in these compatibility categories allow the NRC to ensure that an orderly pattern for the regulation of agreement material exists nationwide. The NRC believes that this approach achieves a proper balance between the need for Agreement State flexibility and the need for an NMP that is coherent and compatible in the regulation of agreement material across the country.

Program elements<sup>3</sup> for adequacy focus on the protection of public health and safety within a particular Agreement State, while program elements for compatibility focus on the impacts of an Agreement State's regulation of agreement material on a nationwide basis or its potential effects on other jurisdictions. Some program elements for compatibility may also impact public health and safety; therefore, they may also be considered program elements for adequacy.

In identifying those program elements for adequate and compatible programs, or any changes thereto, the NRC staff will coordinate with the Agreement States.

1. Adequacy.

An "adequate" program includes those program elements of a radiation control regulatory program necessary to maintain an acceptable level of protection of public health and safety within an Agreement State. An Agreement State's radiation control program is adequate to protect public health and safety if administration of the program provides reasonable assurance of protection of public health and safety in regulating the use of agreement material.

---

<sup>3</sup> For the purposes of this policy statement, "program element" means any component or function of a radiation control regulatory program, including regulations and other legally binding requirements imposed on regulated persons, which contributes to implementation of that program.

The level of protection afforded by the program elements of the NRC's materials regulatory program is presumed to be adequate to provide for reasonable assurance of protection of public health and safety. Therefore, the overall level of protection of public health and safety provided by a State program should be equivalent to, or in some cases can be greater than, the level provided by the NRC program. To provide reasonable assurance of protection of public health and safety, an Agreement State program should contain the five essential program elements, identified in items i. through v. of this section, that the NRC and Agreement States will use to define the scope of the program. The NRC and Agreement States will also consider, when appropriate, other program elements of an Agreement State that appear to affect the program's ability to provide reasonable assurance of the protection of public health and safety.

On the basis of this policy statement, NRC program elements (including regulations) can be placed into five compatibility categories (A, B, C, D, and NRC). In addition, NRC program elements can also be identified as having particular health and safety significance (H&S). These six categories (A, B, C, D, NRC, and H&S) form the basis for evaluating and classifying NRC program elements.

i. Legislation and Legal Authority:

Agreement State statutes shall: (a) authorize the State to establish a program for the regulation of agreement material and provide authority for the assumption of regulatory responsibility under an Agreement with the NRC; (b) authorize the State to promulgate regulatory requirements necessary to provide reasonable assurance of protection of public health and safety; (c) authorize the State to license, inspect, and enforce legally binding requirements such as regulations and licenses; and (d) be otherwise compatible with applicable Federal statutes. In addition, the State should have existing legally enforceable measures such as generally applicable rules, orders, license conditions, or other appropriate measures, necessary to allow the State to ensure adequate protection of public health and safety in the

regulation of agreement material in the State. Specifically, Agreement States should adopt legally binding requirements based on those identified by the NRC because of their particular health and safety significance. In adopting such requirements, Agreement States shall implement the essential objectives articulated in the NRC requirements.

ii. Licensing.

The Agreement State shall conduct appropriate evaluations of proposed uses of agreement material, before issuing a license to authorize such use, to ensure that the proposed licensee's need and proposed uses of agreement material are in accordance with the AEA and that operations can be conducted safely. Licenses shall provide for reasonable assurance of public health and safety protection in the conduct of licensed activities.

iii. Inspection and Enforcement.

The Agreement State shall periodically conduct inspections of licensed activities involving agreement material to provide reasonable assurance of safe licensee operations and to determine compliance with its regulatory requirements. When determined to be necessary by the State, the State should take timely enforcement action through legal sanctions authorized by State statutes and regulations.

iv. Personnel.

The Agreement State shall be staffed with a sufficient number of qualified personnel to implement its regulatory program for the control of agreement material.

v. Incidents and Allegations.

The Agreement State shall respond to and conduct timely inspections or investigations of incidents, reported events, and allegations involving agreement material within the State's jurisdiction to provide reasonable assurance of protection of public health and safety.

2. Compatibility.

A “compatible” program consists of those program elements necessary to sustain an orderly pattern of regulation of agreement material. An Agreement State has the flexibility to adopt and implement program elements within the State’s jurisdiction (i.e., those items that are not areas of exclusive NRC regulatory authority) that are not addressed by the NRC, or program elements not required for compatibility (i.e., those NRC program elements not assigned to Category A, B, or C). However, such program elements of an Agreement State relating to agreement material shall (1) be compatible with those of the NRC (i.e., should not create conflicts, duplications, gaps, or other conditions that would jeopardize an orderly pattern in the regulation of agreement material on a nationwide basis); (2) not preclude a practice authorized by the AEA and in the national interest; and (3) not preclude the ability of the NRC to evaluate the effectiveness of Agreement State programs for agreement material with respect to protection of public health and safety. For purposes of compatibility, the State shall adopt program elements assigned Compatibility Categories A, B, and C.

i. Category A—Basic Radiation Protection Standards.

This category includes basic radiation protection standards that encompass dose limits, concentration, and release limits related to radiation protection in Part 20 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), that are generally applicable, and the dose limits for land disposal of radioactive waste in 10 CFR 61.41.<sup>4</sup> Also included in this category are a limited number of definitions, signs, labels, and scientific terms that are necessary for a common understanding of radiation protection principles among licensees, regulatory agencies, and members of the public. Such State standards should be essentially identical to those of the NRC, unless Federal statutes provide the State authority to adopt different standards. Basic

---

<sup>4</sup> The NRC will implement this category consistent with its earlier decision in the low-level waste area to allow Agreement States the flexibility to establish pre-closure operational release limit objectives, as low as is reasonably achievable goals, or design objectives at such levels as the State may deem necessary or appropriate, as long as the level of protection of public health and safety is essentially identical to that afforded by NRC requirements.

radiation protection standards do not include constraints or other limits below the level associated with “adequate protection” that take into account considerations such as economic cost and other factors.

ii. Category B—Cross Jurisdictional Program Elements.

This category pertains to a limited number of program elements that cross jurisdictional boundaries and that should be addressed to ensure uniformity of regulation on a nationwide basis. Some examples include sealed source and device registration certificates, transportation regulations, radiography certification, access authorization, and security plan requirements. Agreement State program elements shall be essentially identical to those of the NRC. Because program elements used in the Agreement State Program are necessary to maintain an acceptable level of protection of public health and safety, economic factors<sup>5</sup> shall not be considered.

iii. Category C—Other NRC Program Elements.

This category includes NRC program elements that are important for an Agreement State to implement in order to avoid conflicts, duplications, gaps, or other conditions that would jeopardize an orderly pattern in the regulation of agreement material on a nationwide basis. Such Agreement State program elements shall embody the essential objective of the corresponding NRC program elements. Agreement State program elements may be more restrictive than NRC program elements; however, they should not be so restrictive as to prohibit a practice authorized by the AEA and in the national interest without an adequate public health and safety or environmental basis related to radiation protection.

iv. Category D—Program Elements Not Required for Compatibility.

---

<sup>5</sup> For the purposes of this policy statement, economic factors are those costs incurred by the regulated community to comply with regulations that impact more than one regulatory jurisdiction in the NMP.

This category pertains to program elements that do not meet any of the criteria listed in Compatibility Category A, B, or C above and are not required to be adopted for purposes of compatibility.

v. Category NRC—Areas of Exclusive NRC Regulatory Authority.

This category consists of program elements over which the NRC cannot discontinue its regulatory authority pursuant to the AEA or provisions of 10 CFR. However, an Agreement State may inform its licensees of these NRC requirements through an appropriate mechanism under the State's administrative procedure laws, as long as the State adopts these provisions solely for the purposes of notification, and does not exercise any regulatory authority as a result.

F. CONCLUSION.

The NRC and Agreement States will continue to jointly assess the NRC and Agreement State programs for the regulation of agreement material to identify specific changes that should be considered based on experience or to further improve overall safety, performance, compatibility, and effectiveness.

The NRC encourages Agreement States to adopt and implement program elements that are patterned after those adopted and implemented by the NRC to foster and enhance an NMP that establishes a coherent and compatible nationwide program for the regulation of agreement material.